Short- and Mid-Term Changes in Lung Function after Bilateral Pulmonary Metastasectomy
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Abstract

Introduction The resection of pulmonary metastases is associated with a loss of lung function. The amount of functional impairment after bilateral metastasectomy remains unclear. Because only around 35% of those patients may expect long-term survival, it is important to preserve enough pulmonary function for an adequate quality of life. This analysis of 31 bilaterally operated patients was performed to describe the amount of pulmonary function loss.

Methods This is a post-hoc subanalysis and comparison of a population that was published before. All pulmonary metastasectomies were performed through an anterio-axillary thoracotomy in all patients. Resections were performed with staplers, electrocautery, or laser. All patients had pulmonary function tests (PFTs) preoperative and after 3 months at the follow-up visit, including spirometry, diffusing capacity of lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO) and blood gases. Of the 31 bilaterally operated cases, 15 had additional PFTs after each staged operation before discharge from hospital.

Results Altogether, 271 nodules (median 7, mean 8.2) were removed from the 31 patients with a lobectomy in 2, a segmentectomy in 8, and multiple wedge resections in 21 patients, with this being the largest resection. The mean loss of pulmonary function at follow-up visit was forced vital capacity (FVC) – 15.2%, total lung capacity (TLC) – 13.8%, forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) – 16.3%, and DLCO – 10.3%, all of which were significant (p < 0.001). The 15 patients with PFTs after each operation showed a stepwise decrease of volume parameters and DLCO with deepest values after the second surgery of around – 40% from preoperative values. At this time, PO2 was also significantly reduced by 10 mm Hg (p = 0.01).

Comparing the bilateral group with 86 patients after unilateral metastasectomy, we found significantly more nodules removed in the bilateral group (8.2 vs. 3.1; p < 0.001) and that the loss of volume parameters was twice that of the unilateral group after metastasectomy. DLCO impairment did not differ between the groups (– 10.3 vs. – 9.5%; p = 0.868) after 3 months.

Conclusion Midterm pulmonary function impairment after bilateral pulmonary metastasectomy is 15% of spirometry values and 10% of DLCO. Reduction of spirometry values is almost twice compared with the group after unilateral surgery. Early functional loss after the second intervention causes FVC, TLC, and FEV1 reduction of around 40% and is associated with lower PO2 (– 10 mm Hg). Therefore, bilateral metastasectomy can be offered to patients who do not have greater pulmonary limitations.
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Introduction

The resection of lung metastases is a well-accepted method to treat oligometastases from a wide range of primary tumors. The postoperative loss of pulmonary function has been described for wedge resections1,2 and anatomical resections3,4 and can be predicted to a certain extent. Postoperative chemotherapy and bilateral surgery were recently reported to be a risk factor for impaired function,5 but the extent of functional loss in the bilateral surgery group remains unclear. Knowledge of functional loss is of particular importance because many patients have impaired cardiopulmonary reserve after several cycles of chemotherapy, due to increased age or comorbidities. Furthermore, only 25 to 35% of patients receiving metastasectomy can expect long-term survival; thus, metastasectomy should not be debilitating and sufficient pulmonary function should remain for an adequate quality of life.6–9 In a previous analysis,1 we found that 5% of the pulmonary functional impairment may be attributed to thoracotomy. Therefore, one may hypothesize that bilateral thoracotomy causes a significant deterioration in pulmonary function, which is twice the loss following unilateral intervention. To prove this hypothesis, we performed a post-hoc subanalysis of the previously analyzed collective of 117 prospectively evaluated patients1 and compared standardized spirometric values and DLCO before and after metastasectomy of the unilateral with the bilateral resected patients.

Methods

This study was performed as a post-hoc subanalysis of a prospective observational case series that was previously published.2 The subset of patients with bilateral metastasectomy was further analyzed and a second comparative analysis of the unilateral versus bilateral operated patients was performed. From April 2008 to April 2010, patients from the Department of Thoracic Surgery who were scheduled for pulmonary metastasectomy were prospectively included in this study. Patients with a planned complete removal of lung metastases were asked for written consent the day before surgery. The study protocol was approved by our hospital’s review board. The Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance state of all patients was 0 or 1 and no significant cardiorespiratory limitations were noted preoperatively. All metastasectomies were performed via an anterolocuirary muscle-saving thoracotomy with thoracotomy being used for the systematic palpation of the entire lung. Bilateral metastases were removed simultaneously or staged within 6 weeks. Lung resection was performed at the surgeon’s discretion with staplers, electrocautery, or laser, and was supplemented by lymph node sampling. One or two drains were placed before closing the thoracotomy. All patients received analgesia based on the hospital’s standard protocol, which included peridural anesthesia whenever possible.10 A standard physiotherapy program was used to support expiratory flow, lung expansion, and coughing.

