Surgery has passed through an intuitive and an empirical era and has now entered its modern phase characterized by ever-increasing certainty in surgical practice.\(^1\) The enormous progress in biomedicine, the rise of evidence-based medicine, and the consensus on the need for humanistic patient care in the 21\(^{st}\) century have laid a foundation for a new surgical paradigm. This surgical paradigm featuring high-certainty clinical practice would enable simultaneous optimization of therapeutic effectiveness, surgical safety, and minimal invasiveness. We argued for the necessity of a paradigm shift in liver surgery, and advocated "precision liver resection" as a surgical concept for the first time in 2006,\(^2,3\) and later extended the concept of "precision liver resection" to "precision liver surgery."\(^4,5\) This concept is widely applicable within various surgical fields and is embraced by both the Chinese and international community. In this review, we advocate the new paradigm of "precision surgery" and attempt to establish its theoretical and technological framework by examining the evolution of surgery, the advances in surgical science and technology, and the health care needs within modern society. "Precision" does not just refer to the accuracy of operative manipulation, some idealized procedure, or a particular advanced technology,\(^6,7\) "Precision" as we define it is a new approach to surgery and its derived system of theories and technologies covering all the elements of surgical practice, including preoperative evaluation, clinical decision making, surgical planning, operative manipulation, and perioperative management.\(^3\)

### Emergence of Precision Surgery

Surgery, as a direct and profound exploration of the human body, has always been a symphony of science and art. In this everlasting symphony, the surgical paradigm has evolved...
from intuitive to empirical during the past century. The concept of paradigm, proposed by Thomas Kuhn, refers to the set of practices that define a scientific discipline. In surgery, the paradigm consists of professional knowledge, techniques and experiences, practical principles, strategies, and objectives, which constitute a framework for the delivery of surgical service.

Surgery originally emerged as an intuitive practice with a low standard of safety at the outset. The establishment of anesthesia, sterilization, and transfusion in the 19th century provided a basic premise of surgical safety, which granted surgery a vast potential to innovate and pursue increasing therapeutic effectiveness. Since the beginning of the 20th century, the accumulation of surgical experience and the development of preclinical medicine gradually transformed surgery into a science of intervening into the pathologic course of disease and restoring functional integrity of organs. From then on, a systematic paradigm came into being, to which we now refer as empirical surgery. Since the early 1960s, the stunning progress in the life sciences and information technology has taken surgery into a golden era. Together with the accumulation of experience accelerated by surgical specialization, the capability of surgical intervention has been greatly expanded. Various aggressive procedures for lesion removal, functional restoration, and organ replacement were established, which allowed more patients to undergo a radical procedure rather than palliative care. In parallel, the rise of minimally invasive surgery in the past 30 years permits the performance of procedures endoscopically and laparoscopically, avoiding open surgical access and decreasing invasiveness.

Therapeutic effectiveness, surgical safety, and minimal invasiveness are the principal objectives (which may be referred to as “3O”) of surgery. The simultaneous optimization of the 3O, or multiobjective optimization, is the premise of best surgical outcomes. Despite the significant improvement in the capacity and capability of surgery in each objective over time, the status quo remains far from fully satisfactory, especially in some challenging areas such as liver surgery. Because most liver surgery is performed in the treatment of localized lesions, to achieve the objective of therapeutic effectiveness we must maximize lesion removal. At the same time, we attempt to promote surgical safety via maximizing the functional liver remnant, and above all, we strive to reduce concomitant surgical trauma by minimizing surgical invasiveness. These three elements (which may be referred to as “3M”) of contemporary surgical practice at times mutually conflict, and resolution of this balance is a prerequisite of multiobjective optimization and would ensure the best surgical outcomes.

The primary limit to identifying this resolution lies in the level of uncertainty throughout the surgical process, such as insufficient understanding of the biology of the disease in the individual patient, limitations of imaging technology for both staging and surgical planning, inaccuracy in functional assessment of organs, the intrinsic limitations of surgical techniques, and anesthesia. Facing these uncertainties, surgeons would attempt to offset the undesired consequences with experience. The experienced surgeon might be capable of overcoming these limitations sometimes, but unfortunately, experience-based practice is not reliably reproducible because intuition and unsystematic clinical experience are individually variable and far from sufficient for sound clinical decision making. On this condition, accurate control in a single objective would be difficult enough, leaving multiobjective optimization an unattainable dream. It is impossible to break this impasse, unless a sufficient degree of certainty is achieved throughout surgical process, the risk of the residual uncertainty is managed with scientific decision making, and a balanced surgical strategy is formulated. Obviously, a paradigm shift in the field of surgery is then called for.

In summary, with the enormous progress in biomedicine, the rise of evidence-based medicine, and the consensus on the need for humanistic patient care in the 21st century, a new surgical paradigm must establish a certainty-based practice with a strategy of multiobjective optimization. This paradigm has evolved from conventional surgery and has been modernized through integration with state-of-the-art science and technology. It will provide a framework to precisely balance maximal lesion removal and organ sparing, with minimal surgical invasiveness (3M). In this way, a quality service of surgery with multiobjective optimization accommodating therapeutic effectiveness, surgical safety, and minimal invasiveness (30) can be offered to ensure maximized benefit and recovery for each patient. The process of care can be analyzed according to the elements of practice, creating a continuously reinforcing cycle of surgical quality. In addition, surgical training may be structured around these elements to diminish the impact of individual variability and increase the reliability of surgical care. We have chosen the term “precision surgery” for this paradigm.

