Transcranial Sonography (TCS) of Brain Parenchyma in Movement Disorders: Quality Standards, Diagnostic Applications and Novel Technologies
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Abstract
Transcranial B-mode sonography (TCS) of brain parenchyma is being increasingly used as a diagnostic tool in movement disorders. Compared to other neuroimaging modalities such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography, TCS can be performed today with portable machines and has the advantages of noninvasiveness and high resistance to movement artifacts. In distinct brain disorders TCS detects abnormalities that cannot be visualized or can only be visualized with significant effort with other imaging methods. In the field of movement disorders, TCS has been established mainly as a tool for the early and differential diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease. The postoperative position control of deep brain stimulation electrodes, especially in the subthalamic nucleus, can reliably and safely be performed with TCS. The present update review summarizes the current methodological standards and defines quality criteria of adequate TCS imaging and assessment of diagnostically relevant deep brain structures such as substantia nigra, brainstem raphe, basal ganglia and ventricles. Finally, an overview is given on recent technological advances including TCS-MRI fusion imaging and upcoming technologies of digitized image analysis aiming at a more investigator-independent assessment of deep brain structures on TCS.

Zusammenfassung

Introduction
Transcranial B-mode sonography (TCS) of deep brain structures has been established as a tool for the diagnosis and monitoring of degenerative brain disorders in the past decade [1]. Several years ago, TCS could be recommended only with high-end ultrasound systems (US-S) [1, 2]. Meanwhile, technological advances have enabled standard applications (assessment of the substantia nigra [SN],
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measurement of ventricle widths) with sufficient quality even with a portable US-S [3]. With contemporary high-end US-S, excellent image resolution of deep brain structures is achieved which can be superior to that of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) under clinical conditions [4]. Compared to MRI and computed tomography, the advances of TCS are its high mobility, short investigation times, non-invasiveness, and low cost. Involuntary head motion in movement disorder patients can be well compensated by the investigator. Most of all, TCS detects abnormalities that are not seen, or only seen with significant effort, with other neuroimaging modalities. The present article gives an overview of the current methodological standard and defines criteria of adequate image quality for diagnostically relevant deep brain structures. In addition, recent technological advances including MRI-TCS fusion imaging and semi-automated visualization techniques are reviewed.

Method

Equipment

For TCS an optimized US-S (recommended settings, Table 1) equipped with a 2.0- to 3.5-MHz phased-array transducer is used. With it the highest image resolution is achieved at an image depth (distance from the transducer face) of 5 – 9 cm which is the so-called focal zone of the transducer [4]. Due to the physical characteristics of ultrasound beams, the image resolution in the axial direction (i.e., along the axis of ultrasound propagation) is about two- to threefold higher than in the lateral direction, usually about 0.7 × 2 mm. This is the cause of some typical imaging artifacts (e.g. enlargement of small, highly echogenic structures in the lateral direction). Meanwhile, contemporary systems achieve a higher image resolution in the focal zone of up to 0.7 × 1.1 mm thanks to intelligent image post-processing technologies [4]. It should be stressed that system-specific image-processing technologies influence distinct measurements such as the assessment of echogenic areas of small brain structures. That is why normal ranges especially for SN echogenic areas need to be obtained separately for each different US-S. The application of tissue harmonic imaging (THI) rather than the conventional imaging mode increases the tissue contrast and can therefore enable an easier delineation of small echogenic structures, e.g. the SN. THI however largely depends on the quality of the bone window and is not recommended as a standard tool for measurements [2].

Investigation procedures

The patient is placed in a supine position on an examination chair with a variably adjustable lean part. The investigation room should be darkened. The investigator sits behind the patient’s head. For the usually performed transtemporal investigation, the TCS transducer is placed on the right temple near the ear and parallel to the orbitomeatal line in order to obtain a standardized axial view of intracranial structures. An important precondition for obtaining valid TCS findings is the identification and keeping of the optimum bone window for insonation. For this, the transducer is moved near the anterior helix of the ear conch to find the position with the best available visualization of brain structures and the contralateral skull bone. Once the optimum position has been found, it is kept by pressing the transducer as well as the small finger-ulnar edge of the hand firmly against the patient’s head throughout the whole examination. Even if applying optimum US-S settings (Table 1), assessment of intracranial structures may be not or only partially possible due to an insufficient transtemporal bone window which is found in 5 – 40% of patients depending on age, sex and geographic origin [3 – 6].

