Design of the Polish Colonoscopy Screening Program: a randomized health services study

Background and study aims: Colonoscopy screening for colorectal cancer has been implemented without evidence from randomized controlled trials quantifying its benefit and invariably as an opportunistic program, both of which are contrary to the European Union guideline recommendations. The aim of this paper is to describe the rationale and design of the first population-based colonoscopy screening program (PCSP), which was launched in Poland in 2012 as a randomized health services (RHS) study.

Methods: The PCSP is a natural extension of opportunistic colonoscopy screening implemented in 2000. It uses colonoscopy capacity, a quality assurance program, and a network of 92 centers built up during the opportunistic screening phase to develop a countrywide PCSP. Within the PCSP, single screening colonoscopy is offered to a target population aged 55–64 years. The PCSP uses an RHS design, which means that eligible individuals drawn from population registries are randomly assigned to immediate or postponed invitation to screening. Individuals from birth cohorts that will reach the upper age limit for screening before full implementation of the PCSP are randomly assigned, in a 1:1:1 ratio, to “immediate” screening, “postponed” screening, or a “never invited” control group. The RHS design is a natural platform that will evaluate the effectiveness of screening, and compare different age ranges for screening, invitation procedures, and quality improvement interventions. Up to 2015, 24 centers have been developed, with 34.2% geographic coverage and 851,535 individuals enrolled.

Conclusions: The PCSP sets an example for implementation of population-based colonoscopy screening with experimental design to ensure proper evaluation of its effectiveness.

RHS registration number: 007_2015_1_RHS.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second leading cause of cancer death in Poland and Europe [1,2]. Most CRCs develop from nonmalignant precursor lesions called adenomas over a long period of time. This slow development provides an opportunity for intervention with screening tests, which may result in decreased CRC incidence and mortality by removal of polyps or detection of CRC at an early stage. Although colonoscopy is approved by various expert committees as a primary screening tool for the prevention and early detection of CRC [3,4], no randomized trial has quantified the possible benefit of colonoscopy screening. Three such trials have been launched recently [5,6] (NCT01239082), but their results will not be available within the next 10 years.

Once the benefit of cancer screening has been proven in a randomized trial, a challenge then exists to measure its true effectiveness following countrywide implementation [7]. Available comparison groups usually do not take into account chronologic changes in risk factors, cancer awareness, and advances in treatment [7]. It has therefore been suggested that CRC screening should be implemented using an experimental design in order to ensure the proper and detailed evaluation of its effects and feasibility as a public health policy [8,9]. This approach has been successfully introduced into the Finnish CRC screening program using fecal occult blood testing [10], and is currently being piloted in Norway in a sigmoidoscopy screening program [9].

The aim of this paper is to describe the rationale and design of the first population-based colonoscopy screening program (PCSP) for CRC, which was launched in Poland in 2012 as a randomized health services (RHS) study.
Program design

Building screening colonoscopy capacity

The Polish PCSP is a natural extension of the opportunistic colonoscopy-based CRC screening program implemented in 2000 [11]. Within the framework of the opportunistic colonoscopy-based program, a countrywide network of screening centers was gradually built up. In each screening center, an administrative office and a leading colonoscopist (usually the head of the endoscopy unit, responsible for coordination and supervision of the program locally) were established. Over the same period, the number of colonoscopists engaged in the program increased nearly 10-fold. This was facilitated by establishing an initiative called the Polish School of Colonoscopy, which provided 2–4 weeks of hands-on training in colonoscopy for nearly 250 endoscopists. As a result of the training courses, the estimated overall colonoscopy capacity, as declared by the screening centers, reached 140 000 procedures per annum in 2011, although the actual number of procedures performed was approximately 3 times lower because of funding limitations. This capacity was considered sufficient to cover once-in-a-lifetime screening colonoscopy with 30% compliance of the target population. A screening program database was used to establish a colonoscopy quality assurance program from the start of the screening program. All colonoscopy records were reviewed annually by dedicated staff at the coordinating center in order to ensure complete follow-up of screen-detected lesions. The experiences of screening participants were evaluated immediately after screening colonoscopy using a dedicated questionnaire, but this information was not fed back to the screening centers. Since 2006, the quality assurance program also included feedback to the centers on colonoscopy quality indicators [12,13].

In the decade since 2000, a solid basis in terms of staff, utilities, and procedures has been established through the opportunistic program, making a population-based program possible. In 2011, the Polish Ministry of Health agreed to gradually implement the population-based program using the previously developed opportunistic screening centers.

