Infertility Specific Quality of Life and Gender Role Attitudes in German and Hungarian Involuntary Childless Couples

Infertilitätsbezogene Lebensqualität und persönliches Geschlechtsrollenverhalten infertiler Paare in Deutschland und in Ungarn

Abstract

Introduction: As gender role attitudes and the evaluation of parenthood and childlessness have subtle variations in each society, cross-country studies focusing on infertility are needed to draw a complex picture in the psychosocial context of infertility. This study investigates similarities and differences between German and Hungarian infertile couples regarding infertility specific quality of life and personal gender role attitudes.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted with data of 540 participants (270 couples) attending the first fertility consultation in one fertility clinic in Germany and in five fertility clinics in Hungary. Data were collected between February 2012 and March 2013. Two psychological questionnaires were applied: The FertiQoL to measure infertility specific quality of life and the PAQ to measure gender role attitudes like “instrumental” acting (as a traditional “masculine” attitude) and “expressive” communicating (as a traditional “feminine” attitude) and their combinations “combined” attitude (as both “instrumental” and “expressive”) and “neutral” attitude (neither “instrumental” nor “expressive”).

Results: German couples seeking assisted reproduction treatment are older aged and have longer lasting relationships than Hungarian couples. Hungarian couples scored higher on all quality of life scales than did German couples. In the Hungarian group, “combined” attitudes (use of both “expressive” and “instrumental” attitudes) is associated with higher levels of quality of life compared with other gender role attitudes. In the German group, individuals with “combined” attitudes seem to show better quality of life than those in “expressive” and “neutral” clusters.

Conclusions: The strategy of using combined “expressive” and “instrumental” attitudes proved to act as a buffer against infertility-related stress for both members of the couple in two European

Zusammenfassung


countries and can therefore be recommended as helpful in counselves the infertile couple.

Introduction
For many couples, facing infertility is one of the hardest life crises affecting physical and emotional well-being, marital and sexual satisfaction and the quality of other social relations [1–5]. A number of studies emphasizes marked gender differences in psychological response to involuntary childlessness [6–11] although gender role identification could be a better predictor for infertility-related strains than could only gender [12, 13]. Berg et al. [12] indicated that “masculinity” correlated with emotional stability and marital satisfaction. Furthermore, infertile women with more “masculine” attitudes are less anxious than women with a “feminine sex-role type” [14]. When gender roles are realized in a more traditional way, it causes more distress for a woman, but not for a man. Positively and negatively valued instrumental (“masculine”) attributes in infertile women were described as predictors of lower and higher distress in another study [13]. However, gender role orientation did not predict cognitive appraisals of infertility as stressful and infertility-related distress was neither impacted by “femininity”. The role of “feminine” attitudes may not be neglected because other authors emphasized their effect on stress level regarding involuntary childlessness, and women in an infertile group have more “feminine” attributes than women in the general population [15–18]. In addition, desire for a child and consequences of failure to conceive are culturally and socially contingent: the stronger gender roles are internalized, the stronger individuals with infertility problems perceive themselves as defected [1, 10, 19–24].

In this study, we compare some infertility-related conditions of Hungary and Germany. Analyses based on the Generations and Gender Survey emphasize differences in attitudes towards social aspects like parenting intentions and gender role orientations [25–27]: Hungarians attach more importance to traditional concepts, e.g. in regard to gender roles while women and men in Western regions of Germany share both traditional and egalitarian values. Other studies summarize that individuals from a European but very traditional sociocultural context are more affected by infertility-related emotional strains than those from a less traditional culture [20, 28].

This study investigates the unique domains of infertility-related quality of life (QoL) and its relations to gender role attitudes in Germany and Hungary. The aim of the study is twofold.

Firstly, we want to describe differences in sociodemographic variables, infertility specific quality of life and gender role attitudes in German and Hungarian infertile samples of couples. We hypothesize that strains of infertility are experienced in different ways in these two countries. We expect that Hungarian couples suffer from involuntary childlessness in a greater extent and have worse quality of life than do German couples. At the same time, we suppose that Hungarian individuals follow a more traditional gender role model than Germans, so that “traditional femininity” is of greater value for Hungarian women and “traditional masculinity” for Hungarian men than for their German fellows.

Secondly, we want to examine the differences concerning infertility-related quality of life among persons with different gender role attitudes. As gender roles and reproduction are strongly linked, it is expected that experiencing infertility is influenced by how individuals think about and incorporate gender role attitudes. In this sense, we hypothesize that expression of emotions (“traditional femininity”) decreases the infertility-related quality of life and that instrumentality (as a part of “traditional masculinity”) has a positive influence on many domains in quality of life.

