Visualization of the Long Thoracic Nerve using High-Resolution Sonography

Visualisierung des Nervus thoracicus longus mittels hochauflösendem Ultraschall
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Purpose: The long thoracic nerve (LTN) innervates the serratus anterior muscle (SA) which plays an important role in shoulder function. Evaluation of the LTN has so far been restricted to clinical assessment and partly electromyography and neurography. Progress of high-resolution ultrasound (HRUS) increasingly enables visualization of small peripheral nerves and their pathologies. We therefore aimed at (a) clarifying the possibility of visualization of the LTN from its origin to the most distal point in the supraclavicular region visible and (b) developing an ultrasound protocol for routine use. We further present two cases of patients with LTN pathology.

Methods: The study consisted of two parts: Part 1 included 4 non-enbalmed human bodies in whom the LTN (n = 8) was located and then marked by ink injection. Correct identification was confirmed by anatomical dissection. Part 2 included 20 healthy volunteers whose LTN (n = 40) was assessed independently by two radiologists. Identification of the LTN was defined as consensus in recorded images.

Results: LTN was clearly visible in all anatomical specimens and volunteers using HRUS and could be followed until the second slip of the serratus anterior muscle from the supraclavicular region. In anatomical specimens, dissection confirmed HRUS findings. For all volunteers, consensus was obtained. The mean nerve diameter was 1.6 mm ± 0.3 (range 1.1 – 2.1 mm) after the formation of the main trunk.

Discussion: We hereby confirm a reliable possibility of visualization of the LTN in anatomical specimens as well as in volunteers. We encourage HRUS of the LTN to be part of the diagnostic work-up in patients presenting with scapular winging, shoulder weakness or pain of unknown origin.


Ergebnisse: Der LTN konnte in allen anatomischen Präparaten und Probanden identifiziert werden. Die Darstellung von supraklavikulär aus gelang bis zur zweiten Zacke des SA. Die korrekte Identifikation wurde in den Präparaten durch Dissektion bestätigt. Für alle Probanden wurde Konsens erzielt. Der durchschnittliche Durchmesser des LTN lag bei 1,6 mm ± 0,3 (Range 1,1 – 2,1 mm) nach der Formierung des Hauptstammes.

Introduction

The long thoracic nerve (LTN) mainly originates from the C5 to C7 nerve roots, occasionally also involving the C4 and C8 roots [1–4]. In most cases, the C5 and C6 roots unite to form a superior trunk at the height of the interscalene spatiun, before unifying with the C7 root (also called the inferior trunk) [1–4]. The nerve trunk travels down posteriorly to the brachial plexus and onward on the surface of the serratus anterior muscle (SA), innervating each of its digits (Fig. 1) [3]. The SA is a key player in shoulder stabilization during arm elevation, ensuring fixation and upward rotation of the scapula [5–7]. The classical clinical picture of its palsy is scapular winging [8], accompanied by pain in the ipsilateral shoulder caused by malrotation [9–13] and the inability of arm elevation above 100° [10, 13]. Various reasons for LTN palsy have been described, among them traumatic or sports-related [9, 12–14], iatrogenic injury during axillary lymph node resection or resection of the first rib [14–18], involvement in Parsonage-Turner-Syndrome [19, 20], a tumor [21] or idiopathic palsy [13, 22–24]. Evaluation of the LTN thus far has been restricted to the clinical picture and findings in electromyography, which is invasive, painful, and sometimes inconclusive. Moreover, it involves the risk of iatrogenic pneumothorax and should, therefore, only be carried out by very experienced investigators [25]. This anatomical constellation creates a significant demand for reliable imaging techniques in the assessment of these patients. However, until now only MR imaging has been proposed as a feasible method for demonstrating signs of denervation in the SA and thus, indirect manifestations of LTN injury [26], without any published studies conducted that clarify the actual value of MR imaging in this context.

High-resolution ultrasound (HRUS) is another option. High-frequency linear probes offer excellent tissue differentiation, but come with the disadvantage of reduced tissue penetration. This, however, makes them ideal for the examination of superficial structures – which applies to the LTN for the majority of its course with the exception of the part behind the clavicle, where it lies deep and behind the bony structures. While visualization of its proximally adjacent structure, the brachial plexus, is routinely used therapeutically for regional anesthesia [27–29], the same has, as yet, only been described once for the LTN: Hanson et al. systematically looked for visible segments of the LTN and dorsal scapular nerve posterior to the brachial plexus in 50 subjects referred for shoulder surgery and identified the dorsal scapular nerve in 77% and the LTN in 23% [30]. As the LTN is formed by the C5–C7 ventral nerve roots as mentioned above and its diameter was described as approximately 2 mm [3], visualization of the LTN with high-resolution probes should be possible. This study aimed at defining (a) the possibility of visualization of the LTN from its origin to the most distal point visible from the suprACLavicular region by HRUS and (b) the establishment of an ultrasound protocol with identification of ultrasound landmarks, which could be of use for the diagnostic work-up of probable neuropathy of the LTN in the future.