Quality criterion of good image quality: The contralateral skull bone is clearly visualized over its whole extension in the imaging sector.

In neurodegenerative diseases transtemporal TCS is usually carried out on standardized axial imaging planes (Fig. 1). For some diagnostic questions TCS is additionally performed on semi-coronal and coronal or transfrontal sagittal imaging planes, e.g. for the assessment of the corpus callosum or the localization of deep brain stimulation (DBS) electrodes [5 – 7]. TCS findings can be categorized into two types. The first is the semi-quantitative or quantitative assessment of the echogenicity of brainstem structures (SN, red nucleus, midbrain raphe) and basal ganglia (thalamus, lenticular nucleus, head of caudate nucleus), optionally also of the cerebellum and other deep brain structures (e.g. white matter, hippocampal region). The second is the measurement of widths (optionally the cross-sectional area) of the 4th ventricle, 3rd ventricle, frontal horns of lateral ventricles and if needed the cella media.

Quality criterion of adequate standard of TCS report: The report includes the rating (normal vs. abnormal) and, if obtained, the quantitative measures of echogenicity of SN, red nucleus, midbrain raphe, lenticular nucleus, caudate nucleus (and of other brain structures if investigated) as well as the widths of the 3rd ventricle and frontal horns of lateral ventricles (and of other brain structures if investigated) as well as the final interpretation of TCS findings in response to the diagnostic question.

Midbrain structures

Visualization and assessment

For many diagnostic questions in movement disorders, the visualization of midbrain structures (SN, raphe) on an axial imaging plane is of importance.

Quality criterion of adequate midbrain visualization: The butterfly-shaped midbrain transection surrounded by the highly echogenic basal cisterns (cisterna ambiens, cisterna quadrigemina, cisterna suprasellaris) is completely displayed.
The SN echosignals may have a patchy, band-like or sometimes wide oval appearance (Fig. 2). Its appearance may slightly vary even in the same individual if using different transducer angulations or various US-S [8]. This variation is caused by the arched anatomic structure of the SN, the TCS image composition from an about 2-mm thick “slice” of the brain, the 1.5- to 3-fold higher axial than lateral TCS image resolution, and different imaging technologies of diverse US-S [6, 8]. The best-validated method to grade SN echogenicity is the planimetric measurement of the SN echogenic sizes on an axial plane [1, 6, 8 – 10]. Semiquantitative visual grading is less reliable [6, 10]. Novel technologies, aiming to reduce investigator dependency, such as measuring the echointensity of the SN relative to the surrounding parenchyma, volumetry, semi-automated SN detection, or complex mathematical echo-signal analysis, have either failed or are not yet ready for clinical application [6, 11–13]. Because of potential interference with echosignals originating from structures of the basal cisterns, the echogenicity of the SN is assessed only ipsilaterally to insonation. Therefore, SN TCS needs to be performed from both sides. The axial midbrain transection showing the echosignals of the ipsilateral SN in its largest extension is located by slight tilting of the probe. The clearest, most compact view of signals of the SN echogenicity should only be classified as reduced if TCS imaging conditions. The normal ranges need to be established for each different US-S and because of some potential investigator dependency also for each different lab. For this, at least 50 healthy adults should be investigated bilaterally. Table 2 summarizes the cut-off values published so far for various US-S [3, 10, 16–18, 20–25]. The evaluation of the midbrain raphe is more demanding due to a higher variability of its sonographic appearance depending on the US-S quality and settings (Table 1). Moreover, the assessment of the raphe is anatomically restricted to the lower midbrain with obligatory simultaneous visualization of the red nucleus. While in early reports 4 grades of echogenicity were applied (1: raphe not visible; 2: reduced or interrupted echogenicity; 3: normal, i.e. continuous line with an echogenicity similar to that of red nucleus, 4: increased echogenicity) [26], a current consensus guideline recommends the discrimination of only of two grades (normal vs. reduced echogenicity) [2]. Raphe echogenicity should only be classified as reduced if TCS from both sides shows reduced echogenicity under adequate imaging conditions.
signals at its medial and lateral border, respectively. Sometimes
the echosignals of the SN but, if so, this usually does not relevantly inter-
fer with measurements of the SN echogenic area. Occasionally a
healthy subject exhibits marked echogenicity of the red nucleus
in its full anatomical extension. This finding is rated as a hypere-
chogenicity even though the diagnostic meaning is unclear.

