

Treatment of Latent Tuberculosis Infection

Andrew Vernon, MD, MHS¹

¹Division of Tuberculosis Elimination, Clinical Research Branch, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC/OID [Office of Infectious Diseases]/NCHHSTP [National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention]), Atlanta, Georgia

Address for correspondence Andrew Vernon, MD, MHS, Division of TB Elimination, NCHHSTP, OID, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd. NE, E-10, Atlanta, GA 30333 (e-mail: avernon@cdc.gov).

Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2013;34:67–86.

Abstract

Latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) refers to a circumstance in which viable *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MTB) bacilli are present in an individual but symptoms and signs of active disease are lacking, and the bacilli are relatively inactive metabolically. In favorable circumstances, some of these inactive bacilli resume greater metabolic activity and replication, leading to the development of active tuberculosis disease. Treatment of this condition (TLTBI) is designed to prevent (soon, or in the distant future) this progression from asymptomatic infection to symptomatic, potentially lethal, active disease. This narrative review draws upon recent reviews of LTBI and seeks particularly to include recently published or presented data that are not included in those prior reviews. Adverse effects of treatment are considered, as are the special circumstances of human immunodeficiency virus–related LTBI, drug resistance, and use of TLTBI in the context of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) inhibition. The review describes the main studies underpinning Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommendations on use of the new 3-month isoniazid-rifapentine regimen and points to evolving data that may support future modification of those recommendations.

Keywords

- ▶ latent TB
- ▶ TB preventive therapy
- ▶ TB prophylaxis
- ▶ isoniazid
- ▶ 3HP
- ▶ rifapentine

Definition and Scope

In latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) viable *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MTB) bacilli are present in an individual but symptoms and signs of active disease are lacking, and the bacilli are relatively inactive metabolically. In favorable circumstances, some of these inactive bacilli resume greater metabolic activity and replication, leading to the development of active tuberculosis (TB) disease. Treatment of LTBI (TLTBI) is designed to prevent (soon, or in the distant future) this progression from asymptomatic infection to symptomatic, potentially lethal active disease. Several recent excellent reviews have summarized information on TLTBI (also called TB preventive therapy), and these are recommended to the interested reader.^{1–3} This narrative review is part of a new volume summarizing knowledge on diagnosis and treatment of TB. It draws upon those recent systematic and narrative reviews of LTBI but seeks particularly to include recently published or presented data that are not included in those prior reviews. This review describes the main studies under-

pinning Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommendations on use of the new 3-month isoniazid-rifapentine regimen and points to evolving data that may support future modification of those recommendations.

Concept of Microbial Latency, Dormancy, and Persistence

The terms *latency*, *dormancy*, and *persistence* are not always clearly distinguished. *Latency* refers to the condition of infection without obvious disease (i.e., LTBI). The concept of latency in TB has been present for at least 60 years, since it was demonstrated that future disease in asymptomatic children exposed to infectious adults could be prevented by the timely administration of isoniazid (INH) alone.⁴ In the past 25 years, considerable effort has been made to understand better the condition of latency, due in part to the belief that latent surviving bacilli are responsible for relapse after treatment and drive the long-required duration of TB treatment. Effort has been directed to increasing our

Issue Theme Mycobacterial Infections; Guest Editor, Charles L. Daley, MD.

Published by Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc., 333 Seventh Avenue, New York, NY 10001, USA. Tel: +1(212) 584-4662.

DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0032-1333544>.
ISSN 1069-3424.

understanding of dormancy, which is a nonreplicating state of reduced metabolic activity, including transcription and translation. Much has been learned about the biological and environmental factors that govern the shifts between the conditions of active metabolism and replication to those of dormancy. It is now recognized that *MTB* is capable of “an extensive repertoire of metabolic realignments to enter a defined nonreplicating state.”⁵ Wayne and Hayes are credited with first demonstrating an in vitro model of *MTB* dormancy, using gradual depletion of oxygen, and demonstrating a shift in metabolic enzymes, cessation of DNA replication, and reduction but not cessation of RNA synthesis.⁶ Since then, multiple other stressful conditions have been shown to favor a shift to latency, including nutritional depletion (amino acids, iron), low pH, exposure to nitric oxide or active oxygen intermediates, and extremes of temperature.⁷ The classic studies of McDermott and colleagues established the existence of the state of persistence.⁸ Persisting bacilli within a host are viable but nonculturable and are resistant to the action of antibiotics but lacking genotypic resistance; they can be rendered culturable through various interventions, including immunosuppression⁹ or the addition of stimulating agents such as the recently described resuscitation promotion factors.¹⁰ It has become clearer that the concept of latency has been oversimplified, and that a useful understanding of the bacillary states variously referred to as latency, dormancy, or persistence will require deeper understanding of microbial physiology and genetics, and the pathological¹¹ and immunologic¹² responses to infection with *MTB*.⁵

Diagnosis

A separate contribution to this volume discusses diagnosis of LTBI, describing both recent advances and continuing challenges in the diagnosis of a condition for which no true gold standard test exists.

Epidemiology

LTBI remains one of the most prevalent infectious conditions affecting humankind. It is estimated that roughly one third of the global population is infected.¹³ In the United States, the National Health and Nutrition Evaluation Survey assessed LTBI prevalence among the civilian, noninstitutionalized, and nonhomeless population in 1999 to 2000 using the tuberculin skin test (TST) and defining LTBI as a positive TST reaction of 10 mm or more. An estimated 11,213,000 (4.2%) individuals had LTBI. Among 25- to 74-year-olds, estimated prevalence had fallen from 14.3% in 1971–72 to 5.7% in 1999–2000. Higher prevalence was noted in the foreign born (18.7%) compared with the US born (1.8%), and among non-Hispanic blacks/African Americans (7.0%), Mexican Americans (9.4%), and individuals living in poverty (6.1%). A total of 63% of LTBI was among the foreign born.¹⁴ Interestingly, the 60% decline in LTBI since 1971–72 among persons aged 25 to 74 was roughly parallel to the 63% decline in reported TB cases since 1972, and the proportion of persons with LTBI who were foreign born represented roughly 60% of the estimated total.

A repeat survey was performed in 2011–12 and will be reported soon.

Clinical Relevance

A variety of clinical factors have been recognized as associated with risk of progression from LTBI to active disease (►Table 1).¹⁵

It is remarkable that most tables such as this do not mention the most widely appreciated risk factor for development of TB, namely current or recent treatment of active TB. The notable studies of the British Medical Research Council documented combined failure/relapse rates during or after rifampin-based short-course chemotherapy of 2 to 5%.¹⁶ Patients in these circumstances (during or after the continuation phase of therapy for active disease) are presumed to have only a few dormant (persisting) bacilli. Some of these patients (those with cavitory TB who respond slowly to therapy) have risks of relapse after therapy of 20 to 25%.¹⁷ These rates are clearly higher than those seen in persons identified in contact investigations in the United States, and thus such persons may merit consideration for additional therapy to eliminate LTBI (see Special Circumstances: HIV later in this article)

Animal Models

The most informative animal model of TB has been the murine model. Early murine studies focused on treatment of active disease, but Grosset and Mitchison began in the 1990s to examine models of latent infection as well.^{18–20} They used different mouse models that sometimes gave conflicting results.^{18,20,21} These studies provided support for the 2-month rifampin and pyrazinamide regimen, as well as for regimens based on weekly rifapentine. More recently, Grosset’s group reported on murine studies which suggest that isoniazid (INH) and rifapentine may be effective as LTBI using very short periods of daily therapy, and bedaquiline may be effective in the treatment of LTBI due to multidrug resistant (MDR) strains.^{22,23}

Treatment

The use of anti-TB drugs to prevent development of TB disease among persons known or suspected to be infected with *MTB* began with Edith Lincoln’s efforts to prevent progression of primary TB to meningitis among recently exposed children seen at Bellevue Hospital.⁴ In 1959 at the Arden House Conference of the U.S. Public Health Service (USPHS) and the National Tuberculosis Association, experts recommended the expanded use of chemotherapy as “preventive therapy.”²⁴ INH preventive therapy was first formally recommended in the United States for persons with previously untreated TB or recent TST conversion in 1965.²⁵ The recommendation was expanded in 1967 to include persons with specified medical conditions and persons under age 20 with a positive TST.²⁶ Subsequent modifications in indications and management were made in response to the growing recognition of the

Table 1 Risk factors for the development of active tuberculosis among persons infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*

Risk factor	Estimated risk for TB relative to persons with no known risk factor
High risk (testing and treatment for LTBI recommended for all ages)	
AIDS (not on anti-HIV therapy)	110–170
HIV (not on anti-HIV therapy)	50–110
Transplantation (related to immunosuppressive therapy)	20–74
Silicosis	30
Chronic renal failure requiring hemodialysis	10–25
Carcinoma of head and neck	16
Recent TB infection (< 2 years)	15
Abnormal chest X-ray—with upper lobe fibronodular disease typical of healed TB infection	6–19
TNF- α inhibitors	2–9
Moderate risk (testing and treatment for LTBI recommended if age < 65 years)	
Treatment with glucocorticoids	5
Diabetes mellitus (all types)	2–4
Young age when infected (0–4 years)	2–5
Slightly increased risk (testing and treatment for LTBI recommended if age < 50 years)	
Underweight (< 90% ideal body weight; for most persons, this is a BMI \leq 20)	2–3
Cigarette smoker (1 pack/day)	2–3
Abnormal chest X-ray—granuloma	2
Low risk (testing and treatment for LTBI recommended if age < 35 years)	
Infected person, no known risk factor, normal chest X-ray (“low-risk reactor”)	1
Very low risk (treatment of LTBI not usually recommended)	
Person with positive two-step (“boosting”), no other known risk factor, and normal chest X-ray	0.5

Abbreviations: AIDS, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; LTBI, latent tuberculosis infection; TB, tuberculosis; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

Source: Modified from Lobue and Menzies¹ and CDC.¹⁵

hepatotoxicity associated with INH therapy (see later discussion). Inclusion of LTBI treatment in the context of contact investigation elevated preventive therapy in the national strategy to control tuberculosis. The concern about INH toxicity also spurred interest in alternative regimens, and over the past 20 years investigators have assessed several different rifamycin-based regimens, generally of shorter duration, both with and without companion drugs. Increasing recognition of MDR-TB (i.e., TB resistant to both INH and rifampin) and the difficulties encountered in its treatment have stimulated interest in the use of preventive therapy among contacts of MDR-TB.

Efficacy of Drugs and Regimens

Isoniazid Alone

INH was the first anti-TB agent to be employed successfully for preventive therapy, and by far more is known about its performance as a prophylactic therapy than is known for any other anti-TB drug. As soon as INH's remarkable potency was

demonstrated, Edith Lincoln, in a classic study, began to use it to prevent progression from primary TB to disseminated forms and meningitis among children at Bellevue Hospital in New York City. Subsequent large trials by the US Public Health Service and others demonstrated the preventive efficacy of INH given for 12 months as daily, self-administered therapy. Trial groups included children with primary TB,²⁷ Alaskan villagers,²⁸ residents in psychiatric institutions,²⁹ household contacts to previously known TB cases³⁰ or to newly reported active TB cases,³¹ and persons with radiographically “inactive” lesions. INH was significantly active in all groups, with 54 to 78% efficacy in adults, and was 100% effective in preventing meningitis and disseminated TB among children with primary TB.³² A subsequent report on the Bethel, Alaska, studies documented the long-term (at least 19 years) effectiveness of INH.³³ A British Medical Research Council study in rural Kenya administered INH for 12 to 24 months to household contacts of active TB cases and demonstrated 64% efficacy.³⁴ A study of INH prophylaxis among household contacts in the Philippines assessed

outcome based solely on X-ray changes; efficacy was only 4%, but protection was 42% among those who were TST positive.³⁵ A study in young Dutch sailors who converted their skin tests after exposure to a single case demonstrated 92% efficacy, attributed to early institution of therapy and high levels of compliance.³⁶ In a Veterans Administration study of 12 and 24 months of INH among persons with prior active disease, those with no prior chemotherapy had a 60% reduction in culture- or X-ray-defined reactivation; those with prior therapy had no benefit.³⁷ The largest study of INH prophylaxis ($n = 27,830$) was conducted in seven nations of eastern Europe by the International Union Against Tuberculosis (IUAT) and compared three lengths of therapy (3, 6, and 12 months) with placebo for previously untreated TST-positive persons aged 20 to 65 with fibrotic changes on X-ray.³⁸ This exceptional and careful trial retained over 97% of the persons enrolled. The 5-year rate of TB disease in the placebo arm was 14.3 per 1,000 persons, or 1.43%. Efficacy was 21%, 65%, and 75% in the three INH arms, respectively, and was significantly greater (30%, 69%, and 93%, respectively) among those who completed their assigned durations and collected at least 80% of their assigned medication doses (so-called completer-compliers). An influential cost-effectiveness analysis published in 1986 led to widespread adoption of the 6-month daily INH 300 mg regimen in the United States for treatment of LTBI.³⁹ Then in 1999 George Comstock published new analyses of data from several studies, including the USPHS household contact study, the Veterans Administration study, the IUAT trial, and the USPHS Bethel, Alaska, studies.⁴⁰ His analyses indicated that maximal efficacy occurred with durations of daily INH of 9 to 12 months. This led to a shift in the United States in the recommended duration of INH from 6 to 9 months (9H).⁴¹ Studies in persons with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection are described later in this article.