Methods

Subjects

This study consisted of two parts: Part 1 included four non-embalmed human anatomic specimens in legal custody of the Department of Anatomy, Medical University of Vienna. After HRUS identification and tracing of the LTN, it was marked with ink and consecutive dissection was performed to confirm HRUS findings. Attention was turned to identification of ultrasound and correlating anatomic landmarks for the establishment of a practically applicable ultrasound protocol. Part 2 included 20 healthy volunteers, who were recruited via notices at the Medical University of Vienna. If interested, they contacted the Department of Radiology and were given an information sheet, which had to be signed before the examination. The local ethics committee approved this study.

Ultrasound examination

HRUS examinations were performed using a GE Logic E9 ultrasound platform with high-frequency probes (GE ML 6–15-D, L 8–18i-D). All examinations were carried out by two examiners experienced in peripheral nerve ultrasound, following a standardized assessment protocol, which started at the cervical roots towards the interscalene triangle and then traced the LTN downward as far as possible from the suprACLavicular region. As reference landmarks, the middle scalene muscle and the transverse tubercles from C5 to C7 were used. Examinations were documented using both still images and dynamic video sequen-
ces. Identification of the LTN was defined as consensus between the two examiners.

**Evaluation**

Measurements of the LTN in healthy volunteers were performed at the most distal point visible from the supraclavicular region, after the formation of the main trunk, using the measurement tool included in the local PACS (Picture Archiving and Communication System; Impax Client ES, Version 5302, AGFA Healthcare, Mortsel, Belgium).

Descriptive statistics were performed with SPSS Statistics, Version 19 (IBM SPSS Statistics, © SPSS Inc. 1989, 2010). Metric data are given as mean ± standard deviation.

**Results**

**Ultrasound protocol**

The LTN was clearly visible in all four cadavers and all 20 volunteers on both sides at the intramuscular scalene level and could be followed down to the first or second slip of the SA.

The ultrasound technique that was determined to be the most comprehensive was as follows: Starting from the midline at the height of the thyroid gland in the transverse view, the probe was moved laterally until the anterior and middle scalene muscle at the C5 and C6 levels were identified. Then, by moving the probe cranially and caudally, we searched for a hyperechoic fascial line running within the middle scalene muscle. Within this fascia, nerve tissue consists of ramifications of the C5 and C6 roots, converging to the main LTN (Fig. 2a). Roots were followed proximally to ensure origin from C5 and C6, thereby avoiding confusion with the dorsal scapular nerve, which originates from C3–C5. Then the LTN was followed distally. In two of the cadaveric nerves and 28% (11/40) of the LTNs in volunteers, fibers from the C7 root were also detectable in the LTN. The common trunk was detectable on the first two slips of the SA (Fig. 2b).

Dissection confirmed correct ultrasound identification of the nerve in all four anatomical specimens (n = 8) at proximal (scalene level) and distal (most distal point visible from the supraclavicular region) sites. An example of a dissection finding is shown in Fig. 3.

In volunteers (11 male/9 female, aged 21–40), consensus was obtained between the two examiners in all 20 volunteers (n = 40) at the proximal site of identification. The mean transverse diameter of the LTN after formation of the main trunk was of 1.6 mm ± 0.3 (1.3–2.1) in volunteers. In addition, we assessed the maximal cross-sectional diameter (MCSD) of each branch of the cervical roots contributing to the LTN: C5 0.8 mm ± 0.2, C6 1.1 mm ± 0.3 and C7 0.7 mm ± 0.1 (Tab. 1).