**Characteristics of Precision Surgery**

The fundamental philosophy that underlies precision surgery is scientific determinism, the belief that each event can be tracked definitively to its cause. In medical practice based on determinism, absolute certainty in assessment, diagnosis, and treat-
Currently, involvement, and extrahepatic micrometastasis. Currently, accuracy in evaluating the extent of the lesion, especially in qualitative, quantitative, and real-time evaluation. Advances in hepatic imaging techniques have greatly improved the accuracy in evaluating the extent of the lesion, especially in detecting the minimal foci within liver, hepatic vascular involvement, and extrahepatic micrometastasis. Currently, widely used imaging techniques, such as multidetector computed tomography (CT) and high-field magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can detect a tumor nodule with a diameter < 10 mm.28 Double-enhanced MRI using superparamagnetic iron oxide and gadolinium have increased the diagnostic sensitivity for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) of diameter < 1 cm to 46%.29 The current transducer resolution of intraoperative ultrasonography (IOUS) permits the identification of lesions larger than 2 mm.30 The benefit of enhanced imaging to the surgeon is obvious and will avoid surgical intervention in the setting of subtle, but disseminated disease, and at the same time, guide more accurate targeting and technique when surgery is indicated.

The critical element in the safety limit of liver resection (SLLR) is the functional capacity of the liver remnant. Conventional methods to evaluate functional liver reserve, such as liver function test and Child-Pugh grading, are mostly qualitative or semiquantitative. The inaccuracy and uncertainty in these tests makes it difficult to determine SLLR. At present, the analysis of multiple parameters, including underlying liver disease, Child-Pugh grading, quantitation of portal hypertension, and the indocyanine green (ICG) retention rate, allow more accurate evaluation of functional liver reserve and determination of the individual SLLR.31–34 Combined with imaging-based volumetric measuring, surgeons can accurately assess the functional volume of future liver remnant and determine the resectable extent for safe liver resection.35 Recently, we have introduced multimodality imaging technique combining technetium-99m galactosyl serum albumin (99mTc-GSA) scintigraphy to quantify the functional capacity of the hepatic segment of interest. For cases with localized variability of parenchymal damage, this method permits demonstration of regional function of the future liver remnant, compared with the conventional methods that only assess total liver functional reserve (data unpublished). This example demonstrates an approach that will increase the level of certainty in the planning of liver resection.

**Determinacy**

Determinacy of precision surgery based upon scientific determinism represents the ability to generate a desired outcome with a high degree of certainty. This is achieved by identifying the critical sources of uncertainty and controlling their undesired effects in surgical practice. These include multidisciplinary assessment of the patient condition, formulation of treatment strategy, surgical planning, operative manipulation, and perioperative care. When dealing with the remaining yet influential uncertainties, we would attempt to quantify them into probability and risks, and resort to scientific decision making to control the incidence of unfavorable consequences.

In this setting, it is critical for sound decision making and surgical planning to acquire precise information involving the extent of lesion, the safe extent of hepatectomy, etc., through qualitative, quantitative, and real-time evaluation. Advances in hepatic imaging techniques have greatly improved the accuracy in evaluating the extent of the lesion, especially in detecting the minimal foci within liver, hepatic vascular involvement, and extrahepatic micrometastasis. Currently, a highly accurate combined model permits demonstration of regional function of the future liver remnant, compared with the conventional methods that only assess total liver functional reserve (data unpublished). This example demonstrates an approach that will increase the level of certainty in the planning of liver resection.

**Predictability**

Based on scientific determinism, when causality is precisely evaluated, and attendant processes are accurately controlled, the outcome will be fully predictable. Accuracy in prediction can only be achieved with perfect information and by precise application of the cause–effect rules. Facilitated by advanced science and technology, there is now greater certainty inherent in the acquisition of specific patient information, and in surgical intervention. Compared with the empirical rules of conventional surgery, rules based on current best evidence tend to offer a more accurate interpretation of the causality. If these were integrated rationally, predictability of current surgery could be significantly increased. Precision surgery requires accurate prediction of the consequences of each step in a surgical intervention, the risk of undesirable events, and hence the ultimate treatment outcome.

For example, exploratory laparotomies are frequently performed in conventional liver surgery, whereas accurate preoperative assessment and optimal surgical planning...
should essentially eliminate this event. Computer-assisted surgical planning systems allow comparison and screening of different procedures to select the optimal procedure through "virtual resection." This can be of great help in assessing and predicting the resectability of a liver lesion, especially when lesions involve important anatomic structures and require complex major hepatectomies. We have recently reported that among 65 patients that were initially considered unresectable by experienced surgeons with two-dimensional (2D) imaging, 51 patients were confirmed to be resectable by computer-assisted surgical planning system and finally underwent curative liver resection, with no mortality and major morbidity of only 9.8%. Based on high-reliability preoperative evaluation, surgeons can achieve predictability of surgical risk and then formulate the strategy of risk control. For example, according to the relationship between the lesion and the main vessels, we can predict the necessity of vascular resection and reconstruction and the risks of vessel injury and massive bleeding. Aided by individualized assessment of the safety limit for liver resection and accurate analysis of the structural integrity of future liver remnant, posthepatectomy liver failure (PHLF) should be predictable and even avoidable. Currently, in some specialized centers, the incidence of PHLF has declined to ~8%. Controllability A high degree of controllability in precision surgery guarantees the anticipated surgical outcome. It relies on both identification of the critical risk factors, and formulation of measures to deal with these factors. In practice, such controllability lies in the high accuracy of operative manipulation, damage control, and risk management.

