Introduction

ATP-binding cassette transporters (ABC transporters) represent a huge superfamily of proteins expressed in all phyla from prokaryotes to humans. In humans, they attract a lot of interest due to their role in multidrug resistance in cancer therapy, their involvement in drug/drug interactions, as well as their influence on the bioavailability and toxicity of drugs. ABC transporters are characterized by a nucleotide binding domain (NBD), which hydrolyzes ATP and provides energy for the conformational changes required for solute transport across the membranes, and a transmembrane domain (TMD), which forms a pore through which the substrates pass. Some soluble members of the ABC transporters only contain NBD, while the full-size members contain two NBD modules and two TMD modules. The so-called half-size ABC transporters are built out of one NBD and one TMD, and require dimerization for the transport activity (Fig. 1). ABC transporters in humans comprise 48 proteins and are classified into six families (ABCA–ABCG), with the multidrug resistance protein 1 (MDR1, P-glycoprotein; gene ABCB1), the breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP; gene ABCG2), and the multidrug resistance-related protein 1 (MRP1; gene ABCC1) being the most prominent ones. In plants, more than 100 genes coding for ABC transporters have been discovered to date. Plant ABC transporters cluster in eight families, from ABCA to ABCI (the ABCH subfamily has not been found in plants). While in humans the ABCG family only consists of half-size transporters, plant ABCG transporters may be half or full size [1]. The plants lack a developed and dynamic vascular system, which may explain their need for an elaborated transport system to allow a proper distribution of nutrients and signals [2]. Two types of transport happen in plants: the long-range transport, where substances flow from root to shoot and back using the xylem and phloem vessels, and the short-range transcellular transport via the apoplastic compartments. Transport proteins, carriers, and permeases are involved in the latter type, allowing substances to pass from cell to cell, and from cell to vessel [2]. In analogy to humans, also in plants, hormones are essential for vegetative growth and development. Hormones are small molecules guarding signalling processes throughout the whole plant. Several of them have been known for a long time, including auxins, abscisic acid, cytokinins, gibberellins, and ethylene. In the last years, several more were discovered, such as brassinosteroids, jas-
monic acid, nitric oxide, salicylic acid, and strigolactones [3]. This group will likely grow even further in the coming years. As hormones take effect not only locally in the area of their biosynthesis but also in distant tissues, an adequate transport system is required.

In this article, we will provide an overview of the different roles of ABC transporters in plants, with a focus on hormone transport and available structural information on the ABC transport proteins involved. Finally, we will outline our protein homology modelling studies of a specific hormone transporter, PDR1 in Petunia hybrida (Solanaceae).

**Multiple Roles of ATP-Binding Cassette Transporters in Plants**

Plant genomes contain more than 100 genes encoding ABC transporters [1]. Although a lot of work remains in order to identify the specific roles of each of those proteins across plant species, the current knowledge already reveals multiple critical functions. While it is not the aim of this article to extensively cover these roles (for detailed reviews, see [1] or [4]), we will briefly describe the main elucidated roles of ABC transporters in plants.

**Detoxification**

The first role ever discovered for ABC transporters in plants was detoxification and elimination of toxic endo- and xenobiotics [5]. While the global detoxification pathway is similar to the one known for humans (oxidation by cytochrome P450, followed by a conjugation with a hydrophilic moiety like glucuronide or glutathione), the excretion step of the conjugated toxins towards the vacuolar compartment or the apoplasm is done by ABC transporters [5] such as AtABCC1, AtABCC2, and AtABCC3 [6-8]. ABCG36 in Arabidopsis thaliana (Brassicaceae) was shown to excrete cadmium from root hairs and root epidermal cells [9] towards the rhizosphere. Some results also point towards ABCG40 as a potential lead (Pb) extruder [10], which is surprising considering the fact that AtABCG40 is also involved in hormone import. In humans, similar metal transport roles are taken up by the solute carrier DMT1 (SLC11A2) [11].

Aluminium toxicity is a well-studied phenomenon because of its impact on crop yield. In acid soils, aluminium is present as Al³⁺, which inhibits root growth with a subsequent decrease of shoot growth and overall crop productivity. Plants cope with Al toxicity by limiting the uptake and chelating internalized Al with malate or citrate. Recently, one Al-sensitive mutation was characterized in a previously uncharacterized half-size ABC transporter [12] expressed throughout the phloem and the epidermis of the roots in A. thaliana. The authors propose that this transporter helps remove Al from sensitive tissues and sequestrates it into more tolerant ones. Another Al-sensitive mutant in a different ABC transporter, AtABCB27, was also identified. It may facilitate vacuolar sequestration of Al in the roots [13].