Rifamycins

The potent sterilizing ability of the rifamycins, coupled with their declining cost and with increasing concerns about INH-associated hepatitis, led to investigations of their utility in preventive therapy. They have been used both alone and in combination with other drugs, notably INH and pyrazina-

mid. Two outbreaks of INH-resistant TB have stimulated investigation of rifampin-only regimens for prophylaxis among infected contacts.^{42,43} These two outbreaks reported on 243 persons treated with rifampin or rifampin plus INH for 6 months; none of these persons developed disease. Assuming an expected rate of disease of 5%, the estimated protective efficacy was at least 70%.

The British Medical Research Council conducted the first randomized trial of rifampin-containing regimens for therapy of LTBI among silicotics in Hong Kong.⁴⁴ Sputum culture-negative adult silicotics (over 90% TST positive) were randomized to 3 months of INH and rifampin, 3 months of rifampin only, 6 months of INH, or placebo. Results were as shown in **Table 2**.

There was not a statistically significant difference among the three active arms; the placebo arm had significantly more TB cases by 5 years of follow-up. The rifampin-only arm performed more favorably than any other. It also had fewer hepatic reactions reported. INH-resistant TB occurred in all arms, most commonly in the 6H arm; rifampin resistance appeared only in the placebo arm. In the discussion of this trial, the authors commented on the potential utility of long-acting rifamycins such as rifapentine.

In recent years, attention has focused on three types of rifamycin-based short-course regimens for treatment of LTBI. These are (1) 3 to 4 months of rifampin, alone or in combination with INH; (2) 2 to 3 months of rifampin and pyrazinamide; and (3) 3 or fewer months of INH and rifapentine:

(1) Interest in rifampin-only regimens has increased since the option of 4 months of rifampin (4R) was recommended (as an acceptable alternative for INH-resistant LTBI) in the 2000 revision of the US guidelines, largely based on the MRC trial in silicotics (which used only 3 months of R, not 4; **Table 2**).⁴¹ On the strength of this recommendation, several programmatic assessments of the 4R regimen have been published.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ Menzies and colleagues in Montreal have been most engaged in the assessment of 4R as an alternative to 9H. They have published a pilot study,⁴⁹ a study of adverse events,⁵⁰ and two studies of costs^{51,52} and are currently guiding a large (planned sample size of 5,720) multinational trial comparing 4R with 9H in the treatment of LTBI.⁵³ The trial's results will be available in 2016 at the earliest.

Table 2 Results of the British Medical Research Council (MRC) Hong Kong trial of prophylaxis among silicotics⁴⁴

Arm	3R	3HR	6H	Placebo
No. Enrolled	165	161	167	159
% with TST > 9 mm	96%	91%	95%	95%
TB by 5 years ^a	17%	22%	20%	34%
TB by 5 years ^b	10%	16%	14%	27%
Hepatic AE	1 (1%)	6 (4%)	7 (5%)	3 (2%)
No. cases INH-resistant/no. tested	2/15 (13%)	2/21 (10%)	5/19 (26%)	4/28 (14%)

Abbreviations: AE, adverse event; H, isoniazid; HR, isoniazid plus rifampin; INH, isoniazid; R, rifampin; TB, tuberculosis; TST, tuberculin skin test.

^aCrude % of all patients enrolled.

^bLife table rate in patients with no interruption.

The use of INH plus rifampin in treatment of LTBI was substantially encouraged by reports of the successful use of this combination in prophylaxis of children in the United Kingdom. Ormerod and colleagues reported three times over a period of 23 years on this combination used for durations of 9, 6, 4, and ultimately only 3 months.^{54–56} The use of 3 to 4 months of INH plus rifampin has been further studied in two relatively small trials^{57,58} reporting on ≤ 100 HIV-uninfected persons per treatment arm, and in one larger trial ($N = 926$) of HIV-negative Greek children under age 15 years.⁵⁹ Further, several smaller trials,^{60–62} and two larger trials,^{63,64} involved HIV-infected persons. In general these trials showed no significant differences between the shorter-duration HR combination arms and the longer INH-only arms, which were similarly effective, had similar rates of serious adverse events, and had similar rates of completion of therapy.

(2) The combination of rifampin and pyrazinamide as therapy for LTBI was considered as early as the 1980s.⁶⁵ Grosset and colleagues reasoned that since the therapy of active TB had been shortened from 18 to 6 months through the addition to INH of rifampin and pyrazinamide, the addition of either or both drugs might shorten the necessary duration of INH preventive therapy to something well below the 6 months shown to be effective in the IUAT prophylaxis trial.³⁸ In addition, they pointed out that studies in Hong Kong had demonstrated that smear-negative and culture-negative TB disease could be effectively treated with 4, 3, or even 2 months of a four-drug regimen (►Table 3).⁶⁶ If culture-positive relapse were considered in the 1976 study to represent progression of LTBI, then the daily four-drug 3-month regimen was 88% effective after 5 years of follow-up.

Grosset's studies tested the efficacy of rifampin alone or in combination with INH and/or pyrazinamide in a murine model of LTBI.⁶⁵ Surprisingly the most effective regimens were 2 months of rifampin-pyrazinamide or 3 months of rifampin alone. This work created great interest in the potential of a 2-month LTBI regimen of rifampin-pyrazinamide (2RZ). Further, most experts consulted by the World Health Organization (WHO) were at the time unwilling to recommend a regimen using rifampin alone, for fear of engendering

rifamycin resistance (pers. comm., Dr. R. O'Brien). Several substantial trials of 2- to 3-month RZ regimens were then undertaken: one in Haiti,⁶⁷ one in Zambia,^{68,69} and one as a multinational trial (in the United States, Brazil, Haiti, and Mexico).⁷⁰ These trials all sought to enroll only persons who were HIV-infected and not on antiretroviral therapy (ART) because such persons have a rapid rate of progression from TB infection to disease, and the resultant higher event rates and smaller sample sizes would permit more rapid completion of the trials. All three trials found that 2 to 3RZ was as effective as 6 months of INH. Several small, unpublished pilot studies reported adequate tolerability in HIV-negative persons; as a result, the regimen was recommended for use in the United States.⁴¹ Shortly following publication of these recommendations, reports of severe hepatotoxicity began to appear.^{71–73} Overall 50 cases and 12 deaths in the United States were attributed to the regimen,⁷⁴ which was shown to have rates of severe hepatotoxicity and death significantly in excess of those associated with INH.⁷⁵ By 2003 the 2RZ regimen was no longer recommended, except in very limited circumstances.⁷⁶

(3) Two decades after the authors of the Hong Kong study of silicotics had speculated about an LTBI treatment regimen using rifapentine, three different trials reported on the performance of a regimen of once-weekly INH and rifapentine (►Table 4).^{77–79} Murine models had supported the use of such a regimen.^{80–83} The three human trials were performed in Rio de Janeiro, in Johannesburg, and in the United States, Canada, Brazil, and Spain. The first trial randomized participants either to 2 months daily rifampin and pyrazinamide or to 3 months once-weekly INH 900 mg plus rifapentine 900 mg.⁷⁷ This trial was halted early due to an elevated rate of hepatotoxicity in the 2RZ arm. Most of the participants were TST-positive, HIV-negative household contacts to active cases. Adherence was high, and both regimens appeared effective; the 2RZ regimen had fewer cases (one vs three) but the rates were low and not significantly different (0.5% vs 1.5%). Studies in Boston and in Rio de Janeiro had indicated that 8 to 9% of infected close or household contacts develop active disease if untreated.^{42,84} The second study randomized

Table 3 Therapy of smear-negative and culture-negative TB disease in Hong Kong⁶⁶

Study	Smear	Culture	Regimen	Duration (months)	Assessed	Relapse at 24 months (%)	Relapse at 60 months (%)
1 (1976)							
1	Negative	Positive	SHRZ	2	72	22	32
1	Negative	Positive	SHRZ	3	69	12	13
1	Negative	Negative	None	—	176	53	57
1	Negative	Negative	SHRZ	2	165	7	11
1	Negative	Negative	SHRZ	3	162	4	7
2 (1978)							
2	Negative	Negative	SHRZ	3	364	2	6
2	Negative	Positive	SHRZ	4	157	3	3

Abbreviations: SHRZ, streptomycin, isoniazid, rifampin, pyrazinamide; TB, tuberculosis.

Table 4 Trials of once-weekly isoniazid 900 mg + rifapentine 900 mg in LTBI

Study (enrolling period)	Population	Arm	Frequency	Enrolled	DOT doses	TB (n)	(S)AE	Death (n)	Completion	LTFU
Schechter 2006 ⁷⁷	TST positive HH contacts, 99.5% HIV negative	2RZ	Daily	193	1 of 7	0.52%	10%	3%	94%	2%
		3HP	Once-wkly	206	All	1.46%	1%	1%	93%	2%
2001–2005							(Gr 3–4 hepatotox)			
Martinson 2011 ⁷⁸	TST positive, HIV positive adults	3HP	Once-wkly	328	All	7% (24)	66%	11% (17)	96%	23% not seen in last 6 mos or known dead
		3HR	Twice-wkly	329	All	7% (24)	64%	11% (16)	95%	
2002–2005		Cont H	Daily	164	None	5% (8)	84%	9% (8)	89%	
		6H	Daily	327	None	7% (22)	66%	13% (25)	84%	
Sterling 2011 ⁷⁹	TST positive close contact or converter 95%; HIV+ 3%	3HP	Once-wkly	3,986	All	0.18% (7)	1.6%	0.8%	82%	12%
2001–2008		9H	Daily	3,745	None	0.40% (15)	2.9%	1.0%	69%	14%

Abbreviations: DOT, directly observed therapy; H, household; HH, household; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HP, isoniazid/rifapentine; HR, isoniazid plus rifampin; LTBI, latent tuberculosis infection; LTFU, lost to follow-up; RZ, rifampin + PZA; S(AE), serious adverse event; TST, tuberculin skin test.

HIV-infected TST-positive adults with CD4 cell counts > 200 to one of four regimens: 3 months of directly observed, once-weekly INH and rifapentine (3HP; $n = 328$); 3 months of twice-weekly INH and rifampin also by directly observed therapy (DOT) ($n = 329$); 6 months of self-administered daily INH ($n = 327$); or continuous self-administered INH ($n = 164$).⁷⁸ Adherence was high for all four regimens. There were no significant differences among the four regimens in rates of active TB (range 1.4 to 2.0 per 100 person-years) or death (range 1.3 to 2.1 per 100 person-years). The continuous INH regimen was nonsignificantly more favorable with regard to these two outcomes but sustained significantly more adverse events during the longer course of therapy. Also of concern was the occurrence of one rifampin-monoresistant TB case among persons given the 3HP regimen, suggesting the possibility that incipient TB disease remains difficult to exclude with certainty during screening of patients in this high-risk setting.⁸⁵ The third trial, TBTC (TB Trials Consortium) Study 26, was the largest comparative US trial of preventive therapy in the past 40 years, enrolling 8,053 participants.⁷⁹ It randomized subjects to either 9 months of daily self-administered INH 300 mg (9H) or 3 months of once-weekly INH 900 mg and rifapentine 900 mg (3HP). Included were TST-positive close contacts to active TB (~ 70% of persons enrolled), documented recent TST converters (~ 26%), HIV-positive persons with positive TST or recent TB contact (2%), or TST-positive persons with fibrosis on chest X-ray (2%). Completion of therapy was 69% in the 9H arm and 82% in the 3HP arm. Twenty-two cases occurred during the 33 months of therapy and observation; the rates were 0.43% in the 9H arm and 0.19% in the 3HP arm, sufficient to satisfy the criteria of noninferiority specified in the trial's revised analytic plan. The event rates were low in this trial; among 384 subjects who received two doses or less of combination therapy, or less than 30 days of INH only, but who remained in the study, there were four tuberculosis cases, for a cumulative rate of 1.64%. This was, however, comparable to the rates observed in the IUAT (5-year incidence in the placebo arm of 1.43%)³⁸ and USPHS-Contact studies.³²

Of the 22 cases, 20 were confirmed on culture. There were two INH-resistant cases (both in the INH-only group) and one rifampin-resistant case (in the 3HP arm). The latter case occurred in a subject with HIV infection (CD4+ cell count = 271 per mm³ at enrollment) and INH-susceptible *M. bovis* infection (also considered to be culture-confirmed TB) who had treatment interruptions and completed therapy late. This was one of the very few recent studies that enrolled children. Because the numbers of persons with HIV and the numbers of children were low, enrollment of these two groups was extended; ultimately 393 HIV-positive persons and 539 children under 12 years of age were enrolled. Many of these HIV-positive persons are still in follow-up, with final results expected in 2013. Preliminary assessment indicated that, among HIV-positive persons not receiving ART, 3HP was better tolerated and had higher treatment completion rates than 9H for treatment of latent *M. tuberculosis* infection.⁸⁶ Similar findings concerning tolerability of 3HP applied to children aged 2 to 17 years; moreover, efficacy data in

children were presented when 96% of follow-up had been completed, and noninferiority of 3HP was affirmed in this important age group.⁸⁷

Serious adverse events occurred in 1.6% of 3HP patients and 2.9% of 9H patients. Investigator-assessed drug-related hepatotoxicity occurred in 0.4% and 2.7%, respectively. Possible drug-related hypersensitivity occurred in 3.8% and 0.5%. The adverse event experience in this open-label trial was complex, and detailed analysis is still under way. Six of the suspected hypersensitivity occurrences involved hypotensive episodes (systolic BP < 90). Some of these adverse event episodes likely represent rifamycin hypersensitivity, or “flu-like syndrome.”^{88,89} Hypersensitivity and flulike syndrome have been reported with other long-acting rifamycins.^{90,91} The experiences with hepatotoxicity due to INH and to 2RZ noted earlier have led to increased caution surrounding the introduction of new LTBI regimens; concern also persists regarding the potential for once-weekly rifapentine regimens to foster the development of rifamycin resistance in persons with active TB and advanced HIV.⁸⁶

Nevertheless, compared with 9 months of INH, the potential logistical advantage of an effective and well-tolerated 3-month regimen for LTBI is enormous. Simultaneous with publication of the Study 26 findings, CDC published guidelines for use of the new 3HP regimen in the United States.⁹² Those guidelines recommended use of the 3HP regimen as an equal alternative to the 9-month INH regimen for many persons \geq 12 years of age; future publication of the pediatric data cited earlier may lead to modification of the recommended lower age limit. Due to lack of data, the 3HP regimen was not recommended for use in persons with HIV on ART; if permissive data on interaction with ART become available, then use of the 3HP regimen in HIV-infected persons receiving ART might be reconsidered. CDC’s TB Trials Consortium began in 2012 a trial assessing self-administration of this 12-dose regimen; if findings from this trial support self-administration, then the current recommendation that the regimen be given under direct observation may be modified. CDC’s TB Epidemiologic Studies Consortium has begun a large (expected enrollment > 40,000) observational study of LTBI at 20 US sites. CDC has initiated an additional multisite phase 4 implementation trial of 3HP. Finally, the existing CDC system for surveillance of severe adverse reactions to any LTBI regimen⁹³ includes a focus on the new 3HP regimen.

