Age-Related Surgical Outcomes of Laminoplasty for Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy
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Abstract

Study Design  Retrospective clinical study.

Objective  To investigate the age-related surgical outcomes of laminoplasty.

Methods  One hundred patients who underwent an en bloc laminoplasty for cervical spondylotic myelopathy from 2004 to 2008 and were followed for at least 1 year were included in this study. The clinical outcomes were assessed with the Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA) score. Acquired points (postoperative JOA score minus preoperative JOA score) were also calculated. To investigate the age-related effect for laminoplasty, two analyses were conducted: (1) the correlation between age and clinical outcome; and (2) the clinical outcomes by decade. Patients were divided into four groups according to their age at the time of operation as follows: group 50s, 50 to 59 years old; group 60s, 60 to 69 years; group 70s, 70 to 79 years; and group 80s, 80 to 89 years. The pre- and postoperative JOA scores, acquired points, preoperative comorbidities, and postoperative complications were then compared among the groups.

Results  Significant correlations were detected between age and JOA scores at the preoperative (p = 0.03), postoperative maximum (p < 0.0001), and final assessments (p < 0.0001). An age-related decline of JOA scores was observed over all periods. The analysis by decades showed the same results. On the other hand, the significant differences were not found for acquired points over all periods by either method. The preoperative comorbidities of hypertension and diabetes mellitus increased with age. Delirium was more common postoperatively in elderly patients.

Conclusions  Although an age-related decline of JOA scores was found over all periods, there were no severe sequelae and no differences in the acquired points that were age-related.

Introduction

Cervical laminoplasty is a surgical option for patients with compression myelopathy, such as cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM), ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL), and cervical disk herniation (CDH).¹⁻¹⁴ As the number of elderly individuals in the population is increasing, surgical treatment for cervical myelopathy in elderly patients is becoming a great concern. Although many authors have reported age-related surgical outcomes for myelopathy due to...
cervical lesions, there were some limitations in terms of the surgical methods, such as anterior fixation, laminectomy, and laminoplasty,\textsuperscript{15–19} and different pathologies, such as CSM, OPLL, and CDH.\textsuperscript{16,17,19,20} Furthermore, some publications have reported the surgical outcomes of cervical laminoplasty for CSM in elderly patients, but their definitions of elderly varied.\textsuperscript{7,14,21–26} No standard definitions of elderly might lead to paradoxical conclusions. In fact, some authors have reported poorer outcomes in elderly patients than in younger patients,\textsuperscript{26} whereas others found no differences among the age groups.\textsuperscript{21,23,25} To investigate the age-related surgical outcomes of laminoplasty, analyzing the correlation between age and the clinical outcomes appeared reasonable. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the age-related surgical outcomes of laminoplasty using two types of analysis without defining elderly.

Materials and Methods

Patient Population

From 2004 to 2008, 124 consecutive laminoplasties for CSM were performed at our institution. One hundred patients (50 to 86 years old) who were followed for at least 1 year were included in this study. The follow-up rate was 81%. Patients with rheumatoid arthritis, hemodialysis, OPLL, psychosis, and other neurogenic diseases were excluded. The patients were divided into four groups according to their age at the time of operation: group 50s, 50 to 59 years old (21 patients); group 60s, 60 to 69 years old (32 patients); group 70s, 70 to 79 years old (37 patients); and group 80s, 80 to 89 years old (10 patients). Patients who were under 50 years old (4 cases) and above 89 years old (2 cases) were excluded. The average age of each group was 56, 64.1, 73.3, and 82.9 years, respectively. The symptom duration was investigated by reviewing the clinical records. The average symptom durations were 3.1, 2.7, 3.9, and 2.1 years, respectively. The average follow-up durations were 4.0, 4.4, 3.8, and 4.5 years, respectively (\textit{Table 1}). There were no significant differences among these groups in symptom duration and follow-up period.