▶ Quality criterion of adequate assessability of the red nucleus:
Basal cisterns, SN and midline structures (raphe, aqueduct)
are clearly displayed.

**Diagnostic relevance**

SN hyperechogenicity is characteristically found in more than
90% of Parkinson’s disease (PD) patients, does not remarkably change in the disease course and is unrelated to PD severity [1]. Marked SN hyperechogenicity is also found in about 10% of healthy adults and has been associated in them with a (subclinical)
malfunction of the nigrostrial dopaminergic system [1, 16]. In a 5-year follow-up study performed in Southern Germany and
Austria of 1800 subjects at ages between 50 and 70 years without
PD at baseline, SN hyperechogenicity was associated with a
20-fold increased risk of developing PD [27]. However, the pre-
dictive value of this TCS finding alone is low since more than
80% of healthy subjects with SN hyperechogenicity will never
develop PD during their lifetime [28]. Moreover, SN hypere-
chogenicity has been found with variable frequencies in a number of other neurodegenerative diseases [28]. Still, SN TCS is helpful for the discrimination of PD from essential tremor [21, 29]. For the
differentiation of PD from atypical Parkinsonian syndromes,
SN TCS should be combined with TCS of other brain structures
c (Table 3), or with distinct clinical findings [1, 30 – 33]. The
combined presence of normal SN echogenicity and lenticular nu-
cleus hyperechogenicity clearly discriminates atypical Parkinsonian
syndromes from idiopathic PD [30, 31]. In turn, the triad of
SN hyperechogenicity, motor asymmetry and hypomnesia is highly
predictive for PD already at very early disease stages [32]. The
results of several studies underpin the idea that risk scores com-
prising the finding of SN hyperechogenicity and other risk mar-
kers may be valuable in the prediction of subsequent PD [27, 32,
33]. The recently issued recommendations of the European Fed-
eration of Neurological Societies and the European Section of the
Movement Disorder Society for the diagnosis of PD state that TCS is
recommended (Level A) for: (I) the differential diagnosis of PD
from atypical Parkinsonian syndromes and secondary Parkinsonian
syndromes, (II) the early diagnosis of PD and (III) the detec-
tion of subjects at risk for PD [33].

A reduced SN echogenicity (hypoechogenicity) has been dem-
onstrated in patients with idiopathic restless legs syndrome
[34, 35]. SN hypoechogenicity has been proposed to be present at
a sum value ≤ 0.20 cm² of bilaterally measured SN echogenic
areas [35]. Its diagnostic value, however, remains to be estab-
lished.

The SN represents an important landmark structure for the sono-
graphic assessment of DBS electrode position in PD patients with
DBS of the subthalamic nucleus. Optimum DBS electrode position
in the subthalamic nucleus can be diagnosed on TCS if in the axial
or slightly semi-axial sonogram of the midbrain the virtual tip of
DBS electrode touches or “dives within” the echosignals of the SN
which are usually hyperechogenic and therefore easily recogniz-
able in PD patients (Fig. 3d) [7].

A reduced echogenicity of the midbrain raphe has been detected in
about 10% of the normal population but in 50 – 70 % of patients
with depressive disorders, and is discussed to reflect an altera-
![Fig. 2 TCS findings at axial midbrain transection.](a) In the center of the image, the butterfly-shaped, weakly echogenic midbrain is displayed which is surrounded by the highly echogenic basal cisterns (indicated by triangles). This individual exhibits a bilaterally enlarged echogenic area of substantia nigra (SN hyperechogenicity, long arrows). The lateral echosignal of ipsilateral red nucleus (arrow head) here is in conjunction with the echo-
signals of the SN. The short arrow indicates the typically highly echogenic aqueduct. (b) TCS image of the midbrain after zooming the image shown in (a) in this panel, the echosignals of the SN are surrounded (here: enlarged echogenic area; arrow head: red nucleus; arrow: normal echogenic raphe). Note the imaging artifact originating from the basal cistern at typical position (long arrows) that should not be mistaken for SN echosignals. (c) TCS images of a subject with normal echogenic SN (long arrows; short arrow: aqueduct; arrow head: ipsilateral red nucleus) but a reduced echogenicity of midbrain raphe. (d) TCS image of the midbrain after zooming the image shown in (c) in this panel, the echosignals of the SN are surrounded (here: normal echogenic area). Note the reduced echogenicity of midbrain raphe which is invisible in this example (arrow; arrow head: red nucleus).