Interest in even shorter HP regimen is strong. Based on promising data from murine studies,^{22,23} the Adult AIDS Clinical Trials Group network has begun a study of an ultra-short daily regimen of INH 300 mg and rifapentine (300 to 600 mg, based on weight) given for 4 weeks to HIV-infected persons with positive TST or living in a high-burden country.⁹⁴ If successful, it is likely that such a regimen would be studied in HIV-negative persons as well.

Adverse Effects

Isoniazid

Adverse effects from anti-TB medications have been described throughout the history of TB pharmacotherapy, but

there are perplexing events scattered throughout this history. The greatest amount of data relate to the hepatic toxicity of INH. Toxic effects of INH were relatively modest in the original studies in children and did not pose a major problem in the large USPHS studies reported by Ferebee.³² Ferebee reported three cases of jaundice in the USPHS trial in persons with inactive lesions, and one in a trial involving silicotics. A subsequent programmatic study found three cases in \sim 300 participants. Because this study used the same source of INH as the earlier USPHS trial, suspicion was aroused that some contaminant was present and responsible. Several years later, in February 1970, after a report of seven cases of TB among employees working on Capitol Hill in Washington, DC, 2,321 government workers began preventive therapy with INH. Over the next 9 months, 19 persons developed clinical signs of liver disease, including 13 with jaundice; 2 persons died. In a comparison group of 2,154 workers not taking INH, only one had hepatitis.⁹⁵ In response, greater screening and monitoring of candidates for INH prophylaxis were recommended.⁹⁶ CDC convened an ad hoc committee of experts, which recommended that surveillance for INH-associated hepatitis be undertaken.⁹⁷ A surveillance study was conducted in 1971–72 involving 13,838 persons on INH preventive therapy in 26 US health departments.⁹⁸ Suspected hepatitis cases were reviewed by a panel of three experts and designated as probable or possible cases, in part on the basis of observed SGOT (serum glutamic oxalo-acetic transaminase) levels. There were 92 probable and 82 possible cases, representing rates of 6.6 and 5.5 per 1,000 persons. Adjusting for age and time in study revised these estimates to \sim 10 per 1,000 each. Among probable cases there were strong associations with age, Asian race, and daily alcohol consumption. There were seven deaths in the probable group and one death in the possible group. These findings led to a further revision of US guidelines for INH prophylaxis, with exclusion of persons aged over 35 years.⁹⁹

Not surprisingly, these reports generated continuing concerns about the frequency of INH-associated hepatotoxicity. In the IUAT study, hepatitis attributable to INH therapy occurred in \sim 2% of persons in the first 12 weeks, and \sim 1% more in each subsequent 3-month period (cumulative rate \sim 5%).³⁸ A series of cost-benefit studies has assessed the relative value of INH preventive therapy in the face of these potential risks.^{100–104} In 1983 the prophylaxis guidelines were again revised to recommend clinical and biochemical monitoring for persons over the age of 35 years.¹⁰⁵ Use was diminished, but considerable prophylaxis continued, especially among TB programs. An analysis using outcome data from the IUAT prophylaxis study concluded that 24 weeks was the most cost-effective duration for INH preventive therapy.¹⁰⁶ INH-associated hepatitis has remained a troubling complication of TB preventive therapy. Two assessments of mortality rates from INH hepatitis in the 1990s reported similar rates (1 to 2 and 4 per 100,000), but came to divergent conclusions on the significance of this continuing challenge.^{107,108} One characterized the rate as negligible, whereas the other concluded that “careful patient selection, education, and monitoring are critical.” Similar rates of liver failure (\sim 3 per 100,000) have been reported among

children.¹⁰⁹ Nolan et al in Seattle-King County reported a very low rate (0.1%) of hepatotoxicity (and zero deaths) among 11,141 persons starting INH preventive therapy in a carefully monitored clinic program between 1981 and 1995.¹¹⁰ Variations in case definitions may account for some of this reported variation.¹¹¹ Influenced by the more benign recent reports, the 2000 US guidelines on TB prophylaxis recommended routine biochemical monitoring only in persons with preexisting liver abnormalities, symptoms of liver disease, or risks for hepatic disease.⁴¹ An updated guideline on TB prophylaxis is currently being developed. CDC has continued to receive reports of severe INH hepatotoxicity, summarized in 1993¹¹² and again in 2010.⁹³ More recently the McGill group has produced informative evaluations of the toxicity of anti-TB therapy, used both for treatment of disease and for LTBI.^{113,114} These well-designed studies have again strongly implicated INH as a cause of serious hepatotoxicity, especially among persons aged over 65 years.

Other adverse effects from INH include rash and hypersensitivity, neurological toxicities including peripheral neuropathy (preventable with supplemental pyridoxine) and seizures, rare hematologic effects, unusual lupus-like syndromes, drug interactions due to inhibition of multiple cytochrome P450 enzymes,¹¹⁵ toxicity from drug overdoses, and reactions due to monoamine poisoning.

Rifamycins

Rifamycins have proven to be relatively safe drugs, with over 40 years' experience in their use. The best known of their side effects is the induction of cytochrome P450 enzymes, particularly CYP3A4, with a resultant increase in the metabolism of a wide variety of drugs (including anticoagulants, oral contraceptives, methadone, and anti-HIV protease inhibitors).¹¹⁶ Other recognized toxicities include hepatotoxicity (significantly less than with INH), and a variety of severe reactions thought to be immunologic in origin. These include hematologic reactions such as thrombocytopenia and hemolytic anemia, renal reactions such as acute tubular necrosis and interstitial nephritis, and flulike syndrome.⁸⁹ These immunologic reactions are believed to occur more often when therapy is intermittent and possibly with higher doses,^{88,117} and may be due to antibody-mediated reactions.^{118,119}

Cost Considerations

Much of the attractiveness of preventive interventions lies in their potential to reduce costs. Cost-effectiveness analyses have had an important impact upon the uptake of LTBI therapy. The early appeal of such measures derived from the expectation that TLBTI would avert deaths in both children and adults. Enthusiasm was tempered by the early experiences with INH-related hepatotoxicity. Although the IUAT study found greatest protection with 12 months of INH, a subsequent cost-effectiveness study using rates from this trial found that 6 months of therapy provided the optimal cost benefit, compared with 3 or 12 months.³⁹ A substantial number of cost-effectiveness studies have assessed the utility of TLBTI in persons with HIV,¹²⁰ in persons injecting drugs¹²¹

or on methadone maintenance,¹²² in schoolchildren,¹²³ in persons over the age of 35,^{103,104} in contacts to TB cases,¹²⁴ and in persons receiving INH,¹²⁵ rifampin,¹²⁶⁻¹²⁸ and rifapentine^{129,130} in differing rhythms. Interestingly virtually all have found TLBTI to be a cost-effective intervention.¹³¹ Most were performed in high-income settings, and there are fewer studies suggesting that TLBTI is cost-effective in medium or low-income settings. Many, however, rely on assumptions that are uncertain at best; in particular many assume the cumulative rate of TB disease after infection to be 5 to 10%, a figure widely published but poorly documented. Recent assessments of both old and new studies suggest that the rate of *preventable* TB disease may be appreciably lower, in the range of 2%. Such lower rates of eventual disease may lower the cost utility of TLBTI, and further studies are needed.

Special Circumstances

HIV

TB appeared early as a risk among persons with immunodeficiency due to HIV infection.¹³² The effectiveness of treating LTBI among persons with HIV was soon demonstrated in Haiti and the United States.^{133,134} Multiple subsequent trials helped to establish the effectiveness of this intervention; the Cochrane review by Akolo et al included 12 trials with a total of 8,578 randomized participants.¹³⁵ Akolo et al found that TB preventive therapy with any anti-TB drug versus placebo was associated with a statistically significant 32% lower incidence of active TB (RR 0.68, 95% CI 0.54 to 0.85). Efficacy was similar for all regimens (regardless of drug type, frequency, or duration of treatment). However, compared with INH monotherapy, short-course multidrug regimens were much more likely to require discontinuation of treatment due to adverse effects. Although there was reduction in mortality with INH monotherapy versus placebo among individuals with a positive TST (RR 0.74, 95% CI 0.55 to 1.00), and with INH plus rifampicin versus placebo regardless of TST status (RR 0.69, 95% CI 0.50 to 0.95), overall, there was no evidence that TB preventive therapy reduced all-cause mortality compared with placebo (RR 0.94, 95% CI 0.85 to 1.05). There is a substantial literature now indicating that little if any reduction in TB incidence is obtained by treating persons with negative TST and no history of TB contact.¹³⁶⁻¹⁴¹ The review by Akolo et al reported that individuals with a positive TST had a significant 62% reduction in incident TB, whereas those with a negative TST did not have a significant benefit (RR 0.89, 95% CI 0.64 to 1.24).¹³⁵ This is consistent with a review of 11 studies (both HIV negative and HIV positive) by Watkins et al, who reported a positive association between TST reactivity and incidence of TB.¹⁴²

Interestingly, this effect of TST-positivity was also quite strong in the recently reported Botswana randomized trial by Samandari et al comparing 6 and 36 months ("continuous") of INH in HIV-positive persons.¹⁴³ The authors reported a 43% reduction in TB incidence (2.0% vs 3.4%) among those receiving 36 months of INH compared with 6 months. TB incidence in those individuals receiving placebo escalated ~ 200 days after completion of open-label INH. Participants whose TST

showed ≥ 5 mm induration at enrollment received a substantial benefit from continuous INH treatment (hazard ratio [HR] 0.26; 95% CI 0.09 to 0.80, $p = 0.02$), whereas the effect in participants who were TST-negative was low and not significant (HR 0.75, 95% CI 0.38 to 1.46, $p = 0.40$). Such findings are consistent with the presumption that incident TB was due largely to reactivation of LTBI rather than reinfection due to reexposure to active TB in this high-burden setting.

Findings such as this have fueled discussion about the WHO's strategy of providing INH-based preventive therapy (IPT) to all persons with HIV in high-burden TB settings; greater efficiency might be achieved by the wider application of cost-effective diagnostics for LTBI, such as the TST. Current WHO recommendations recognize this challenge, while recommending use of IPT in all persons with HIV if the TST is positive or unknown (i.e., in settings where TST testing is not feasible).¹⁴⁴

Attention has also been directed toward the impact of ART upon TB incidence in settings of high HIV and TB prevalence. The effect of IPT in the Botswana study was independent of and additive to the TB-preventive effect of ART. By study completion, 946 (47%) of 1995 participants had initiated ART. Regardless of TST status, TB incidence was reduced by $\sim 50\%$ in those receiving 360 days of ART compared with participants receiving no ART (adjusted HR 0.50, 95% CI 0.26 to 0.97). In those on continuous INH who also received ART, the reduction was 96% (HR 0.04, 95% CI 0.005 to 0.35). A recent systematic review reports an overall hazard ratio of only 0.35 in persons with HIV and on ART while living in developing countries.¹⁴⁵ Another such review found that, even throughout ART and despite achievement of high CD4 cell counts, TB incidence remains over fourfold greater than in persons without HIV.¹⁴⁶ A recent trial of IPT given for 12 months to persons with HIV who were on or beginning ART in Cape Town, South Africa, reported a 37% reduction in hazard of TB; most of the reduction appeared to occur during the active INH treatment period and diminished during later follow-up, and there was no significant mortality benefit.¹⁴⁷

Further, TB preventive therapy does not appear to prevent death among persons with HIV who are TST-negative,^{137-139,141,142} in contrast to earlier speculation that many such persons might be TB-infected but anergic due to advanced HIV disease.