Pulmonary Function Testing

Standard pulmonary function tests (PFTs) and DLCO were measured preoperatively (t1) and postoperatively the day before discharge (t2). Patients with a sequential bilateral metastasectomy were measured again after the second intervention (t3). All cases had a planned follow-up evaluation 3 months after the last resection (t4). Spirometry tests were performed using a ZAN 500 Body Plethysmograph equipped with a ZAN 200 SB CO-Diffusion module (Spire Health Ltd., Hertford, UK) according to the criteria of the American Thoracic Society. The measurements were taken after administering a bronchodilator. The DLCO was measured using the single-breath method. The FVC, TLC, FEV1, DLCO, and diffusion coefficient for carbon monoxide (KCO) were expressed as percentages of the predicted values for age, gender, and height, according to the European Community for Steel and Coal (ECCS) prediction equations.11

Evaluation

Lung function parameters, blood gas values, and the percentage of carbon monoxide diffusion capacity before and after metastasectomy and at follow-up were entered into a database along with the number of resections, the type of resection, and the laterality of the resection.

Statistical Analysis

All variables were analyzed by the methods of descriptive statistics (frequency, mean ± standard deviation, range). To test differences between means, the Student t-test was applied in the case of normal distribution; otherwise, the Mann–Whitney U test was used. To discriminate between normal and non-normal distributed variables, the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was applied. Levene test was used to assess the equality of variance in different samples. We applied the chi-square test or the Fisher exact test to compare frequencies and proportions in two groups. We considered p < 0.05 to be statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, United States).

Results

Altogether, 117 patients completed the follow-up visit and could be further analyzed; 86 of these patients had unilateral and 31 had bilateral resections. Twenty one of the bilaterally operated patients were males. Fifteen of these 31 patients had complete PFTs at t1 and t4 and after both sequential operations (t2, t3) before discharge from hospital. Information about PFTs at t2 or t3 was incomplete for 16 patients. The overall mortality of the initially enrolled study population corresponding to the whole study period was 3/162 (1.8%) and was published earlier.5

Characteristics of the Bilateral Group

Altogether, 271 nodules (median 7, mean 8.2) were removed from the 31 patients with lobectomy in 2, segmentectomy in 8, and multiple wedge resection in 21 patients, with this being the largest resection. Postoperative complications potentially affecting pulmonary function were noticed in two
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Table 1 Mean values of pulmonary function tests before and 3 months after bilateral pulmonary metastasectomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>n =</th>
<th>Preoperative (t1 min–max)</th>
<th>Follow-up (t4)</th>
<th>Difference (t4 – t1) (SD)</th>
<th>Significance (t4–t1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC (%)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>97.8 (70–126)</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>–15.1 (13.1)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC (%)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>96.3 (73–130)</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>–13.9 (14.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (%)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>89.7 (59–120)</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>–16.3 (11.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>72.8 (48–92)</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>–2.1 (9.2)</td>
<td>0.856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO (%)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>83.6 (36–115)</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>–10.7 (14.6)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCO (%)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>72.3 (39–100)</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>0.4 (10.3)</td>
<td>0.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P02 mm Hg</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>79.3 (65–97)</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>1.1 (11.9)</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCO2 mm Hg</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38.1 (29–44)</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>0.6 (3.8)</td>
<td>0.446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AaDO2 mm Hg</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>21.9 (4–48)</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>1.6 (8.9)</td>
<td>0.416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AaDO2, alveoloarterial oxygen tension difference; DLCO, diffusing capacity of lung for carbon monoxide; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; SD, standard deviation; TLC, total lung capacity.