The liver is one of the largest solid organs, with four sets of entangled structures hidden within parenchyma. In precision surgery, visualization by contemporary advanced techniques has significantly promoted the controllability of surgical intervention and the capacity to circumvent operative risks. As noted above, advanced 2D imaging and digital three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction equips the surgeon with real anatomy of the liver including the location of the lesion, the traverse and territory of the vessels, and the spatial relationships between the lesion and vessels. Intraoperative ultrasonography can help reidentify the location and margin of lesion, position the vessels of interest and the appropriate transection plane, and thereby guide the procedures with controllability. The segmental boundary can be visualized by portal vein staining technique. The tumor and its advancing margin can be visualized through in vivo fluorescent imaging. A real-time navigation system can visualize the lesions and its spatial relationships with important structures throughout the surgical procedure. Meticulous methods of parenchymal transaction, such as Cavitron ultrasonic surgical aspirator (CUSA), are capable of selectively removing liver parenchyma to expose vessels, enabling surgeons to accurately control the extent of resection, loss of parenchyma, and avoiding vessel injury.

**Fig. 3** Regular segmentectomy of S8 guided precisely by methylene blue staining. (A) Computed tomography scan indicated a tumor located within S8 (white arrow). (B) Three-dimensional reconstruction shows the intrahepatic distribution of portal branches of S8. (C) Intraoperative photograph. Following dissection of the right anterior hepatic pedicle and occlusion of P5V, P5L, and P6, which originate from the right anterior hepatic pedicle, S8 was persistently stained by methylene blue injected via the right anterior portal vein, followed by ligation of Glisson pedicle of S8, the territory of S8 was then demonstrated. (D) Intraoperative photograph. S8 was removed, and on the resection plane were the right hepatic vein (RHV; white arrow), middle hepatic vein (MHV; white arrow), and the stumps of Glisson pedicle of S8 (green arrow).
Precision liver surgery requires control of blood flow through the liver, involving the occlusion of inflow tracts, outflow tracts, and retrohepatic vena cava. Prevention of blood loss is balanced with management of concomitant ischemia–reperfusion injury to the liver remnant. According to a recent systematic review, the mean blood loss in open hepatectomy is below 500 mL, and 320 mL in laparoscopic hepatectomy, whereas the transfusion rate has been reduced to under 10% in most specialized centers.45–47

**Integration**
Integration can increase certainty in surgical practice, and should be patient-centered to optimize the outcome and meet the patients’ multidimensional health care needs from a holistic perspective. Precision surgery emphasizes the following: (1) systematic integration of conventional surgical practice with state-of-the-art science and technology to improve the capacity of surgical service; (2) integration of evidence-based rules and experience to optimize surgical decision making; (3) establishing multidisciplinary treatment (MDT) model that complements each specialty’s advantages; and (e) rational application of a variety of nonsurgical adjuvant measures to overcome the intrinsic limitations of surgery.

Integration with nonsurgical techniques has brought about an expansion of surgical indications and has served to promote patient safety in liver surgery. Initially, unresectable cases of primary or metastatic neoplasm in the liver may be downstaged via neoadjuvant chemotherapy or interventional therapy to create conditions suitable for radical resection.48,49 For cases with unresectable lesion due to a potentially inadequate liver remnant volume, second-stage resection may be feasible if combined with preoperative selective portal vein embolization (PSPVE) to induce hypertrophy of the potential liver remnant.50

**Standardization**
Quite different from empirical surgery dominated by personal experience, precision surgery relies on rule-based practice to minimize the individual variation among surgeons in intuition, experience, capacity, and cognitive level. The generally applicable rules originated from reliable evidence and/or specialist consensus can provide surgeons with rational guidance. If these rules evolve into clinical guidelines that apply to the majority of pathologic situations, they will standardize surgical practice in a more powerful manner. These approaches would include standardization of indications using multidisciplinary management, more rigorous adherence to standard surgical procedure, and clinical pathway development to govern the process of care.

Currently, rules of liver surgery have been proposed, including consensus of liver anatomy and terminology of hepatectomies,51,52 the staging and classification of various liver diseases,53 the principles of liver resection for various benign or malignant liver diseases, decision-making criteria for safe liver resection,31–34 and the definition and grading of posthepatectomy complications.54–56 Some evidence-based and/or consensus-based clinical guidelines related to surgical management of liver disease have been formulated in the past years. The guidelines for the management of HCC, promulgated by American Association for the Study of Liver Disease (AASLD), European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), the Japanese Society of Hepatology (JSH), and Chinese surgical associations, have been used to promote the standardization of the surgical treatment for HCC.57–60

We propose three fundamental principles under which precision liver resection should be performed: the anatomical, the physiological, and the pathological. First, the liver remnant must be anatomically integral, with no risk of postoperative ischemia, congestion, or cholestasis; this is the anatomical principle. Second, the liver remnant must be sufficient to guarantee functional compensation—the physiological principle. Third, the procedure of choice must take full account of the biology of the lesion to promote therapeutic effectiveness—the pathological principle. We define anatomical hepatectomy as a procedure dominated by the anatomical principle, which respects the architecture integrity of the liver remnant to avoid isolation of areas of liver from their proper blood vessels and bile ducts, so that complication of such deficiencies could be prevented; with any violation, it should be defined as nonanatomical. A procedure, if evaluated as nonanatomical, should be avoided. On the other hand, a regular hepatectomy aims to precisely remove an integral anatomical unit that bears the lesion, which might be a subsegment, segment, sector, or a full lobe; otherwise, it would be defined as irregular. A regular hepatectomy is preferred in cases with segmental distribution of the lesion, whereas an irregular resection is a more appropriate choice for lesions distributed nonsegmentally, which is a challenge of both pathological and anatomical principles.

