Glioblastoma Multiforme versus Solitary Supratentorial Brain Metastasis: Differentiation Based on Morphology and Magnetic Resonance Signal Characteristics
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Material and Methods:

Zusammenfassung


Material und Methoden: Magnetresonanztomografie(MRT)-Untersuchungen von 51 Patienten mit einem jeweils histologisch gesicherten GBM und 44 Patienten mit einer singulären supratentoriellen Hirnmetastase wurden evaluiert. Hierbei wurde eine Vielzahl morphologischer Kriterien und MRT-Signalcharakteristika in verschiedenen Sequenzen analysiert. Mittels einer logistischen Regressionsanalyse wurde die Wertigkeit der verschiedenen Kriterien zur Differenzierung zwischen einem GBM und einer singulären Metastase untersucht. Mit einer Receiver-Operating-Charakteristik(ROC)-Analyse wurde ein optimaler Schwellenwert zur Vorhersage der Sensitivität, Spezifität und Genauigkeit des finalen Modells ermittelt. Die logistische Regressionsanalyse ergab, dass das Verhältnis des maximalen Durchmessers des peritumoralen Areals in T2-gewichteten Sequenzen (d T2) im Verhältnis mit dem maximalen Durchmesser der kontrastmittelaufnehmenden Tumormasse (d T1, post contrast) das einzige dienliche Kriterium zur Differenzierung einer singulären supratentoriellen Metastase von einem GBM darstellte (Genauigkeit 68%, Sensitivität 84% und Spezifität 45%). Der Schwellenwert für das Verhältnis d T2/d T1, post contrast betrug 2,35.

Schlussfolgerung: Das Verhältnis aus den maximalen Durchmessern des peritumoralen Areals und der kontrastmittelaufnehmenden Tumormasse kann in der klinischen Routine einfach erfasst werden und ermöglicht bei der Differenzierung von singulären Hirnmetastasen und GBM eine Genauigkeit ähnlich derjenigen neuester MRT-Techniken.

Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the diagnostic potential of a multi-factor analysis of morphometric parameters and magnetic resonance (MR) signal characteristics of a mass and peritumoral area to distinguish solitary supratentorial metastasis from glioblastoma multiforme (GBM).

Materials and Methods: MR examinations of 51 patients with histologically proven GBM and 44 with a single supratentorial metastasis were evaluated. A large variety of morphologic criteria and MR signal characteristics in different sequences were analyzed. The data were subjected to logistic regression to investigate their ability to discriminate between GBM and cerebral metastasis. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was used to select an optimal cut-off point for prediction and to assess the predictive value in terms of sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the final model.

Results: The logistic regression analysis revealed that the ratio of the maximum diameter of the peritumoral area measured on T2-weighted images (d T2) to the maximum diameter of the enhancing mass area (d T1, post-contrast) is the only useful criterion to distinguish single supratentorial brain metastasis from GBM with a lower ratio favoring GBM (accuracy 68%, sensitivity 84% and specificity 45%). The cut-off point for the ratio d T2/d T1 post-contrast was calculated as 2.35.

Conclusion: Measurement of maximum diameters of the peritumoral area in relation to the enhancing mass can be evaluated easily in the clinical routine to discriminate GBM from solitary supratentorial metastasis with an accuracy comparable to that of advanced MRI techniques.
Introduction

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) and cerebral metastasis are the most common malignant brain tumors [1]. Differentiation is very important for planning further diagnostic workup and treatment [2 – 5]. Most of the patients will still require a biopsy for definitive diagnosis even when there is a history of a known primary malignancy.

While the diagnosis of metastasis is usually straightforward in patients with multiple or infratentorial brain lesions, differentiation is often difficult when patients present with a solitary enhancing supratentorial lesion. Even with advanced MR techniques including MR spectroscopy (MRS) [6 – 8], diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) [9] and perfusion MRI [10, 11], differential diagnosis remains a challenge with most investigators reporting an accuracy of less than 65 % [6, 12 – 14].

A way to make a reliable distinction between these two entities based on MR imaging findings might be a multi-factor analysis of morphometric parameters and signal characteristics of the mass area and peritumoral area. In metastases the peritumoral area consists essentially of vasogenic edema, while in GBM, this may also contain neoplastic cells [9, 15]. Thus, in GBM, a relative decrease in peritumoral T2- or fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) hyperintense signal intensity may be expected compared with metastasis [12]. In metastatic lesions restricted diffusion can be observed in about 20 % of cases [16], while the vast majority of GBM do not exhibit restricted diffusion.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic potential of a multi-factor analysis of morphometric parameters and T1, T2, FLAIR, T2 fast field echo (T2 FFE), diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and post-contrast signal characteristics of the peritumoral and mass area to distinguish solitary supratentorial metastasis from GBM.