Notes: In 7 of 31 patients, DLCO and KCO were not available either preoperatively or postoperatively so the difference could not be calculated. Significances were calculated for the differences between follow-up pulmonary function values and preoperative values.

cases (6.5%) with diaphragmatic elevation and two cases (6.5%) receiving pleurodesis for prolonged air leak. The mean time between the two operations was 28 days; four patients had a simultaneous bilateral metastasectomy. The mean time from the date of first operation to the follow-up visit was 4.4 months. The mean loss of pulmonary function at the follow-up visit compared with preoperative values was significant for all volume measures and for DLCO between 13 and 16% (Table 1). No changes were found between preoperative and follow-up values for FEV1/FVC, P02, PCO2, and KCO. The stepwise deterioration of PFT values was calculated using the complete dataset of the 15 patients who had PFTs after each metastasectomy before discharge from hospital and is depicted in Fig. 1 with the corresponding values shown in Table 2. All volume measures demonstrated a significant stepwise deterioration after the first and second interventions with a significant reduction in P02 noticed after each operation (– 4.0 mm Hg [t2] and further – 5.7 mm Hg [t3], p = 0.01), such that P02 was almost 10 mm Hg lower after the second intervention compared with preoperative P02. KCO and PCO2 remained unchanged at all times. Partial recovery was registered at follow-up for all volume measures and DLCO compared with t3 measures, along with complete normalization of P02.

Characteristics of the Unilateral Group
Altogether, 266 nodules (median 2, mean 3.1) were removed from the 86 patients, with lobectomy in 11, segmentectomy in 17, and wedge excision in 58 patients, with this being the largest resection. The mean time from the date of first operation to the follow-up visit was 3.8 months. Postoperative complications potentially affecting pulmonary function were noticed in six cases (7.0%) with diaphragmatic elevation and two cases (2.3%) receiving pleurodesis for prolonged air leak. A small subgroup of 13 (15.1%) patients had FEV1 values less than 70% and were thus impaired preoperatively. The loss of FEV1 at the follow-up visit was a mean of 5.5% (– 20 to +10%). The other characteristics of the two groups are depicted in Table 3.

Comparison of the Uni- and Bilateral Groups
The two groups did not differ in terms of age (p = 0.331), preoperative chemotherapy (p = 0.306), number of segmentectomies (p = 0.177), preoperative spirometry values (FVC [p = 0.930], TLC [p = 0.200], FEV1 [p = 0.398], DLCO [p = 0.016], KCO [p = 0.595], P02 [p = 0.868], and PCO2 [p = 0.459]), or the frequency of post-thoracotomy pain syndrome (unilateral vs. bilateral, 6.5 vs. 12.8%), or detected tumor recurrence (unilateral vs. bilateral, 11.8 vs. 19.4%) at follow-up. Similar rates were found in both groups for continuous use of pain medication (unilateral vs. bilateral, 15.3 vs. 16.1%) and postoperative chemotherapy (unilateral vs. bilateral, 17.6 vs. 19.4%) at follow-up. There was a trend for more adhesions to be removed in the unilateral group, with

Fig. 1 Predicted pulmonary function values of bilaterally operated patients at different times. Legend 1: Values were taken from the 15 patients with complete PFTs at t1–t4. The y-axis represents percentage (%) of the corresponding PFT value. DLCO, diffusing capacity of lung for carbon monoxide; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; TLC, total lung capacity.
29.1 versus 12.9% in the bilateral group ($p = 0.091$) (Table 3). The only terms that differed significantly between the groups were the cumulative number of resected specimens (mean 2.7 vs. 8.2; $p < 0.001$) (Table 3) and the relative functional loss of FVC (%) ($p = 0.004$), TLC (%) (0.004), and FEV1 (%) ($p = 0.001$) at follow-up. DLCO and PO2 went back to normal in both groups after 3 months (Table 4).

### Discussion

Bilateral simultaneous or staged metastasectomy is a routine procedure to treat oligometastases from various primaries.\(^8,12\) Unfortunately, more than 60% of patients have a tumor relapse and only 36% will survive for more than 5 years.\(^6,9,13\) Metastasectomy is usually performed as a parenchyma-sparing precision resection, but can also include anatomical resections. Current selection criteria include sufficient pulmonary function to tolerate the planned resection.\(^8,14\) This tolerance is usually expressed when predicted postoperative function exceeds a certain lower limit. A significantly increased operative risk for complications was found when ppo FEV1 was less than 1.0 L,\(^15\) or when preoperative DLCO was reduced.\(^16,17\) Current guidelines advise excluding candidates from anatomic lung resection when ppo FEV1 and DLCO are $< 30$ to 40% of their predicted values.\(^17\)–\(^19\) Thus, the prediction of postoperative preserved pulmonary function seems essential, even for metastasectomy candidates, especially those who have cardiopulmonary impairment.\(^15,20\) In terms of metastasectomy, patients are currently accepted for or denied these procedures based on the personal experience of the surgeon, rather than on the calculation of ppo pulmonary function. The loss of pulmonary function after metastasectomy is a topic of current interest,\(^2,5,21\) but many details remain unclear, such as the functional loss after bilateral operations. Because pulmonary metastasectomy is noncurative in two-thirds of the patients, it is important not to look solely at operative risk, but to also include the goal of a mobile life free from oxygen dependence and therefore calculate and predict preserved postoperative pulmonary function.