**Individualization**
Although standardization of the process of care is essential, patients differ markedly in biological and social characteristics mandating surgical care that is precisely tailored to the individual patient. This calls for integration of evidence-based rules, surgeons’ experience, as well as patients’ individual requirements.61 Individualization of diagnostic, prognostic, and treatment strategies is thus accessible in precision surgery. Distinct from the rigidity of rules reflected in standardization, precision surgery also highlights individualization and flexibility in the application of rules.

In liver surgery, the adaptation is demonstrated in the choice of the procedure of hepatectomy, surgical access, method of liver blood control, technique for parenchyma transaction, etc., for the specific patient. Regular hepatectomy is preferred in cases with a sufficient functional liver remnant, segmental distribution of the lesion, and cases calling for obligatory removal of the involved proper pedicle. On the other hand, irregular resection is a more appropriate choice for tumor with limited or no infiltration, peripheral lesions that do not involve main vessels, or in patients with marginal functional liver reserve. For example, regular hepatectomy has been recommended for cystic biliary dilatations involving segmental duct of liver (third-order hepatic duct). But the
extent of cyst-involved segments vary greatly in each patient, and the surgical procedure may be individualized.62

## Precision in Liver Surgery

Advances in liver surgery have reduced blood loss, and a decline in morbidity and mortality.63–66 However, the mortality rate in extended liver resection, the rate of curative resection for liver malignancies, and postoperative long-term survival are still far from satisfactory.67 Therefore, we hope the concept of precision will help propel liver surgery into a brand new era.

### The Strategy for Precision Liver Surgery

In liver surgery, the effectiveness lies in the eradication of target lesion, safety in sufficient compensation of liver remnant, and minimal invasiveness in attenuating surgical trauma without compromising effectiveness and safety. The strategy of precision liver surgery is to seek the precise balance among 3M: maximizing the removal of the target lesion, maximizing the functional liver remnant, and minimizing surgical invasiveness.

### Strategy for Maximizing the Removal of Target Lesion

Maximizing the removal of the target lesion is the premise of surgical therapeutic effectiveness. *Target lesion* refers to the partial or entire pathology of the intra- and extrahepatic region, the entire removal of which can achieve the goal of locoregional control, elimination of symptoms, and even cure of the disease. The extent of the target lesion varies in light of the underlying disease (►Fig. 4).

### Precise Evaluation of the Target Lesion

Maximizing the removal of target lesion begins with an accurate evaluation for the extent of the target lesion, including the detected lesion itself, along with the potential involvement beyond detection yet within deduction. Precision liver surgery integrates high-resolution imaging, such as multidetector CT, high-field MRI, contrast-enhanced ultrasound, and IOUS to detect the minimal foci and to accurately assess the tumor stage.28–30 Morphology is modulated by an understanding of the expected biological behaviors of the tumor to surmise its pathologic boundary. Although in current practice, a preoperative examination should accurately predict resectability, laparoscopy may be used for exploration and staging to exclude intraperitoneal metastasis or regional advanced malignancies.58,69

### Downstaging of Unresectable Tumors

For some unresectable hepatobiliary malignancies, downstaging treatment has been applied to create conditions for radical resection. In recent years, several studies suggest that

---

**Fig. 4** Determination of the extent of the target lesion (outlined by the yellow line). In cases with simple giant hepatic cysts, it is only necessary to remove the outwardly protruding wall of the cysts (A). In cases with hepatic benign tumor, the target lesion is defined as the tumor itself. Tumorectomy along its boundary is preferred for hemangioma (B). For some benign cholangiopathy that involves the segmental hepatic ducts or more proximal branches, such as hepatolithothiasis or the cystic dilation of hepatic ducts, the target lesion includes the involved hepatic ducts and their draining segments. Target lesion of a localized-type hepatolithothiasis is shown in (C). GB, gallbladder. For malignant hepatic tumors with a propensity for portal invasion and metastasis, the target lesion would include the tumor itself and the potentially invaded peritumoral tissue. Resection of the tumor-bearing segment with minimal metastases (white arrow) is preferred in this advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (D).
for previously unresectable colorectal liver metastases, ~22.5% of the cases can eventually undergo radical resection after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. For those HCC cases that cannot tolerate primary radical resection, transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) and internal radiation therapy can reduce the size and number of tumors to facilitate secondary radical resection. Some studies indicate that ~8 to 18% of the previously unresectable HCC cases receive successful secondary resection following downstaging treatment, with a 5-year survival ranging from 24.9 to 57%.48

Oncologic Principles during Surgery
The major principle of oncologic resection is to eliminate the target lesion en bloc with an adequate tumor-negative margin using tumor-free approaches.70

For advanced HCC, ideally, the closest margin from the tumor edge should be at least 1 cm due to its propensity of local infiltration. Because portal dissemination is frequently observed, the preferred procedure should be regular resection of the tumor-bearing segment. We advocate that the optimal resection margin should include the boundary of the tumor-bearing segment with at least 1-cm margin distance from the tumor’s edge in a regular hepatectomy. There is strong evidence in favor of anatomical resection for HCC with a diameter of 2 to 5 cm although the survival benefit for HCC greater than 5 cm has been questioned.71,72 For colorectal liver metastases, the current evidence does not favor anatomical hepatectomy over nonanatomical hepatectomy, with a preferred resection margin exceeding 1 cm.73–75 However, these issues remain controversial.

For both primary and metastatic liver tumors, the surgical outcome is closely related to its regional lymph node metastasis, but the necessity of lymphadenectomy and its extent vary in different malignancies.76–78 The cholangiocyt- e-originated malignancies, including hilar cholangiocarcinoma, intrahepatic cholangiocellular carcinoma, and advanced gallbladder carcinoma, are characterized by a propensity to regional lymph metastasis, thus locoregional lymphadenectomy should be an integral component of the resection.