**Cases of LTN pathology**

**Case 1**

A 45-year-old male had a common cold, which was followed by strong pain in the right shoulder radiating toward the scapula. After some days he was unable to lift his right arm. Clinical examination showed a scapula alata on the right side. Sonographic assessment was carried out two months after the onset of symptoms and revealed a thickened LTN on the right side compared to the left (Fig. 4) and an atrophic SA on the right side. Electromyography confirmed these findings by showing a chronic neurogenic lesioning pattern.
Case 2

A 48-year-old male with no known health problems was getting out of his truck (1.5–2 meters high). His feet slipped on ice on the small ladder of the truck. To prevent his fall, he gripped a handle with his right hand and hung suspended for a few seconds by one hand with his full body weight (80 kg). During the subsequent days he developed pain in the lateral neck radiating to the lateral thoracic area and experienced weakness upon elevation of the upper extremity. Clinical examination showed a scapula alata and LTN lesioning was documented on EMG. Sonographic assessment revealed an enlarged LTN on the symptomatic side compared to the non-symptomatic side, at the height of the interscalene triangle (Fig. 5). Considering the anamnesis, this was assumed to correspond to a traction neuroma of the LTN.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>no.</th>
<th>gender</th>
<th>age</th>
<th>C5</th>
<th>C6</th>
<th>C7</th>
<th>trunk</th>
<th>C5</th>
<th>C6</th>
<th>C7</th>
<th>trunk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The results of this study confirm the reliable possibility to visualize the LTN by HRUS within the supraclavicular region – from the unification of the C5 and C6 fibers in the proximity of the scalene muscles down to the first or second slip of the SA. A contribution of the C7 root to the LTN was found in 28% of volunteers and 20% of anatomical specimens. Unification of the superior trunk of the LTN, consisting of C5 and C6 ventral roots with an inferior trunk consisting of the C7 root, would have been expected in around three-fourths of cases according to existing anatomical research [1-4]. While pure chance cannot be excluded due to our rather small sample size, one possible other explanation could be that C7 was described as being an average of 40% thinner than C5 and C6 [3], and might, therefore, be missed. Ultrasound measurements revealed somewhat lower values than described previously in anatomical studies. In our study, the MCSD was 1.6 mm ± 0.3, while Wang et al. [3] described 2.27 mm ± 0.39 and Tubbs et al. [2] reported 3 mm ± 2.5.
As Wang and Tubbs presented data derived from formalin-fixed anatomical specimens, while we measured LTN in young, healthy volunteers, findings might not be directly comparable.

In our rather homologously distributed group in terms of age and health status, we found a variation of the MCSD of 90% of the main trunk (between 1.1 and 2.1 mm). We believe that establishing of normative values is hardly possible, and we, therefore, encourage comparison of sides for assessment. This is also illustrated by the first case presented, where the MCSD was 1.7 mm on the affected and 1.0 mm on the healthy side – both of which are below the MCSD of 2.1 mm assessed in healthy volunteers.

As the LTN is a small structure, we think that assessment of the MCSD for intraindividual comparison should be used rather than the cross-sectional area, which could be expected to carry a high measurement error.

In our opinion, HRUS will offer new possibilities for diagnosing LTN impairment. In addition to the post-infectious etiology, which we presumed was the case in Patient 1, and direct local trauma by e.g. iatrogenic lesioning in axilla dissection and thoracotomy, there are two main presumed mechanisms that cause LTN damage. The first is traction trauma, especially during arm elevation and head turn to the contralateral side (which was the case with Patient 2). The second is compression within the interscalene triangle or – when passing directly through the muscle – within the middle scalene muscle.

Considering the first mechanism, we can only speculate about the value of HRUS and possible findings of traction neuromas [31]. The latter mechanism we would expect to demonstrate the same ultrasound appearance as compression syndromes throughout the body, with a marked, circumscribed reduction of caliber, associated with distal and/or proximal swelling. This idea is strengthened by observations from Nath et al. [32], who reported a series of 47 patients with scapular winging of various origins. From patient histories they concluded that nerve continuity should actually be preserved and as LTN palsy occurred during exercise in the vast majority of patients (79%), they hypothesized that contraction of the middle scalene muscle and consecutive LTN compression could be the cause. During consecutive decompression and microneurolysis, they reported that 94% of all nerves showed demarcated areas of compression, more so towards the point of exit from the muscle. As HRUS is well established for the assessment of nerve entrapment syndromes [33, 34], we believe that following our proposed examination protocol for ultrasound of the LTN will facilitate and assure accurate diagnosis of LTN neuropathy and encourage its use as a standard procedure when evaluating patients with scapular winging.

This study has several strengths and limitations. Its strengths include the first time use of HRUS for specific assessment of the LTN and confirmation of the findings by the gold standard of anatomical dissection. Its limitations include that findings in-vivo were uncontrolled by not applying electrical stimulation and thereby confirming findings in volunteers. However, reliability in anatomical specimens was 100% and LTN in volunteers was followed proximally and distally to avoid confusion with other nerve tissue in the proximity.

In conclusion, we consider HRUS a valuable tool for the assessment of the LTN within the supracavicular region and strongly encourage its use. Further studies are needed to assess the value of ultrasound in diagnosing LTN palsy.
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