**Symbiosis and rhizosphere community**

Via their roots, plants are in close contact with soil fungal and bacterial communities. In legumes, this contact turned into a beneficial symbiosis between specific bacterial strains that take care of nitrogen fixation while the plant is in charge of the photosynthesis of nutrients [14]. The legumes recruit their symbiosis partners via flavonoid excretion from the roots. Recent studies showed that ABC transporters, potentially of the PDR family, are involved in genistein and daidzein secretion in soybean [15, 16]. Each plant species has its particular soil composition [17] that can change during the development of the plant [18]. This characteristic may be due to different abilities of roots to capture nutrients from the soil, as well as different exudations. Badri and colleagues, working on phytochemical exudations, showed that abcg30 mutants in A. thaliana significantly altered the amount and nature of microbial and fungal communities surrounding the roots. The phytochemicals excreted by the mutants lacking AtABCG30 were enriched in phenolic compounds such as benzoic acid or salicylic acid, and impoverished in glucose, fructose, or mannitol [19]. While no transport assays were performed in their study, the authors show that the absence of AtABCG30 resulted in pleiotropic effects in the mutants, with several transport genes overexpressed while sugar transporters were downregulated. The mutants were surrounded by a different microbiota, enriched in bacteria strains able to fixate nitrogen or to detoxify heavy metals and other pollutants. The experiment shows that lacking only one ABC transporter might completely change the expression pattern of other transporters and have consequences on the plant surroundings, including the neighbouring microbiota.

ABC transporters in roots seem to play a critical role in the establishment of an appropriate rhizobia for the plant. Controlling ABC transporter’s root exudations could be a way of modifying the soil contents for beneficial purposes.

**Metabolite transport**

Metabolite transport is a broad subject. Table 1 provides an overview on the most prominent examples of ABC transporters involved in metabolite transport together with their specific tasks in plants.

AtABCC2 (AtMRP2) has been known for a long time to be involved in the transport of glutathione S-conjugates and chlorophyll catabolites [7]. Glutathione is necessary for the reduction of dehydro-L-ascorbic acid to ascorbic acid, and this process is crucial for hydrogen peroxide detoxification [20]. Chlorophyll is naturally catabolized during leaf senescence, and the metabolites are accumulated in the vacuole [21].

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABC Transporter</th>
<th>Known Functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABCG36</td>
<td>Cadmium excretion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCG40</td>
<td>Lead (Pb) extruder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCB27</td>
<td>Al sequestration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtABCC2</td>
<td>Metabolite transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtABCG30</td>
<td>Metabolite transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtABCB27</td>
<td>Metabolite transport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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AtABCC5 transports phytoate (IP₆), which is an important phosphorus supplier, into the vacuole. IP₆ is a messenger in guard cells, where it is essential for correct stomatal movement. In seeds, it helps storing metallic cations by chelating them [22]. Fatty acid β-oxidation is needed to build up acetyl-CoA for the citric acid cycle. ABCD1 (PX1) in A. thaliana imports coenzyme A esters of fatty acids and indole-3-butryric acid (a precursor of auxin) into the peroxisome to enable the oxidation process [23]. The ABC transporter MDR1 uptakes berberines in Coptis japonica. As a consequence, the isoquinoline alkaloids accumulate in the rhizome [24]. They are synthesized in the roots, reach the rhizome through connecting xylem tissues, and are finally uptaken by CjMDR1, which is therefore working as a cell importer, probably the least common transport direction among the ABC transporters in eukaryotic cells [25]. The real use of berberines for the plant remains unclear, although there is an assumption for feedback regulation in berberine biosynthesis [26].