▶ Quality criterion of adequate assessability of the midbrain ra-
phe: Basal cisterns, aqueduct and red nucleus are clearly dis-
played.

The red nucleus, located in the caudal midbrain between the rape
and SN, is typically visualized as two small stripe-like echo-
signals at its medial and lateral border, respectively. Sometimes

### Cerebellum and 4th ventricle

#### Visualization and assessment

To approach the cerebellar imaging plane one should start at the axial midbrain plane. Then the transducer is twisted by about 45° in a semi-coronal position, with cranial movement of the anterior part of the transducer and caudal movement of the posterior part. Eventually a slight tilting of the transducer is necessary to find the optimum plane which is characterized by simultaneous display of the midbrain and thalami (Fig. 4a). On this plane the 4th ventricle can be seen in dorsal vicinity to the midbrain. In children and young adults the 4th ventricle often cannot be recognized due to its tightness [39]. However, in elderly subjects and particularly in patients with cerebellar atrophy it is usually visible. Mild cerebellar atrophy corresponds to a comma-shaped appearance, and pronounced atrophy to an oval appearance of the 4th ventricle.

- Quality criterion of adequate assessability of the 4th ventricle and dentate nucleus: Bilateral thalami, midbrain (= reference structure), the lateral borders of the 4th ventricle and central parts of the cerebellum are clearly displayed. There is currently no standard for the assessment of the cerebellum. Reported abnormal findings are 4th ventricle dilatation, a coarse appearance of the cerebellar sulci, and increased echogenicity of the dentate nuclei [39, 40].

#### Diagnostic relevance

Abnormal TCS findings of the 4th ventricle and cerebellum have been demonstrated in patients with various forms of hereditary spinocerebellar ataxia (Friedreich’s ataxia, SCA-3, SCA-17) [39, 40]. So far there are no reports showing a diagnostic specificity of abnormal cerebellum TCS findings, neither alone nor in combination with other TCS findings.

### Basal ganglia and ventricles

#### Visualization and assessment

The second standard imaging plane in the assessment of movement disorders is the axial basal ganglia plane (thalamus plane). This plane is approached, if starting from the axial midbrain plane, by tilting the transducer about 20° upwards (Fig. 1). In the case of a narrow transtemporal bone window, the transducer should be slightly shifted caudally – simultaneously with the upward tilting maneuver – in order to keep the bone window. Even if the bone window is poor, the pineal gland is mostly visible due to its tightness [39]. However, in elderly subjects and particularly in patients with cerebellar atrophy it is usually visible. Mild cerebellar atrophy corresponds to a comma-shaped appearance, and pronounced atrophy to an oval appearance of the 4th ventricle.

- Quality criterion of adequate assessability of the 4th ventricle and dentate nucleus: Bilateral thalami, midbrain (= reference structure), the lateral borders of the 4th ventricle and central parts of the cerebellum are clearly displayed. There is currently no standard for the assessment of the cerebellum. Reported abnormal findings are 4th ventricle dilatation, a coarse appearance of the cerebellar sulci, and increased echogenicity of the dentate nuclei [39, 40].

#### Diagnostic relevance

Abnormal TCS findings of the 4th ventricle and cerebellum have been demonstrated in patients with various forms of hereditary spinocerebellar ataxia (Friedreich’s ataxia, SCA-3, SCA-17) [39, 40]. So far there are no reports showing a diagnostic specificity of abnormal cerebellum TCS findings, neither alone nor in combination with other TCS findings.