Another area of debate is that of secondary prophylaxis in persons already treated for active TB. At least two trials have demonstrated benefit from such an approach.^{148,149} These two trials both demonstrated substantial benefit when HIV-infected persons completing treatment for active TB were

given an additional 6 months of INH or INH plus rifampin therapy (however, both studies employed a relatively weaker twice-weekly continuation phase, and a regimen of only 6 months overall).

The CREATE Studies

Interest in strategies to prevent TB among persons with HIV in high-prevalence settings led the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation to fund CREATE (Consortium to Respond Effectively to the AIDS/TB Epidemic).¹⁵⁰ CREATE included three large-scale, cluster-randomized studies of strategies to diminish death and disease from TB in communities with high rates of HIV/AIDS; each included some element of LTBI treatment with INH:

1. In the Thibela TB study in South Africa, all 80,000 employees in 15 randomized mine shafts received either standard care or enhanced TB mobilization and screening and access to 9-month IPT. This was the largest trial of IPT ever undertaken. The incidence rate ratio (IRR), adjusted for gender, age, and place of work, was 1.0 (95% CI 0.77 to 1.31); prevalence was also unaffected (PRR 0.95, 95% CI 0.83 to 1.10). Failure to obtain a population effect was attributed to a high rate of nonadherence to IPT. At the individual level, among persons who started IPT, the IRR in months 0 to 8 was significantly lower (aIRR [adjusted incidence rate ratio] = 0.37, 95% CI 0.19 to 0.72), corresponding to a 63% decrease in TB incidence compared with controls. However, this effect was not durable, with IRRs of 1.0 and 0.79 in the periods 9 to 18 months and > 18 months following the IPT treatment period.¹⁵¹
2. In the ZAMSTAR study, in Zambia and South Africa, 24 communities (total population $\sim 962,000$) were randomized to each of two separate interventions: (a) enhanced case finding at clinic, school, and community levels; and (b) a household intervention using the TB patient as the gateway into the household, to offer group education, home-based screening for TB and HIV, and increased access to IPT and ART through counseling and referral. The ZAMSTAR outcomes were survey-measured prevalence of TB and rate of TB transmission measured as change in TST-positivity among school-aged children (**► Table 5**).¹⁵²
3. The third CREATE study, called THRio, was a phased implementation study (using a stepped-wedge design) of tuberculin skin testing and INH preventive therapy (IPT) for TST-positive (≥ 5 mm) persons in 29 HIV clinics in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.¹⁵³ The primary end points were

Table 5 ZAMSTAR risk and rate ratios at the community level, comparing communities with intervention to those without

Outcome	Enhanced case finding	Household intervention
TB Prevalence outcome (risk ratio)	927 vs 711 per 100,000 aRR 1.11 (95% CI 0.87–1.42)	746 vs 883 per 100,000 aRR 0.78 (95% CI 0.61–1.00)
TB Transmission outcome (rate ratio)	0.87 vs 1.71 per 100 py aRR 0.45 (95% CI 0.20–1.05)	1.41 vs 1.05 per 100 py aRR 1.36 (95% CI 0.59–3.14)

Abbreviations: aRR, adjusted risk (or rate) ratio; CI, confidence interval; py, person-years; TB, tuberculosis.

Table 6 Hazard ratios for primary outcomes in ITT and mITT populations in the THRIO study

Outcome	ITT (HR (95% CI); <i>p</i> -value)	mITT (HR (95%CI); <i>p</i> -value)
Tuberculosis	Adj. 0.73 (0.54–0.99) <i>p</i> = 0.04 ^a Unadj 0.87 (0.68–1.10); <i>p</i> = 0.23	Adj. 0.42 (0.29–0.60) <i>p</i> < 0.001 ^a Unadj 0.57 (0.44–0.76); <i>p</i> < 0.001
Tuberculosis or death	Adj. 0.68 (0.57–0.83) <i>p</i> < 0.001 ^a Unadj 0.72 (0.62–0.82); <i>p</i> = 0.001	Adj. 0.44 (0.35–0.55) <i>p</i> < 0.001 ^a Unadj 0.56 (0.47–0.66); <i>p</i> < 0.001

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; ITT, intent-to-treat; mITT, modified intent-to-treat.

Note: The THRIO intervention reduced TB 27% by ITT and 58% in mITT analysis, and TB/death 32% and 56%, respectively.

^aAdjusted for sex, age, time-varying CD4 and highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), and excluding patients with unknown CD4.

incidence of TB and TB or death at the clinic level before and after the intervention. Intent-to-treat (ITT) analysis included all eligible individuals enrolled; modified ITT (mITT) analysis considered participants who remained in clinic contact at least annually (“stayers”). Crude rates of TB and TB/death in the control period were 1.31 and 3.67/100 person-years (PYs) versus 1.10 and 3.01/100 PYs in the intervention period. Adjusted and unadjusted ITT and mITT Cox regression results are shown in ► **Table 6**.

Taken together, findings from the three CREATE trials suggest that IPT can have a substantial impact on TB in communities with high rates of HIV, but that impact can be vitiated by lack of adherence and is likely enhanced by targeting of IPT to those with positive TST reactions. Analysis of these remarkable trials is still in an early stage, and discussion of their results and implications is likely to continue for some years.

Drug Resistance

Two issues have arisen with regard to drug resistance and LTBI:

1. The first concerns the potential to create resistance through the use of single-drug therapy. Ferebee concludes in a 1970 review that INH resistance does not occur more frequently in cases developing after INH prophylaxis than in cases developing after receipt of placebo, but the evidence cited is highly incomplete.³² Balcells et al reported that treatment of LTBI with INH was associated with a nonsignificant 1.45-fold higher risk of INH-resistant TB compared with the background incidence in the same population.¹⁵⁴ They assessed trials among HIV-negative and HIV-positive populations separately. It is safe to say that there are only limited reports of INH resistance in cases occurring after prophylaxis, consistent with the current view that LTBI is a paucibacillary state in which the bacillary populations are low enough to make spontaneous resistance mutations unlikely. However, it is also clear that failure to eliminate subclinical disease, which is not uncommon in HIV-positive populations in high-burden settings, could expose persons receiving INH prophylaxis to a significant risk of acquired resistance to INH. The same problem might exist with rifamycin-based LTBI treatment regimens. Acquired resistance to rifampin has
2. The second involves the use of preventive treatment for persons exposed to drug-resistant TB. In the case of INH resistance, the use of rifampin-based preventive therapy has been noted earlier. For prophylaxis of MDR-LTBI (LTBI due to multiple-drug-resistant TB), a variety of regimens have been tried (notably regimens of a newer fluoroquinolone, with or without a companion drug such as ethambutol, pyrazinamide, or ethionamide, or combinations of two of the latter drugs). Only relatively small case series are available to assess such regimens.¹⁵⁵ A recent Cochrane review found no trials of MDR prophylaxis suitable for a systematic review.¹⁵⁵ The same authors reviewed two case series and concluded that INH was not effective and that individualized therapy could be useful.¹⁵⁶ There is considerable interest now in the potential of new drugs in development for the management of MDR-LTBI. The National Institutes of Health (NIH)-funded AIDS Clinical Trials Group has proposed a study of Tibotec’s new adenosine triphosphate (ATP) synthase inhibitor bedaquiline in the treatment of MDR-LTBI in South Africa; however, despite promising indications of sterilizing ability¹⁵⁷ and tolerability¹⁵⁸ in therapy of active MDR-TB, concerns

not been reported as a frequent problem with rifampin-based regimens, but the existing database is relatively modest. Of the three trials that have assessed once-weekly rifapentine-based regimens, two have reported acquired rifamycin resistance. TBTC Study 26 noted that one of seven cases in the once-weekly arm (occurring among 3,986 persons in that arm, of whom 105 were HIV-infected) occurred in an HIV-positive patient with rifampin-resistant *M. bovis*.⁷⁹ Resistance was not reported among the three cases (out of 206 persons) that were diagnosed in those receiving once-weekly therapy in the Brazil trial; only 1 of the 206 was HIV-positive.⁷⁷ In the Soweto trial in HIV-infected persons, one case of MDR-TB was noted in the once-weekly INH-rifapentine arm, and one in the continuous INH arm; in addition, one case of rifampin monoresistant TB was diagnosed among 21 culture-confirmed TB cases in the rifapentine arm (*n* = 328).⁷⁸ Thus, in three prophylaxis trials there have been two rifampin-monoresistant TB cases among 434 HIV-infected persons receiving prophylaxis. None of these trials admitted persons on ART; it would be helpful if acceptable ART could be defined for the once-weekly regimen.

Table 7 Treatment trials for latent tuberculosis infection: selected characteristics and outcomes

Author, year, reference no.	Year enrollment began	Population	HIV	Number (active vs ctrl)	Randomized?	Location	Class	Active arm	Control	Freq	Result (active vs control; TB, or death if noted)	(S)AE	Percent complete	Comment
Egmsose 1965 ³⁴	1959	HH contacts	N	325/301	Y by HH	Kenya	12H	12-24H	Placebo	Daily/daily	64% efficacy			
Comstock 1962 ²⁸	1957	Native Alaskans	N	2,480/2,406	Y by family	Alaska	12H	12H	Placebo	Daily/daily	62% efficacy			
Del Castillo 1965 ³⁵	1961	HH contacts re-cent cases	N	126/167	Y by family	Philippines	12H	12H	Placebo	Daily/daily	4% (42% in TST +)			
Ferebee 1962 ³¹	1957	HH contacts re-cent cases	N	8,478/8,311	Y by HH	USA, PR, Mexico	12H	12H	Placebo	Daily/daily	78% efficacy			
Ferebee 1963 ²⁹	1957	Psychiatric pts	N	12,339/12,499	Y by ward	USA	12H	12H	Placebo	Daily/daily	64% efficacy			
Fitzgerald 2001 ³⁷	1997	PPD-negative	Y	126/111	Y	Haiti	12H	12H	Placebo	Daily/daily	4.8%/3.6%-TB 15%/14%-death			23% LTFU
Thompson; IUAT Committee on Prophylaxis 1982 ³⁸	1969	Fibrotic pulm lesions	N	6,919/6,990	Y	E. Europe	12H	12H	Placebo	Daily/daily	75% (all) > 93% (cc) (5 year)	Hepatitis 0.64/ 0.12%	44/45	
Mount 1962 ³⁰	1956	HH contacts known cases	N	1,462/1,348	Y by family	USA	12H	12H	Placebo	Daily/daily	54% efficacy			
Pape 1993 ³³	1986	Adults, PPD positive	Y	58/60	Y	Haiti	12H	12H	Placebo	Daily/daily	2.2/7.5 per 100 py			
Veening 1968 ³⁶	1960	Converting contacts	N	133/128	Y	Dutch Navy	12H	12H	Placebo	Daily/daily	92% efficacy			
Madhi 2012 ¹⁶⁹	2004	Ped-infants	Y	273/274	Y	South Afr/ Botsw	12H	22H	Placebo	Daily/daily	11.4%/13.9%-TB 7.7%/5.5%-death	19.4%/14.2%	52/60	10-20 mg/kg/d
Madhi 2012 ¹⁷⁰	2004	Ped-infants	N	403/401	Y	South Afr/ Botsw	12H	22H	Placebo	Daily/daily	6.9%/7.7%-TB 0.5%/0.5%-death	6.2%/4.2%	81/81	10-20 mg/kg/d
Falk 1978 ³⁷	1964	Inactive TB	N	2,166/2,553/ 2,317	Y	USA	12H	24H/12H	Placebo	Daily/daily	60% if no prior therapy; else 0%	Death rates 4.0, 6.5, 4.4	73%	
Lincoln 1954 ⁴	1947/1952	Pediatric	N	129/421	N	NYC	12H	H added	STR,PAS, Sulfone	NS	1.5%/5.0%			
Pape 1993 ³³	1986	PPD negative	Y	20/35	Y	Haiti	12H	12H	Placebo	Daily/daily	10%/14%-TB 10%/14%-death			No LTFU
Jasmer 2000 ⁷²	1993	HIV-negative > 95%	N	477/545	N	USA	3-4HR	3-4HR	12H	Daily/daily	0.6%/1.1%	4.4/3.7	84/80	
Spyridis 2007 ⁵⁹	1995	TST+ children < 15	N	694/232	Y	Greece	3-4HR	3-4HR	6H	Daily/daily	0%/0%	0/0	84/66	2 time per-ods; 8% LTFU
Geijo 2007 ³⁸	1996	Adults	N	51/45	Y	Spain	3-4HR	3HR	6H	Daily/daily	0%/2%	1.9/4.4	90/76	
Hong Kong Chest Service 1992 ⁴⁴	1981	Silicotics	N	161/159	Y	Hong Kong	3-4HR	3HR	Placebo	Daily	22%/34%	29%/20%	76/84	TB at 5 years

(Continued)

This document was downloaded for personal use only. Unauthorized distribution is strictly prohibited.