Program coordination and funding

A coordination unit is responsible for planning, executing, and evaluating the PCSP. The Polish Ministry of Health provides overall supervision of the program and covers the cost of program coordination and screening procedures (colonoscopies, histopathology, bowel preparation, and sedation if needed). The screening centers are responsible for the local organization and performance of the screening colonoscopies. Each screening center provides the screening service to a target area of 2–10 surrounding poviat (administrative areas), which are assigned by the coordination unit based on colonoscopy capacity at the center. Target areas are within 40 km of the screening center. A gradual increase in the number of centers involved in the PCSP entails expansion of the programs’ target areas, and full geographic coverage of the country is expected by 2021 (380 poviat with an estimated population of 5 400 000 individuals aged 55–64 years).

The PCSP is run in consecutive 1–2-year editions. Centers willing to participate in a new PCSP edition are selected by open bid. Preference is given to centers that participated in previous editions of the program and achieved a good recommendation from the coordination unit. Selected screening centers receive a contract covering all of the costs associated with the screening colonoscopy.

Target population and screening policy

All individuals aged 55–64 years living in the target area for each screening center are eligible for the program. The only exclusion criteria are diagnosis of CRC registered in the National Cancer Registry or screening colonoscopy performed within the previous 10 years, as verified from the opportunistic colonoscopy screening program database.

The screening method offered to the target population is once-in-a-lifetime colonoscopy. This decision is based on the concept that a high-quality colonoscopy can be used as a triage test at the age of about 60 years [14]. Individuals with precancerous lesions are recommended to undergo postpolypectomy surveillance according to the adapted European guidelines [15]; however, organization and financing of surveillance are based on the general healthcare system and are separate from the screening program. Individuals with a negative colonoscopy do not require further screening or surveillance. The concept of not repeating colonoscopy following a negative procedure relies on its long protective effect, which certainly exceeds 12 years [16] and probably 20 years [17], and an estimated life expectancy of 20 years for 60-year-old individuals in Poland.

Each year, the coordination unit acquires from the population registry (PESEL Registry) a list of eligible individuals in the PCSP target areas, and mails a letter of invitation with a scheduled appointment date for colonoscopy to every individual randomized to the “immediate screening group” (see Implementation as an RHS study, below). Letters of invitation accompanied with an information folder and reply form are mailed 6–7 weeks prior to the scheduled appointment. Respective screening centers mail a reminder letter to nonresponders 3 weeks prior to the scheduled appointment. The screening center manages the invitees’ responses, confirms appointment dates, and issues bowel preparation to participants who accept the invitation. The invitation scheme follows the framework developed within the NordICC study [5]. The offer of screening colonoscopy remains open for invitees until the age of 64 years. Currently, no re-invitations or alternative screening options are considered for nonresponders.

A screening colonoscopy and a split-dose 4-L polyethylene glycol (PEG) bowel preparation are offered free of charge to all invitees. The use of sedation is based on local screening center policy, but it has to be provided free of charge up to 20% of invitees upon their request. At colonoscopy, all detected precursor lesions are removed immediately whenever feasible, and other pathological findings are biopsied. The decision to remove more difficult lesions (>1 cm in size) immediately or to remove them during a dedicated therapeutic procedure is left to the discretion of the endoscopist. Insertion of the colonoscope is discontinued if an individual expresses significant discomfort or a wish to stop.

All histopathology results are entered into the SI-PBP database by the coordination unit based on colonoscopy, histopathology reports, and follow-up data.
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Implementation as an RHS study

The PCSP has been implemented as an RHS study [18], with randomization at the individual level and a gradually expanding invitation scheme (Fig. 1). Each year 10% of each eligible birth cohort is randomly assigned to the “immediate screening group” and 10% to the “postponed screening group” (screening delayed for 5 years). The postponed screening group is not informed about their status. Randomization is stratified by birth cohort, sex, and poviat, with personal 1:1 matching within the strata. The invitation scheme assures that, once fully implemented, screening is offered to all eligible individuals from the target areas in 10 consecutive annual batches.

During the implementation phase, however, the screening may not be offered to all individuals from the 56–64-year-old birth cohorts, because some individuals will reach the age limit for screening before having a chance to be invited. Therefore, it was decided to construct, with no ethical reservations, a “never invited control” group by randomly drawing in advance one batch of individuals aged 56–64 years who will not be offered screening because of age restrictions. Therefore, at the first annual edition of the PCSP, 3/10 of the birth cohort aged 56–64 years has been individually randomized in a 1:1:1 ratio to “immediate” screening, “postponed” screening (delayed for 5 years) or “never invited” control group. The birth cohort of 55 years has been individually randomized in a 1:1 ratio to either immediate screening or postponed screening (delayed for 5 years) (Fig. 2). This method ensures that starting from the 55-year-old cohort at the first edition, entire consecutive birth cohorts will be offered screening within 10 years. The details of the invitation scheme are presented in Fig. 1.