### Current Study

This post-hoc subanalysis was based on prospectively evaluated patients and was performed to define the average

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unilateral group (n = 86)</th>
<th>Bilateral group (n = 31)</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mean 60.3 (SD 12.1)</td>
<td>Mean 57.1 (SD 14.8)</td>
<td>0.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen</td>
<td>Mean 2.7 (SD 3.6)</td>
<td>Mean 8.2 (SD 5.4)</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative chemo</td>
<td>16 (18.6%)</td>
<td>9 (29%)</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of adhesions</td>
<td>37 (43%)</td>
<td>9 (29%)</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobectomy</td>
<td>11 (12.8%)</td>
<td>2 (6.5%)</td>
<td>0.509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS</td>
<td>11 (12.8%)</td>
<td>2 (6.5%)</td>
<td>0.509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continued pain med.</td>
<td>13 (15.3%)</td>
<td>5 (16.1%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative chemo</td>
<td>15 (17.6%)</td>
<td>6 (19.4%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor recurrence</td>
<td>10 (11.8%)</td>
<td>6 (19.4%)</td>
<td>0.362</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PTS, postthoracotomy pain syndrome; SD, standard deviation.

Note: Significance = bilateral significance with Pearson chi-square test; tumor recurrence evaluated at the follow-up visit after 3 months.
functional loss after bilateral metastasectomy, with the aim of enabling prediction of the function remaining after such interventions in the future. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first detailed report about midterm loss of pulmonary function after bilateral metastasectomy and the first comparison of lung function changes after unilateral versus bilateral open pulmonary metastasectomy (Table 4).

### Operative Access

The influence of operative access was not investigated in our study because all patients had the same anterolateral incision. However, it is well known that operative access is an important predictor of postoperative function, with thoracoscopic interventions causing less impairment than sternotomy, and sternotomy causing less impairment than posterolateral thoracotomy.\(^1\) The effect of anterolateral thoracotomy may be responsible for a 5% restrictive disturbance of volume parameters.\(^1\)

### Limitations of the Study

This study has some limitations. It was conducted in a single center, where metastasectomy is a routine procedure. The sample size is rather small for a substantiated message; this is most evident at the high values of standard deviations. The operation was performed by different surgeons with different preferences for the use of laser, electrocautery, or stapler. As this might reflect the normal spectrum in the thoracic surgery community, however, it might not be a disadvantage. The amount of lung tissue removed was not quantified. Besides the fact that patients with lobectomies and segmentectomies were included into the analysis, it is unquestionable that small superficial nodules have been removed with less tissue than a deep wedge resection for a large nodule. To our understanding, this bias cannot be solved, but we think that the analysis of a heterogeneous population reflects the daily situation and can offer a certain impression of the results of bilateral resection for a patient. The study protocol with PFTs at t1, t2, t3, and t4 was completed in only 15 patients in the bilateral group, which is undoubtedly a limiting factor for further interpretation. However, the most interesting question, that is, which of the two interventions in the bilateral group is more harmful to pulmonary function, was addressed with the analysis of this small subgroup. We found a linear reduction of all volume parameters from preoperative (t1) to t2 and from t2 to t3, which can be attributed to the similar incision on both sides and comparable amounts of lung tissue resected on both sides. Nonetheless, the second intervention was worse for the patient, leading to the lowest values of volume parameters, at around 40% less than preoperative values, and a reduction in PO\(_2\) of 10 mm Hg. Subsequent pain management in this situation is even more important to reduce stress to a minimum and avoid complications. Within 3 months after t3, significant