For hepatobiliary malignancies involving major hepatic vasculature, hepatectomy combined with vascular resection and reconstruction can substantially increase the rate of curative resection and the overall survival.79–81 For advanced hilar cholangiocarcinoma, hepatectomy combined with simultaneous resection and reconstruction of portal vein and hepatic artery has promoted the R0 resection rate to 66%, and the 5-year survival rate to 30.3%.79

To avoid iatrogenic spread of tumor, en bloc resection technique and the no-touch principle should be followed. The orthotopic liver resection, also known as anterior approach hepatectomy, is recommended for the resection of huge liver tumors.82

Individualized Assessment of the Safety Limit for Liver Resection
The resectable volume is limited by the extremity of the liver functional compensation. The safety limit for liver resection depends on the minimal functional liver volume required for body needs,83 which we named the essential functional liver volume (EFLV, Vs in formulas). The EFLV is based mainly on the standard liver volume (SLV, Vr in formulas) and the status of the functional liver reserve.

\[ V_S = \beta \cdot V_r \]
\[ \beta = \frac{V_E}{V_S} \]

The SLV of each patient is relatively constant, and it is estimated from the human body surface area or body weight.84 \( \beta \) here is a patient-specific coefficient that is a function negatively related to the functional liver reserve.

Because the EFLV cannot be determined in an absolutely precise way for a given individual, the true value of \( \beta \) cannot be accessed. In practice, with the inferred EFLV and estimated SLV, we can use the ratio of EFLV to SLV (standardized ratio of EFLV, Rs in formulas) as the estimated value of \( \beta \).

\[ R_{SE} = \frac{V_E}{V_S} \]
\[ V_F = R_{SE} \cdot V_S \]

The SLLR (Vs in formulas) refers to the maximal resectable liver volume with only the EFLV preserved, which equals to the total liver volume (TLV, Vt in formulas) subtracted the EFLV.

\[ V_{SR} = V_t - V_S = V_t - R_{SE} \cdot V_S \]

And the prerequisite for a safe liver resection is that the functional volume of liver remnant (RFLV, Vs in formulas) is higher than EFLV, which means that the ratio of RFLV to SLV (standard ratio of RFLV, RSR in formulas) is higher than RSE.

\[ V_E \geq V_S = R_{SE} \cdot V_S \]
\[ V_S / V_r = R_{SR} \geq R_{SE} \]

Currently, there are some decision-making criteria for safe liver resection, as proposed by Makuuchi85 and Clavien,86 which are useful in clinical practice. In our center, we consider the presence of cirrhosis, Child-Pugh functional classification, and ICG R15 to assess the functional liver reserve and quantify the safety limit of liver resection with RSE and establish a decision tree for liver resection (Fig. 5).34 Retrospective studies show that, compared with the two criteria mentioned above, our decision tree expands the indications for liver resection, with no increase in posthepatectomy liver failure.85 This algorithm has become the Chinese consensus.

Measures to Increase the Functional Volume of Liver Remnant
If the predicted RFLV is less than the EFLV, or RSR < RSE, methods must be applied to enlarge the functional liver remnant. It is therefore important to eliminate any reversible factors of liver injury such as obstructive jaundice, fatty change, and chemotherapy-induced liver injury.86,87 For
cases with chemotherapy-induced liver injury, liver resection is delayed at least 6 to 8 weeks after chemotherapy to allow the recovery of liver function.\textsuperscript{88}

Preoperative selective portal vein embolization is one of the effective approaches to induce hypertrophy of the potential liver remnant. Published work indicates that the mean hypertrophy rate of the future liver remnant was 37.9\%, 4 weeks following PSPVE, and 80\% of patients were able to withstand planned hepatectomy.\textsuperscript{50} In cases with insufficient hypertrophy following PSPVE, in situ liver split as proposed by the Berlin team may permit a marked and rapid hypertrophy following PSPVE, in situ liver split as proposed by the Berlin team may permit a marked and rapid hypertrophy of functional liver tissue.\textsuperscript{89,90}

Parenchymal sparing surgery will enhance the safety of resection, particular in the surgery of metastases in which repeat resection may be required. Radiofrequency ablation is complementary following major resection to eliminate residual foci within liver remnant, which can save more liver parenchyma.\textsuperscript{91}

\textbf{Preservation for the Structural and Functional Integrity of Liver Remnant}

Vascular and biliary integrity are prerequisites for function of the liver remnant. Although daunting and technically demanding, optimal preoperative evaluation, surgical planning, and operative manipulation permits excision and reconstruction of involved vessels without compromising oncologic principles. Vascular reconstruction is indicated when the functional volume of liver remnant is insufficient or $R_{SR} < R_{SE}$, or the probability of ischemic necrosis within liver remnant is substantial. In complex liver resection, a computer-assisted surgical planning system can help identify vascular variations and the hepatic territory at risk for ischemia, thereby refining the indications for vascular reconstruction.

In addition to the arteries, portal veins and biliary pedicles, the outflow of the liver may require reconstruction particularly if remnant volume is marginal. This may include the reconstruction of the vena cava if resection of the retrohepatic inferior vena cava (IVC) impeded the outflow of the liver and/or kidney.\textsuperscript{92,93} However, in cases of complete IVC obstruction below the hepatic venous convergence with full collateral compensation, IVC reconstruction may be avoided (\textbf{Fig. 5}).