Methods
Study population
Data was collected in two university-based and in three private fertility clinics in Hungary (Clinic of Obstetrics and Gynaecology University of Debrecen, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Jósa András Teaching Hospital Nyíregyháza, Róbert Károly Private Clinic Budapest, Kaáli Institutes Győr and Budapest) and in one German fertility clinic (Department of Gynecological Endocrinology and Fertility Disorders, Ruprecht–Kars University of Heidelberg). All couples attending the first fertility consultation were invited by a medical assistant to take part in the study. Participants had filled out the questionnaire set and signed the consent forms before they saw their reproductive specialist. Participants were enrolled from February 2012 till April 2013. They had to meet the diagnostic criteria of infertility stated by International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technology [29]: i.e. they had failed to reach pregnancy in a time period of one year or more while having regular, unprotected sexual intercourse. Couples were included if they had sufficient knowledge in Hungarian or in German language according to the place of data collection and had not been treated in the clinic before.

The study received approval from the Scientific and Research Ethics Committee of Health Scientific Board in Hungary and the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the Ruprecht–Karls University Heidelberg.

Data assessment: infertility specific quality of life
The infertility specific quality of life was measured using the internationally developed and validated FertiQoL [30]. Its Core module consists of 24 items regarding five domains: Emotional (e.g. “Do you fluctuate between hope and despair because of fertility problems?”), Mind-Body (e.g. “Are your attention and concentration impairedby thoughts of infertility?”), Relational (e.g. “Have fertility problems strengthened your commitment to your partner?”), Social (e.g. “Do you feel your family can understand what you are going through?”) and a Global sum scale. In this
study, German and Hungarian versions of FertiQoL Core were used. Internal consistency on the total scale and the subscales had a good Cronbach reliability statistics ranging 0.63 to 0.88. Higher scores indicate higher quality of life.

The German version of FertiQol [31] also contained a self-constructed socio-demographic questionnaire and a medical sheet which were also translated into Hungarian.

**Data assessment: gender role attitudes**

The Personal Attribute Questionnaire (PAQ, [32, 33] German version: GEPAQ, [34]) was used to assess personal gender roles attitudes. This is a 16-item measure with two scales to assess desirable instrumental, acting (I scale, e.g. “not at all independent/very independent”) and expressive, communicating (E scale, e.g. “not at all understanding of others/very understanding of others”) attitudes, respectively. Personality traits of women and men are not measured. Instrumental traits had been judged to be more characteristic for men (also termed traditional “masculine” attitudes by the PAQ author), but socially desirable for both genders; and expressive traits had been considered to be more characteristic for women (also termed traditional “feminine” attitudes by the PAQ author) [32]. The scales were internally consistent: $\alpha = 0.69$ and $\alpha = 0.60$, respectively.

**Statistical analysis**

Analyses were performed with the use of SPSS for Windows, release 22.0 (Chicago, IL, USA). T-tests were used to calculate differences between German and Hungarian participants in some continuous variables and the scales of FertiQoL and PAQ. T-Tests were performed also for gender differences. As FertiQoL has a correlation with higher level of education [11] what could also determine cross-country QoL-differences, we carried out MANOVA to test main effect and post hoc test for education. In order to identify interdependent correlations between gender role attitudes and quality of life, we constructed a four-fold typology of the two PAQ scales with two-step cluster analysis, resulting in four groups (“combined” = high I and E scores, “instrumental” = high I and low E scores, “expressive” = high E and low I scores, and “neutral” = low I and E scores). In order to find differences among gender role attitude groups, MANCOVA was calculated with FertiQoL scales as dependent variables, gender and education as covariates. Significance level was set at $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

**Study population**

288 participants (response rate 81%) in Germany and 252 participants (response rate 43%) in Hungary completed the questionnaire set, thus the initial database was composed of data of 540 participants (270 couples). Some German members who agreed to participate in our study did not fill out either FertiQoL or PAQ, therefore 498 participants (249 couples) were left for final analysis.

Comparing the two study populations regarding age, education level, type of relationship, type of diagnosis, duration of partnership, and duration of child wish, we found that German couples were older and lived for longer in a partnership (Table 1). More Hungarian participants had higher secondary education and less primary or lower secondary education. Hungarian women also had significant higher education than German women. In the German study population, there was a lower, but still high number of unexplained infertility (or no information about the cause) and there were fewer cases of infertility affecting both partners in Hungarian couples.

