The “white globe appearance” (WGA): a novel marker for a correct diagnosis of early gastric cancer by magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band imaging (M-NBI)
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Background and study aims: Although magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band imaging (M-NBI) is useful for the diagnosis of gastric mucosal lesions, differentiating between early cancer (EC) and low grade adenoma (LGA) remains a challenge. During M-NBI examination, we have noted the presence of a small, white lesion with a globular shape underneath cancerous gastric epithelium, and have termed this endoscopic finding the “white globe appearance” (WGA). The aim of this study was to determine whether or not the WGA could be an endoscopic marker for distinguishing EC from LGA.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed both the M-NBI scans and resected specimens of a total of 111 gastric lesions from 95 consecutive patients. Our main outcome was a difference in the prevalence of the WGA in EC and LGA.

Results: The prevalence of the WGA in EC and LGA was 21.5% (20/93) and 0% (0/18), respectively (P = 0.039). The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value for differentiating between EC and LGA, according to the presence of the WGA, were 21.5, 100, 100, and 19.8%, respectively.

Conclusion: A positive WGA in a suspicious lesion on M-NBI would be an adjunct to the M-NBI diagnosis of possible EC because the specificity and positive predictive value of the WGA for differentiating between EC and LGA were extremely high. The WGA could be a novel endoscopic marker for differentiating between EC and LGA.

Introduction

Because magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band imaging (M-NBI) can clearly visualize both the gastric subepithelial microvascular architecture and the microsurface structure [1], it is useful for the diagnosis of gastric mucosal lesions [2–4]. However, differentiating between cancer and adenoma remains a challenge [3,5]. Follow-up without endoscopic treatment for low grade adenoma (LGA) is permitted because the risk of progression for LGA to gastric cancer is relatively low [6].

During M-NBI examination, we have noted the presence of a small, white lesion with a globular shape (<1 mm) underneath cancerous gastric epithelium. It is invisible under nonmagnifying endoscopy. Additionally, this finding is more clearly visualized with NBI than with white-light imaging and is rarely detected in noncancerous lesions. We have termed this endoscopic finding the “white globe appearance” (WGA). By careful histological investigation, some of the WGA visualized with M-NBI was found to correspond to intraglandular necrotic debris (IND) within markedly dilated neoplastic glands, suggesting it as a possible histological marker specific for cancer [7]. Accordingly, this study was undertaken to determine the accuracy of the WGA as an endoscopic marker for gastric cancer.

Methods

Study design and patients

This observational study was conducted at a single tertiary referral center in Japan, as part of the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) program [8]. In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, the institutional review board of Ishikawa Prefectural Central Hospital approved this study, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

We retrospectively reviewed both the M-NBI images and resected specimens of a total of 122 gas-
tric lesions from 106 consecutive patients, who had undergone preoperative M-NBI examination and lesion resection by endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) between July 2013 and January 2014 at our hospital. ESD was principally indicated for gastric cancer under the following conditions: differentiated intramuscosal adenocarcinoma without ulceration regardless of size; differentiated intramuscosal adenocarcinoma with ulceration ≤3 cm in size; and undifferentiated intramuscosal adenocarcinoma without ulceration and ≤2 cm in size. These conditions were determined by preoperative biopsy and/or endoscopy. Therefore, 20 gastric adenomas were followed up without endoscopic treatment. Baseline characteristics and endoscopic and histopathological data were reviewed by means of medical records. We excluded 3 lesions for which histopathological diagnoses based on the resected specimens were benign and 8 lesions for which M-NBI findings were at a low magnification or out of focus—leaving 111 lesions from 95 patients suitable for final analysis (Fig. 1).

Endoscopy system and setting
We used an upper gastrointestinal magnifying endoscope (GIF-H260Z, Olympus Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan), a video processor (EVIS LUCERA Olympus CV-260SL, Olympus Medical Systems), and a light source (EVIS LUCERA Olympus CLV-260SL, Olympus Medical Systems). The structure enhancement of the endoscopic video processor was set to B-mode level 8 for M-NBI. The color mode was fixed at level 1. To obtain stable endoscopic images at maximal magnification, a black, soft hood (MAJ-1990, Olympus Medical Systems) was mounted at the tip of the endoscope prior to examination.

Endoscopic definitions and investigation of WGA
The WGA was defined as a small, white lesion with a globular shape (<1 mm) present underneath the gastric epithelium and identified during M-NBI examination (Fig. 2). Criteria for a positive WGA were less intense peripheral brightness than in the center (reflecting its globular shape) and the presence of overlying microvessels, because the WGA lies underneath the gastric epithelium and the subepithelial microvessels. The presence or absence of the WGA in early cancer (EC), LGA, or non-neoplastic epithelium and the subepithelial microvessels. The WGA was approximately ≤2 cm in size. These conditions were determined by cytoplasmic vacuolization and dark nuclei [7]. The presence or absence of IND was retrospectively assessed using resected specimens by an experienced pathologist (S.T.) who was unaware of the endoscopic findings. If the WGA inside a neoplasm was recognized with a demarcation line between the neoplastic lesion and the surrounding mucosa, the WGA inside a neoplasm was recognized with a demarcation line between the neoplastic lesion and the surrounding mucosa (Fig. 2).