Table 7 (Continued)

Author, year, reference no.	Year enrollment began	Population	HIV	Number (active vs ctrl)	Rand-omized?	Location	Class	Active arm	Control	Freq	Result (active vs control; TB, or death if noted)	(S)AE	Percent complete	Comment
Martinez 1998 ⁵⁷	1992	HIV-negative	N	98/98	Y	Spain	3-4HR	3HR	9H	Daily/daily	1.0%/0%	10%/20%	97/89	
Martinez 2000 ⁶⁰	1994		Y	69/64	Y	Spain	3-4HR	3HR	12H	Daily/daily	2.9%/6.2%	7%/23%	63/57	
Martinson 2011 ⁷⁸	2002	TST+ not on ART	Y	329/328	Y	South Africa	3-4HR	3HR	6H	TW/daily	7.3%/6.7%-TB 4.9%/7.6%-death	10.6/15.4	95/84	
Rivero 2003 ⁶²	1994	Anergic	Y	82/77	Y	Spain	3-4HR	3HR	No rx	Daily/daily	3.7%/5.2%-TB 5%/14%-death	18%	84%	LTFU 13%
Rivero 2007 ⁶¹	1994	Adults	Y	103/108	Y	Spain	3-4HR	3HR	6H	Daily/daily	4.9%/3.7%-TB 0%/2%-death	6.8%/6.5%	61/64	LTFU 43%
Whalen 1997 ⁶³	1993	TST+	Y	556/464	Y	Uganda	3-4HR	3HR	Placebo	Daily	1.6%/4.5%-TB 10%/14%-death	1.1%/0%	86/89	
Geiter 1997 ¹⁷³	1988	Adults	N	132/131	Y	USA, Canada	3-4R	3-4R	6H	Daily/daily	NR	0%/1.5%	42/57	
Graczy 1991 ¹⁷⁵	1988	HIV-negative	N	95/88	Y	Poland	3-4R	3-4R	6H	Daily/daily	0%/0%	2.1%/0%	91/68	
Hong Kong Chest Service 1992 ⁴⁴	1981	Silicotics	N	165/159	Y	Hong Kong	3-4R	3R	Placebo	Daily	17%/34%	25%/20%	86/84	TB at 5 years
Lardizabal 2006 ⁴⁸	2000, 2003	HIV-negative	N	261/213	N	USA	3-4R	4R	9H	Daily/daily	NR	3.1%/6.1%	81/53	
Menzies 2004 ⁴⁹	2002	HIV-negative 99%	N	58/58	Y	Canada	3-4R	4R	9H	Daily/daily	NR	3/14	91/76	8% LTFU
Menzies 2008 ⁵⁰	2004	HIV-negative 99%	N	420/427	Y	CAN, BRA, SA	3-4R	4R	9H	Daily/daily	NR	1.7/4.0	78/60	10% LTFU
Page 2006 ⁴⁵	1999	HIV-negative 99%	N	1,379/770	N	USA	3-4R	4R	9H	Daily/daily	0.1%/0%	1.9%/4.6%	72/53	
Villarino 1997 ⁴³	1993	INH-R; HIV-negative	N	157	N	USA	3-4R	6R	None	Daily	0%	0.60%	94	13% LTFU
Polesky 1996 ⁴²	1984	INH-R; HIV-negative 95%	N	49/38	N	USA	3-4R	6R, 4HR	5H, None	Daily/daily	0%/8.3%	15%/11%	NR	TB: 33% HIV+ vs 7% HIV-neg
Martinson 2011 ⁷⁸	2002	TST+ not on ART	Y	164/328	Y	South Africa	36H	36H	6H	Daily	4.9%/6.7%-TB 4.9%/7.6%-death	18.4/15.4	89/84	
Samandari 2011 ¹⁴³	2004	Adults	Y	989/1006	Y	Botswana	36H	36H	6H	Daily/daily	2.0%/3.4%	2.9%/2.4%	78/79	
Thompson; IUAT Committee on Prophylaxis 1982 ³⁸	1969	Fibrotic pulm lesions	N	6,956/6,990	Y	E. Europe	3H	3H	Placebo	Daily	21% (all) > 31% (cc) (5 year)	Hepatitis 0.32/0.07%	95/97	Median age 50 years
Martinson 2011 ⁷⁸	2002	TST + , not on ART	Y	329/328	Y	South Africa	3HP	3HP	6H	OW/daily	7.3%/6.7%-TB 5.2%/7.6%-death	8.7/15.4	96/84	
Schechter 2006 ⁷⁷	2001	HIV negative 99%	N	206/193	Y	Brazil	3HP	3HP	2RZ	OW/daily	1.5%/0.5%-TB 0.5%/1.5%-death	1.9/14.0	93/94	2% LTFU

Table 7 (Continued)

Author, year, reference no.	Year enrollmt began	Population	HIV	Number (active vs ctrl)	Rand-omized?	Location	Class	Active arm	Control	Freq	Result (active vs control; TB, or death if noted)	(S)AE	Percent complete	Comment
Sterling 2011 ⁹	2001	HIV negative 97%	N	3,986/3,745	Y	US, BRA, SP, CA	3HP	3HP	9H	OW/daily	0.43%/0.19%-TB 0.8%/1.0-death	1.6%/2.9%	82/69	
Whalen 1997 ⁶³	1993	TST+	Y	462/464	Y	Uganda	3HRZ	3HRZ	Placebo	Daily	2.2%/4.5%-TB 13%/14%-death	2.8%/0%	80/89	
Hawken 1997 ¹⁴	1992	23% TST+ and 77% TST-	Y	342/342	Y	Kenya	6H	6H	Placebo	Daily/daily	7.3%/6.7%-TB 18%/17%-death	3.2%/1.5%	68/69	TB and mortality lower with INH if TST+
Gordin 1997 ¹³⁸	1991	Anergic	Y	260/257	Y	USA	6H	6H	Placebo	Daily/daily	1.5%/2.3%-TB 50%/49%-death			7% LTFU
Hong Kong Chest Service 1992 ⁴⁴	1981	Silicotics	N	167/159	Y	Hong Kong	6H	6H	Placebo	Daily/daily	20%/34%	25%/20%	74/84	TB at 5 years
Thompson; IUAT Committee on Prophylaxis 1982 ³⁸	1969	Fibrotic pulm lesions	N	6,965/6,990	Y	E. Europe	6H	6H	Placebo	Daily	65% (all) > 69% (cc) (5 year)	Hepatitis 0.48/ 0.32%	93/95	2.8% LTFU; INH hepatitis death rate 0.14/1,000
Mwanga 1998 ⁶⁸	1992	TST+ or - Adults	Y	360/360	Y	Zambia	6H	6H	Placebo	TW/TW	13%/8%-TB 16%/16%-death	5.3%/1.4%	72/82	32% LTFU; sig-nif-benefit only if TST ≥ 5 mm
Rivero 2003 ⁶²	1994	Anergic	Y	83/77	Y	Spain	6H	6H	No rx	Daily/daily	3.6%/5.2%-TB 11%/14%-death	7%		LTFU 18%
Whalen 1997 ⁶³	1993	TST+ adults	Y	536/464	Y	Uganda	6H	6H	Placebo	Daily/daily	1.3%/4.5%-TB 11%/14%-death	0.7%/0%	88/89	
Whalen 1997 ⁶³	1993	Anergic	Y	395/323	Y	Uganda	6H	6H	Placebo	Daily/daily	2.3%/3.1%-TB 22%/24%-death	0.5%/0%	86/85	
Zar 2007 ¹⁷¹	2003	Pediatric 0-4 year	Y	132/131	Y	South Africa	6H	6H	Placebo	Daily/3 wk	4%/10%-TB 8%/16%-death	4%/6.1%	NR	10 mg/kg/d or placebo, both with CTX
McNab 2000 ¹⁷⁰	1992, 1986	Aboriginals	N	591/403	N	Canada	6HR	6HR	12H	TW/daily	0.3%/3.7%	6.6/2.2	82/19	Historical control group DOT vs SA
Geiter 1997 ¹⁷³	1988	Adults	N	139/132	Y	USA, Canada	RZ	2RZ	6H	Daily/daily	NR	5.8%/1.5%	65/57	
Gordin 2000 ⁷⁰	1991	PPD+ Adults	Y	791/792	Y	US, HA, MX, BRA	RZ	2RZ	12H	Daily/daily	3.5%/3.7%-TB 18%/20%-death	5.6%/7.3%	80/69	10% LTFU
Graczy 1991 ¹⁷⁵	1988	Adults	N	106/88	Y	Poland	RZ	2RZ	6H	Daily/daily	0%/0%	2.8%/0%	91/68	
Halsey 1998 ⁶⁷	1990	PPD+ Adults	Y	392/392	Y	Haiti	RZ	2RZ	6H	TW/TW	5.8%/3.8%-TB 18%/18%-death	0%/0%	74/55	11% LTFU
Jasmer 2003 ¹⁶⁷	1999	Adults	N	307/682	Y	USA	RZ	2RZ	6H	Daily/daily	NR	7.7%/1.0%	61/57	Gr3-4 hepatotoxicity

(Continued)

Table 7 (Continued)

Author, year, reference no.	Year enrollment began	Population	HIV	Number (active vs ctrl)	Rand-omized?	Location	Class	Active arm	Control	Freq	Result (active vs control; TB, or death if noted)	(S)/AE	Percent complete	Comment
Leung 2003 ¹⁶⁵	2000	Adult silicotics	N	40/36	Y	Hong Kong	RZ	2RZ	6H	Daily/daily	NR	35%/3%	55/64	
McNeill 2003 ¹⁶⁶	1999	HIV-negative 98.5%	N	110/114	N	USA	RZ	2RZ	6H	Daily/daily	NR	13%/4%	71/59	
Narita 2002 ¹⁶⁸	1999	Adults	Y	135/118	N	USA	RZ	2RZ	6H	Daily/daily	NR	3.7%/0%	93/63	D/C due to AE; 70% of RZ = RBT
Rivero 2003 ⁶²	1994	Anergic	Y	77/77	Y	Spain	RZ	2RZ	No rx	Daily/daily	1.3%/5.2%-TB 6%/14%-death	17%	81%	LTFU 12%
Rivero 2007 ⁶¹	1994	Adults	Y	105/108	Y	Spain	RZ	2RZ	6H	Daily/daily	1.9%/3.7%-TB 0%/2%-death	11.4%/6.5%	60/64	LTFU 46%
Tortajada 2005 ⁶³	2001	Adults	N	153/199	Y	Spain	RZ	2RZ	6H	Daily/daily	NR	9.8%/2.5%	71/73	Gr 3+ hepatotoxicity
Van Hest 2004 ¹⁶⁴	2000	HIV-negative 99.8%	N	166/528	N	Holland	RZ	2RZ	6H	Daily/daily	NR	8.4%/3.4%	NR	Gr 3+ hepatotoxicity; 3.4% in 410 TB pts given HRZ+
Mwinda 1998 ⁶⁸	1992-1994	TST+ or - Adults	Y	360/360	Y	Zambia	RZ	3RZ	Placebo	TW/TW	13%/7%-TB 19%/16%-death	4.4%/1.4%	79/82	32% LTFU; signif benefit only if TST ≥ 5 mm
Fitzgerald 2001 ¹³⁷	1997	HIV+ after TB Rx	Y	68/74	Y	Haiti	SCC > 12H	12H	Placebo	Daily/daily	1.4/100py vs 7.8/100 py			
Perniëns 1995 ¹⁴⁹	1989-1991	Posttreatment	Y	123/124	Y	Zaire	SCC > 6HR	6HR	No Rx	Daily/2 wk	1.9%/9% TB		60/70	

Abbreviations: AE, adverse event; CTX, cotrimoxazole; D/C, discontinued; DOT, directly observed therapy; H, household; HH, household; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; INH, isoniazid; INHR, resistant to INH; LTFU, lost to follow-up; OW, once weekly; PPD, purified protein derivative; py, patient-year; R, rifampin; RBT, rifabutin; RZ, rifampin + PZA; SA, self-administered; (S)AE, serious adverse event; TST, tuberculin skin test; TW, twice weekly.

about the effect of this agent on QT prolongation or other as-yet inadequately characterized biochemical effects appear to have created reluctance to test these agents in healthy persons until a regulatory approval for use in active MDR-TB has been obtained. Similar interest and/or concerns have arisen with regard to other new agents, including delamanid (also known as OPC-67,683) and PA-824.¹⁵⁹

Tumor Necrosis Factor- α Inhibitors

In 2001 Keane et al reported an association of aggressive TB and use of newer immunologic therapies directed against tumor necrosis factor (TNF).¹⁶⁰ Subsequent reports implicated different agents of this class (both monoclonal or fragmented antibodies, and soluble TNF receptors) with accelerated development of TB.¹⁶¹ Multiple aspects of TB risk with TNF antagonist therapies were reviewed in 2010.¹⁶² Reported rates of TB in persons receiving these agents were 10- to 100-fold greater than in the general population. In response, multiple and modestly discordant guidelines have been issued.¹⁶² All advise testing for LTBI, and if positive, initiation of LTBI therapy at least 1 to 2 months before TNF antagonist therapy.