Badri et al. presented another extensive investigation about the connection of root exudates with ABC transporters by studying six full-length and one half transporters derived from MRP, PDR (pleiotropic drug resistance), and PGP (P-glycoprotein) subfamilies in A. thaliana. Their experiments were mainly based on comparisons of certain metabolites in the root exudates between transporter knockout and wild-type plants. Within their studies, they proved that ABC transporters are involved in the root secretion process and that there can be many transporters involved in the secretion of one metabolite, or a single transporter can be involved in the pathways of many metabolites [27] (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transporter</th>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Substrates</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AtABCC2</td>
<td>A. thaliana</td>
<td>glutathione conjugates chlorophyll catabolites</td>
<td>Lu et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtABCC5</td>
<td>A. thaliana</td>
<td>phytoate (IP₆)</td>
<td>Nagy et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtABCD1</td>
<td>A. thaliana</td>
<td>CoA esters of fatty acids indole-3-butryic acid</td>
<td>Zolman et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CjMDR1</td>
<td>C. japonica</td>
<td>berberines</td>
<td>Yazaki et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtPDR2</td>
<td>A. thaliana</td>
<td>amino acids</td>
<td>Badri et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtABCG34</td>
<td>A. thaliana</td>
<td>organic acids</td>
<td>Badri et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immunity

Several members of the PDR subfamily of ABC transporters have been found to protect distinct plant species from infection by pathogens. For example, the silencing of Nicotiana plumbofoila PDR1 increased the sensitivity of the plant to fungal and oomycete pathogens [28]. In A. thaliana, dysfunctional mutants of the gene ABCG36 (PDR8) become more sensitive to infectious bacterial strains [29], and ABCG40 (PDR12) is overexpressed when exposed to fungal pathogens [30]. While it is not quite clear how those full-length G family transporters help the plants to resist against bacterial and fungal infections, the study of their substrates and the phenotypes of mutant plants shed light on the transporter-associated immune system of plants. ABCG31 in Hordeum vulgare (barley), as well as ABCG11 and ABCG12 in A. thaliana, help the formation of the cuticle, which can be seen as the first protective barrier of the plant [31–33], thus indirectly contributing to the protection of the plant against stresses and infections. Another hypothesis would be the excretion of toxic secondary metabolites; the already mentioned NpPDR1 was found to secrete scclareolide, an antifungal diterpene, on the leaf surface [34]. Also, flavonoids have recognized antimicrobial and antioxidative properties [35, 36], and it was recently shown that ABCG10 in Medicago truncatula (MtABCG10) modulates the isoflavonoid levels [37]. Anyway, suppression of MtABCG10 leads to a lower resistance of the plant to the root pathogen Fusarium oxysporum.

Hormone transport

Plant ABC transporters of the B and G family are also involved in the transport of phytohormones like auxins, abscisic acid, cytokinins, or strigolactones. The details are explained in the next section.

Phytohormone ATP-Binding Cassette Transporters

Auxin transport

The phytohormone auxin (whose main natural form is indole-3-acetic acid, IAA) is involved in root development [38], vascular differentiation [39], cell wall construction [40], plant growth [41], as well as in response to light (reviewed in [42]) or gravity [43]. Auxin transport has been extensively studied in the model plant A. thaliana. While the plant vascularization by xyleme and phloeme can carry phytohormones from the root to the stem apex or the reverse, lateral transport from biosynthesis loci (shoot apex, leaves, and roots [44]) to these vessels requires what is called the polar auxin transport [2]. The current model of polar auxin transport includes a family of import proteins, the permease-like AUX carriers [43]. In the acidic apoplastic compartment, around 20% of auxin is in its neutral, undissociated form and can therefore cross the plasmic membrane by passive diffusion [45]. On the other side, since a long time auxin efflux is thought to involve protein complexes [46]. More recent discoveries identified the plant-specific pin-formed (PIN) family [47] as an auxin export carrier. In addition, several members of the B subfamily of ABC transporters are also able to transport auxin: Pgp1 (ABCB1) and Pgp19 (ABCB19) [48], Pgp4 (ABCB4) in the roots [49], and Pgp14 (ABCB14) and Pgp15 (ABCB15) during the stem lignification [50].

The most studied ABC transporters, Pgp1 and Pgp19, seem to play a crucial role in polar auxin transport; co-expressed in a polarized manner with the PIN members PIN1 or PIN2, they stabilize their membrane trafficking and localization [51]. Pgps, in association with the PIN proteins, synergistically participate in auxin efflux after receiving regulatory signals from their protein partners of the immunophilin TWD family [52, 53]. Protein–protein binding occurs between Pgp and TWD, which increases the efflux activity of the entire system (Fig. 2). Such protein–protein binding concerning an ABC transporter and a soluble immunophilin is not well studied in humans, and the potential impact of ABC transporters in membrane trafficking of other membrane proteins probably deserves some attention in humans, too.
However, Pgps also have a nonpolar localization in small meristematic cells where they oppose the passive rediffusion of protonated auxin from the membrane, which otherwise could disrupt the polar transport. In those lateral membranes, Pgps would therefore not be linked to PIN proteins, but function as individual auxin efflux transporters (Fig. 2) [44].

