Cardiac MRI in Children and Adolescents Who Have Undergone Surgical Repair of Right-Sided Congenital Heart Disease: Automated Left Ventricular Volumes and Function Analysis and Effects of Different Manual Adjustments

Kardiale MRT bei Kindern und Jugendlichen mit operativ korrigierter angeborener Rechtsherzpathologie: Automatische Volumen- und Funktionsanalyse des linken Ventrikels und Einfluss unterschiedlicher manueller Korrekturen

Zusammenfassung

Ziel: Diese Studie untersucht die Genauigkeit der automatisierten Segmentierung und den Einfluss unterschiedlicher manueller Korrekturen bezüglich der linksventrikulären Parameter in Magnetresonanztomografie (MRT)-Untersuchungen von Kindern und Jugendlichen mit operativ korrigierter, angeborener Rechtsherzpathologie.

Material und Methoden: Die automatische Segmentierung und die unterschiedlichen manuellen Korrekturen wurden mit einer dedizierten Software durchgeführt (syngo.via, Siemens AG). Das end-diastolische Volumen (EDV), end-systolische Volumen (ESV), Schlagschlagvolumen (SV), die myokardiale Masse (MM) und Ejektionsfraktion (EF) wurden vor/nach manueller Apex-/Basiskorrektur (Korrekthukurs 1) und nach manueller Apex-/Basis-/Myokardkontur-Korrektur (Korrekturschritt 2; Referenzstandard) dokumentiert. MRT-Daten von 40 Patienten (13,1 ± 3,1 Jahre, 4–17 Jahre) mit operativ korrigierter, angeborener Rechtsherzpathologie mit reduziertem pulmonalen Blutfluss wurden ausgewählt und von 10 ausgewählten Patienten bestimmt.


Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate automated segmentation and the effects of different manual adjustments regarding left ventricular parameter quantification in cardiac magnetic resonance (MR) data on children and adolescents who have undergone surgical repair of right-sided congenital heart disease (CHD).

Materials and Methods: Dedicated software (syngo.via, Siemens AG) was used to automatically segment and/or manually adjust the end-diastolic volume (EDV), end-systolic volume (ESV), stroke volume (SV), myocardial mass (MM) and ejection fraction (EF) before/after manual apex/base adjustment (ADJ-step 1) and after manual apex/base/myocardial contour adjustment (ADJ-step 2; reference standard). MR data of 40 patients (13.1 ± 3.1y, 4–17y) with repaired CHD was evaluated. Intra- and inter-rater reliability was determined for 10 randomly selected patients.

Results: The software correctly detected the left ventricle in 38/40 (95%) patients. EDV after automated segmentation: 119.1 ± 44.0ml; after ADJ-step 1: 115.8 ± 39.5ml; after ADJ-step 2: 116.2 ± 39.4ml. The corresponding results for ESV were 52.0 ± 18.5/g49.6 ± 16.9/g49.7 ± 16.4ml; for SV 67.1 ± 28.5/66.2 ± 25.4/66.5 ± 25.5ml; for EF 55.5 ± 7.3/56.7 ± 6.6/56.7 ± 6.3%; for the MM 83.7 ± 35.9/76.2 ± 28.3/74.6 ± 27.2%. Significant differences were found for ESV/MM/EF comparing the automated segmentation results with these after ADJ-step 1 and ADJ-step 2. No significant differences were found when comparing all results of ADJ-step 1 and ADJ-step 2 or when comparing EDV/SV results. Intra- and inter-rater reliability was excellent. The mean time effort was 63.4 ± 6.9 s for the automated segmentation, 74.2 ± 8.9 s for ADJ-step 1 and 269.5 ± 39.4 s for ADJ-step 2.

Conclusion: Automated left ventricular volumes and function analysis in children and adolescents
EDV/SV festzustellen. Die Intra- und Inter-rater-Reliabilität war exzellent. Der durchschnittliche Zeitaufwand betrug 63,4±6,9 s für die automatische Segmentierung, 74,2±8,9 s für Korrekturschritt 1 und 269,5±39,4 s für Korrekturschritt 2.


▶ Eine automatische Volumen- und Funktionsanalyse des linken Ventrikels bei Kindern und Jugendlichen mit operativen präoperativen angeborener Rechtsherz-Pathologie ist mit einer exzellenten Intra- und Inter-rater-Reliabilität möglich.

▶ Die automatische Segmentierung mit manueller Apex-/Basiskorrektur liefert akzeptable Ergebnisse für den Großteil der Patienten.

▶ Die zusätzliche manuelle Korrektur der Myokardkontur führt zu keiner signifikanten Verbesserung der Ergebnisse.

Introduction

Right-sided congenital heart disease (CHD) can be classified into inborn defects with increased and decreased pulmonary blood flow (CHD-DPBF), the latter including Tetralogy of Fallot (TOF), pulmonary atresia (PA), Ebstein’s anomaly and tricuspid atresia [1]. The current treatment of the majority of patients with CHD-DPBF consists of complete biventricular corrective surgery, preferably within the first months of life [2]. In this population postoperative anatomic and hemodynamic abnormalities are almost universal. These include right ventricular dilatation due to pulmonary valve regurgitation or homograft failure, aneurysm or obstruction of the right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT), pulmonary artery stenosis, residual atrial or ventricular septal defect, aortic root dilatation or aorto-pulmonary collateral supply [3]. Cardiac magnetic resonance (MR) imaging has emerged as an essential diagnostic tool in the investigation of these patients after surgery. It allows a comprehensive assessment of the abnormalities mentioned before [3–5]. The advantages of MR imaging over other imaging techniques are its robust image quality, the excellent blood/myocardial contrast and the fact that there is no ionizing radiation with its associated stochastic radiation effects, which is a critical consideration for children and adolescents [6]. Vascular and valvular flow patterns and volumes can be assessed and shunts can be quantified [7, 8]. Moreover, it is well-known that MR is an accurate and reproducible technique for the assessment of left and right ventricular volumes and function [6, 8, 9].