The control of ischemia-reperfusion (I/R) injury is paramount and inflow occlusion during resection must be managed to attenuate this phenomenon. Current investigations have established that controlled low-inflow state with isolated occlusion of the portal vein can significantly reduce I/R injury to liver remnant with no increase in blood loss, compared with the Pringle maneuver.\textsuperscript{94-97} In our center, this method is preferred and we apply isolated occlusion of portal vein or no flow occlusion in cases with severe parenchymal damage and critical liver remnant as well as graft harvesting for live-donor-liver transplantation.

\textbf{Strategy for Minimizing Surgical Invasiveness}

Through a series of measures over the course of the entire perioperative period, we can reduce the collective effects of local, systemic, and psychological trauma induced by liver resection.

\textbf{Control of the Intraoperative Blood Loss}

Blood loss is an independent risk factor closely related to the early and long-term prognosis for hepatectomy.\textsuperscript{98,99} Anesthetic strategies such as controlling central venous pressure (CVP) ($< 5$ cm H\textsubscript{2}O), reduces venous blood loss during parenchymal transection.\textsuperscript{100} A variety of techniques of inflow control, such as the Pringle maneuver, dissection and occlusion of hepatic artery and portal vein, or selective semihepatic vascular occlusion, can effectively control bleeding during liver resection. For some huge central tumors involving the convergence of hepatic veins or IVC, total vascular exclusion (TVE) with or without extracorporeal venous bypass can be an option.\textsuperscript{101,102} If complex vascular resection and reconstruction is unable to be completed in situ with controllable bleeding, the liver surgery can be performed ex vivo with excision and reimplantation of the liver after removal of the tumor.\textsuperscript{103,104}
Attenuation of Tissue Damage
Surgeons need to operate under the general principles of gentle manipulation and delicate dissection to minimize surgical invasiveness. The minimally invasive techniques of liver parenchymal transection, such as the fine clamp-crushing technique, CUSA, and harmonic scalpel should be rationally utilized to reduce damage to the liver parenchyma and vascular within the liver remnant.

Minimal Access Surgery
Laparoscopic hepatectomy is a minimally invasive approach, but with limited control of the accuracy of the manipulation limiting its application. Established indications include single D ≤ 5-cm tumor located in segments 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.105 Robotic surgical systems have enabled the surgeons to perform controlled hepatectomy with high accuracy in dissection of the hilum, hepatocaval dissection, endoscopic suturing, and microanastomosis.106 Our preliminary experience shows that robotic-assisted laparoscopic anatomical hepatectomy can be performed precisely with a much lower complication and conversion rate than conventional laparoscopic hepatectomy.20

Accelerate the Recovery
A group of interventions grouped under the concept of “fast track” surgical management may be applied to liver surgery including optimal analgesic strategies, appropriate intravenous infusion, early-stage enteral nutrition, and aggressive early mobilization.107,108 These considerations are of increased importance in the subset of patients at high risk for PHLF, including those with small-sized liver remnant, prolonged vascular occlusion, or massive intraoperative bleeding.

Decision Making in Precision Liver Surgery
Conceptually, if each of the 3M of surgery approaches the level of certainty, each of the 3O of surgical service would be optimized. To incorporate determinacy in practice, surgical decision making on a single dimension of the 3M is grounded on acquisition of sufficient certainty, and management of the remnant yet influential uncertainties. We emphasize that, in the face of imperfect information, the certainty needed for a sound decision, while diminished, is adequate for safe and effective practice. For example, for a relatively simple case such as a benign neoplasm with limited involvement, imaging with high resolution may not be necessary for decision making. On the other hand, high-quality imaging might be essential to support a decision to observe a lesion on the supposition that it is malignant. Precision in surgery may be enhanced through the use of decision analysis, in which nodes of uncertainty are populated with estimated probabilities based on real data. The identification and management

Fig. 6 Decision for vascular reconstruction in liver resection. (A) Left trisectionectomy combined with resection of the right branch and confluence of the portal vein was performed for a case of hilar cholangiocarcinoma, followed by reconstruction of the main trunk of the portal vein with the stumps of P7 and P6. (B) Subsegmentectomy for S4a with resection of the involved middle hepatic vein was performed in a case with hepatocellular carcinoma, followed by reconstruction of the middle hepatic vein with an autologous graft (AG) from the internal jugular vein. LHV, left hepatic vein, MHV, middle hepatic vein. (C,D) This is a case of hepatic alveolar echinococcosis. Computed tomography scan shows the retrohepatic inferior vena cava (IVC) was completely invaded with the hemiazygos vein open (HAV; white arrow). An extracorporeal right trisectionectomy with resection of the retrohepatic IVC (white arrow) was performed, followed by autologous liver transplantation with the left lateral lobe. The left hepatic vein was reconstructed with the right portal vein, while the IVC was not reconstructed due to collateral compensation from HAV.
of critical uncertainties involved in a defined objective of 3O may be quantitatively arranged into the relative probabilities of a set of possible states, each exerting different, but definite effect on the outcome of surgical intervention. A series of feasible surgical options may then be generated in accordance with the quantified uncertainties, each with a predictable prognosis. Determined by utility analysis, the alternative fulfilling the anticipated outcome would be preferred. Thus, uncertainty and its potential undesired consequences are mitigated. As an example, the uncertainty of the extent of invasion of a liver malignancy may be arranged as the aggregate probabilities of a set of possible pathologic states based on the tumor factors. This measured uncertainty would generate a series of feasible procedures with various extents of resection yielding different yet definite prognosis. In the absence of a contraindication to a more radical resection, the alternative that removes the target lesion with minimal risk of recurrence is preferred.