Recently, a specific role of Pgp19 in phototropism was discovered by Christie et al. [54]. Phototropism is the asymmetric stem growth phenomenon occurring when the plant is only partially enlightened. In this case, an auxin gradient is created that makes the distal side of the stem grow faster than the enlightened side, resulting in a bending of the stem. The protein kinase phot1 is sensitive to light, and, upon illumination, will phosphorylate the cytosolic C terminal region of Pgp19, resulting in an inhibition of auxin efflux. The subsequent auxin accumulation will then serve for the lateral flux towards the shadowy side of the stem. The authors hypothesize that the phosphorylation actually inhibits the interaction between Pgp19 and its activator TWD1.

A parallel phenomenon has been reported for Pgp1. The serine-threonine protein kinase PINOID (PID) is a partner of the TWD1-Pgp efflux complex. In the absence of TWD1, phosphorylation of Pgp1 by PID leads to a higher activity of auxin efflux. But when TWD1 is bound to its ABC transporter partner, the result of PID action is a complete abolition of auxin transport [55].

Polar transport of the plant hormone auxin is controlled by PIN- and ABCB/PGP efflux catalysts. PIN polarity is regulated by the AGC protein kinase, PINOID (PID), while ABC activity was shown to be dependent on the interaction with the FKBP42, TWISTED DWARF1 (TWD1). Using co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) and shotgun LC-MS/MS analysis, PID was identified as a valid partner in the interaction with TWD1. In vitro and yeast expression analyses indicated that PID specifically modulates ABCB1-mediated auxin efflux in an action that is dependent on its kinase activity and that is reverted by quercetin binding and thus the inhibition of PID autophosphorylation. Triple ABCB1/PID/TWD1 cotransfection in tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) revealed that PID enhances ABCB1-mediated auxin efflux, but blocks ABCB1 in the presence of TWD1. Phosphoproteomic analyses identified S634 as a key residue of the regulatory ABCB1 linker and a very likely target of PID phosphorylation that determines both transporter drug binding and activity. In summary, this study provides evidence that PID phosphorylation has a dual, counteractive impact on ABCB1 activity that is coordinated by the TWD1-PID interaction.

Auxin transport is not exclusively regulated by a protein-protein interaction and phosphorylation of Pgps. Endogenous and exogenous small molecules are also known to inhibit the auxin transporters. The family of phytotropins was described in the 70s as auxin transport inhibitors. They share a 2-carboxyphenyl group linked to another aromatic system [56]. Selected structures of phytotropins are shown in Fig. 3, notably, the 1-N-naphthylphthalamic acid (NPA), the cyclopropyl propane dione (CPD), the 2-(1-pyrenoyl)benzoic acid (BPA), and DPX1840. The pharmacophoric features elucidated by Katekar comprise a carboxylic acid moiety (or equivalent), coplanarity of the two aromatic rings, and a distance of 7.3 Å between the two aromatic rings. The common effect of the members of this family is a blockage of the polar auxin transport, a biphasic root growth inhibition, and a loss of gravitropism [57]. Katekar and Geissler were able to show that NPA has a weak auxin-like behavior at a low concentration, and that NPA and CPD share the same target. More recently, it was shown
that NPA acts by disrupting the protein-protein interaction between Pgp1 and TWD1 [53], that the loss of photo- and gravitropism in Arabidopsis hypocotyls is due to an inhibition of Pgp19 [58], and that it is also an inhibitor of Pgp4 [59] and Pgp1 [52].

Docking into an homology model of Pgp1 revealed that NPA binds in the nucleotide binding domain (NBD) region at the interface with the transmembrane domain (Fig. 4) [60]. This is further supported by site-directed mutagenesis, which showed that a mutation in this region (E502K) abolishes NPA binding. Kim and colleagues also presented a new Pgp inhibitor, 2-[(diethylamino)-2-hydroxybenzoyl]benzoic acid (BUM, Fig. 3), which is 30 times more potent than NPA. BUM also binds to the NBDs and disrupts the interaction with the TWD partner.