Future Directions

There is strong evidence that use of treatment for LTBI can prevent future TB cases in high-risk settings such as recent close contact to an active case (see ►Table 7¹⁶³⁻¹⁷⁵ for a listing of LTBI treatment trials). Such treatment clearly prevents cases of TB, prevents deaths from TB, and thus provides benefit to some of the individuals treated. What is less clear is how this intervention can be applied in cost-effective ways. Recent investigations, including both clinical trials and epidemiological studies, suggest that some 50 to 70% of cases due to recent transmission have already occurred by the time current contact investigations identify and evaluate the individuals at risk.¹⁷⁶ This means that the “number needed to treat” is not 10 to 20 (if risk were 5 to 10%), but rather 40 to 67, which may have significant influence on the long-term impact of this strategy. Models that have favored use of LTBI treatment need to be reevaluated in light of this new information on efficiency.¹⁷⁷

The discussion on use of TLTBI is also hindered by confusion between treatment of LTBI in low-prevalence settings and use of IPT in settings of high TB and HIV prevalence. In the latter setting, much of the benefit of IPT derives from (1) prevention of TB-related death, which occurs at higher rates in these settings, and (2) prevention of reinfection (which may be an important gain where TB transmission is intense, and a nonexistent gain in settings where it is not). Few studies to date have been able to make this distinction, and many trials of TB treatment are too small to distinguish reliably among these various outcomes. Moreover, there remains controversy about the role of testing for LTBI in high-prevalence settings. WHO policy makers recommend use of IPT for all HIV-infected persons in these settings, but most studies show little or no benefit accruing to those who are TST-

negative. Other areas of uncertainty include the utility of rifabutin in treatment of LTBI, and the role of novel compounds in the prophylaxis of MDR-TB. Ongoing trials of INH-rifapentine regimens and of 4R will add to our understanding and increase the effective use of these important preventive therapies.

Disclaimer

The findings and conclusions in this review are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

References

- Lobue P, Menzies D. Treatment of latent tuberculosis infection: an update. *Respirology* 2010;15(4):603-622
- Menzies D, Al Jhdali H, Al Otaibi B. Recent developments in treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. *Indian J Med Res* 2011;133:257-266
- Leung CC, Rieder HL, Lange C, Yew WW. Treatment of latent infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: update 2010. *Eur Respir J* 2011;37(3):690-711
- Lincoln EM. The effect of antimicrobial therapy on the prognosis of primary tuberculosis in children. *Am Rev Tuberc* 1954;69(5):682-689
- Esmail H, Barry CE III, Wilkinson RJ. Understanding latent tuberculosis: the key to improved diagnostic and novel treatment strategies. *Drug Discov Today* 2012;17(9-10):514-521
- Wayne LG, Hayes LG. An in vitro model for sequential study of shutdown of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* through two stages of nonreplicating persistence. *Infect Immun* 1996;64(6):2062-2069
- Chao MC, Rubin EJ. Letting sleeping dogs lie: does dormancy play a role in tuberculosis? *Annu Rev Microbiol* 2010;64:293-311
- McCune RM, Feldmann FM, Lambert HP, McDermott W. Microbial persistence. I: The capacity of tubercle bacilli to survive sterilization in mouse tissues. *J Exp Med* 1966;123(3):445-468
- McCune RM, Feldmann FM, McDermott W. Microbial persistence. II: Characteristics of the sterile state of tubercle bacilli. *J Exp Med* 1966;123(3):469-486
- Mukamolova GV, Turapov O, Malkin J, Woltmann G, Barer MR. Resuscitation-promoting factors reveal an occult population of tubercle bacilli in sputum. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;181(2):174-180
- Harding JS, Schreiber HA, Sandor M. Granuloma transplantation: an approach to study mycobacterium-host interactions. *Front Microbiol* 2011;2:245
- Gupta A, Kaul A, Tsolaki AG, Kishore U, Bhakta S. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: immune evasion, latency and reactivation. *Immunobiology* 2012;217(3):363-374
- Dye C, Scheele S, Dolin P, Pathania V, Raviglione MC. Consensus statement. Global burden of tuberculosis: estimated incidence, prevalence, and mortality by country. WHO Global Surveillance and Monitoring Project. *JAMA* 1999;282(7):677-686
- Bennett DE, Courval JM, Onorato I, et al. Prevalence of tuberculosis infection in the United States population: the national health and nutrition examination survey, 1999-2000. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;177(3):348-355
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Targeted tuberculin testing and treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. *MMWR Rec Rep* 2000;49(No. RR-6):1-51
- Fox W, Ellard GA, Mitchison DA. Studies on the treatment of tuberculosis undertaken by the British Medical Research Council tuberculosis units, 1946-1986, with relevant subsequent publications. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1999;3(10, Suppl 2):S231-S279

- 17 Benator D, Bhattacharya M, Bozeman L, et al; Tuberculosis Trials Consortium. Rifapentine and isoniazid once a week versus rifampicin and isoniazid twice a week for treatment of drug-susceptible pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV-negative patients: a randomised clinical trial. *Lancet* 2002;360(9332):528–534
- 18 Ji B, Truffot-Pernot C, Lacroix C, et al. Effectiveness of rifampin, rifabutin, and rifapentine for preventive therapy of tuberculosis in mice. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993;148(6 Pt 1):1541–1546
- 19 Chapuis L, Ji B, Truffot-Pernot C, O'Brien RJ, Raviglione MC, Grosset JH. Preventive therapy of tuberculosis with rifapentine in immunocompetent and nude mice. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994;150(5 Pt 1):1355–1362
- 20 Dhillon J, Dickinson JM, Sole K, Mitchison DA. Preventive chemotherapy of tuberculosis in Cornell model mice with combinations of rifampin, isoniazid, and pyrazinamide. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1996;40(3):552–555
- 21 Lecoq HF, Truffot-Pernot C, Grosset JH. Experimental short-course preventive therapy of tuberculosis with rifampin and pyrazinamide. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1989;140(5):1189–1193
- 22 Zhang T, Zhang M, Rosenthal IM, Grosset JH, Nuermberger EL. Short-course therapy with daily rifapentine in a murine model of latent tuberculosis infection. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;180(11):1151–1157
- 23 Zhang T, Li SY, Williams KN, Andries K, Nuermberger EL. Short-course chemotherapy with TMC207 and rifapentine in a murine model of latent tuberculosis infection. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;184(6):732–737
- 24 Landry J, Menzies D. Preventive chemotherapy. Where has it got us? Where to go next?. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2008;12(12):1352–1364
- 25 American Thoracic Society. Preventive treatment in tuberculosis: a statement by the Committee on Therapy. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1965;91:297–298
- 26 American Thoracic Society. Chemoprophylaxis for the prevention of tuberculosis: a statement by an Ad Hoc Committee. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1967;96:558–562
- 27 Ferebee SH, Mount FW, Anastasiades AA. Prophylactic effects of isoniazid on primary tuberculosis in children: a preliminary report. *Am Rev Tuberc* 1957;76(6):942–963
- 28 Comstock GW. Isoniazid prophylaxis in an undeveloped area. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1962;86:810–822
- 29 Ferebee SH, Mount FW, Murray FJ, Livesay VT. A controlled trial of isoniazid prophylaxis in mental institutions. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1963;88:161–175
- 30 Mount FW, Ferebee SH. The effect of isoniazid prophylaxis on tuberculosis morbidity among household contacts of previously known cases of tuberculosis. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1962;85:821–827
- 31 Ferebee SH, Mount FW. Tuberculosis morbidity in a controlled trial of the prophylactic use of isoniazid among household contacts. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1962;85:490–510
- 32 Ferebee SH. Controlled chemoprophylaxis trials in tuberculosis: a general review. *Adv Tuberc Res* 1967;17:29–105
- 33 Comstock GW, Baum C, Snider DE Jr. Isoniazid prophylaxis among Alaskan Eskimos: a final report of the Bethel isoniazid studies. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1979;119(5):827–830
- 34 Egsmose T, Ang'awa JO, Poti SJ. The use of isoniazid among household contacts of open cases of pulmonary tuberculosis. *Bull World Health Organ* 1965;33(3):419–433
- 35 Del Castillo H, Bautista LD, Jacinto CP, Lorenzo CE, Lapuz S, Legaspi B. Chemoprophylaxis in the Philippines: a controlled pilot study among household contacts of tuberculosis cases. *Bull Quezon Institute* 1965;7:277–290
- 36 Veening GJJ. Long term isoniazid prophylaxis: controlled trial on INH prophylaxis after recent tuberculin conversion in young adults. *Bull Int Union Tuberc* 1968;41:169–171
- 37 Falk A, Fuchs GF. Prophylaxis with isoniazid in inactive tuberculosis: a Veterans Administration cooperative study XII. *Chest* 1978;73(1):44–48
- 38 Thompson NJ; International Union Against Tuberculosis Committee on Prophylaxis. Efficacy of various durations of isoniazid preventive therapy for tuberculosis: five years of follow-up in the IUAT trial. *Bull World Health Organ* 1982;60(4):555–564
- 39 Snider DE Jr, Caras GJ, Koplan JP. Preventive therapy with isoniazid: cost-effectiveness of different durations of therapy. *JAMA* 1986;255(12):1579–1583
- 40 Comstock GW. How much isoniazid is needed for prevention of tuberculosis among immunocompetent adults? *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1999;3(10):847–850
- 41 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, American Thoracic Society, IDSA. Targeted tuberculin testing and treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2000;49(RR-6):(RR-6, No. RR-6):1–51
- 42 Polesky A, Farber HW, Gottlieb DJ, et al. Rifampin preventive therapy for tuberculosis in Boston's homeless. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;154(5):1473–1477
- 43 Villarino ME, Ridzon R, Weismuller PC, et al. Rifampin preventive therapy for tuberculosis infection: experience with 157 adolescents. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997;155(5):1735–1738
- 44 Hong Kong Chest Service/Tuberculosis Research Centre, Madras/British Medical Research Council. A double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial of three antituberculous chemoprophylaxis regimens in patients with silicosis in Hong Kong. *Hong Kong Chest Service/Tuberculosis Research Centre, Madras/British Medical Research Council. Am Rev Respir Dis* 1992;145(1):36–41
- 45 Page KR, Sifakis F, Montes de Oca R, et al. Improved adherence and less toxicity with rifampin vs isoniazid for treatment of latent tuberculosis: a retrospective study. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;166(17):1863–1870
- 46 Li J, Munsiff SS, Tarantino T, Dorsinville M. Adherence to treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in a clinical population in New York City. *Int J Infect Dis* 2010;14(4):e292–e297
- 47 Haley CA, Stephan S, Vossell LF, Sherfy EA, Laserson KF, Kainer MA. Successful use of rifampicin for Hispanic foreign-born patients with latent tuberculosis infection. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2008;12(2):160–167
- 48 Lardizabal A, Passannante M, Kojakali F, Hayden C, Reichman LB. Enhancement of treatment completion for latent tuberculosis infection with 4 months of rifampin. *Chest* 2006;130(6):1712–1717
- 49 Menzies D, Dion MJ, Rabinovitch B, Mannix S, Brassard P, Schwartzman K. Treatment completion and costs of a randomized trial of rifampin for 4 months versus isoniazid for 9 months. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004;170(4):445–449
- 50 Menzies D, Long R, Trajman A, et al. Adverse events with 4 months of rifampin therapy or 9 months of isoniazid therapy for latent tuberculosis infection: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2008;149(10):689–697
- 51 Aspler A, Long R, Trajman A, et al. Impact of treatment completion, intolerance and adverse events on health system costs in a randomized trial of 4 months rifampin or 9 months isoniazid for latent TB. *Thorax* 2010;65(7):582–587
- 52 Esfahani K, Aspler A, Menzies D, Schwartzman K. Potential cost-effectiveness of rifampin vs. isoniazid for latent tuberculosis: implications for future clinical trials. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2011;15(10):1340–1346
- 53 National Library of Medicine. Randomized clinical trial comparing 4RIF vs. 9INH for LTBI treatment-effectiveness. Registered at www.ClinicalTrials.gov as NCT00931736. Accessed April 12, 2012
- 54 Ormerod LP. Reduced incidence of tuberculosis by prophylactic chemotherapy in subjects showing strong reactions to tuberculin testing. *Arch Dis Child* 1987;62(10):1005–1008
- 55 Ormerod LP. Rifampicin and isoniazid prophylactic chemotherapy for tuberculosis. *Arch Dis Child* 1998;78(2):169–171
- 56 Bright-Thomas R, Nandwani S, Smith J, Morris JA, Ormerod LP. Effectiveness of 3 months of rifampicin and isoniazid chemoprophylaxis for the treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in children. *Arch Dis Child* 2010;95(8):600–602