### Table 3

**Typical TCS findings in normal subjects aged > 50 years and in patients with various movement disorders with a disease duration of < 5 years.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syndrome</th>
<th>Hyperechogenicity of substantia nigra</th>
<th>Hyperechogenicity of lenticular nucleus</th>
<th>Dilatation of 3rd ventricle &gt; 10 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal (age &gt; 50y) (+)</td>
<td>+ (+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson’s disease +++</td>
<td>+ (+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essential tremor + (+)</td>
<td>+ (+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA-P (+)</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSP-RS ++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticobasal degeneration +++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia with Lewy bodies +++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson’s disease +</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic dystonia (++)</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington’s disease ++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MSA-P = multiple-system atrophy, parkinsonian type; PSP-RS = progressive supranuclear palsy, Richardson syndrome.

### Table 2

**Reported cut-off values for the discrimination between normal echogenicity and hyperechogenicity of substantia nigra with different contemporary ultrasound systems.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer/ ultrasound system</th>
<th>Probe/ frequency [MHz]</th>
<th>Cut-off value [cm²]</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aloka/Prosound Alpha 10 UST-52</td>
<td>105/2.5</td>
<td>≥ 0.19</td>
<td>≥ 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esato/MyLab25 Gold PA240</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>≥ 0.20</td>
<td>≥ 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esato/MyLab Twice PA240</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>≥ 0.24</td>
<td>≥ 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Electric/Logiq 7</td>
<td>3S/2.5</td>
<td>≥ 0.24</td>
<td>≤ 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Electric/Logiq 9</td>
<td>3S/2.5</td>
<td>≥ 0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philips/HDI 5000 SonocT</td>
<td>P2 – 4/2.5</td>
<td>≥ 0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philips/HP Sonos 5500</td>
<td>S4/2.0 – 2.5</td>
<td>≥ 0.20</td>
<td>≥ 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siemens/Acuson Antares PX4 – 1/2.5</td>
<td>≥ 0.24</td>
<td>≥ 0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siemens/Sonoline Elegra 2.5PL20/2.6</td>
<td>≥ 0.20</td>
<td>≥ 0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toshiba Apio XG PST-20CT/2.5</td>
<td>≥ 0.16</td>
<td>≥ 0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SN-h 1 = substantia nigra hyperechogenicity

1 The cut-off values for the ultrasound systems Siemens Sonoline Elegra, GE Logiq 7, Philips HP Sonos 5500 and Toshiba Apio XG were obtained by studying large normal populations. With most other TCS systems, the cut-off values were derived from receiver operating characteristic curve analysis of diagnostic discrimination between Parkinson’s disease patients and healthy subjects, and/or by direct comparison of two different ultrasound systems in the same study cohort.

Findings of the central serotonergic system [26, 36]. Also PD and Huntington’s disease patients with associated depression often exhibit this TCS feature [36 – 38]. The histopathological correlates and the predictive value of this finding remain to be elucidated.

---

**SN-h** = substantia nigra hyperechogenicity

1 The cut-off values for the ultrasound systems Siemens Sonoline Elegra, GE Logiq 7, Philips HP Sonos 5500 and Toshiba Apio XG were obtained by studying large normal populations. With most other TCS systems, the cut-off values were derived from receiver operating characteristic curve analysis of diagnostic discrimination between Parkinson’s disease patients and healthy subjects, and/or by direct comparison of two different ultrasound systems in the same study cohort.

---

**Table 3**

Typical TCS findings in normal subjects aged > 50 years and in patients with various movement disorders with a disease duration of < 5 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syndrome</th>
<th>Hyperechogenicity of substantia nigra</th>
<th>Hyperechogenicity of lenticular nucleus</th>
<th>Dilatation of 3rd ventricle &gt; 10 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal (age &gt; 50y) (+)</td>
<td>+ (+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson’s disease +++</td>
<td>+ (+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essential tremor + (+)</td>
<td>+ (+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA-P (+)</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSP-RS ++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticobasal degeneration +++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia with Lewy bodies +++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson’s disease +</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic dystonia (++)</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington’s disease ++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MSA-P = multiple-system atrophy, parkinsonian type; PSP-RS = progressive supranuclear palsy, Richardson syndrome.