Quality assurance

All screening centers entering the PCSP are selected through a competitive bidding process. Eligible endoscopy centers have to fulfill the following inclusion criteria: 1) participation in a previous edition of the PCSP or the opportunistic colonoscopy screening program; 2) declared ability to perform at least 1000 screening colonoscopies in addition to routine clinical colonoscopies; 3) minimum quality assurance criteria confirmed by the program coordinator (adenoma detection rate of ≥20%, cecal intubation rate of ≥95%, rate of high grade dysplasia in adenomas <10mm of ≥1%); and 4) participating endoscopists with gastroenterology specialty or Certificate of Competence in Colonoscopy issued by the Polish Society of Gastroenterology.

At the end of each edition of the PCSP, the SI-PBP database is reviewed to assess the completeness of data, the experience of screenees as reported on the Gastronet questionnaire [19], and to calculate quality indicators for centers and individual endoscopists. Following the review, feedback information on performance is sent to each screening center. In addition, all endoscopists who express their interest in getting individual performance data receive email feedback on colonoscopy quality indicators presented in a league table, which enables comparison with anonymous results of all endoscopists who performed at least 30 colonoscopies.

Screening center leaders are invited to participate in a 2-day hands-on train-the-leaders course [20]. The course is aimed at improving their center quality and the quality of their colonoscopy training skills. Histopathologists who overdiagnose high grade dysplasia in small and diminutive polyps (>1mm) are invited for a re-training session with expert gastrointestinal pathologists at the coordinating center.

Ethical issues

This is an RHS study, which is similar in design to a randomized controlled trial (RCT) but has several differences especially in terms of ethical issues. No formal bioethical committee approval is required but the PCSP is regulated and overseen by the health authority (the Polish Ministry of Health), which approves both screening colonoscopy (when possible) and no screening (not realistic to provide for all citizens at this moment in time). This regulation fulfils the ethical requirement of RHS studies [18]. All co-studies (see below) are either approved by the central Bioethical Committee at the Center of Oncology Institute and Medical Centre for Postgraduate Education, or have been granted exemption from this approval.

Individuals are randomly assigned to the immediate screening group, postponed screening group, or the never invited control group, without written informed consent. All individuals who are invited for screening, however, must provide written informed consent before they undergo colonoscopy.

There are several reasons why this approach was considered ethical. Screening colonoscopy cannot be offered to the entire target population at the same point in time. The most ethical way of selecting the order of invitation is by random draw. Therefore, each year, 10% of the target population is randomly selected to the immediate screening group to ensure that in 10 years’ time 100% of the target population has been offered screening. During the implementation phase, however, some individuals will reach the upper age limit for screening before having a chance to be invited. As colonoscopy screening has never been studied in a randomized controlled trial, it has been decided to randomly select a never invited control group from the birth cohorts that will reach the upper age limit for screening in order to fully investigate the effectiveness of colonoscopy in the population during implementation. Furthermore, with an ongoing development of medical treatment, screening colonoscopy may shift from being effective to being ineffective, and there is no way of proving this other than by using an RHS design.

Program evaluation

Long term outcomes

The primary outcome measures of the PCSP are CRC incidence and incidence-based CRC mortality. The RHS design allows the following analyses to be performed.

1. Cumulative CRC incidence and mortality during 10 years (15, 20, 25 years) of follow-up in individuals aged 56–64 years who are randomized at the first edition of the implementation phase to immediate screening or the never invited control group.

2. Cumulative CRC incidence and mortality during 10 years (15, 20, 25 years) of follow-up in individuals aged 60–64 years who are randomized at editions 1–5 of the implementation phase to immediate screening or control (defined as those randomized to the never invited group plus those randomized to postponed screening who reached the age limit before they could be invited).

3. Cumulative CRC incidence and mortality during 10 years (15, 20, 25 years) of follow-up in individuals invited for screening in the implementation phase at the age of 55–59 years vs. 60–64 years.

Data on CRC incidence, CRC mortality, and overall mortality will be obtained by matching individuals against the National Cancer...
Fig. 1  The population-based colonoscopy screening program invitation scheme with individual level randomization (example for centers entering the program in 2012). Each year, 10% of the birth cohort is randomly assigned to the "immediate screening group" (yellow) and 10% to the "postponed screening group" (light grey). In addition, at the first edition, 10% of the birth cohorts aged 56–64 years is randomly assigned to the "never invited control group" (dark grey). Boxes marked with red letters indicate the batches that initially belong to the postponed screening group but are invited to screening with 5 years' delay. Starting from the birth cohort 1957 (post-implementation phase), entire consecutive birth cohorts will be offered screening within a 10-year span.
The PCSP is accompanied by several co-studies, which constitute experimental population-based platforms to evaluate the implementation phase of screening colonoscopy: a population-based comparative effectiveness study (for a more detailed description see Appendix e1, available online). The co-studies are designed to evaluate the implementation phase of the program.