- 57 Martínez Alfaro E, Solera J, Serna E, et al. Compliance, tolerance and effectiveness of a short chemoprophylaxis regimen for the treatment of tuberculosis [in Spanish]. *Med Clin (Barc)* 1998;111(11):401–404
- 58 Geijo MP, Herranz CR, Vaño D, García AJ, García M, Dimas JF. Short-course isoniazid and rifampin compared with isoniazid for latent tuberculosis infection: a randomized clinical trial [in Spanish]. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin* 2007;25(5):300–304
- 59 Spyridis NP, Spyridis PG, Gelesme A, et al. The effectiveness of a 9-month regimen of isoniazid alone versus 3- and 4-month regimens of isoniazid plus rifampin for treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in children: results of an 11-year randomized study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;45(6):715–722
- 60 Martínez Alfaro EM, Cuadra F, Solera J, et al; The GECMEI Group. Evaluation of 2 tuberculosis chemoprophylaxis regimens in patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus [in Spanish]. *Med Clin (Barc)* 2000;115(5):161–165
- 61 Rivero A, López-Cortés L, Castillo R, et al; Grupo Andaluz para el estudio de las Enfermedades Infecciosas (GAEI). Randomized clinical trial investigating three chemoprophylaxis regimens for latent tuberculosis infection in HIV-infected patients [in Spanish]. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin* 2007;25(5):305–310
- 62 Rivero A, López-Cortés L, Castillo R, et al; Grupo Andaluz para el estudio de las Enfermedades Infecciosas (GAEI). Randomized trial of three regimens to prevent tuberculosis in HIV-infected patients with anergy [in Spanish]. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin* 2003;21(6):287–292
- 63 Whalen CC, Johnson JL, Okwera A, et al; Uganda-Case Western Reserve University Research Collaboration. A trial of three regimens to prevent tuberculosis in Ugandan adults infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337(12):801–808
- 64 Martinson NA, Barnes GL, Moulton LH, et al. New regimens to prevent tuberculosis in adults with HIV infection. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365(1):11–20
- 65 Lecoeur HF, Truffot-Pernot C, Grosset JH. Experimental short-course preventive therapy of tuberculosis with rifampin and pyrazinamide. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1989;140(5):1189–1193
- 66 Fox W, Ellard GA, Mitchison DA. Studies on the treatment of tuberculosis undertaken by the British Medical Research Council tuberculosis units, 1946–1986, with relevant subsequent publications. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1999;3(10, Suppl 2):S231–S279
- 67 Halsey NA, Coberly JS, Desormeaux J, et al. Randomised trial of isoniazid versus rifampicin and pyrazinamide for prevention of tuberculosis in HIV-1 infection. *Lancet* 1998;351(9105):786–792
- 68 Mwinga A, Hosp M, Godfrey-Faussett P, et al. Twice weekly tuberculosis preventive therapy in HIV infection in Zambia. *AIDS* 1998;12(18):2447–2457
- 69 Quigley MA, Mwinga A, Hosp M, Lisse I, Fuchs D, Godfrey-Faussett P, Porter JDH. Long-term effect of preventive therapy for tuberculosis in a cohort of HIV-infected Zambian adults. *AIDS* 2001;15(2):215–222
- 70 Gordin F, Chaisson RE, Matts JP, et al. Rifampin and pyrazinamide vs isoniazid for prevention of tuberculosis in HIV-infected persons: an international randomized trial. Terry Beinr Community Programs for Clinical Research on AIDS, the Adult AIDS Clinical Trials Group, the Pan American Health Organization, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Study Group. *JAMA* 2000;283(11):1445–1450
- 71 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Fatal and severe hepatitis associated with rifampin and pyrazinamide for the treatment of latent tuberculosis infection—New York and Georgia, 2000. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2001;50(15):289–291
- 72 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Update: Fatal and severe liver injuries associated with rifampin and pyrazinamide for latent tuberculosis infection, and revisions in American Thoracic Society/CDC recommendations—United States, 2001. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2001;50(34):733–735
- 73 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Update: Fatal and severe liver injuries associated with rifampin and pyrazinamide treatment for latent tuberculosis infection. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2002;51(44):998–999
- 74 Ijaz K, Jereb JA, Lambert LA, et al. Severe or fatal liver injury in 50 patients in the United States taking rifampin and pyrazinamide for latent tuberculosis infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;42(3):346–355
- 75 McElroy PD, Ijaz K, Lambert LA, et al. National survey to measure rates of liver injury, hospitalization, and death associated with rifampin and pyrazinamide for latent tuberculosis infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;41(8):1125–1133
- 76 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); American Thoracic Society. Update: adverse event data and revised American Thoracic Society/CDC recommendations against the use of rifampin and pyrazinamide for treatment of latent tuberculosis infection—United States, 2003. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2003;52(31):735–739
- 77 Schechter M, Zajdenverg R, Falco G, et al. Weekly rifapentine/isoniazid or daily rifampin/pyrazinamide for latent tuberculosis in household contacts. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;173(8):922–926
- 78 Martinson NA, Barnes GL, Moulton LH, et al. New regimens to prevent tuberculosis in adults with HIV infection. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365(1):11–20
- 79 Sterling TR, Villarino ME, Borisov AS, et al. Three months of once-weekly rifapentine and isoniazid for *M. tuberculosis* infection. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365(23):2155–2166
- 80 Ji B, Truffot-Pernot C, Lacroix C, et al. Effectiveness of rifampin, rifabutin, and rifapentine for preventive therapy of tuberculosis in mice. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993;148(6 Pt 1):1541–1546
- 81 Chapuis L, Ji B, Truffot-Pernot C, O'Brien RJ, Raviglione MC, Grosset JH. Preventive therapy of tuberculosis with rifapentine in immunocompetent and nude mice. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994;150(5 Pt 1):1355–1362
- 82 Miyazaki E, Chaisson RE, Bishai WR. Analysis of rifapentine for preventive therapy in the Cornell mouse model of latent tuberculosis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999;43(9):2126–2130
- 83 Nuermberger E, Tyagi S, Williams KN, Rosenthal I, Bishai WR, Grosset JH. Rifapentine, moxifloxacin, or DNA vaccine improves treatment of latent tuberculosis in a mouse model. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;172(11):1452–1456
- 84 Kritski AL, Marques MJO, Rabahi MF, et al. Transmission of tuberculosis to close contacts of patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;153(1):331–335
- 85 Vernon A, Burman W, Benator D, Khan A, Bozeman L; Tuberculosis Trials Consortium. Acquired rifamycin mono-resistance in patients with HIV-related tuberculosis treated with once-weekly rifapentine and isoniazid. *Lancet* 1999;353(9167):1843–1847
- 86 Sterling T, Benson C, Shang N, et al; AIDS Clinical Trials Group, Tuberculosis Trials Consortium. Tolerability among HIV-positive persons of three months of once-weekly rifapentine + INH (3HP) versus 9 months of daily INH (9H) for treatment of latent tuberculosis infection: the PREVENT TB Study (TBTC Study 26/ACTG 5259). MOAB0302—Oral Abstract, presented at the 19th International AIDS Conference, Washington DC, July 2012. <http://pag.aids2012.org/Abstracts.aspx?SID=211&AID=5981>. Accessed September 20, 2012
- 87 Villarino ME, Scott N, Weis S, et al, IMPAACT, and the Tuberculosis Trials Consortium. Tolerability among children of three months of once-weekly rifapentine + INH (3HP) vs. 9 months of daily INH (9H) for treatment of latent tuberculosis infection: The PREVENT TB Study (TBTC Study 26/ACTG 5259). Oral abstract 1323. Paper presented at: Infectious Disease Society of America (IDSA); October 20, 2012; San Diego, CA. Available at: <https://idsa.confex.com/idsa/2012/>. Accessed November 20, 2012
- 88 Girling DJ, Hitze KL. Adverse reactions to rifampicin in antituberculosis regimens. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1977;3(2):115–132

- 89 Forget EJ, Menzies D. Adverse reactions to first-line antituberculosis drugs. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* 2006;5(2):231–249
- 90 Matteelli A, Olliaro P, Signorini L, et al. Tolerability of twice-weekly rifabutin-isoniazid combinations versus daily isoniazid for latent tuberculosis in HIV-infected subjects: a pilot study. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1999;3(11):1043–1046
- 91 Dietze R, Teixeira L, Rocha LM, et al. Safety and bactericidal activity of rifalazil in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2001;45(7):1972–1976
- 92 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Recommendations for use of an isoniazid-rifapentine regimen with direct observation to treat latent *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2011;60(48):1650–1653
- 93 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Severe isoniazid-associated liver injuries among persons being treated for latent tuberculosis infection—United States, 2004–2008. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2010;59(8):224–229
- 94 Evaluating the safety and effectiveness of short-course rifapentine/isoniazid for the prevention of active tuberculosis in HIV-infected individuals with latent tuberculosis infection. <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01404312?term=tuberculosis%2C+rifapentine%2C+actg&rank=1>. Accessed September 30, 2012
- 95 Garibaldi RA, Drusin RE, Ferebee SH, Gregg MB. Isoniazid-associated hepatitis: report of an outbreak. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1972;106(3):357–365
- 96 American Thoracic Society, National Tuberculosis and Respiratory Disease Association, Center for Disease Control. Preventive treatment of tuberculosis. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1971;104(3):460–463
- 97 Centers for Disease Control, U.S. Dept of Health Education and Welfare. Isoniazid and liver disease: report of the ad hoc committee on isoniazid and liver disease. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1971;104:454
- 98 Kopanoff DE, Snider DE Jr, Caras GJ. Isoniazid-related hepatitis: a U.S. Public Health Service cooperative surveillance study. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1978;117(6):991–1001
- 99 American Thoracic Society, American Lung Association, Center for Disease Control. Preventive therapy of tuberculous infection. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1974;110(3):371–374
- 100 Taylor WC, Aronson MD, Delbanco TL. Should young adults with a positive tuberculin test take isoniazid? *Ann Intern Med* 1981;94(6):808–813
- 101 Rose DN, Schechter CB, Fahs MC, Silver AL. Tuberculosis prevention: cost-effectiveness analysis of isoniazid chemoprophylaxis. *Am J Prev Med* 1988;4(2):102–109
- 102 Colice GL. Decision analysis, public health policy, and isoniazid chemoprophylaxis for young adult tuberculin skin reactors. *Arch Intern Med* 1990;150(12):2517–2522
- 103 Rose DN, Schechter CB, Silver AL. The age threshold for isoniazid chemoprophylaxis: a decision analysis for low-risk tuberculin reactors. *JAMA* 1986;256(19):2709–2713
- 104 Salpeter SR, Sanders GD, Salpeter EE, Owens DK. Monitored isoniazid prophylaxis for low-risk tuberculin reactors older than 35 years of age: a risk-benefit and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 1997;127(12):1051–1061
- 105 American Thoracic Society, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Treatment of tuberculosis and other mycobacterial diseases. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1983;127(6):790–796
- 106 Snider DE Jr, Caras GJ, Koplan JP. Preventive therapy with isoniazid: cost-effectiveness of different durations of therapy. *JAMA* 1986;255(12):1579–1583
- 107 Salpeter SR. Fatal isoniazid-induced hepatitis: its risk during chemoprophylaxis. *West J Med* 1993;159(5):560–564
- 108 Millard PS, Wilcosky TC, Reade-Christopher SJ, Weber DJ. Isoniazid-related fatal hepatitis. *West J Med* 1996;164(6):486–491
- 109 Wu SS, Chao CS, Vargas JH, et al. Isoniazid-related hepatic failure in children: a survey of liver transplantation centers. *Transplantation* 2007;84(2):173–179
- 110 Nolan CM, Goldberg SV, Buskin SE. Hepatotoxicity associated with isoniazid preventive therapy: a 7-year survey from a public health tuberculosis clinic. *JAMA* 1999;281(11):1014–1018
- 111 Saukkonen JJ, Cohn DL, Jasmer RM, et al; ATS (American Thoracic Society) Hepatotoxicity of Antituberculosis Therapy Subcommittee. An official ATS statement: hepatotoxicity of antituberculosis therapy. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;174(8):935–952
- 112 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Severe isoniazid-associated hepatitis—New York, 1991–1993. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1993;42(28):545–547
- 113 Yee D, Valiquette C, Pelletier M, Parisien I, Rocher I, Menzies D. Incidence of serious side effects from first-line antituberculosis drugs among patients treated for active tuberculosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003;167(11):1472–1477
- 114 Smith BM, Schwartzman K, Bartlett G, Menzies D. Adverse events associated with treatment of latent tuberculosis in the general population. *CMAJ* 2011;183(3):E173–E179
- 115 Wen X, Wang JS, Neuvonen PJ, Backman JT. Isoniazid is a mechanism-based inhibitor of cytochrome P450 1A2, 2A6, 2C19 and 3A4 isoforms in human liver microsomes. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2002;57(11):799–804
- 116 Centers for Disease Control & Prevention. Treatment of tuberculosis. American Thoracic Society, CDC, and Infectious Diseases Society of America. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2003;52(RR-11):1–77
- 117 Grosset J, Leventis S. Adverse effects of rifampin. *Rev Infect Dis* 1983;5(Suppl 3):S440–S450
- 118 Aquinas M, Allan WGL, Horsfall PA, et al. Adverse reactions to daily and intermittent rifampicin regimens for pulmonary tuberculosis in Hong Kong. *BMJ* 1972;1(5803):765–771
- 119 Singapore Tuberculosis Service/British Medical Research Council. Controlled trial of intermittent regimens of rifampin plus isoniazid for pulmonary tuberculosis in Singapore: the results up to 30 months. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1977;116(5):807–820
- 120 Rose DN. Short-course prophylaxis against tuberculosis in HIV-infected persons: a decision and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;129(10):779–786
- 121 Perlman DC, Gourevitch MN, Trinh C, Salomon N, Horn L, Des Jarlais DC. Cost-effectiveness of tuberculosis screening and observed preventive therapy for active drug injectors at a syringe-exchange program. *J Urban Health* 2001;78(3):550–567
- 122 Snyder DC, Paz EA, Mohle-Boetani JC, Fallstad R, Black RL, Chin DP. Tuberculosis prevention in methadone maintenance clinics: effectiveness and cost-effectiveness. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;160(1):178–185
- 123 Mohle-Boetani JC, Miller B, Halpern M, et al. School-based screening for tuberculous infection: a cost-benefit analysis. *JAMA* 1995;274(8):613–619
- 124 Tan MC, Marra CA, Sadatsafavi M, et al. Cost-effectiveness of LTBI treatment for TB contacts in British Columbia. *Value Health* 2008;11(5):842–852
- 125 Rose DN, Schechter CB, Fahs MC, Silver AL. Tuberculosis prevention: cost-effectiveness analysis of isoniazid chemoprophylaxis. *Am J Prev Med* 1988;4(2):102–109
- 126 Aspler A, Long R, Trajman A, et al. Impact of treatment completion, intolerance and adverse events on health system costs in a randomised trial of 4 months rifampin or 9 months isoniazid for latent TB. *Thorax* 2010;65(7):582–587
- 127 Esfahani K, Aspler A, Menzies D, Schwartzman K. Potential cost-effectiveness of rifampin vs. isoniazid for latent tuberculosis: implications for future clinical trials. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2011;15(10):1340–1346
- 128 Ziakas PD, Mylonakis E. 4 months of rifampin compared with 9 months of isoniazid for the management of latent tuberculosis infection: a meta-analysis and cost-effectiveness study that focuses on compliance and liver toxicity. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;49(12):1883–1889