---

**Table 2**

Reported cut-off values for the discrimination between normal echogenicity and hyperechogenicity of substantia nigra with different contemporary ultrasound systems.
to its calcification which therefore represents an important landmark structure of this plane. The reference structures of the axial basal ganglia plane are the bilateral, weakly echogenic thalami and the 3rd ventricle which appears as a highly echogenic double line between the thalami (● Fig. 4b). Near to the midline in a more frontal position, the frontal horns of lateral ventricles are displayed. Sometimes it is necessary to tilt the transducer slightly in a frontal direction for visualization.

▶ Quality criterion of the adequate display of the axial basal ganglia plane: Pineal gland (usually highly echogenic due to calcification), bilateral thalami (= reference structure), 3rd ventricle and contralateral frontal horn are clearly visible.

On this imaging plane the widths of the 3rd ventricle and contralateral frontal horn are measured. So far there is no consensus guideline on the location at which these measures should be obtained. While in early reports the maximum width of the 3rd ventricle was measured, most groups meanwhile prefer measuring the minimum width [2]. The width of the contralateral frontal horn is measured, as a standard in our labs, at the most frontal position at which the bilateral frontal horns are in junction (● Fig. 4b). The measurement points are placed in a way that their conjunction line is parallel to the mid-axis of the imaging sector. Normal ranges of ventricle widths are age-dependent. In subjects under/over the age of 60, widths of >7/>10 mm (3rd ventricle) and >17/>20 mm (frontal horn) are regarded as abnormal [2].

If the contralateral thalamus and the contralateral frontal horn are clearly visible, the location of the (contralateral) lenticular nucleus and caudate nucleus can be inferred from their expected anatomical position, as these basal ganglia usually are not discernible from the surrounding white matter. Increased echogenicity, on visual assessment, of basal ganglia compared to the surrounding white matter is regarded as abnormal (“hyperechogenicity”) (● Fig. 5). Hyperechogenicity of the lenticular or caudate nucleus usually appears in a circumscribed area rather than as a diffuse change of the referring nucleus. It is important to distinguish other structures that can cause misdiagnosis of basal-ganglia hyperechogenicity due to lateral imaging artifacts. These are mainly the highly echogenic borders of the lateral ventricles, and more caudally the basal cisterns. Moreover, especially
in patients with very good bone windows, reverberation artifacts originating from the highly echogenic ventricular structures (borders, choroid plexus) may mimic basal-ganglia hyperechogenicity. To avoid such misdiagnosis, it is indispensable to gain certainty about the anatomical relationship between the basal ganglia and neighboring structures potentially causing apparent hyperechogenicity by slightly tilting and twisting the transducer. Most groups assess the basal ganglia contralateral to the side of insonation (unlike SN) since the contralateral structures are displayed in a larger area in the sector-shape sonogram [2]. On the other hand, some authors prefer ipsilateral assessment of basal ganglia since they are ipsilaterally more in the focal zone of the transducer and are therefore displayed with higher image resolution. In our experience assessment of contralateral basal ganglia can be reliably performed with most US-S. However, because of higher image resolution in the focal zone, we prefer assessment of the DBS electrode position in the basal ganglia (globus pallidus internus, thalamus) ipsilaterally to the side of insonation [7]. The diagnosis of basal-ganglia hyperechogenicity is usually made visually [2]. In addition, hyperechogenic areas may be measured planimetrically, similar to measurements of SN hyperechogenicity [2, 41]. Recently, the echo-intensity of basal ganglia was reliably quantified using digitized image analysis [12, 42].

Fig. 4 Further standard imaging planes on TCS. a Cerebellar imaging plane with measurement of the 4th ventricle (rectangle). Cer = cerebellum. D = dentate nucleus, M = midbrain, T = thalamus, * = frontal horn of lateral ventricle. b Basal-ganglia imaging plane with measurement of the 3rd ventricle (short bar) and the contralateral frontal horn (long bar). Lenticular and caudate nuclei normally are isoechogenic to surrounding brain parenchyma. C = caudate nucleus, L = lenticular nucleus, P = pineal gland, Pl = choroid plexus in the dorsal horn of lateral ventricle, T = thalamus, * = frontal horn of lateral ventricle.