Surgical Technique

In this study, all patients underwent an en bloc laminoplasty that was introduced by Itoh and Tsuji.\textsuperscript{8} The surgical sites were: C3–C5 in 1 case, C3–C6 in 13 cases, C3–C7 in 6 cases, and C4–C7 in 1 case in group 50s; C3–C6 in 24 cases, C3–C7 in 7 cases, and C4–C6 in 1 case in group 60s; C3–C6 in 20 cases, C3–C7 in 12 cases, C3–T1 in 1 case, C4–C7 in 2 cases, C5–C6 in 1 case, and C5–C7 in 1 case in group 70s; and C3–C6 in 9 cases and C3–C7 in 1 case in group 80s. After surgery, the patients wore a soft cervical collar, which they were allowed to remove within a week. The mean operation time was 119 minutes (group 50s, 128 minutes; group 60s, 118 minutes; group 70s, 116 minutes; and group 80s, 115 minutes), and the mean estimated blood loss during the laminoplasty was 153 mL (group 50s, 108 mL; group 60s, 164 mL; group 70s, 164 mL; and group 80s, 173 mL). There were no significant differences among these groups in the operation time and blood loss (data not shown).

Clinical Assessment

The clinical outcomes were assessed using the scoring system proposed by the Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA). Briefly, the JOA score consists of upper extremity function (4 points), lower extremity function (4 points), sensory (6 points), and urinary bladder function (3 points). A normal JOA score was 17 points.

The clinical assessments were performed for all patients before surgery; at 1, 6, and 12 months after surgery; and then annually thereafter. The acquired points were also calculated in each period. Furthermore, the preoperative comorbidities and postoperative complications were investigated.

Statistical Analysis

To investigate the correlations between age and the clinical outcomes, the Spearman rank correlation coefficient was used for each factor. Values of \( p < 0.05 \) indicated significance. The Bonferroni test was performed for analyzing the differences among the four groups. Values of \( p < 0.005/6 = 0.0083 \) indicated significance for this analysis.

Results

Age and JOA Scores

Significant negative correlations were detected between age and the total JOA scores at the preoperative (\( p = 0.03 \)), postoperative maximum (\( p < 0.0001 \)), and final assessments

| Table 1 Patient demographic data |
|---|---|---|---|---|
|   | Group 50s | Group 60s | Group 70s | Group 80s |
| Number | 21 | 32 | 37 | 10 |
| Age | 56 | 64.1 | 73.3 | 82.9 |
| Male/female | 14/7 | 25/7 | 24/13 | 6/4 |
| Symptom duration (y) | 3.1 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 2.1 |
| F/U (y) | 4.0 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.5 |
| HT (%) | 5 (24) | 11 (34) | 20 (54) | 8 (80) |
| DM (%) | 3 (14) | 7 (22) | 12 (32) | 5 (50) |