Gravacin, the 3-(5-[3,4-dichlorophenyl]-2-furyl)-acrylic acid (Fig. 3), is an inhibitor of root and shoot gravitropism, inhibits the response to auxin [61, 62], and specifically Pgp19 (and not Pgp1). Gravacin displaces 60% of NPA bound to Pgp19, which may indicate a partial overlap of their respective binding sites. However, gravacin does not disrupt the interaction between TWD and Pgp19.

Finally, flavonoids have also been shown to interact with plant ABC transporters. Those endogenous metabolites are synthesized widely among the plant kingdom and inhibit ABC transporters in mammals [63] via binding to the NBDs. In A. thaliana and Cucurbita pepo hypocotyls, quercetin, and kaempferol (Fig. 3) decrease auxin efflux in an NPA-competitive fashion [64].

Strikingly, although ABC transporters in mammals are able to transport a wide range of substrates, the substrate specificity of auxin efflux Pgps in plants is quite high. They are only able to transport indole-3-acetic acid (IAA, the main form of auxin), 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) and naphthalene-1-acetic acid (NAA; Fig. 3; [48]). Bailly and colleagues [65] compared the properties of the translocation chambers of Pgp1 and Pgp19 obtained by homology modelling with the crystal structure of mouse Pgp (ABCB1, [66]). The authors observed very little conservation between plant and animal Pgps in terms of the residues exposed to the cavity. Plant auxin exporters share a common surface electrostatic pattern in the translocation chamber; near the entrance, the potentials are negative, then evolve towards neutral potentials close to the binding zones. The mammalian electrostatic surfaces are much more diverse, which may explain the diversity of substrates seen in mammalian ABC transporters (Fig. 5).

To summarize, several members of the B family of ABC transporters in plants have been shown to play an important role in polar auxin efflux, both by direct transport and by facilitating and regulating the export by PIN proteins. Phosphorylation of the nucleotide binding domain and protein-protein interactions may also modulate their activity. This mechanism is not well studied in human ABC transporters, but could be highly relevant.
Cytokinin transport

Cytokinins (CK) are, as their name suggests, responsible for cytokinesis, which is the final stage in cell division. They are also involved in many other developmental processes of plants: delay of leaf senescence [78], control of root/shoot balance [79], transduction of nutritional signals [80], differentiation of plant cells [81], chloroplast development and chlorophyll retention [82], and stress responses [79]. Thus, the whole plant morphology is influenced by the endogenous level of CKs.

Kinetin (Fig. 7) was the first CK discovered in 1955. Until today there is no consensus about whether it occurs naturally or not [83,84]. There are two classes of CKs [85]: purines and phylloerythrins (Fig. 7). The former can be divided into isoprenoid and aromatic CKs (Fig. 7).

Depending on the nature of the side chains, the individual CKs have different activities, functions, or tissue localizations. In A. thaliana, for example, the main CKs are trans-zeatin and isopentenyl adenin (Fig. 7) [81]. Trans-zeatin is transported through the xylem [86], whereas isopentenyl adenin is the main CK in the phloem [87]. This different distribution of the individual agents in different tissues suggests that CKs act not only as local, but also as long-distance signals [88]. This finding suggests the presence of a transport system for CKs in plants. The involvement of A. thaliana purine permease family (AtPUP) and most likely of a representative of the equilibrative nucleoside transporters (ENT) to the translocation of cytokinins has been known for quite some time [88,89].

The most actual findings report that an ABC transporter of the G family is involved in CK transport from root to shoot. A recent publication on this topic by K clears that AtABC24, mainly expressed in the root, is essentially involved in the CK transport to the shoot by allowing for the loading of CKs to the xylem sap, thus enabling all further translocations [90]. In their study, candidate CK transporters were selected by the expression of cocolocalization with CK biosynthesis genes in the root and other genes induced by CK treatment. Among the candidates, the seedlings with atabc24 mutants showed a different shoot to root ratio, smaller leaves, and longer roots. The mature plants exhibited smaller rosette leaves, shorter and thinner stems, a lower number and size of xylem and phloem cells, smaller lignin level, and fewer seeds. All of these phenotypes could be reverted by external application of CKs. The atabc24 mutants had reduced the root-to-shoot translocation of CKs, and the t2-type CKs concentration in the xylem sap was reduced by more than 90%. Finally, grafting a mutant atabc24 shoot onto a wild-type root caused recovery of the shoot growth. These experimental results show that root-synthesized CKs are essential for shoot growth.