Histopathological investigation
Endoscopically resected specimens were extended on boards withpins and fixed in 10% formalin for 24 hours. After fixation, all resected specimens were cut into 2 to 3-mm thick longitudinal slices. These were embedded in paraffin and stained with hematoxylin-eosin. Postoperative histopathological diagnosis was performed by two pathologists and the results were double-checked for all cases. Histopathological diagnoses were made with reference to the revised Vienna classification [9]. For the purposes of this study, we defined the revised Vienna category 3 as LGA and the revised Vienna categories 4 and 5 as EC, reclassifying all lesions into LGA and EC groups. The EC group was subclassified into differentiated (intestinal) and undifferentiated (diffuse) types.

Histological definitions and investigation of IND
IND was defined as eosinophilic material with necrotic epithelial fragments within the lumen of a dilated gland (Fig. 3a-c). There was segmental necrosis of the glandular lining, characterized by cytoplasmic vacuolization and dark nuclei [7]. The presence or absence of IND was retrospectively assessed using resected specimens by an experienced pathologist (S.T.) who was unaware of the endoscopic findings.

Outcome measurements
Outcomes were: (1) a difference in the prevalence of the WGA in EC and LGA; (2) the prevalence of the WGA in BM; (3) a correlation between the presence of the WGA and IND; and (4) clinicopathological characteristics of EC associated with the WGA.

Statistical analysis
Continuous variables were compared using Student’s t-test. Categorical variables were compared using the χ² or Fisher’s exact test when the expected values were less than 5. P < 0.05 was consid-
Results

Clinicopathological characteristics
There were 73 males and 22 females, with an average age of 71 (range 50–89). The mean tumor diameter was 13.7 mm (range 1–41 mm). The histological types were LGA in 18 lesions and EC in 93 lesions. According to the Paris endoscopic classification [10], the macroscopic types were 0-IIc in 52 lesions, 0-IIa in 49 lesions, 0-IIb in 7 lesions, and 0-I in 3 lesions. The tumor locations were upper third in 19 lesions, middle third in 57 lesions, and lower third in 35 lesions. There were 5 lesions with ulcerative findings. The tumor depths of EC were mucosal in 86 lesions and submucosal in 7 lesions (Table 1).

Prevalence of the WGA in EC, LGA, and BM
The prevalence of the WGA in EC and LGA was 21.5% (20/93) and 0% (0/18), respectively (P=0.039) (Table 2). The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value for differentiating between EC and LGA according to the presence of the WGA were 21.5% (95% confidence interval [CI] 13.7–31.2%), 100% (95% CI 84.7–100%), 100% (95% CI 86.1–100%), and 19.8% (95% CI 12.2–29.4%), respectively. In addition, the prevalence of the WGA in BM was 0% (0/111).

Correlation between WGA and IND
When we investigated the WGA and IND separately, 6 of 20 WGA-positive lesions were negative for IND, and 33 of 91 WGA-negative lesions were positive for IND (Table 3). The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value for the presence of IND according to the presence of the WGA were 29.8% (95% CI 17.3–44.9%), 90.6% (95% CI 80.7–96.5%), 70% (95% CI 45.7–88.1%), and 63.7% (95% CI 53.0–73.6%), respectively.

Table 1 Clinicopathological characteristics of 111 gastric lesions from 95 patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sex, no. (%)</th>
<th>Age, mean, y</th>
<th>Tumor size, mean, mm</th>
<th>Histological type, no. (%)</th>
<th>Macroscopic type, no. (%)</th>
<th>Tumor location, no. (%)</th>
<th>Ulcerative finding, no. (%)</th>
<th>Tumor depth of EC, no. (%)</th>
<th>Abbreviations: EC, early cancer; LGA, low grade adenoma.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, no. (%)</td>
<td>Male 73 (76.8)</td>
<td>Female 22 (23.2)</td>
<td>Age, mean, y 71</td>
<td>Tumor size, mean, mm 13.7</td>
<td>Histological type, no. (%)</td>
<td>Macroscopic type, no. (%)</td>
<td>Tumor location, no. (%)</td>
<td>Ulcerative finding, no. (%)</td>
<td>Tumor depth of EC, no. (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>93 (83.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Differentiated 89 (80.2)</td>
<td>0-IIc 52 (46.8)</td>
<td>Upper third 19 (17.1)</td>
<td>Positive 5 (4.5)</td>
<td>Mucosal 86 (92.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGA</td>
<td>18 (16.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Undifferentiated 4 (3.6)</td>
<td>0-IIa 49 (44.1)</td>
<td>Middle third 57 (51.4)</td>
<td>Negative 106 (95.5)</td>
<td>Submucosal 7 (7.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 3 Representative histological images of intraglandular necrotic debris (IND). a The IND within markedly dilated neoplastic glands was present underneath the cancerous gastric epithelium. By careful histological investigation, this IND measuring 0.43 mm was proven to be identical to the white globe appearance (WGA). b Magnified view of Fig. 3a. c The IND within dilated neoplastic glands was present near a lateral margin of the cancer. However, the IND was not located just underneath the cancerous gastric epithelium but in the deeper part of the lamina propria in the cancerous tissue. This IND was 0.18 mm in size.
Clinicopathological characteristics of EC with WGA