**Differences between countries and genders:**

Hungarian infertile couples and men show better QoL

Hungarian women and men scored higher on QoL scales than German women and men. Therefore Hungarians seem to feel less burdens of infertility on their emotional, mind/body status and their partnership and other social relations (Table 2). Hungarian women reported more “expressive” attitudes than German women. Gender differences in the German group were detected only on Emotional and Mind/Body scales. Hungarian women scored lower than men on all FertiQoL subscales except Relation- al scale. Gender differences were detected on PAQ scales as expected: women showed more “expressive” attitudes, and men showed more “instrumental” attitudes.

We did not find any differences in quality of life in connection with education level in the total study population, but with regard to women, the level of education had some effect on quality of life. Women in the higher secondary education group reported better QoL-scores in each domain than did women with primary or lower secondary education (regarding emotional, social and global domains, female participants with university degree also scored higher than female members of the primary or lower secondary education group) (Data not shown).

**Differences in gender role attitudes:**

Having incorporated both “expressive” and “instrumental” attitudes has a strong correlation with good quality of life

We performed correlations between the dimensions of the two questionnaires to filter possible tendencies for high scoring in

---

**Table 1** Cross-country differences in socio-demographic and medical characteristics of subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>German subjects (n = 246)</th>
<th>Hungarian subjects (n = 252)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age – women</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age – men</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of relationship</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of child wish</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| * Cross-country difference is significant at level p < 0.05
| ** Cross-country difference is significant at level p < 0.01
| *** Cross-country difference is significant at level p < 0.001

M: mean, SD: standard deviation
every scale. PAQ scales correlated with FertiQoL scales only in certain cases significantly (Data not shown) so we excluded the high intercorrelation between the scales and we created four distinct PAQ-categories: “combined”, “instrumental”, “expressive” and “neutral”. As stated before, the category “combined” comprises high scores on both scales (instrumental and expressive), category “instrumental” means high scores on the instrumental scale only, category “expressive” means high scores on the expressive scale only and the category “neutral” comprises low scores on both scales (instrumental and expressive).

Participants in the “neutral” and “expressive” group tended to show poorer quality of life than subjects with “combined” attitudes in the German group (Fig. 1). These differences were especially accentuated on the FertiQoL Emotional, Mind-Body and Social scales. Individuals with “neutral” attitudes reported additionally a lower level of mind/body quality of life than members of the “instrumental” group. In relational domain, participants with “neutral” scored lower than individuals in all three other groups.

It was remarkable that Hungarian individuals in the ”expressive” category seemed to be on the lowest level of quality of life compared with the “combined” group (Fig. 2). On all four QoL scales, belonging to the “combined” group was associated with the highest scores concerning the Hungarian sample. Even participants with “instrumental” and ”neutral” attitudes reported about lower relational and social quality of life than members of the “combined” cluster.

**Discussion**

The most important finding of the present study is the connection between gender role attitudes and infertility specific quality of life. Our second hypothesis that “expressive” attitudes are associated with poorer quality of life and “instrumental” attitudes correlate with better quality of life was not confirmed. We conducted the analysis with gender role attitude groups in a more complex way using a four-fold classification of gender role attitudes.

“Combined” attitudes (that means having incorporated both “expressive” and “instrumental” attitudes) tend to have a strong correlation with good quality of life in all areas affected by infertility in both Germany and Hungary. The central finding of our study is that flexibility in the gender role attitudes (“combined” attitudes) might act as a buffer against infertility-related stress for both members of the couple. Similar results have not been found yet in infertile subjects [12, 14], but higher level in mental health was proven to be supported by “combined” role attitudes in general populations [35–38].