Materials and Methods

Patients

During the period from December 2008 through April 2010, we identified all patients with a single supratentorial ring-enhancing lesion on the basis of MRI of the head and subsequent biopsy (Table 1). The patients included in the analysis had either a single primary GBM (WHO grade IV) or a solitary cerebral metastasis from a known primary tumor (Fig. 1). A final histopathology report was available for all patients. Patients with more than one cerebral lesion or infratentorial lesions were not included. Also excluded were patients in whom the MRI appearance already suggested the type of tumor, e.g., metastasis from malignant melanoma with an increased melanin content demonstrated as hyperintensity on unenhanced T1-weighted images.

Data acquisition

All MRI examinations of the head were performed on a 1.5-Tesla MR scanner using an 8-channel head coil (Philips Medical Systems, Gyroscan NT CompactPlus, Amsterdam, Netherlands). The following pulse sequences were acquired: axial T2-weighted sequence (TE 120 ms, TR 5800 ms, slice thickness 5 mm), axial T2-weighted FLAIR sequence (TE 150 ms, TR 6000 ms, inversion time 2 s, 5 mm), axial T1-weighted spin echo sequence (TE 13 ms, TR 565 ms, 5 mm), blood-sensitive T2-weighted FFE (TE 30 ms, TR 830 ms, 5 mm), and DWI sequence (TE 84 ms, TR 3500 ms, 5 mm). Following administration of contrast medium (gadobutrol 0.1 mmol/kg, Gadovist®, Bayer Healthcare, Berlin, Germany), axial, coronal, and sagittal T1-weighted sequences were acquired (TE 14 ms, TR 565 ms, 5 mm).
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients with GBM (n = 51)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>men</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>women</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean age (± standard deviation)</td>
<td>62.4 ± 12.8 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients with single cerebral metastasis (n = 44)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>men</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>women</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean age (± standard deviation)</td>
<td>60.2 ± 12.4 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

primary tumor:

- malignant melanoma: 18
- gastrointestinal adenocarcinoma: 16
- lung cancer: 3
- renal cell carcinoma: 3
- breast cancer: 2
- ovarian cancer: 1
- differentiated neuroendocrine tumor: 1

1 Without T1w hyperintensities. Ohne T1w-Hyperintensitäten.

Fig. 1

Single cerebral lesion: metastasis from bronchial carcinoma a and glioblastoma multiforme b. After contrast administration both lesions show strong ring enhancement, which precludes reliable differentiation of the two entities.

Abb. 1

The images of all patients were analyzed for the following morphologic criteria: maximum and minimum diameter of enhancing mass area and maximum thickness of enhancing rim on T1w sequences post contrast medium; maximum diameter of peritumoral area and signal intensity of peritumoral area (white ring) relative to that of cerebrospinal fluid (black ring) on T2w sequences.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Quantitative values are given as means with standard deviations, and qualitative values as proportions and percentages. Logistic regression was used based on histopathology as the standard of reference. Stepwise elimination of nonsignificant factors with a level of significance of 5% (two-sided) led to the final model. Odds ratios were calculated for significant factors along with 95% confidence intervals (Wald approach). Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was used to select an optimal cut-off point for prediction and to assess the predictive value in terms of the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the final model. The selection of the optimal cut-point was based on the Youden index, i.e., the maximum sum of sensitivity and specificity. 95% confidence intervals were calculated for the diagnostic parameters using Fieller’s theorem. The 95% confidence interval for the AUC was calculated by bootstrapping.

**Results**

The study included 95 patients with a single supratentorial ring-enhancing cerebral lesion on MRI. There were 51 patients with GBM (mean age, 62.4 ± 12.8 years; range, 11–84 years; 29 men, 22 women) and 44 patients with a single supratentorial metastasis (mean age, 60.2 ± 12.4 years; range, 29–79 years; 22 men, 22 women). In the group of patients with cerebral metastasis, histology revealed the following primary tumors: 18 malignant melanomas (without hyperintensities on native T1-weighted images), 16 gastrointestinal adenocarcinomas, 3 bronchial carcinomas, 3 renal cell carcinomas, 2 breast cancers, 1 ovarian cancer, and 1 differentiated neuroendocrine tumor.

Analysis of all morphologic criteria investigated revealed that only the ratio of the maximum diameter of the peritumoral area measured on T2-weighted images (d_T2) towards the maximum diameter of the enhancing mass area (d_T1, post-contrast) had a predictive value for differentiating solitary supratentorial metastasis and GBM with a lower ratio favoring GBM. None of the other criteria like enhancing tumor size, thickness of the enhancing rim, cen-
tral signal intensity on FLAIR or DWI alone or in combination turned out to contribute to the differential diagnosis of these two entities.

The ratios of $d_{T2}/d_{T1,\text{post-contrast}}$ for metastases and GBM were found to differ with mean ratios of 2.34 (± 0.98) and 1.81 (± 0.70), respectively. The final logistic model can be expressed as follows:

$$\log \left( \frac{\pi}{1 - \pi} \right) = 1.7870 - 0.8031 \times \left( \frac{d_{T2}}{d_{T1,\text{post-contrast}}} \right)$$

where $\pi$ is the probability of GBM and $d$ is the maximum diameter of the peritumoral area and the mass area.