Abbreviations: DM, diabetes mellitus; HT, hypertension; F/U, follow-up.
For the upper extremity function, significant negative correlations were also detected between age and the JOA scores at the preoperative (\(p = 0.0028\)), postoperative maximum (\(p < 0.0001\)), and final assessments (\(p = 0.0002\); data not shown). Similarly, with regard to the lower extremity function, significant negative correlations were detected between age and the JOA scores of the lower extremities at the preoperative (\(p = 0.0037\)), postoperative maximum (\(p = 0.0003\)), and final assessments (\(p = 0.0004\); data not shown). In terms of the analysis by decade, the average total JOA scores at the preoperative, postoperative maximum, and final assessments were 9.7, 14.5, and 14.1 points in group 50s; 9.1, 13.8, and 13.1 points in group 60s; 7.9, 12.3, and 11.6 points in group 70s; and 8.2, 11.5, and 11.1 points in group 80s, respectively (\(p < 0.0083\)). Although no significant differences were detected in the preoperative JOA scores between the groups, those at the postoperative maximum and final assessments were significantly lower for group 70s and 80s than for group 50s. With regard to lower extremity function, the average JOA scores at the preoperative, postoperative maximum, and final assessments were 1.8, 2.9, and 2.8 points in group 50s; 1.7, 3.0, and 2.6 points in group 60s; 1.3, 2.3, and 2.1 points in group 70s; and 1.1, 1.9, and 1.8 points in group 80s, respectively (\(p < 0.0083\)). Although no significant differences were detected in the preoperative JOA scores between the groups, the average JOA scores of the upper extremities at the preoperative, postoperative maximum, and final assessments were significantly lower for group 70s and 80s than for group 50s. With regard to the upper extremity function, the average JOA scores at the preoperative, postoperative maximum, and final assessments were 2.5, 3.6, and 3.5 points in group 50s; 2.0, 3.3, and 3.1 points in group 60s; 1.7, 3.0, and 2.8 points in group 70s; and 1.5, 2.8, and 2.7 points in group 80s, respectively (\(p < 0.0083\)). The average JOA scores of the upper extremities at the preoperative, postoperative maximum, and final assessments were significantly lower for group 70s and 80s than for group 50s. With regard to the upper extremity function, the average JOA scores at the preoperative, postoperative maximum, and final assessments were 2.5, 3.6, and 3.5 points in group 50s; 2.0, 3.3, and 3.1 points in group 60s; 1.7, 3.0, and 2.8 points in group 70s; and 1.5, 2.8, and 2.7 points in group 80s, respectively (\(p < 0.0083\)).

**Age and Acquired Points**

No correlations were detected between age and the acquired points in each category through all periods (data not shown). In terms of the analysis by decade, the average total acquired points at the postoperative maximum and final assessments were 4.8 and 4.5 in group 50s, 4.8 and 4.0 in group 60s, 4.4 and 3.6 in group 70s, and 3.1 and 2.9 in group 80s, respectively (\(p < 0.0083\)). The average acquired points at the postoperative maximum and final assessments were 1.0 and 1.0 in group 50s, 1.4 and 1.1 in group 60s, 1.3 and 1.1 in group 70s, and 1.3 and 1.2 in group 80s, respectively. With regard to the lower extremity function, the average acquired points at the postoperative maximum and final assessments were 1.0 and 1.0 in group 50s, 1.4 and 1.1 in group 60s, 1.3 and 1.1 in group 70s, and 1.3 and 1.2 in group 80s, respectively. With regard to the lower extremity function, the average acquired points at the postoperative maximum and final assessments were 1.0 and 1.0 in group 50s, 1.4 and 1.1 in group 60s, 1.3 and 1.1 in group 70s, and 1.3 and 1.2 in group 80s, respectively.
maximum and final assessments were 1.1 and 0.9 in group 50s, 1.3 and 0.9 in group 60s, 1.0 and 0.8 in group 70s, and 0.8 and 0.7 in group 80s, respectively (►Fig. 3). Although there were no significant differences in acquired points between the groups in each category through all the periods, the acquired points of the lower extremities tended to be low in groups 70s and 80s.

Preoperative Comorbidities and Postoperative Complications

In terms of the preoperative comorbidities, hypertension was observed in 5 patients (24%) in group 50s, 11 (34%) in group 60s, 20 (54%) in group 70s, and 8 (80%) in group 80s, and diabetes mellitus was detected in 3 patients (14%) in group 50s, 7 (22%) in group 60s, 12 (32%) in group 70s, and 5 (50%) in group 80s (►Table 1). In the patients with hypertension (HT+), the average JOA scores at the preoperative, postoperative maximum, and final assessments were 7.9, 12.5, and 11.8 points, respectively. And in the patients without hypertension (HT−), they were 9.3, 13.7, and 13.1 points, respectively. The average acquired points at the postoperative maximum and final assessments were 4.6 and 4.0 in HT+ patients and 4.3 and 3.7 in HT− patients, respectively. Although the average JOA scores at the preoperative, postoperative maximum, and final assessments were significantly lower for HT+ patients than for HT− patients, no significant differences were detected in the average postoperative JOA score or average acquired points at the postoperative maximum and final assessments.