Abscisic acid transport

Abscisic acid (ABA) is a plant hormone that regulates developmental aspects like germination [67], and modulates resistance to drought [68], high salinity [69], or even pathogen infection [70].

During drought, the levels of ABA increase in the plants, leading to a closure of the stomatal pore triggered by a change of shape of the guard cells. The closure of the stomata reduces water loss by transpiration. On the contrary, when the humidity levels are high, CYP707 enzymes are expressed that oxidize and degrade the stock of ABA. CYP707A3 reduces the amount of ABA in vascular tissues, while CYP707A1 acts in the guard cells [71].

For obvious agricultural applications, it is essentially the link between ABA and water stress resistance that has attracted the interest of researchers over the last decades. It is known that, upon water stress, ABA is synthesized in vascular parenchymal cells [72,73]. Given that the essential action site of ABA under drought conditions is in the guard cells, the question of the transport of ABA from the vascular companion cells to the stomata arises [74]. ABA is a weak acid (Fig. 6), thus, like auxin, it can diffuse passively into cells when it is in its undissociated form [75]. However, passive diffusion and local biosynthesis of ABA in the guard cell [73] can explain neither the amount of ABA found in guard cells nor the fast response of the plant upon stress signalling. In 2009, Kang and colleagues [75] identified the ABC transporter PDR12 (ABCG40) in A. thaliana as necessary for a timely response to drought in guard cells and also for normal seed germination. Localized in the plasma membrane, this protein is expressed in the guard cells, in the seedlings, and in the roots. PDR12 expression is ABA sensitive; when the plants are treated with ABA, the expression of PDR12 increases. A. thaliana mutants lacking PDR12 become more sensitive to drought than the wild-type plants.

The substrate specificity of PDR12 is high, as only the natural stereoisomer S-ABA can be transported, not the synthetic R-ABA or ABA-glucose-ester, auxin, or benzoic acid. However, ABA import to the guard cells is inhibited by the classical ABC transporter inhibitors glibenclamide and verapamil.

But how does ABA leave the phloem companion cells and xylem parenchyma cells where it is synthesized? In 2010, Kuromori and colleagues screened various A. thaliana mutant lines for germination phenotypes and identified ABCG25 as an ABA exporter [72,76]. Upon overexpression of this ABC transporter, the germination growth is inhibited because of an accumulation of ABA in the seeds. The authors found that, in adult plants, ABCG25 is coexpressed in the vascular system with the enzymes that catalyze ABA biosynthesis. Also, ABCG25 seems highly specific for S-ABA [76].

Yet another ABC transporter seems to be involved in drought sensitivity, maybe via the transport of ABA: ABCG22, another half-transporter from the ABCG subfamily that was recently discovered in A. thaliana because of its link with water transpiration and drought resistance [77]. The gene is expressed at the plasma membrane of stem, fruit, flower, and leaf cells. When knocked out, the mutants lack drought resistance, but no direct proof of ABA transport has been shown yet for this transporter.
Strigolactone transport

Strigolactones (SLs) are carotenoid-derived plant hormones that play a central role in the regulation of shoot branching by suppressing the bud outgrowth activity [91,92]. The naturally occurring SLs [93] are divided into two major families, one with the BCD rings of strigol as a leading scaffold and the other one with the BCD rings of the natural orobanchol stereoisomer (Fig. 8). Furthermore, additional SLs have been described which do not belong to these two families [94]. In addition, numerous synthetic analogs of SLs are known, with GR24 (Fig. 8) having evolved as a reference compound. Finally, fluorescent analogs have also been developed, such as EGO 5 and ST 23b (Fig. 8) [95].

Besides their function in branching inhibition, SLs are growth activators of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) [96], which live in a symbiosis with most of the land plants. The greatest benefit for a plant from this symbiosis is made under low phosphate availability, and exactly under these conditions, SLs are exuded in an increased amount [91,97]. These findings were the basic foundation for Kretzschmar and colleagues to search for involved ABC transporters, more exactly the group of PDR transporters. PDRs are often found in roots [98],...
and the activity of one PDR member, AtABCG30 (PDR2 in *A. thaliana*), is known to affect the soil microbial community [19]. There is also evidence of their affinity for compounds structurally related to SLs [99].

