Introduction

Rhabdomyosarcomas (RMS) are malignant mesenchymal tumours originating from myogenic progenitor cells. They represent the most common soft tissue tumour in childhood but are rarely diagnosed in adults [1]. The head and neck region are the most affected tumour sites, followed by the genitourinary tract [2]. Approximately 3% of rhabdomyosarcomas in adults originate in the female genitals [2]. Three major histological subtypes can be differentiated according to the Intergroup Rhabdomyosarcoma Study Group (IRSG) and the WHO classification: the embryonal (including botryoides as most frequent variant [3]), the alveolar and the pleomorphic/un-
differentiated type. The majority of RMSs originating from the female genital tract are embryonal and botryoid types. The botryoid type has a typical “grape-like” appearance due to a layer of spindle cells pushing up beneath the mucosa in polypoid masses. It is usually found in the infantile vagina but can also occur in the cervix. Cervical RMS is usually seen in the second decade of life. Because skeletal muscle is not normally found in the genital tract, RMS is a heterologous tumor. The mean age at diagnosis of patients suffering from embryonal RMS arising in the cervix is higher than that of patients with vaginal lesions [4,5]. The clear differentiation of histological subtypes is important due to significant discrepancy in clinical behaviour and prognosis. Especially embryonal RMS of the uterus is suggested to represent an extreme rare and aggressive form associated with poor prognosis [1,2,6–8].

Survival management has changed significantly over the past 20 years. Hilgers et al. in 1970 recommended that vaginal RMS was best treated by pelvic exenteration [9]. The addition of adjuvant chemotherapy makes a substantial contribution to the improvement in survival [10]. The preoperative chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy can allow preservation of the bladder and rectum [11,12], and even save the uterus for fertility with wide local excision of cervix [13,14]. Unfortunately, no standard therapy regimen is established for treatment of RMS in adults. Treatment is carried out according to the guideline for treatment in childhood in most adult cases. It usually includes surgery, multiagent chemotherapy (vincristine, cyclophosphamide, Adriamycin) and radiotherapy.

Case

Suffering from therapy resistant vaginal discharge and bleeding for 6 months an 18-year-old woman (virgo intacta) was treated with antibiotic and antifungal drugs by her gynaecologist. No improvement was notable. The first physical examination revealed a tumour filling out the vagina. A small biopsy was performed and there was strong suspicion for a malignant mesenchymal tumour without definitive diagnosis due to limited material. Therefore the patient was referred to our centre. Physical examination was not possible. Vulvar examination was extremely painful and presented a fist-sized, bleeding tumour of the uterus in statu nascendi without signs of an infection. Subsequently we performed an examination during anesthesia and vaginal surgery. The tumour and the stalk passing the cervical canal were removed. There were no pathological findings in the parametric areas and the vagina. Histopathological examination confirmed an embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma (Fig. 1).

The tumour was homogeneous with spindle and polygonal cells and scattered multinucleated giant cells with polymorphic and hyperchromatic nuclei and perivascular growth (Fig. 1a–c). There was no clear rhabdomyogenic differentiation or rhabdomyoblasts. There were disseminated necrosis, focal chondroid differentiation and a very high proliferation with 8 mitosis in one high-power field and a proliferation of 80% in Ki-67 immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemical work-up showed that the tumor was strongly expressing Desmin and MyoD1 in 50–60% and Myogenin in 20% of tumor cells (Fig. 1d–f). Epithelial markers (CK, EMA), smooth muscle markers (smooth muscle actin, h-caldesmon), neurogenic markers to exclude a malignant neurogenic sarcoma with rhabdomyoblastic differentiation or malignant Triton tumour (S100) and PAX5 were all negative. The tumour was classified as grade III (high grade) according to the FNCLCC grading system with an overall score of 7.

Fig. 1 a to f  a–c Histopathological examination. d–f Immunohistochemical staining: d  MyoD1; e  myogenin; f  desmin.
The staging of patients with a rhabdomyosarcoma is relatively complex. The process includes the following steps [15]:

- Assigning a stage (consider site, size, surgico-pathologic group, and presence/absence of metastases).
- Assigning a local tumor surgico-pathologic group (status post-surgical resection/biopsy, with pathologic assessment of the tumour margins), and
- Assigning a risk group (classified by stage, group, and histology).

Postoperative imaging by PET/CT scan presented a tumour rest in the uterine cavity and one suspicious lymph node in the right pelvic area (Fig. 2). There was no further distant disease. According to the IRS Clinical grouping Classification the diagnosis was a group IIB embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma of the uterus. Risk stratification corresponding to the CWS guidance (dependent on IRSG age, node status, metastasis and site) presented a high risk group and subgroup [15]. Therefore, a multiagent chemotherapy with ifosfamide (3000 mg/m²), d1 +2, vincristine (1.5 mg/m²), d1 + 8 + 15, courses 1 and 2 + d1 of courses 3 + 4, and actinomycin D (1.5 mg/m²), d1, q21d, was recommended and started according to the CWS guidance. A cryoconservation of ovarian tissue was performed before chemotherapy. After the initial three courses of chemotherapy a re-staging by PET/CT scan was done which revealed a complete response. Consequently another cycle of chemotherapy was given for consolidation.

Afterwards, secondary surgery was performed including laparoscopic dissection of regional pelvic lymph nodes and endometrial and endocervical curettage. No residual tumour was diagnosed intraoperatively (Fig. 3). Histopathological examination confirmed the complete response. Due to lack of evidence based on guidelines for aftercare, follow-up visits were arranged every three months including gynaecological ultrasound, complete CT scan every six months and a nuclear bone scans every 12 months since July 2010. The patient started to menstruate again 5 months after the last cycle of chemotherapy. The last follow-up examination, which was performed in April 2012, revealed no abnormal findings (Fig. 4).