Subjects with “expressive” role attitudes tend to be the sensitive for psychosocial consequences of infertility. This type of gender role categories is connected with low QoL in Hungarian couples in all domains. In the Hungarian group, our hypothesis was supported completely in that “expressive” attitudes were associated with expression of negative emotions and other burdens evoked by involuntary childlessness. This result is notable because at this point we can find a complex and strong link between burdens of infertility and sensitivity of subjects with “expressive” attitudes that may be determined through strong cultural values of expressivity of emotions and handling problems regarding transition to parenthood.
However, German participants with “neutral” gender role attitudes report also lower levels of quality of life than members of “instrumental” and “combined” cluster. Regarding German individuals who attribute themselves neither “expressive” nor “instrumental” role attitudes may have more problems to create a confident identity. “Neutral” gender role attitudes correlate with greater anxiety and distress in such a gender role specific topic like infertility [14, 39]. Several couples with higher levels of education were found in the study (41.3% in Hungarian, 34.2% in German group). However, our results do not correspond to the representation of persons with university degree in the general population of both countries [40, 41]. This share is in line with recent results that high education is positively associated with lifetime fertility intentions in women in Europe [26, 42]. However, the increasing number of women and men with non-academic educational level in our study – compared with reports of recent studies in German and Hungarian samples of involuntary childless couples [16, 43–45] – is indeed impressive because it suggests that information about fertility treatments is more widely available and more individuals with lower education, supposedly with less financial sources, can afford to start an assisted reproduction treatment (ART). Our hypothesis that Hungarian couples had a poorer quality of life because of a stronger identification with traditional gender roles did not tend to be proven. However, Hungarian women had more self-reported “expressive” attitudes than German ones. Although this difference was significant for women our hypothesis that a more traditional gender role model is more present in Hungarians was only partly confirmed. Surprisingly, Hungarian couples rated quality of life regarding infertility-related domains higher than did their German counterparts. In interpretation of these results, we consider that factors from other parts of life and/or medical treatment could enhance the quality of life that was not accurately considered in our study design. Generally, the presence of an appreciative social environment, including supportive medical staff leads to better psychological well-being [4, 46]. Moreover, perceived social support decreases the infertility-specific stress in personal and relational level [47]. On the other hand, the importance of social expectations may play a role in higher quality of life than expected in the case of Hungarian respondents [16]. Another interpretation could be that the high educational status of Hungarian women (compared to German women) could indirectly increase QoL of the couple because a potential satisfying work situation might offer an alternative life goal if ART should fail. In addition, gender role expectations may have changed even recently [26]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study measuring self-reported gender role attributes in the field of infertility conducted in two different countries. This study adds new information to the literature focusing on the close relations between gender roles and infertility. A main strength of the study is that it broadens the literature of infertility with psychosocial approach in Central and Eastern Europe. Only a few recent studies investigated relevant topics, for instance couples’ general experiences of infertility in a traditional milieu, infertile women’s gender role attitudes, sexual adjustment and feelings of stigmatization in this region [17, 48–50]. In addition, there is an expressed need in the literature for investigating infertility-specific psychosocial aspects in different sociocultural contexts [51].

We used a disease-specific questionnaire in order to get a picture of the infertile couples’ experiences covering all substantive problems. As the FertiQoL was developed internationally, it is a proper measurement to detect cross-country differences. Our focus was mainly on gender related attributes, so other, perhaps important variables such as personality traits, general well-being, or self-coherence were not taken into account. We also did not calculate with medical diagnosis because the rate of unexplained infertility and no data about the cause was too high in the sample what could have resulted in biased differences. The number of participants is satisfactory (n = 540), but a relatively low response rate in the Hungarian group (43%) may have influenced the results through selection bias. This fact means that a significant part in that group did not want to participate in research or is not open to speak about their infertility status. We may only suppose that non-responding individuals have more prob-

![Fig. 1](image1.png)  
Fig. 1 FertiQoL scores (corrected by gender and education) and gender role attitude categories in the German group. * significantly differed from expressive group at level p < 0.05, † significantly differed from neutral group at level p < 0.05.

![Fig. 2](image2.png)  
Fig. 2 FertiQoL scores (corrected by gender and education) and gender role attitude categories in the Hungarian group. * significantly differed from expressive group at level p < 0.05, † significantly differed from instrumental group at level p < 0.05, ‡ significantly differed from neutral group at level p < 0.05.
lemms to cope with infertility, but seclusion and secrecy to distant relationships could make them adjust easier to involuntarily childlessness [47]. On the other hand, the data of German couples were collected only in one fertility centre which can lead to cross-selected results. In order to get more representative results, it would be desirable to expand the study and involve additional fertility clinics.

Conclusions for Practice ▼

Medical staff should give patients more information about links between gender associated attitudes and experiencing difficulties when trying to become a parent. In psychosocial infertility counselling for individuals or for couples, professionals could accentuate the topic of gender roles and encourage flexibility in living them, developing a kind of “combined” strategy to cope with the burden of infertility. This strategy of combined “expressive” and “instrumental” attitudes proved to act as a buffer against infertility-related stress for both members of the couple in two European countries and therefore it can be recommended to infertile couples in infertility counselling.
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