Six primary candidates were chosen and investigated for expression levels in roots under different phosphate concentrations or the amount of AMF colonization. This approach led to the discovery of an ABCG transporter, PDR1 in *P. hybrida*, the first protein associated with SL cell export [99]. The plasma membrane localization of PDR1 was confirmed through the fusion with GFP. The need for transport of a signalling molecule relevant for arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis was proven by the comparison of mutant lines with wild-type plants. The final conclusion is that PDR1 mediates the export of hypodermal passage cells into the rhizosphere (Fig. 9) [99].

Apart from these findings, there is also evidence of SL transport through the xylem from the root, where SLs are mainly synthesized, to the shoot [100]. The required transport system remains unclear, which raises the question whether other ABC transporters could be involved in loading and unloading the SLs to and from the xylem.

**Elucidation of the structure of a strigolactone transporter**

The field of plant hormones and their transport is steadily expanding, and there is an urgent need for an understanding of the molecular basis of substrate and inhibitor interactions. Yang and Murphy developed structural models of AtABCB4 and AtABCB19 in a comparative study of the auxin transporters PIN, ABCB (PGP), and AUX/LAX [101]. The bacterial ABC transporter Sav1866 served as a template for the models. Their results indicate that AtABCB4 has three binding sites for IAA, whereas AtABCB19 has only two. This might be due to the different transport directions, as AtABCB4 can act as an importer and exporter, whereas AtABCB19 is only an exporter.

As already mentioned in the auxin transport section, a more extensive modelling approach was published in 2012. The structures of AtABCB1, AtABCB4, AtABCB19, and AtABCB14 (in this study considered as a non-auxin transporter) were explored by the development of homology models. Analysis of the models led to the hypothesis that the mammalian and plant ABCB transporters separated very early during evolution according to the structures of their binding sites [65]. MmABCB1 (Mus musculus P-glycoprotein, 3G5 U [102]) was used as a template for the open state and Sav1866 (Staphylococcus aureus, 2HYD [102]) for the closed state models (Fig. 10).

The models indicate that ABCB family members have characteristic translocation chambers in mammals and in plants. Docking studies improved the understanding of the relationships between the transport processes and the binding sites, and shed light into the substrate specificity and translocation mechanisms. This work could provide a basis for future research aimed at a structure-based design of inhibitors. However, it is worth mentioning that Kaneda et al. found AtABCB14 to be an auxin transporter, too [50].

A very similar approach was used in our group to elucidate the structure of the already mentioned PDR1 transporter in *P. hybrida*, which acts as an SL transporter. While all the previously modelled plant ABC transporters belong to the ABCB subfamily, PhPDR1 belongs to the ABCG subfamily, which exhibits a reverse translocation compared to the other ABC transporters (Fig. 11). For this kind of protein, not a single crystal structure has been solved up to now, so finding a proper template is a challenging task.

Recently, Rutledge et al. published an exhaustive modelling approach for exploring the 3D structure of PDR5 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The TMD of Sav1866 and the NBD of hemolysin B were used as templates for the model in its open-to-out conformation. For the open-to-in state, they used the mouse Pgp structure [103]. The workflow we chose for our studies is strongly based on this work.

At first, the TMDs had to be defined. The knowledge about helix localizations in plant transporters is scant. Thus, the Uniprot database [104] indicates different numbers of transmembrane helices (between 12 for AtABCG30 to 14 for AtPDR1), and no information at all for the protein of interest, PhPDR1. The previously modelled plant transporters had the topologies of their particular templates, which means 6 helices – NBD – 6 helices – NBD for the ABCB subfamily. In our case the topology is not yet investi-
gated, and there is no template with a reverse topology available. Hence, we used several different software packages for predicting transmembrane helices and compared the predictions (Fig. 12) [105–114].

The different packages for predicting the secondary structure disagreed for a few helices, some predicting 13 or only 11 transmembrane helices. However, an odd number of helices implies that the N- and C-terminal ends are on different sides of the membrane, which seems quite unlikely for ABC transporters. In a next step, a multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of 11 sequences from plant, bacterial, and mammalian ABC transporters combining the current knowledge of transporter topologies was used to assign the missing helices, following the hypothesis of the common 6 + 6 topology.

The final choice of the template was based on an MSA with the potential templates (Fig. 13), including crystallized ABC transporters reported in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) [102] and the high-quality, reliably validated homology model of Pdr5 in S. cerevisiae. The latter was already used as a template to model the 3D structure of Cdr1 in Candida albicans (Rawal et al., 2013). As can be seen in Fig. 13, PDR5 shows the highest sequence identity percentage and was thus chosen as a template for further comparative modelling steps.