Regarding the postoperative complications, C5 nerve palsy was observed in 1 patient each in groups 50s (4.8%), 70s (2.7%), and 80s (10%) and 2 in group 60s (6.3%). Delirium was observed 3 (8%) in group 70s and 2 (20%) in group 80s. One patient in group 60s had a cerebral infarction (3.1%). There were no surgical site infections in this series (►Table 2).

Discussion

Cervical laminoplasty is a well-reported surgical treatment for cervical myelopathy with CSM, OPLL, and CDH. The long-term clinical and radiologic results of laminoplasty have been investigated, and the risk factors for poor outcomes (age at the time of operation, symptom duration, signal changes in the spinal cord on magnetic resonance imaging, transverse area of the spinal cord at the site of maximum compression, and kyphotic alignment) have been identified.19–21,25 In terms of age-related effects on laminoplasty, many authors have reported comparative studies of the surgical outcomes in elderly patients, but their conclusions were controversial because their definitions of elderly varied from 65 to 80 years.7,14,21–26 Therefore, in the present study, the surgical outcomes of laminoplasty were examined in two

Table 2 Postoperative complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 50s</th>
<th>Group 60s</th>
<th>Group 70s</th>
<th>Group 80s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C5 palsy (%)</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>2 (6.3)</td>
<td>1 (2.7)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delirium (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (8.1)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral infarction (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: SSI, surgical site infection.
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ways: (1) the Spearman rank correlation coefficient between age and clinical outcome; and (2) the surgical outcomes by decade without a definition of elderly.

In the present study, a negative correlation was detected between age and preoperative JOA scores, but the decade classification did not show a significant difference. Furthermore, the postoperative JOA scores decreased significantly with aging. Symptom duration has often been discussed as one of the causes of the poor preoperative condition in elderly patients. Several authors have reported that long symptom duration contributed to the poor surgical outcomes of laminoplasty, especially in elderly patients. Generally, surgeons hesitate to operate on elderly patients due to their comorbidities; however, in the acquired points, there were no significant differences between the patients with or without comorbidities in the present study. Delaying surgical treatment for elderly patients causes prolonged symptom duration, and severe myelopathy may develop. Nagata et al recommended that the surgical treatment be done as soon as possible after onset of progressive myelopathy in elderly patients. Moreover, Matsunaga et al reported that the neurologic function deteriorated with increasing age in healthy volunteers. The age-related degeneration of the spinal cord, such as a decrease in the number of anterior horn cells and myelinated fibers in the corticospinal tracts and posterior funiculus, might contribute to the preoperative and postoperative status. For these reasons, we chose to operate on elderly patients as soon as possible, and there were no significant differences in symptom duration among the decades in the present series.

On the other hand, no correlation was seen between age and acquired points in each category through all periods. Similarly, the analysis by decade showed the same results. In the present study, the acquired points of the lower extremity tended to be low in groups 70s and 80s, and those of the upper extremity did not show any difference among the decades.

Machino et al pointed out one limitation of the recovery rate of the JOA score: the actual surgical outcomes in patients with the same recovery rate might differ according to the preoperative JOA score. Patients with low preoperative JOA scores had poorer surgical outcomes than patients with high preoperative JOA scores, though both of them achieved the same JOA score. They recommended that acquired points should be used for evaluation of elderly patients rather than the recovery rate. Therefore, in the present study, the recovery rate was not calculated, and acquired points were considered for evaluation of surgical outcomes.

One limitation of this study was that the radiologic examinations were not evaluated. Further studies will be necessary to clarify the relationship between the age-related radiologic features and the clinical outcomes. However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of an age-related decline of pre- and postoperative clinical status without defining elderly.

In conclusion, although an age-related decline of JOA scores was detected in all periods, there were no severe sequelae and no differences in the acquired points related to age. These results suggest that laminoplasty for cervical myelopathy is useful in elderly patients.
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