There was no correlation between the presence of the WGA and tumor size, macroscopic type, ulcerative findings, histological type, or depth ($P > 0.05$). The prevalence of the WGA in the lower third of the stomach was significant ($P = 0.0046$) (Table 4). Especially in 0-IIa, it was difficult to distinguish EC from LGA in non-ulcerative, differentiated, and mucosal cancers; the prevalence of the WGA was 17.9% (5/28), similar to that of other ECs. The average number of WGA in 20 lesions with WGA was 2.3 (range 1–5). Also, 46 (95.8%) of the 48 WGA were judged as marginal distribution. There was no correlation between the number of WGA and tumor size, macroscopic type, ulcerative findings, histological type, or depth ($P > 0.05$).

Discussion

The WGA was evident in EC lesions but not in LGA. The specificity and positive predictive value of the WGA for differentiating between EC and LGA were extremely high, although the sensitivity and negative predictive value were low. We have often experienced cancerous lesions with low confidence prediction, as demonstrated by a prospective multicenter M-NBI study [3]. Certain- ly, the presence of the WGA adds to the specificity of M-NBI diagnoses with low confidence prediction. A positive WGA in a suspicious lesion on M-NBI would be an adjunct to an M-NBI diagnosis of possible EC. Watanabe et al. reported that no IND was detected in cases of LGA, and was detected in only 1 of 52 cases in Vienna category 1 or 2 [7]. We also detected no WGA in LGA or BM. The WGA might show promise in differentiating between cancer and gastritis.

We had predicted that the WGA would correlate exactly with the finding of IND just underneath the gastric epithelium; it seeming
logical that IND would be detected on histology more frequently than the WGA being detected with M-NBI. However, 30% of WGA-positive lesions were negative for IND. This may be due to two factors. First, there was a 1-week to 1-month interval between preoperative M-NBI examination and the ESD procedure. We have experienced discrepancies between visualization of WGA on M-NBI examinations that were performed on different days. We speculate that these same discrepancies apply to the histological presence of IND. Second, the size of the IND was too small to be detected in the histopathological sections cut into 2–3 mm thicknesses. On the other hand, approximately 35% WGA-negative lesions were positive for IND. This also may be due to two factors. First, the IND was present not only just underneath the gastric cancerous epithelium but also within deep layers of the lamina propria in the cancerous tissue, as shown in Fig. 3c. All IND cannot be identified if we examine from the surface of the mucosa using M-NBI. Second, since the horizontal extent of neoplasms needs to be determined during the preoperative M-NBI examinations, we mainly photographed its margins. Accordingly, we may have missed any WGA located in a nonmarginal distribution with respect to the neoplasm. Although differentiated and submucosal cancers showed the highest incidence of IND in a report by Watanabe et al. [7], there was no correlation between the presence of the WGA and histological type or depth in our study. We suggest that the reason is that the cancers included in this study were limited to intramuscosal or submucosal lesions that showed microinvasion into the submucosa because we recruited patients who were candidates for ESD.

We also found that the WGA in EC tended to demonstrate a predominantly marginal distribution. By electron microscopy, we also found that the WGA in EC tended to demonstrate a pre-membrane (EC) which might allow endoscopic visualization of the spectrum of apoptotic-necrotic phenomena in EC presenting with the WGA. Our study had several limitations. First, it was retrospective. Second, we found a number of WGA-negative lesions that were positive for IND. As we focused on the margins of neoplasms during preoperative M-NBI, we may have underestimated the prevalence of the WGA. Its prevalence in BM may also have been underestimated. Third, the number of submucosal and undifferentiated cancers was small because the subjects of this study were limited to the patients who had been candidates for ESD. Fourth, the prevalence of the WGA in focal gastritis is unclear. It is as yet unclear whether the presence of the WGA can make a contribution to the improvement of real-time diagnostic performance in clinical practice. We have begun a prospective study to avoid these limitations (UMIN 000013650).

In conclusion, M-NBI made it possible to visualize the WGA in the stomach. Like IND, which is a possible histological marker specific for EC, the WGA could be a novel endoscopic marker for differentiating between EC and LGA.
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