PDR5 and PDR1 were aligned pairwise in MOE [115], and the result was manually edited to align the respective predicted transmembrane helices. Gaps were allowed in the least conserved loop regions to accommodate for those changes. The final alignment (Figs. 1S and 2S, Supporting Information) with 24.9% overall identity of the whole sequence (TMD1 26.4%/NBD1 22.2%/TMD2 13.4%/NBD2 34.1%) was used as an input for Modeller 9.12 [116] to create ten models of the open-to-in conformation.

Modeller provides three validation scores: molpdf, the DOPE score (discrete optimized protein energy), and the GA341 score. According to these, the best three models were chosen for further validation. Using the Ramachandran plots and the G-factors computed using PROCHECK [117] on PDBsum [118], a final model with 91.2% and 88.9% of the amino acids in the most favored regions, respectively, for TMD1 and TMD2, as well as a G-factor of 

-0.01 and -0.16 for TMD1 and TMD2, was selected (Fig. 14).

We further validated the model by comparing the respective positions of the proline residues in both the template and the model. Proline can cause transmembrane helix breaks or kinks. Therefore, proper alignment of these residues is required for a coherent helical geometry in the model. In the case of our model, no mismatches of prolines between template and target structure occurred.

Finally, the localizations of charged residues were investigated to assure that they do not point towards the membrane (Fig. 15).
As Fig. 15 shows, the charged residues in helices 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, and 12 fit perfectly to their environments. To show how the others are embedded, they are presented from different views in Fig. 16.

Lysine 517 and arginine 518 are on the very bottom of helix 1, so they might interact with the hydrophilic parts of the phospholipids. Lysine 526 and glutamate 615 probably form a hydrogen bond (Fig. 16A). Aspartate 628 and arginine 635 might also interact with phospholipid head groups, whereas lysine 638 is directly pointing towards the membrane (Fig. 16B), which is quite unlikely. In helix 7, glutamate 1225 and arginine 1226 are again near the top of the membrane, while the orientation of aspartate 1219 is also pointing towards the lipophilic part of the membrane. Arginine 1260 is close to the bottom of helix 8 (Fig. 16C) and might also exhibit a different rotamer. Lysine 1365 is localized on the outer surface of the protein, a hydrophilic interaction on top here is conceivable, too (Fig. 16D). Thus, this analysis shows that a few charged amino acids need in-depth analysis and that the model requires some modifications in these regions.
Finally, the electrostatic potentials of the model were investigated in PyMOL [120] to characterize the translocation chamber. The surface was compared with those of the template PDR5 and AtABCB1. As mentioned before, AtABCB1 is an auxin transporter and shows a very mixed electrostatic potential surface in the translocation chamber (Fig. 17A), whereas PDR5 forms a positive isosurface (Fig. 17C). PhPDR1 represents a rather neutral, leaning to positive translocation chamber (Fig. 17B).

After these first investigations, this model could now serve as starting point for further studies, such as molecular dynamics simulations and docking of SL analogs.

**Conclusions and Outlook**

The understanding of the molecular basis of transport across cellular membranes and its modulation by small molecules becomes increasingly important. In this article, we present an overview...
about the currently known ABC transporters in plants including their hitherto discovered functions such as detoxification, symbiosis and rhizosphere communication, metabolite transport, and protection against exogenous dangers. Special attention was put on the translocation of phytohormones, including auxins, abscisic acid, cytokinins, and strigolactones. Although most of the hormones have been known for a long time and some of the transport processes are well defined, a molecular understanding of the transport process is still missing. Although our knowledge of transmembrane transport proteins grows constantly, the complexity of these sophisticated systems should not be underestimated. Nevertheless, the recently published X-ray structures of bacterial and mammalian ABC transporters allow for the development of comparative protein models of their plant analogs, which aid in the understanding of their structure and function. To demonstrate the usefulness of this approach, we built a homology model of PhPDR1, a strigolactone transporter from P. hybrida. These 3D models are a promising basis for future research as they offer the possibility to explore binding sites, discover potential substrates and inhibitors, and to understand their interactions with the protein. This definitely will also pave the way for the discovery of new agrochemicals.

Supporting information

The pairwise alignment of PDR5 and PDR1, which was used as input for Modeller [116] to calculate the models, is available as Supporting Information.
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