However, in the practice of liver surgery, the overall outcome is not the simple sum of effects on each dimension due to their interaction of other factors. In fact, a single solution that optimizes all of the 3O is uncommon because this problem involves multobjectives along with constraints on what combinations of those 3O are attainable, especially with the presence of uncertainties. We have to solve this multiojective optimization problem with strategies to seek a precise balance of 3M, and ultimately to achieve the unity of 3O. The most commonly applied method is to convert the original problem with three objectives into a single-objective optimization problem. This is called a scalarized problem. For a specific patient, if it is obvious that one M were least important or can be evaluated with highest degree of certainty, it can be predefined or transformed into a constraint. Then with a designated second M, we evaluate each alternative decision among the varying third M via quantifying and predicting the definite probability of occurrence of the undesired event and its possible consequences. Thus, a single-objective optimization problem is formulated, whose optimal solution becomes the solution to the original three-objective optimization problem. With different parameters for the scalarization, different alternative solutions are produced. The one that could achieve the anticipated outcome with the most controllable risk would be taken as the treatment of choice.

Although currently in practice, our process for ranking the alternatives is judgment-based, and sometimes nonquantitative; in principal, it can use an aggregate objective function to rate the alternative set of predicted outcomes. We believe that in the future, digital assistance with an established mathematical model might be developed to carry out the task of multiojective optimization.

Case Demonstration for Decision Making and Surgical Planning in Precision Liver Surgery

Decision Making
Here we will use a case of Caroli disease to illustrate our clinical decision-making process and surgical-planning pro-

Maximize the Removal of the Target Lesion
Caroli disease is a benign disease, but has propensity for malignant transformation.109 Aside from this disease, the patient was thought to have a long life expectancy. Considering her quality of life, disease-free survival was deemed to be the priority. Based on current best evidence, elimination of the target lesion in Caroli disease would provide a favorable long-term outcome. The necessity of lesion removal could then be defined with certainty. The surgical options that would not achieve elimination were excluded.

Minimize the Surgical Invasiveness
The patient was young and robust with a relatively high tolerance of surgical trauma. This dimension would then be scalarized into a constraining condition due to its least importance. If performed with refined bleeding and trauma control, major intervention would be tolerated; a less invasive approach, laparoscopic hepatectomy, was excluded due to its relatively poor accuracy and controllability.

Maximize the Functional Liver Remnant
After scalarization, the three-objective optimization problem is reduced to this one. Although the initial evaluation indicated bilobar involvement posing the consideration that the only long-term curative procedure would be liver transplantation.110 However, due to the lifelong consequences of immunosuppression as well as the problems associated with access to transplantation, it was determined that liver transplantation, total or auxiliary, should be avoided if possible. Though the process is bilobar, advanced imaging identified a territory of the liver that was uninvolved that would be the destined surgical remnant. The theory and techniques of precision enabled us to identify the aggressive hepatectomy as the optimal surgical solution that would balance surgical risk with the probability of long-term benefit.
For this patient, the SLV was calculated as 1292 mL. With no underlying disease, preserving 20% of the SLV was required, which was an EFLV of 258 mL. However, the total volume of uninvolved segments \(S_2 + S_3\) was 208 mL (16.1%), which was less than the EFLV. A relatively simple resection option, right trisectionectomy was too risky because of the small remnant. Preoperative selective portal vein embolization to enlarge the remnant was an option, but was not technically possible because the right portal vein was too thin. Thus, it was necessary to design a more complex procedure that would preserve the uninvolved portions of \(S_1, S_5,\) and \(S_6\), increasing the estimated functional liver remnant volume (FLRV) to 31.5% of SLV. The high complexity of this surgical procedure entails a risk of massive bleeding and postoperative liver failure. The elements favoring this approach include surgical tolerance of the patient, and that availability of advanced surgical and anesthetic capability for a complex resection. The surgical procedure chosen was therefore a regular hepatectomy of \(S_4, S_7,\) and \(S_8,\) and irregular hepatectomy of \(S_1, S_5,\) and \(S_6.\)

**Surgical Planning**

**Determine the Region of Obligatory Liver Resection**
Diffuse involvement of \(S_4, S_7,\) and \(S_8\) demonstrated the necessary for total removal, and partial involvement of \(S_1, S_5,\) and \(S_6\) suggested irregular resection should be performed.

**Determine the Obligatory Extent of Liver Preservation**
In this case, it included the uninvolved segments \(S_2, S_3\) and the uninvolved portion of \(S_1, S_5,\) and \(S_6.\)

**Determine the Volume, Structure, and Function of the Potential Liver Remnant**
The potential liver remnant included \(S_2, S_3,\) and the preservable portion of \(S_1, S_5,\) and \(S_6.\) Its volume was assessed as 407 mL without underlying disease, which was sufficient for compensation. The planned resection would not affect the inflow and outflow of \(S_1, S_2,\) and \(S_6.\) But drainage of \(S_5, S_6,\) is dominated by the right hepatic vein (RHV), which had to be removed along with the lesion.

---

**Fig. 7 Illustration of preoperative evaluation and surgical procedure in a patient with Caroli disease.**

(A) Preoperative computed tomography imaging. (B) Preoperative magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography. (C) 3D reconstruction indicated that most of the liver is involved by cystic dilation of peripheral bile ducts, except the trunk of the biliary tree, \(S_2, S_3,\) part of \(S_4, S_5,\) the Spigel lobe, and caudate process of \(S_1,\) (D) 3D reconstruction analysis revealed that drainage of \(S_5, S_6\) was dominated by the right hepatic vein (RHV). (E) Intraoperative view of the extent of the lesion and the uninvolved portion of \(S_5, S_6.\) (F) RHV was found after transection on the irregular plane within \(S_5, S_6\) between the involved portion and normal parenchyma. (G) Outflow of \(S_5, S_6\) was reconstructed with autologous graft (AG) of resected RHV. (H) There was no sign of congestion or ischemia in the liver remnant. (I) The resected specimen.
Determine the Optionally Resectable Extent and the Rational Resection Extent
In this case, to maximize preservation of normal liver parenchyma, the region of obligatory resection was determined as the rational resection extent.