- 129 Holland DP, Sanders GD, Hamilton CD, Stout JE. Costs and cost-effectiveness of four treatment regimens for latent tuberculosis infection. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;179(11):1055–1060
- 130 Holland DP, Sanders GD, Hamilton CD, Stout JE. Potential economic viability of two proposed rifapentine-based regimens for treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. *PLoS ONE* 2011;6(7):e22276
- 131 Chavan S, Newlands D, Smith C. A systematic review of economic evaluations of chemoprophylaxis for tuberculosis. *J Trop Med* 2011;2011:13097610.1155/2011/130976
- 132 Pitchenik AE, Cole C, Russell BW, Fischl MA, Spira TJ, Snider DE Jr. Tuberculosis, atypical mycobacteriosis, and the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome among Haitian and non-Haitian patients in south Florida. *Ann Intern Med* 1984;101(5):641–645
- 133 Pape JW, Jean SS, Ho JL, Hafner A, Johnson WD Jr. Effect of isoniazid prophylaxis on incidence of active tuberculosis and progression of HIV infection. *Lancet* 1993;342(8866):268–272
- 134 Graham NMH, Galai N, Nelson KE, et al. Effect of isoniazid chemoprophylaxis on HIV-related mycobacterial disease. *Arch Intern Med* 1996;156(8):889–894
- 135 Akolo C, Adetifa I, Shepperd S, Volmink J. Treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in HIV infected persons. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010;(1):CD000171
- 136 Hawken MP, Meme HK, Elliott LC, et al. Isoniazid preventive therapy for tuberculosis in HIV-1-infected adults: results of a randomized controlled trial. *AIDS* 1997;11(7):875–882
- 137 Fitzgerald DW, Severe P, Joseph P, et al. No effect of isoniazid prophylaxis for purified protein derivative-negative HIV-infected adults living in a country with endemic tuberculosis: results of a randomized trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2001;28(3):305–307
- 138 Gordin FM, Matts JP, Miller C, et al; Terry Beinr Community Programs for Clinical Research on AIDS. A controlled trial of isoniazid in persons with anergy and human immunodeficiency virus infection who are at high risk for tuberculosis. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337(5):315–320
- 139 Lim HJ, Okwera A, Mayanja-Kizza H, Ellner JJ, Mugerwa RD, Whalen CC. Effect of tuberculosis preventive therapy on HIV disease progression and survival in HIV-infected adults. *HIV Clin Trials* 2006;7(4):172–183
- 140 Churchyard GJ, Scano F, Grant AD, Chaisson RE. Tuberculosis preventive therapy in the era of HIV infection: overview and research priorities. *J Infect Dis* 2007;196(Suppl 1):S52–S62
- 141 Mohammed A, Myer L, Ehrlich R, Wood R, Cilliers F, Maartens G. Randomised controlled trial of isoniazid preventive therapy in South African adults with advanced HIV disease. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2007;11(10):1114–1120
- 142 Watkins RE, Brennan R, Plant AJ. Tuberculin reactivity and the risk of tuberculosis: a review. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2000;4(10):895–903
- 143 Samandari T, Agizew TB, Nyirenda S, et al. 6-month versus 36-month isoniazid preventive treatment for tuberculosis in adults with HIV infection in Botswana: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011;377(9777):1588–1598
- 144 World Health Organization. Guidelines for intensified tuberculosis case finding and isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV in resource constrained settings. Geneva, Switzerland; 2011. http://www.stoptb.org/wg/tb_hiv/assets/documents/9789241500708_eng.pdf. Accessed September 30, 2012
- 145 Suthar AB, Lawn SD, del Amo J, et al. Antiretroviral therapy for prevention of tuberculosis in adults with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med* 2012;9(7):e100127010.1371/journal.pmed.1001270
- 146 Gupta A, Wood R, Kaplan R, Bekker L-G, Lawn SD. Tuberculosis incidence rates during 8 years of follow-up of an antiretroviral treatment cohort in South Africa: comparison with rates in the community. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7(3):e3415610.1371/journal.pone.0034156
- 147 Rangaka MX, Boule A, Wilkinson RJ, et al. Randomized controlled trial of isoniazid preventive therapy in HIV-infected persons on antiretroviral therapy in South Africa. Oral late breaker presented 26 July 2012 at the International AIDS Conference, Washington, DC. Abstract. <http://pag.aids2012.org/Abstracts.aspx?SID=16&AID=21471>. Accessed September 30, 2012
- 148 Fitzgerald DW, Desvarieux M, Severe P, Joseph P, Johnson WD Jr, Pape JW. Effect of post-treatment isoniazid on prevention of recurrent tuberculosis in HIV-1-infected individuals: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2000;356(9240):1470–1474
- 149 Perriens JH, St Louis ME, Mukadi YB, et al. Pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV-infected patients in Zaire: a controlled trial of treatment for either 6 or 12 months. *N Engl J Med* 1995;332(12):779–784
- 150 Create project. Available at: <http://www.tbhiv-create.org/#>. Accessed May 27, 2012
- 151 Churchyard G, Fielding K, Lewis J, et al; on behalf of the Thibela TB Team. Community-wide isoniazid preventive therapy does not improve TB control among gold miners: the Thibela TB Study, South Africa. Abstract 149bLB; and Fielding K, Grant A, Lewis J, Hayes R, and Churchyard G. Individual-level effect of isoniazid preventive therapy on risk of TB: the Thibela TB Study. Abstract 150bLB. 19th CROI, Seattle, WA, March 2012. <http://app2.capitalreach.com/esp1204/servlet/tc?c=10164&cn=retro&s=20481&&dp=player.jsp&e=16642&mediaType=slideVideo>. Accessed September 20, 2012
- 152 Muyoyeta M; The ZAMSTAR Study Team. Impact of ZAMSTAR interventions on prevalence of culture-positive tuberculosis; and Shanaube K; The ZAMSTAR Study Team. Impact of ZAMSTAR interventions on transmission of tuberculosis; presented at IUATLD World Lung Health Conference, Lille FR, 30 October 2011 <http://uwclh.conference2web.com/content/1060>. Accessed September 20, 2012
- 153 Durovni B, Saraceni V, Pacheco A, et al. Impact of tuberculosis (TB) screening and isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) on incidence of TB and death in the TB/HIV in Rio de Janeiro (THRio) study. 6th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis and Treatment, Rome, 2011 Abstract no. WELBB02; and Durovni B, Saraceni V, Pacheco A, Cavalcante S, Cohn S, King B, Efron A, Moulton Chaisson R, Golub J, THRio study group. Impact of tuberculosis screening and isoniazid preventive therapy on incidence of TB and death in the TB/HIV in Rio de Janeiro (THRio) study presented at the 19th International AIDS Conference, Washington, DC; July 2012. Abstract WEPE067. <http://pag.aids2012.org/abstracts.aspx?aid=13895>. Accessed September 20, 2012
- 154 Balcells ME, Thomas SL, Godfrey-Faussett P, Grant AD. Isoniazid preventive therapy and risk for resistant tuberculosis. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2006;12(5):744–751
- 155 Fraser A, Paul M, Attamna A, Leibovici L. Drugs for preventing tuberculosis in people at risk of multiple-drug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006;(2):2, Issue 2):CD00543510.1002/14651858.CD005435.pub2
- 156 Fraser A, Paul M, Attamna A, Leibovici L. Treatment of latent tuberculosis in persons at risk for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: systematic review. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2006;10(1):19–23
- 157 Williams K, Minkowski A, Amoabeng O, et al. Sterilizing activities of novel combinations lacking first- and second-line drugs in a murine model of tuberculosis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012;56(6):3114–3120
- 158 Diacon AH, Donald PR, Pym A, et al. Randomized pilot trial of eight weeks of bedaquiline (TMC207) treatment for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: long-term outcome, tolerability, and effect on emergence of drug resistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012;56(6):3271–3276
- 159 Holland DP, Sanders GD, Hamilton CD, Stout JE. Strategies for treating latent multiple-drug resistant tuberculosis: a decision analysis. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7(1):e30194

- 160 Keane J, Gershon S, Wise RP, et al. Tuberculosis associated with infliximab, a tumor necrosis factor alpha-neutralizing agent. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345(15):1098–1104
- 161 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Tuberculosis associated with blocking agents against tumor necrosis factor-alpha—California, 2002–2003. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2004;53(30):683–686
- 162 Solovic I, Sester M, Gomez-Reino JJ, et al. The risk of tuberculosis related to tumour necrosis factor antagonist therapies: a TBNET consensus statement. *Eur Respir J* 2010;36(5):1185–1206
- 163 Tortajada C, Martínez-Lacasa J, Sánchez F, et al; Tuberculosis Prevention Working Group. Is the combination of pyrazinamide plus rifampicin safe for treating latent tuberculosis infection in persons not infected by the human immunodeficiency virus? *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2005;9(3):276–281
- 164 van Hest R, Baars H, Kik S, et al. Hepatotoxicity of rifampin-pyrazinamide and isoniazid preventive therapy and tuberculosis treatment. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004;39(4):488–496
- 165 Leung CC, Law WS, Chang KC, et al. Initial experience on rifampin and pyrazinamide vs isoniazid in the treatment of latent tuberculosis infection among patients with silicosis in Hong Kong. *Chest* 2003;124(6):2112–2118
- 166 McNeill L, Allen M, Estrada C, Cook P; McNeill L. Pyrazinamide and rifampin vs isoniazid for the treatment of latent tuberculosis: improved completion rates but more hepatotoxicity. *Chest* 2003;123(1):102–106
- 167 Jasmer RM, Saukkonen JJ, Blumberg HM, et al; Short-Course Rifampin and Pyrazinamide for Tuberculosis Infection (SCRIPT) Study Investigators. Short-course rifampin and pyrazinamide compared with isoniazid for latent tuberculosis infection: a multicenter clinical trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2002;137(8):640–647
- 168 Narita M, Kellman M, Franchini DL, McMillan ME, Hollender ES, Ashkin D. Short-course rifamycin and pyrazinamide treatment for latent tuberculosis infection in patients with HIV infection: the 2-year experience of a comprehensive community-based program in Broward County, Florida. *Chest* 2002;122(4):1292–1298
- 169 Madhi SA, Nachman S, Violari A, et al; P1041 Study Team. Primary isoniazid prophylaxis against tuberculosis in HIV-exposed children. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365(1):21–31
- 170 McNab BD, Marciniuk DD, Alvi RA, Tan L, Hoepfner VH. Twice weekly isoniazid and rifampin treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in Canadian plains Aborigines. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;162(3 Pt 1):989–993
- 171 Zar HJ, Cotton MF, Strauss S, et al. Effect of isoniazid prophylaxis on mortality and incidence of tuberculosis in children with HIV: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2007;334(7585):136
- 172 Jasmer RM, Snyder DC, Chin DP, et al. Twelve months of isoniazid compared with four months of isoniazid and rifampin for persons with radiographic evidence of previous tuberculosis: an outcome and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;162(5):1648–1652
- 173 Geiter LJ. Results of a randomized controlled trial to assess the toxicity and patient adherence with two short-course regimens for the prevention of tuberculosis, a two-month regimen of rifampin and pyrazinamide or a four-month regimen of rifampin only, in comparison with a control regimen of six-months-isoniazid. Diss., Johns Hopkins School of Hygiene and Public Health, Baltimore, MD, 1997. UMI 9730708
- 174 Hawken MP, Meme HK, Elliott LC, et al. Isoniazid preventive therapy for tuberculosis in HIV-1-infected adults: results of a randomized controlled trial. *AIDS* 1997;11(7):875–882
- 175 Graczy KJ, O'Brien RJ, Bek E, et al. Assessment of rifampin containing regimens for tuberculosis preventive therapy: preliminary results of a pilot study in Poland. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1991;143:A119
- 176 TBTC Study 26 assumed a background rate of 5% TB disease in high risk persons with LTBI; the rate observed in those receiving little or no therapy was only 1.6%. TBESC Task Order 2 has reported that many associated cases have already occurred by the time the contact investigation is implemented (Reichler M et al; manuscript submitted)
- 177 Hill AN, Becerra JE, Castro KG. Modelling tuberculosis trends in the USA. *Epidemiol Infect* 2012;140(10):1862–1872