Valve-Sparing Reimplantation Technique for Treatment of Neoaortic Root Dilatation Late after the Arterial Switch Operation: Raising the Bar
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Neoaortic root dilatation can develop during long-term follow-up after an arterial switch operation (ASO). Although few patients require surgical reintervention, significant valve regurgitation is still an important cause of late morbidity. We report on a 15-year-old boy with significant dilatation of the neoaortic root that was treated with the valve-sparing reimplantation technique. There is only one reported case of valve-preserving surgery late after the ASO. Valve preservation is believed to be superior to valve replacement in patients with aortic regurgitation due to better hemodynamic performance and avoidance of anticoagulation therapy.

Introduction

Since its introduction by Jatene and colleagues in 1976, the arterial switch operation (ASO) has become the procedure of choice for surgical repair of d-loop transposition of the great arteries (TGA) in neonates and infants. However, even following successful repair, patients are at risk for long-term complications, concerning pathology of the native pulmonary root/valve in the systemic position functioning as the neoaortic root and valve, respectively. The incidence of neoaortic root dilatation and neoaortic valve regurgitation is considered by some authors to be stable. However, there are increasing reports where neoaortic root dilatation and/or neoaortic valve regurgitation are considered progressive over time and this raises concerns about the need for late reoperation. The valve-sparing reimplantation technique was first described by David and Feindel to treat patients with aortic regurgitation and ascending aortic aneurysm and has demonstrated excellent long-term outcomes in different valve pathologies. These results inspired us to extend the indications for this operative technique. We report the use of the valve-sparing reimplantation technique in a patient with neoaortic root dilatation and concomitant neoaortic valve regurgitation arising late after the ASO.

Case Report

The patient, a 15-year-old boy, was born with a TGA, double-outlet right ventricle, subpulmonary ventricular septal defect (VSD), atrial septal defect (ASD), and aortic coarctation. A balloon atrial septostomy was performed on his 2nd day of life followed by ligation of patent ductus arteriosus, pulmonary artery (PA) banding, and aortic coarctation repair at 4 days. At the age of 3 months, he subsequently had an ASO, VSD/ASD closure, and PA debanding. He did well until the age of 15 years, when his passion for inline speed skating increased. Clinically, the patient was New York Heart
Association (NYHA) class II and he was found to have significant neo-aortic root dilatation and concomitant neo-aortic valve regurgitation. His neo-aortic root dilatation, initially diagnosed at the age of 3 years, had gradually increased on serial echocardiography. His coronary anatomy was Yacoub type B; both coronary arteries arose from a common ostium in close relation to the anterior aortic commissure from the left anterior aortic sinus (1RLCx). Transesophageal echocardiography clearly delineated the diameter of the neo-aortic root with 33 mm at the annulus level and 49 mm at the sinus of Valsalva with an eccentric regurgitant jet directed toward the anterior mitral leaflet (►Fig. 1). Rethoracotomy was carefully performed, and after systemic heparinization, an arterial cannula was inserted into the distal ascending aorta, and a two-stage venous cannula was inserted through the right atrium. Cardiopulmonary bypass was established, and cardioplegic arrest was achieved and maintained by infusion of antegrade and retrograde cold blood cardioplegia. The left PA was divided to facilitate sufficient exposure of the dilated aortic root and ascending aorta. The former fragile pulmonary trileaflet valve had remodeled over time toward an aortic phenotype with development of noduli Arantii (►Fig. 2). After excision of the mono-ostium, the aortic sinuses were resected. The proximal anastomosis was performed using horizontal U-stitches placed circumferentially through the left ventricular outflow tract using Teflon felts. The valve was reimplanted into the prosthesis (28 mm Hemashield, Natick, Massachusetts, United States) using a continuous suture line following the scalloped shape of the free margin of the native valve (►Fig. 2). A relevant prolapse of the free margin of the right coronary cusp was reconstructed by a central plication along the nodule of Arantius (W.L. Gore & associates, Flagstaff, Arizona, United States). The single ostium was implanted into the graft with a 5–0 Prolene (Ethicon, Norderstedt, Germany) running suture. The patient was weaned from cardiopulmonary bypass with low-dose inotropes. The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient was discharged from hospital on postoperative day 8. The 1-month postoperative echocardiography showed good neo-aortic valve and left ventricular function, with a mean gradient of 7 mm Hg, and trivial central aortic regurgitation (►Figs. 1 and 3). The patient was NYHA functional class I.

Comment

In this report, we present the use of the valve-sparing reimplantation technique to allow the neo-aortic valve to be maintained late after an ASO. Progressive neo-aortic/pulmonary dilatation and concomitant valve regurgitation in the aortic position remains a major drawback following the ASO.
and in patients after the Ross operation.6,7 Structural abnormality of the aortic wall, which have been proved histopathologically in patients with TGA, truncus arteriosus, and following the Ross operation might explain this phenomenon in addition to already described risk factors.2,8 Based on the increasing number of adult patients with an ASO, the number of patients requiring reoperation for this indication will also increase.3 The majority of these patients will be young at the time of reoperation, emphasizing the importance of preserving the neoaortic valve whenever possible instead of proceeding the aortic valve replacement or a Bentall procedure.5 Indications for the valve-sparing reimplantation technique have been extended to various pathologies, including failing pulmonary autografts, and showed excellent mid-term results.5 Interestingly, the valve cusps of this patient were intact and retained their trileaflet architecture. This supports the idea that prompt reintervention in patients with neo-aortic root dilatation late after the ASO ≥ 50 mm (as per current guidelines) avoids worsening neo-aortic valve regurgitation and subsequent cusp damage. We performed the valve-sparing reimplantation technique in this patient with neo-aortic root dilatation and neo-aortic valve regurgitation for additional annulus stabilization which is not given by using the valve-sparing remodeling technique. Because the dilated neoaortic annulus is not reinforced, recurrent annular dilatation and neo-aortic valve regurgitation can occur.9 We might overcome this disadvantage with the development of a novel three-dimensional aortic annuloplasty ring which recently underwent initial trials in humans.10 Short-term data of this promising aortic valve repair concept are still missing. However, in our opinion, absolute contraindications for valve-preserving techniques in patients late after an ASO and concomitant neo-aortic root dilatation are aortic cusps with large fenestrations, severe calcifications in bicuspid neo-aortic valve morphology, and an echocardiographically documented stenotic component of the neo-aortic valve. Due to the limited number of patients receiving this treatment, longer follow-up and data consolidation from multiple centers are warranted to assess the durability of valve-sparing techniques for neo-aortic valve salvage late after an ASO.
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