1. The sensitivity of the screening episode and screening program for detection of CRC expected to become clinically detectable within 2 years (or 5 years).
2. The incidence of advanced CRC in the screening and control groups within 2 years (or 5 years) from the date of draw.
3. A 30-day mortality rate and 30-day hospitalization rate in the screening and control groups.
4. The cost-effectiveness of the PCSP.
5. Participation in screening colonoscopy in response to various invitation procedures. In particular, an RCT will be conducted to evaluate the effect of the advanced notification letter (ANL) and low-volume bowel preparation compared with standard invitation and standard split-dose 4-L PEG bowel preparation, respectively.

### Sample size

This is an RHS study, which means that the recruitment process will be permanently ongoing unless the health policy is changed, and thus the sample size is virtually unlimited. Nevertheless, a sample size was calculated for the number needed to show the difference in the study primary outcome measures. In Poland, the expected accumulated CRC incidence-based mortality at 15 years’ follow-up in the nonscreened population aged 55–64 years is 1.02% (1021 deaths per 100 000 person-years). In the intention-to-screen analysis, a 10% reduction in CRC mortality (assuming, conservatively, 50% efficacy and 20% attendance rate) at 15 years’ follow-up was considered to be clinically important and possible to achieve. In order to assure 80% power to detect this difference at a one-sided 5% significance level, 144,644 individuals are needed for screening and the same number are to be identified as controls.

### Current status and future perspectives

The PCSP was launched in July 2012 in five centers, covering the target screening area of 12 poviats (3.2% of the total 380 poviats in Poland). The PCSP was further expanded to 10 centers (34 poviats, 8.9%) in 2013, 20 centers (68 poviats, 17.9%) in 2014, and will reach 24 centers (130 poviats, 34.2%) in 2015. Fig. 3 shows the gradual geographic expansion of the PCSP in Poland. Between 2012 and 2015, a total of 346,319 individuals have been random-
Colonoscopy screening has invariably been implemented as an opportunistic or nonorganized program [21], which is not in accordance with European Union guideline recommendations [4]. The PCSP design shows how to take advantage of previously implemented opportunistic screening to build up sufficient, high-quality colonoscopy capacity for population-based screening. It also proposes how to transform a network of developed opportunistic screening centers into a population-based program with gradually increasing geographic coverage. In order to fit the invitation scheme with colonoscopy capacity, the geographic expansion of the population-based program might be accompanied by gradual enrollment of consecutive fractions of each birth cohort. This solution allows full benefit from the fact that screening colonoscopy does not need to be repeated frequently or even at all. Random draw is probably the most ethical method of selecting the order of birth cohort fractions to be invited for screening and gives an opportunity for proper evaluation of screening effects using experimental design [8, 9].

The RHS design of the PCSP is expected to provide unbiased evaluation of program effectiveness. It is particularly relevant for colonoscopy screening, which is invasive, inconvenient, and costly, as this method of screening has not been appropriately tested in a randomized trial [5]. Moreover, proper measurement of screening effectiveness following implementation as a nationwide health policy is challenging without experimental design because of dynamic changes in background CRC epidemiology [22]. This type of challenge was encountered in the United States, where the actual decline in CRC incidence and mortality preceded the expected time of decline following implementation of colonoscopy screening [23]. The PCSP design allows the study of surrogate end points that could reliably predict CRC mortality reduction sooner and therefore could be used to refine a health policy many years in advance [24]. Finally, the design of the PCSP provides a natural platform for short-term studies on fine tuning the screening policy.

The PCSP design has some limitations, however. First, its implementation is a long-lasting process that requires extensive database management support and sustained participation of enrolled screening centers. Second, the 5-year difference in invitation to screening time between immediate screening and postponed screening groups may not be long enough to investigate surrogate end points of screening efficacy such as incidence of advanced CRC. Third, study end points will be derived from cancer registries, which are known to be incomplete, although end points will be ascertained using the same imperfect tool and so the effect estimates of the screening intervention should be valid. In summary, this paper describes the rationale and design of the first PCSP for CRC implemented as an RHS study. This may set an example for the growth of colonoscopy capacity, introduction of quality assurance, gradual expansion of population coverage, and proper evaluation of the effectiveness of organized colonoscopy screening programs.
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