Eosinophilic Endomyocarditis: A Rare Case of Neonatal Mortality
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Abstract

**Background** Eosinophilic endomyocarditis (EEM) is a rare diagnosis that is extremely uncommon in newborns. This case report aimed to present a case of neonatal mortality from acute cardiac failure due to EEM.

**Case** Our report presents a term male neonate with minor complications in the immediate postnatal course, who was discharged at 48 hours of life, but who developed unexpected respiratory distress, followed by cardiac arrest and death at 3 days of life. One day after discharge, the infant developed respiratory distress and cool skin, and then developed cardiac arrest at the pediatrician’s office, undergoing resuscitation with intravenous fluid, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, epinephrine, atropine, and failed intubation. Autopsy revealed EEM, an inflammatory infiltrative process involving the endomyocardium.

**Pathology** Pathogenesis involves three stages: (1) myocarditis with an acute eosinophilic inflammatory infiltrate followed by (2) myocyte necrosis and eventually (3) fibrosis in the final stage of the disease.

**Discussion** The cause of death was acute cardiac failure due to intense eosinophilic infiltration and degranulation with early subendocardial myocyte necrosis but before development of extensive myocyte necrosis. This case appears to be the youngest patient reported with EEM.

Eosinophilic endomyocarditis (EEM) is histologically diagnosed by eosinophilic infiltration of the endocardium and subendocardial myocardium. It is rare but can be seen in all ages1; infant cases are extremely rare.2 EEM is frequently associated with hypereosinophilic syndromes, such as leukemia, allergic disorders, and Loeffler endocarditis, and can present with peripheral eosinophilia.3,4 There is one published neonatal case of EEM: a 26-day-old infant presented with poor feeding and cardiopulmonary arrest without other organ involvement on postmortem exam.2

Case

Baby B was born to a 30-year-old married primigravida mother with normal routine prenatal laboratories. Mother reported asthma, allergies, anxiety, constipation, esophageal reflux, and family history was negative for heart disease. Pregnancy was complicated by fetal bradycardia, heart rates under 120 bpm at 334/7 weeks, but with normal fetal movement and unremarkable antenatal ultrasounds, including four-chamber heart view at 20 weeks’ gestation. Due to low baseline fetal heart rate, serial fetal
growth studies, nonstress tests, and biophysical profiles were performed. These were found to be reassuring.

Presentation to obstetric triage at 39\(1/7\) weeks' gestation was due to recent history of vomiting and diarrhea, since resolved. However, induction of labor was performed for nonreactive nonstress test and fetal heart rate of 105. Oxytocin administration and artificial rupture of membranes occurred at 6 hours before delivery with no fluid return, but with meconium present and one loose nuchal cord at delivery. APGAR scores were 9 and 9 and heart rate was greater than 100. Birth weight was 2,892 g (15.9th World Health Organization [WHO] percentile), length was 49.5 cm (42.1st WHO percentile), and head circumference was 34 cm (34.5th WHO percentile). The placenta was visually normal with three-vessel umbilical cord.

In the postnatal course, mild jaundice developed by 8 hours of age, with normal voiding, stooling, and vigor at breastfeeding. A rash with erythematous macules and patches with central yellow papules was clinically diagnosed as erythema toxicum. Recorded heart rate was between 108 and 168 per minute and respiratory rate between 38 and 62 per minute. Remainder of vital signs and exam findings were normal. The filter paper newborn screen test later resulted as normal. Congenital heart disease screening initially showed low preductal pulse oximetry at 94% at 25 hours but was normal (100%) at 35 hours of age. Total bilirubin was 9.0 mg/dL at 36 hours of age, below phototherapy treatment guidelines. The infant failed bilaterally on hearing screening and outpatient exam was scheduled. The rash was fading by discharge, at approximately 41 hours of age, weighing 2,806 g (3% weight loss since birth) in good condition. Follow-up bilirubin level and weight check were planned for 1 to 2 days after discharge.

One day after discharge, at a local clinic, mother reported concern for cold skin. Respiratory distress with stridor of unknown duration was appreciated with respiratory failure. The pediatrician began mouth-to-mouth resuscitation. Emergency Medical Service was called. The infant developed pulseless cardiac arrest and underwent unsuccessful cardiopulmonary resuscitation including failed intubation, compressions, medication administration, and fluid resuscitation. Although intubation was attempted multiple times, this was unsuccessful due to swollen epiglottis. Bag-mask ventilation continued, with poor air exchange appreciated. One hour after presentation, the infant was pronounced dead.