The accuracy of the final model was found to be 68% (95% CI 57%; 78%) with a sensitivity of 84% (95% CI 71%; 93%) and a specificity of 45% (95% CI 30%; 61%; *Fig. 3, 4*).

A cut-off for the probability of 0.47411 on the logistic scale corresponds to a cut-off for the ratio $d_{T2}/d_{T1,\text{post-contrast}}$ of 2.35, i.e., if a lesion shows a ratio of less than 2.35, it is correctly classified as
GBM in 64% (95% CI 52%; 76%) of cases (positive predictive value, Fig. 3). If a lesion shows a ratio of more than 2.35, it is correctly classified as metastasis in 71% (95% CI 51%; 87%) of cases (negative predictive value).

Discussion

A patient’s history often provides important clues for differentiating GBM and cerebral metastasis. When a patient has extracranial malignant disease, MRI detection of multiple sharply demarcated brain lesions with ring enhancement or infratentorial lesions favors the diagnosis of metastasis, although multifocal GBM have been reported in the literature [17]. On the other hand, one must bear in mind that brain metastasis is the first clinically apparent manifestation of a systemic tumor in up to 30% of patients with 25–50% of brain metastases occurring as single lesions [18, 19] and histological confirmation is necessary with a considerable risk of morbidity and mortality [20]. An exception is cerebral metastasis from malignant melanoma, where melanin or blood products appear hyperintense on unenhanced T1-weighted images and may suggest the primary tumor [21].

Our results show that most morphologic criteria and signal intensity characteristics are of limited use for differentiating solitary supratentorial brain metastasis from GBM. Even the combination of different criteria does not improve accuracy. In our study, the high T2 and FLAIR signal intensity of the peritumoral area surrounding the ring-enhancing lesion relative to the signal intensity of CSF also failed to contribute to the differential diagnosis. This is surprising as one would expect differences in the T2 and FLAIR signal intensities of the reactive vasogenic edema in the case of metastasis as opposed to the tumor infiltration in the case of GBM [22–24]. Tang et al. [12] studied the diagnostic utility of FLAIR in assessing for non-enhancing cortical intensity abnormality to distinguish GBM from solitary metastases and found a sensitivity and specificity of 44% and 91%, respectively. In addition, gadolinium-enhanced FLAIR imaging was shown to allow markedly increased lesion delineation [25–27].

Also the irregular peripheral enhancement with an unenhanced central necrosis of GBM as opposed to nodular or ring-like enhancement of metastasis is not a specific finding. Nevertheless, this criterion may be based on a bias since the delay after contrast medium application and the contrast dose have a direct impact on the tumor [28]. It was shown that the tumor volume of gliomas increases by continuous contrast uptake of the peripheral parts between 4 and 20 minutes after contrast injection [29]. However, a double dose of gadolinium allows a higher diagnostic yield in the detection of metastases [30–32].

A logistic regression analysis revealed that the only factor of value turned out to be the size ratio of the peritumoral T2 high signal intensity area to the enhancing mass area. The accuracy of 68% (sensitivity of 84% and specificity of 45%) we found for this strictly morphologic criterion is only slightly below that reported by others for advanced quantitative MRI techniques such as spectroscopy, diffusion tensor imaging and perfusion imaging [7, 10, 33]. Notably, Young et al. reported a sensitivity of 88% and a specificity of 72% for an echo-planar perfusion-weighted imaging technique [14], while Opstad et al. found a specificity of 80% and a sensitivity of 80% for MR spectroscopy [7]. Further studies using DTI to differentiate between glioblastomas and metastases found the fractional anisotropy (FA), as a measure of the degree of directional diffusion, to be significantly lower within the edema surrounding metastases, whereas the mean diffusivity (MD), as a measure of the overall magnitude of diffusion, was significantly higher in the edema around metastases [34, 35]. When DTI metrics from the tumor volume and the surrounding peritumoral edema were combined, Byrnes et al. [35] were able to correctly predict 87% of glioblastomas and 83% of metastases.

A limitation of this study is the small sample size so that factors with lesser effects on the differentiating of metastases and GBM might not have been detected and also important combinations of factors might have been missed. Overall, with small sample sizes only factors with a strong effect have a high probability to be detected in such analysis. On the other hand, if a factor is found to be a significant contributor to the differentiation of GBM and metastases with a small sample size, then this leads to the suggestion that this factor is important with high confidence.

Conclusion

Our systematic analysis of a variety of morphologic criteria and signal intensities revealed the ratio of maximum diameter of the peritumoral area measured on T2-weighted images to the maximum diameter of the enhancing mass area to be the only useful factor for differentiating single supratentorial brain metastasis from GBM. With an accuracy of 68%, this feature is comparable to advanced MRI techniques and is easy to evaluate despite the time constraints encountered in clinical practice.
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