Fig. 5 TCS findings of basal ganglia. C = caudate nucleus, L = lenticular nucleus, T = thalamus, * = frontal horn of lateral ventricle. The triangle indicates pineal gland (highly echogenic on TCS). a The MR image corresponds to the sonograms in b–d. b Normal echogenicity of basal ganglia, including the lenticular nucleus. c Hyperechogenicity of the lenticular nucleus (arrow). d Hyperechogenicity of the caudate nucleus (arrow).


Diagnostic relevance
Increasing width of the 3rd ventricle and frontal horns correlates with the degree of cognitive impairment in the normal population [43]. A pronounced dilatation of all ventricles is an instant diagnosis on TCS and indicates the presence of hydrocephalus or advanced brain atrophy [1]. Third-ventricle dilatation typically found already at early stages of progressive supranuclear palsy (Richardson syndrome) supports its discrimination from PD [31]. Hyperechogenicity of the lenticular nucleus is a characteristic finding in patients with generalized, segmental, focal and task-related forms of idiopathic dystonia [41, 42]. However, the specificity of this TCS finding has been challenged by one study [44], and further studies are needed to assess its diagnostic value, e.g.
for the discrimination of idiopathic dystonia from psychogenic movement disorders. Lenticular nucleus hyperechogenicity is frequently detected in brain disorders with an accumulation of iron, manganese, or copper, e.g. in Wilson’s disease [14]. This finding is also present in 70–90% of patients with atypical Parkinsonian syndromes and supports, especially if combined with other TCS findings (Table 3), their discrimination from PD [1, 30, 31]. Caudate nucleus hyperechogenicity is often found in patients with Huntington’s disease [38] and may support discrimination from other chorea disorders [unpublished data]. A pronounced hyperechogenicity of basal ganglia, with brightness similar to that of the pineal gland and calcified structures of the choroid plexus in the dorsal horn of the lateral ventricle, indicate calcification of the basal ganglia and may be seen as a dot-like lesion in elderly subjects but is more extended in Fahr’s disease [1, 45].

**Novel technologies**

The reliability of TCS assessment of small deep brain structures such as the SN mainly depends on two factors: (1) the investigator’s experience and skill, which is associated with a potential subjective bias, and (2) the quality of the bone window [1–6, 8–10]. The influence of the latter may be reduced only slightly by lowering the insonation frequency, usually to 1.8–2.0 MHz, in the case of poor bone windows. However, the influence of the investigator dependency could potentially be overcome by applying optimized techniques for automated structure detection and digitized image analysis. Several approaches for automated SN detection and the quantification of its echogenicity have been published recently, including principal component analysis-based artificial neural networks [46], active contour segmentation algorithms [47], invariant scale blob detection [13], and 3-dimensional SN detection (volumetry) based on random forests [48]. Still, the performance of these off-line image analysis technologies is largely influenced by the quality of the TCS image primarily obtained by the investigator. So it was important to integrate a test for sufficient image quality into such software as a prerequisite for diagnostic application in the clinical routine [12]. In first clinical studies employing such a digitized image analysis tool for 2-dimensional TCS images [12], the elliptical marker highlighting the region of interest (ROI) was manually placed in the target area (SN, lenticular nucleus) [42, 49]. After the placement of the ROI in the target area within the TCS image, the algorithm computes the area for each grayscale intensity I (from 0 to 255) inside the ROI area (here, size of ROI area A = 50 mm²) (Fig. 6). In a pilot study using this novel software [12], the automatically measured SN echogenic areas in the normal population were similar across different US-S (e.g., Esaote MyLabTwice and GE Vivid 7 Pro; r = 0.996) [49]. Moreover, manual measures of SN echogenic area obtained by highly experienced investigators correlated well with measures of digitized analysis (correlation coefficients ranging from 0.79 to 0.81) [49], as did lenticular nucleus measures (r = 0.74) [42]. The sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of PD based on the detection of SN hyperechogenicity by digitized image analysis were 87% and 92%, respectively [49]. In another study applying 3-dimensional quantification of SN echogenicity (volumetry), a sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of PD of up to 91% and 73% were reported [11]. Nevertheless, the accuracy of digitized image analysis-based TCS diagnostics still depends on the investigator’s ability to identify and focus on the best available TCS image for visualization of a specific brain structure. Novel technologies enabling the real-time image fusion of TCS with MRI, CT or PET images, previously obtained and imported into the US-S, promise a further reduction of investigator dependency of TCS. Meanwhile, several manufacturers are marketing virtual navigation and fusion imaging applications embedded in their high-end US-S. To enable the virtual navigation on TCS-MRI fusion images, the position and orientation of the ultrasound transducer are continuously tracked.