**Pathology**

Postmortem examination demonstrated a normally developed male with anatomic parameters appropriate for gestational age, without rash. External exam findings were consistent with resuscitation. Internal examination showed a moderate amount of straw-colored pleural, pericardial, and peritoneal fluid. Epiglottic swelling was not confirmed, nor was mucus plugging of the airways present. Multifocal gastrointestinal hemorrhage and acute bilateral renal papillary necrosis were identified interpreted as manifestations of cardiac failure. Postmortem comprehensive toxicologic screening of venous blood was negative. Nasopharyngeal and colorectal swabs were negative for adenovirus, cytomegalovirus, enteroviruses, herpes simplex virus, and varicella-zoster virus. Nasopharyngeal swabs were also negative for influenza A and B; parainfluenza 1,2,3; and respiratory syncytial virus. The heart and lungs weighed 90.25 g (expected weight 85.25 g). Microscopic heart sections were notable for an eosinophil inflammatory infiltrate involving the endocardial aspect of the myocardium, focally associated with acute extravasation of blood and early subendocardial myocyte necrosis (Fig. 1). Microscopic studies were otherwise normal. No vascular inflammation, thrombosis, microorganisms, or viral inclusions were present. The lungs revealed diffuse congestion and perimortem hemorrhages. The liver and spleen demonstrated mildly increased eosinophils; however, no intravascular eosinophilic infiltrates were found in any other tissues examined.

**Discussion**

This healthy-appearing male infant developed symptomatic heart failure and cardiopulmonary arrest within 24 hours of hospital discharge. His rapid deterioration and postmortem diagnosis of EEM prompted review of his case for clues to explain his unexpected unfortunate outcome and illuminate warning signs. The prenatal history and persistent low heart rate with otherwise normal exam did not raise specific clinical concerns for heart failure after birth. The infant's erythema toxicum rash (known to be eosinophil mediated) was more prominently distributed and arose earlier than seen routinely, but was otherwise consistent with this common benign newborn rash, and resolved by time of death. There were no other signs of extracardiac hypereosinophilia. Given what is known about EEM, there were no clear risk factors or warning signs, although his bradycardia, initial low oxygen saturations on congenital heart screen, early and prominent erythema toxicum rash, and failed hearing screen were notable. There are case reports of eosinophilic granulomas forming in the middle

**Fig. 1** Endomyocardial surface of the heart showing eosinophil infiltration, magnified ×400.
ear of patients with idiopathic hypereosinophilic syndromes, which is one plausible explanation of the failed hearing screen. In addition, mother’s history of esophageal strictures and reflux are interesting to note, as these clinical findings can often overlap with findings of eosinophilic esophagitis. The infant’s mother has not been evaluated for hypereosinophilic syndromes and there has not been any testing for the 4q12 deletion, a known genetic cause of hypereosinophilic syndromes.

The pathogenesis of EEM involves three stages beginning with (1) an intense myocarditis and acute eosinophilic inflammatory infiltrate followed by (2) myocyte necrosis developing approximately 5.5 weeks after onset of symptom, and eventually (3) fibrosis in the final stage of the disease. The “intense” myocarditis during the first stage refers to the presence of inflammatory cells (eosinophils) in the patient’s cardiac histology. The necrotic second stage of the disease is associated with high mortality due to cardiac deterioration. Over several months, thrombotic material may form at the damaged endocardium as the inflammation abates. Cardiac remodeling and fibrosis occur in the third and final stages, which may progress to a dilated and even a restrictive cardiomyopathy in cases with extensive endomyocardial fibrosis. Although typically associated with hypereosinophilia, rare cases of EEM have been reported without it. Loeffer syndrome, one variation of restrictive EEM, could present similarly to this infant; however, the infant was still in the acute stage and had not yet developed fibrosis or restrictive physiology associated with Loeffer syndrome. Based on the histologic findings and natural history of the disease, this infant appears to have experienced acute cardiac failure in the early stage of the disease process due to the intensity of the eosinophilic infiltration and degranulation with early subendocardial myocyte necrosis, before extensive myocyte necrosis could take place.

This case appears to be the youngest patient ever reported with EEM. Lie and Hunt report an adult case of eosinophilic endomyocarditis which was associated with conduction abnormalities; this patient died of myocardial infarction and cardiogenic shock. Unexpectedly on autopsy, EEM was discovered. The only other neonatal case of EEM in the literature is a 26-day-old infant with a brief history of poor feeding leading to cardiorespiratory arrest. The finding of only an eosinophilic infiltrate in the myocardium on postmortem makes it similar to our case. Perhaps the fetal bradycardia and nonreactivity were subtle clues pointing toward poor cardiovascular adaptation to EEM, but given the paucity of neonatal cases, and unclear natural history of neonatal EEM, the jury is still out.
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