### Recent Currents

Our results conflicted with our initially formulated hypothesis: first, the mean number of resected specimens per patient was 2.7 in the unilateral group and significantly more (8.2) in the bilateral group, which implies that bilateral manifestation is associated with a higher number of lesions on both sides. Furthermore, there was a trend for fewer adhesions in the group with bilateral metastasectomies. Second, whereas the functional impairment of the volume parameters FVC, TLC, and FEV\(_1\) in the bilateral group was significantly higher, almost double that of the unilateral group after metastasectomy, the changes in DLCO did not differ significantly: – 9.5% in the unilateral versus – 10.7% in the bilateral group (\(p = 0.868\)). The fact that DLCO after 3 months was higher than preoperative DLCO was reported previously for lobectomy (+10%) and pneumonectomy (+17%) without pathophysiological explanation.\(^2\) The volume-adjusted diffusion index KCO did not change at all in either group, meaning that the effects of chemotherapy, infections, or other lung injuries cannot be used to explain the difference in DLCO. In both groups, blood gases returned to normal values after 3 months. Others also found unchanged KCO after resection.\(^2\)

### Table 4 Mean differences of pulmonary function tests (follow-up-preoperative value) between the unilateral (\(n = 86\)) and the bilateral (\(n = 31\)) group (\(\Delta t4 - t1\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unilateral (SD)</th>
<th>Bilateral (SD)</th>
<th>Significance ((p))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC (%)</td>
<td>– 8.0 (10.7)</td>
<td>– 15.1 (13.1)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC (%)</td>
<td>– 7.0 (10.9)</td>
<td>– 13.8 (14.8)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV(_1) (%)</td>
<td>– 9.0 (9.7)</td>
<td>– 16.3 (11.5)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV(_1/FVC)</td>
<td>– 1.7 (5.6)</td>
<td>– 2.1 (9.2)</td>
<td>0.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO (%)</td>
<td>– 9.5 (10.0)</td>
<td>– 10.3 (14.6)</td>
<td>0.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCO (%)</td>
<td>– 0.7 (8.8)</td>
<td>0.4 (10.3)</td>
<td>0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO(_2) (mm Hg)</td>
<td>0.1 (10.1)</td>
<td>1.1 (11.9)</td>
<td>0.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCO(_2) (mm Hg)</td>
<td>0.6 (7.0)</td>
<td>0.6 (3.8)</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AaDO(_2) (mm Hg)</td>
<td>– 0.6 (9.0)</td>
<td>– 1.5 (8.9)</td>
<td>0.416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AaDO\(_2\), alveoloarterial oxygen tension difference; DLCO, diffusing capacity of lung for carbon monoxide; FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV\(_1\), forced expiratory volume in 1 second; KCO, diffusion coefficient for carbon monoxide; SD, standard deviation; TLC, total lung capacity.

Note: The significance was calculated as the differences in \(\Delta t4 - t1\) between the two groups.
functional recovery occurred, back to higher values than measured after the first metastasectomy. However, the remaining functional impairment after 3 months exceeded that known for lobectomy in lung cancer treatment.\textsuperscript{12,22,23} Therefore, one can postulate that midterm pulmonary function changes after bilateral metastasectomy are less severe than the early changes after the first operation.

Despite the fact that the sample size was small, the statistical differences between the groups and different time points were highly significant and thus relevant. Also, because bilateral metastasectomy is a rare procedure, we feel that it is difficult to collect larger sample sizes within an adequate time period. Long-term results are difficult to obtain because many patients have tumor relapse and need further treatment, which affects pulmonary function and thus superimposes the effect of surgery. We believe that midterm results after 3 months are sufficient to describe the effect of metastasectomy on pulmonary function. One can assume that functional recovery occurs within 3 to 6 months postoperatively. Other authors found that pulmonary function recovery after lung resection reaches a plateau after 3 to 6 months.\textsuperscript{22,24} Bolliger et al\textsuperscript{23} investigated patients after lobectomy and found a functional loss for FVC, FEV\textsubscript{1}, TLC, and DL\textsubscript{CO} of –10.7, –10.9, –12.4, and –8.5%, respectively, at 3 months with a further recovery at 6 months to –7.3, –8.8, –10.2, and –4.0%. This underlines our hypothesis that only minor functional improvement occurs after 3 months.

Conclusion

Because more than two-thirds of patients will develop tumor recurrence after pulmonary metastasectomy, a thorough consultation should include the expected functional impairment of the procedure. Bilateral interventions are associated with a three- to fourfold higher number of metastases which need to be resected. Impairment of pulmonary volume parameters is around 40% early after the second operation, but recovers to a midterm loss of 15% (±13) at 3 months when using anteroaxillary thoracotomy on both sides. Oxygen partial pressure is decreased by 10 mm Hg after the second operation but normalizes completely after 3 months. However, to predict the postoperative function of a specific patient, one should bear in mind that the amount of tissue to be removed is different in every individual. Mean values from this study should only be used as an indication.

References