**Fig. 6** Example of automated detection of the mesencephalon (window 50 × 50 mm) within an axial sonogram of the brain at midbrain level using MATLAB-based software [12]. For automated measurement of substantia nigra echogenicity, the elliptical marker indicating the region of interest (ROI) with a size of 50 mm² was placed manually in the anatomical region of substantia nigra. The automated calculation of the difference between the 90th percentile cut-off value obtained from measurements in normal population and the counted value in this healthy individual proved normal echogenicity of substantia nigra.

**Abb. 6** Beispiel für eine automatisierte Erkennung des Mesenzephalons (Fenstergröße 50 × 50 mm) innerhalb eines axialen Sonogramms des Gehirns auf Mittelhirn-Höhe mittels einer MATLAB-basierten Software [12]. Für die automatisierte Messung der Substantia-nigra-Echogenität wurde der elliptische Marker der „Region of interest“ (ROI) mit einer Größe von 50 mm² manuell in die anatomische Region der Substantia nigra platziert. Die automatische Berechnung der Differenz zwischen der 90 %-Perzentile, erhalten aus Referenzmessungen in der Normalpopulation, und dem hier bestimmten Messwert ergab eine normale Echogenität der Substantia nigra im hier untersuchten Individuum.
by an electromagnetic system, composed of a transmitter and a small receiver, mounted on the transducer [50]. Pilot studies demonstrated a very high accuracy of fusion images, with an average cranio-caudal error of 0.5 (range, 0 – 0.7) cm and an average lateral shift of 0.1 (0 – 0.5) cm [50]. The mean time for the complete TCS examination including the fusion imaging procedure did not exceed 40 minutes. An interesting clinical application in movement disorder patients with DBS is the control of intracranial lead tip position which can be documented by the fusion of post-implantation TCS images with preoperative MRI (Fig. 3).

All these new technologies, i.e., automated structure detection, digitized image analysis, and fusion imaging, promise a more reliable detection of brain pathologies on TCS in the future, even of brain structures that are hardly assessable visually due to their isoecho- genicity to the surrounding parenchyma. This might even enable the detection of subtle changes of echogenicity over time in distinct brain structures as a correlate of disease progression.

Concluding remarks

TCS can currently be recommended in clinical practice for the assessment of ventricle widths (especially the 3rd ventricle), the early and differential diagnosis of PD, and the post-operative position control of DBS electrodes. High intra- and interrater reliability and diagnostic accuracy of planimetric SN echogenicity measurements have been demonstrated by numerous groups (for review, see [8]) which is a clear progress compared to the previous review published here in 2006 [51]. The following factors impair the reliability of SN TCS and need to be excluded before diagnostic application [8]:

1. the inadequate qualification of the investigator,
2. the missing instruction and supervision of the investigator by another expert experienced in TCS in movement disorders,
3. the use of a US-S not specifically engineered and adapted for TCS,
4. inappropriate, or changing US-S settings,
5. the missing establishment of normal ranges of SN echogenic sizes in the referring ultrasound lab by investigation of at least 50 healthy subjects,
6. the variable, erroneous inclusion of highly echogenic signals of structures neighboring the SN such as the red nucleus and structures of the basal cisterns.

After excluding these sources of error, SN TCS can be learned by a physician within 2 – 8 weeks depending on her/his previous ultrasound experience, and is reliably performed even by adequately trained doctoral students and medical technicians [1, 8]. However, the ability of reliably assessing the midbrain raphe, basal ganglia and other structures still requires more experience and supervision, at least for several months. Still, for scientific publications only TCS data should be used that were obtained by investigators who had performed and analyzed images of at least 200 patients under qualified supervision [8]. The upcoming digitized analysis and fusion imaging technologies promise a more investigator-independent assessment of deep brain structures in the near future.
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