**Comment**

RMS is a common soft tissue tumour in childhood but is rarely seen in adults. The most common tumour site is usually the neck and head region, followed by the genitourinary tract. Cervix and uterus are the most frequently affected tumour sites in adolescent and postmenopausal women with tumours arising in the genitourinary tract, respectively. RMS often occurs as an extremely heterologous tumour, because skeletal muscles are naturally not found in the female genitals [16]. According to the histological examination three different subtypes of RMS can be classified: the embryonal, the alveolar and the pleomorphic subtypes. Main differential diagnoses are leiomyosarcoma, adenosarcoma, carcinosarcoma and malignant neurogenic sarcoma with rhabdomyoblastic differentiation (malignant Triton tumour) [1]. Therefore, histological findings like neoplastic pleomorphic skeletal muscle cells of varying differentiation, sometimes with myxoid stroma or cartilage differentiation, have to be confirmed by immunohistochemical staining with myogenin, desmin and MyoD1 (Fig. 1) [1,2,6,15]. Furthermore any epithelial differentiation has to be excluded by extensive sampling of the tumour and immunohistochemistry.

The treatment of patients with RMS is a multimodality challenge. Therapy strategy includes systemic chemotherapy, in combination with either surgery, radiation therapy (RT), or both modalities for local tumour control. Surgical resection should be performed, if feasible without major functional/cosmetic impairment, followed by chemotherapy and RT. Some patients with initially unresected tumours may undergo second-look surgery to...
remove the residual tumour. Because RMS is sensitive to chemotherapy and RT, surgery is delayed if disfigurement or interference with organ function is probable.

RT is indicated for patients with microscopic residual (Group II) disease, gross residual (Group III) disease or Group I patients with alveolar histology. The discussion of treatment options for children with RMS is therefore divided into separate sections describing surgery, chemotherapy, and RT [17]. In most cases fertility-sparing surgery is impossible and usually hysterectomy, bilateral adnexitomy and dissection of regional lymph nodes are performed.

Some authors suggested that embryonal RMS in adults have a poor prognosis, irrespective of the selected therapy, whereas others reported an improved survival comparable to the survival rates in childhood [2,18]. Due to lack of prospective randomized studies it is difficult to specify the individual prognosis.

We report a case of an 18-year-old patient (virgo intacta) suffering from a fist-sized embryonal RMS of the uterus in statu nascendi. Because the main tumour mass was located in the vagina (being in statu nascendi) it could easily be removed during the first examination in anaesthesia. Regarding the suspect pelvine lymph node a multiagent chemotherapy was performed according to the CWS guidance and secondary surgery was performed afterwards. Re-staging visualized a complete response enabling a fertility-sparing surgery which was favoured by the patient and her family. Up to now, follow-up examinations showed no signs for distant metastasis or local recurrence.

To our knowledge, fertility-sparing surgery is not described for uterine embryonal RMS up to now. Kayton et al. [18] reported a case of an anaplastic embryonal RMS involving the cervix uteri in a 12-year-old girl. They performed a fertility-sparing surgery with radical abdominal trachelectomy for local control and to avoid infertility. Subsequently adjuvant multiagent chemotherapy was given. No local recurrence was diagnosed up to the date of publication.

Similarly, a case of a 19-year-old woman suffering from a botryoid RMS of the posterior lip of the cervix was described by Bernal et al. [19]. The RMS was located to the vagina and was removed including the cervical part by curettage. Postoperative imaging showed no evidence of distant disease. Secondary surgery was carried out by conisation. No residual tumour was identified in histological analysis. Adjuvant multiagent chemotherapy was given and no evidence of disease was seen after a follow-up of 11 months.

A case of embryonal RMS of the uterus was described in a 15-year-old adolescent by da Silva et al. [2]. The tumour was expelling through the cervix into the vagina comparable to the present case, but also with inversio uteri. Origin of the tumour was the fundus uteri. The reason for the inversion was thought to be the softening of the uterine wall caused by the rapidly growing tumour. Uterus-conserving surgery was no reasonable option for

Fig. 3 a to d  Intraoperative pictures final surgery after cycle 4.
a  Fundus uteri: Laparoscopic view from abdominal;
b  fundus uteri: hysteroscopic view cavum uteri;
c, d  ostium of fallopian tubes bilateral: hysteroscopic view cavum uteri.

Fig. 4  CT thorax/abdomen (2012-04-10). No organ or lymph node metastases.
local tumour control although the tumour was classified as Group I tumour according to the IRSG Grouping classification. In the present case no invesio uteri was noticeable. The tumour did not affect the uterine wall but expelled directly through the cervix into the vagina. Therefore resection of the tumour with the stalk was possible and only a very small tumour rest was remaining in situ. This was treated with combined chemotherapy. In conclusion, embryonal RMS of the uterus is a rare neoplasia in adult women. A poor prognosis is described in literature. Main symptoms are therapy resistant bleeding and discharge. The histopathological diagnosis has to be confirmed by extensive tumour sampling to exclude a carcinosarcoma and immunohistochemical I staining for MyoD1, desmin and myogenin. Therapy usually includes fertility-compromising surgery, multiantigen chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Fertility-sparing surgery is described to be possible in exceptional cases of RMS of the cervix. The present case shows that in individual cases fertility-sparing surgery is possible for young patients suffering from RMS of the uterus. Further studies are necessary to evaluate the most effective treatments for adult patients.
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