Sonographic Diagnosis of an Acute Lateral Meniscus Tear in a Division I Collegiate American Football Player
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Abstract

Preoperative diagnosis of meniscal tears in the United States is typically determined from magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). However, MRI diagnosis of meniscal pathology is not a point-of-injury imaging modality. This results in potential treatment delays. Ultrasonography provides a method for highly reliable, immediate, and point-of-injury diagnosis of meniscal pathology. We present a case of an acute tear of the lateral meniscus in a collegiate athlete that was diagnosed in the training room immediately after injury using ultrasonography. The diagnosis was subsequently verified by MRI and arthroscopy. The patient was treated with partial meniscectomy and experienced an uneventful recovery with return to sport 3 weeks after injury. This case report supports the potential capabilities of ultrasonography as an effective and efficient point-of-injury diagnostic tool for athletes with suspected meniscal pathology.
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Case History

An 18-year-old collegiate American football player with no history of left knee pain presented in the training room with left lateral knee pain immediately after twisting his left knee participating in cone drills during practice. After twisting his knee, he tried to continue with practice, however lateral knee pain caused him to voluntarily discontinue and seek medical attention. Physical examination by the team physician revealed mild effusion of the left knee. There were no signs of ligamentous instability. He was tender over the posterolateral joint.
line and a McMurray test was positive for lateral pain, but with no “pop.” Differential diagnoses included lateral meniscal tear, chondral injury or bone contusion, or posterolateral capsular sprain.

Ultrasonography of the knee was requested by the team physician and performed by a radiologist with expertise in musculoskeletal ultrasonography while the subject was in the training room. The ultrasonographic examination was performed using a 10 to 14 MHz linear transducer (GE Medical Systems, Logiq i, Milwaukee, WI) and a standardized assessment system as previously described. Ultrasonographic findings included irregular shape, slight axial displacement, and loss of uniform echogenicity of the central and posterior portions of lateral meniscus with a small amount of subjacent hypoechoic fluid (Fig. 1). No other ultrasonographic abnormalities were identified on assessment of the left knee. The ultrasonographic diagnosis was posterior–central lateral meniscal tear with associated effusion. On the basis of the ultrasonographic diagnosis, the patient was withheld from all athletic activities until further diagnostic imaging was performed.

On the basis of the presiding medical protocol at our institution, multiplanar, multisequence noncontrast MRI of the left knee was performed 2 days after his injury, which revealed a minimally displaced radial tear of the lateral meniscus and a small, ruptured Baker cyst. The patient underwent arthroscopic surgery on the left knee 3 days after injury, which confirmed an avascular, complex, white/white zone radial tear of the central–posterior portion of the lateral meniscus (Fig. 2). The complex radial tear was determined to be unrepairable, and arthroscopic partial lateral meniscectomy was performed.

Postoperatively, he was started on isometric quadriceps exercises with early range of motion. He returned to noncontact football drills 15 days after surgery, and fully participated in practice 18 days after surgery. He has not experienced any further difficulty with his knee. All patient confidentiality is protected in this report according to the US Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).

Discussion

In this case report, ultrasonographic imaging of the knee performed in the training room immediately after injury was effective in correctly diagnosing a complex, radial tear of the lateral meniscus in a collegiate American football player. Ultrasonographic assessment of the knee has been reported to have excellent capabilities for diagnosis of meniscal tears. Reported sensitivities for ultrasonographic diagnosis of meniscal tears range from 83.3 to 100% and reported specificities range from 69.2 to 95%. Differences in sensitivity and specificity among studies likely stem from variations in patient population, ultrasonographer’s technique and level of expertise, and the reference standard used. In the study by Cook et al comparing ultrasonography and MRI for diagnosis of meniscal pathology in patients with acute knee pain, they reported a sensitivity of 91.2% and specificity of 84.2% for ultrasonography compared with a sensitivity of 91.7% and specificity of 66.7% for MRI using arthroscopic diagnosis as the reference standard. Perhaps more importantly, ultrasonography was associated with a correct classification rate of 89.5% compared with 81.1% for MRI, making ultrasonography two times more likely than MRI to correctly diagnose the presence or absence of meniscal pathology in our study.

Portability of current ultrasonographic equipment has created a platform for its use in evaluating primary injuries at point of injury. High-quality ultrasound machines are also available in laptop and handheld versions. A portable laptop ultrasound machine with high-resolution linear probes was used for imaging in this case, which provided an accurate diagnosis at the athletic facility. This methodology provides the potential for immediate decision-making after injury so as best to inform the medical staff and athletic personnel, and protect the athlete. It also provides for preoperative diagnosis of meniscal injuries for athletes who do not have access to MRI because of the financial or logistical limitations. However, it
should be pointed out that ultrasonography has not been validated for diagnosis of pathology of the anterior cruciate ligament, posterior cruciate ligament, articular cartilage, or other structures within the knee. Therefore, MRI has advantages over ultrasonography for comprehensive diagnostic imaging of the injured knee.

Ultrasonographic examination of the knee using a standardized assessment system to determine the presence or absence of meniscal pathology provides the potential for immediate, point of injury medical decision-making regarding optimal management of injured athletes.
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