We would like to thank our colleagues and the Munich Tumor Center for their comments. It is in the nature of things that statements such as those published in our update of the recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of endometrial cancer are usually presented in an abridged form which cannot reflect all the nuances and the analysis. The basis for the carefully compiled statements will be explained in detail in the background texts of the upcoming S3 guideline for which funding has just been approved.

Ad 1.)

As Kolben et al. correctly stated, endometrial cancer is found in at least 10% of cases with postmenopausal bleeding. Endometrial hyperplasia is diagnosed in a further 10%, which – if it is atypical endometrial hyperplasia – constitutes a relevant precancerous lesion and is also an important indication of pathological estrogen production (steroid hormone-producing tumor). A cancer probability of 10% is sufficient to justify histological investigation. In breast examinations, histological investigations are already carried out if the cancer probability is 2%. The Uterus Commission is familiar with the publications cited by Kolben et al. However, we consider the paper by Jacobs et al. (2011) [1] to be more relevant. Jacobs et al. reported that 20% of endometrial cancers were not detected in ultrasound investigations of endometrial thickness (cut-off < 5 mm; n = 30664); however, 58 women required histological investigation to detect one case of endometrial cancer or atypical endometrial hyperplasia. The sensitivity and specificity of the method “bleeding in the post-menopausal period” is thus much higher. We therefore intend to stick with our recommendation. As we cannot provide such a differentiated approach in these short recommendations (What does “therapy resistant” mean?, What does “persistent” mean?), we would like to stick with the current wording. Here again, Pipelle biopsy carried out in doctors’ offices is usually quite sufficient for sampling.

We would like to thank our colleagues Kolben, Dannecker and Kürzl for their considered comment and for the opportunity this provided to offer a more differentiated consideration of these issues.
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