Determine the Optimal Procedure for Hepatectomy and the Parenchyma Transection Plane
The transection plane needed to be optimized via virtual hepatectomy with the computer-assisted surgical-planning system. On the left, a regular transection plane between S4 and S2, S3 was designed; on the right inferior, an irregular plane was designed within S5, and S6 between the involved portion and normal parenchyma.

Determine the Vessels to Be Resected and Reconstructed
The Glisson pedicles of S4, S7, and S8 would be transected, as would the short hepatic vein, RHV, and middle hepatic vein (MHV). Absence of the inferior right hepatic vein and planned resection of the RHV would render RHV reconstruction obligatory to give S5 and S6 an outflow tract.

Determine the Surgical Risk and Its Management
This was an aggressive hepatectomy, with a marginal liver remnant. The estimated RSR was just slightly higher than the RSG. The transection plane would involve many major vessels and would leave a huge raw surface; there was high risk of massive bleeding and insurmountable surgical stress, which might lead to PHLF. The procedure must be carefully designed to minimize I/R injury to the intended liver remnant and limiting blood loss. In this case, S2 and S3, which were of paramount importance to recovery, were designated not to undergo ischemia. Reconstruction of the RHV made occlusion of S5 and S6 obligatory, yet the duration needed to be minimized. Intraoperatively, we planned to apply IOUS as guidance to ensure controllability and manipulative accuracy and prevent vascular injury.

Determine the Surgical Process, Operative Access, and Critical Techniques
In this case, we elected to emphasize certain key points: (1) sparing the normal liver parenchyma; (2) reconstruction of vascular integrity of S5 and S6; (3) control of blood loss. Cavitron ultrasonic surgical aspirator, as a preferred transection technique, would minimize the loss of normal parenchyma. Parenchyma was transected without portal occlusion to reduce I/R injury. Continuous low CVP (< 5 cm H2O) was implemented to control blood loss. During RHV reconstruction, inflow occlusion of S5 and S6 would be necessarily applied, and the resected RHV was used for autologous reconstruction.

Determine the Need for Adjuvant Therapy and Perioperative Management
After aggressive hepatectomy, hypervolemia would cause congestion in the liver remnant. Control of circulation volume would be crucial to prevent small-for-size syndrome. Homostasis must be maintained to ensure liver regeneration. Extra attention must also be paid to prevention and management of complications.

The procedure was performed strictly according to the preoperative protocol on May 26, 2008, the total blood loss was 2,600 mL and the volume of autohematotransfusion was 1,250 mL. The RHV was occluded for 30 minutes during the outflow reconstruction of S5 and S6. The patient recovered uneventfully except for a transient elevation of total bilirubin, and was discharged on the 10th postoperative day. A CT scan 29 days after the operation showed significant liver regeneration. Follow-up demonstrated that the patient enjoyed high quality of life and gave birth to a healthy child 2 years after the operation.

Perspectives of Precision Liver Surgery
The delicacy in the structure and the complexity in function of the liver along with variability in the pathophysiology of liver disease pose exceptional surgical challenges. Despite the advances in surgical practice involving the liver, it remains impossible to completely rule out uncertainty and acquire total security from error. Though the end state of absolute determinacy might never be realized, the need for artistry generated by uncertainty makes surgery an extraordinarily appealing field and motivated medical development. This interplay will likely never end, with the evolution of medicine a process of diminishing uncertainty.

Although the theoretical and technological foundation of precision liver surgery has been established, the wide reproducibility of this approach to practice is yet to come. To achieve determinacy, disease-related, patient-specific information must be obtained with greater certainty. The goal of personalized medicine would integrate the analysis of disease relevant molecular data to enable us to refine and precisely tailor the surgical service.

Developments in translation research will facilitate the evolution of new rules in liver surgery. These include better prediction of biological behaviors of malignancies, the capacity of the liver to regenerate, management of I/R injury, and exploration of the therapeutic potential of stem cells in liver disease. In clinical practice, evidence-based rules must also be clearly established along with the interpretation of cause-effect relationships between predictive factors and outcomes; this will endow surgical practice with greater predictability and better standardization.

Technologically, digitized medicine has been playing a key role in improving the predictability and controllability of surgical practice. In the near future, a series of novel technologies should be investigated intensively, including 3D quantitative regional assessment of liver function, intraoperative real-time imaging and navigation, as well as systematic optimization of operative techniques, and digital-assisted decision-making equipment.

The paradigm of precision surgery is consistent with the evolution toward a multidisciplinary architecture within health care organizations. This leads to an in-depth patient-centered integration of diagnostic, predictive, and therapeutic technology to functionalize the multidisciplinary team.
We expect that this approach, precision liver surgery, that incorporates life sciences, information technology, biomedical engineering, and digital imaging technology will ignite a revolution of technology in our field.  

Born in the 19th century and having prospered in the 20th, liver surgery is now about to be revolutionized by the idea of precision as we work toward perfecting surgery in the 21st century. The myth of Prometheus is now undergoing realization, and it is our duty to bring to bear the clinical engineering, and digital imaging technology will ignite a new era of liver surgery.
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