For the quantification of right ventricular volumes, laborious manual measurement is still the most accurate post-processing methodology [8, 10]. For the quantification of the left ventricle, several techniques for semi- and fully automatic segmentation of the left ventricular parameters in diastole and systole have been proposed for adult patients to support readers during the time-consuming segmentation task [11–27]. Because available algorithms are usually based on adult hearts, left ventricular segmentation might be affected in the data of children and adolescents. Specifically, surgical treatment of CHD might affect the anatomy, morphology or location of the left ventricle. Hence, numerous user interactions might be necessary to define the border of the left ventricle. Neither the reliability of automatic detection/segmentation of the left ventricle nor the effects of manual adjustments and the value of long-axis consideration have previously been investigated using the MR data of children and adolescents with repaired CHD.

Therefore, this study was set up to evaluate the automated segmentation of left ventricular volumes and function in cardiac MR images of children and adolescents who have undergone surgical repair of right-sided CHD using commercially available software and to identify the effects of different manual adjustment steps.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Hospital Erlangen. The need for written informed consent was waived by the Ethics Committee.

Patient population

We retrospectively evaluated left ventricular parameters of 40 consecutive surgically treated children and adolescents presenting CHD with former DPBF in cardiac MR imaging (22 male, 18 female; 13.1±3.1 years; range: 4–17 years). Repaired TOF was present in 23 patients, PA with ventricular septal defect (VSD) in 12 patients, PA without VSD in 3 patients and Ebstein’s anomaly in 2 patients. The body surface area was calculated with a formula proposed by Mosteller [28]: body surface area (in m²) equals the square root of height (in cm) multiplied by weight (in kg), all divided by 3600. All patients were examined from March 2010 to February 2014. Patient characteristics, depending on automatic detection success of the left ventricle, are given in Table 1.

Imaging technique

MR examinations were performed on a 1.5 Tesla MR scanner equipped with high-performance gradients (Magnetom Aera, Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany). The imaging protocol routinely included balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) cine sequences for functional and volumetric analysis of both ventricles.
Phase-contrast MR imaging was acquired for the quantification of the pulmonary to systemic blood flow ratio (Qp:Qs), valvular regurgitation fractions and the flow velocity through valvular or vascular stenoses. Contrast-enhanced MR angiography was conducted for 3D depiction of the morphology of the right ventricular outflow tract, the pulmonary arteries and the aortic arch. Individual optimization of sequences was necessary depending on anatomic or pathologic findings and the patient’s cooperation and breath-holding capacity. For the left ventricle, retrospectively gated electrocardiographically triggered bSSFP cine images were acquired during breath holding in standard four-chamber, three-chamber, and two-chamber long- as well as short-axis views covering the entire left ventricle with a 10% slice gap. Scan parameters in all patients were as follows: slice thickness 8 mm, in-plane resolution 2.5 × 1.8 mm, time to echo (TE) 1.1 ms, time to repetition (TR) 42 ms and flip angle 50°.

Patients were imaged in the supine position. We only administered general anesthesia to a 4-year-old boy. In all other patients older than 7 years, neither sedation nor mechanical ventilation was necessary.

**Segmentation methods**

Quantitative image data analysis was performed using dedicated commercially available software that enables post-processing of cardiac MR data (syngo.via, Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany). The left ventricle was judged to be successfully detected by the software if the left ventricle rather than a different anatomical structure was marked. Results of end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume, stroke volume, myocardial mass as well as the automatically calculated ejection fraction were documented before and after each step of manual adjustment. End-diastolic and end-systolic volumes were calculated by summing the volume of the left ventricular blood pool in each section. Ejection fraction was calculated on the basis of end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes as \[(\text{end-diastolic volume} - \text{end-systolic volume})/\text{end-diastolic volume}\] × 100 (%). The myocardial mass of the left ventricle was measured at end diastole by multiplying the myocardial volume by the specific gravity of the myocardium (1.05 g/ml). All results were documented as absolute values and not divided by the body surface area (BSA) in order to allow better comparability of the different methods.

To eliminate operator-related differences, adjustments were performed in consensus by three experienced readers with more than 8 (R.J.), more than 6 (O.R.) and more than 4 (M.H.) years of experience in the interpretation of cardiac MR images. The readers evaluated the studies in random order and were blinded to patient characteristics. In the case of failed automated segmentation, manual short-axis planimetry (= marking of the outer and inner myocardial contour in every slice) and determination of the ventricle’s base in long-axis images (equals the results after automated segmentation and apex/base/myocardial contour adjustment = ADJ step 2) was performed.

**Automated segmentation**

MR images (four-chamber, three-chamber, and two-chamber long- as well as short-axis views) were automatically transferred to the syngo.via server and the calculations were performed. Left ventricular detection and segmentation results were analyzed and absolute values of end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume, myocardial mass and ejection fraction were documented without performing any manual adjustments.

**Adjustment step 1 (ADJ-step 1): Automated segmentation and manual apex/base adjustment**

On the basis of the results of automated segmentation, manual apex and base adjustments were performed in consensus: The last apical slice was defined as the most apical short-axis view showing intracavitary blood pool. Long-axis images (four-chamber, three-chamber, and two-chamber views) were used to define the base of the left ventricle.

**Adjustment step 2 (ADJ-step 2): Automated segmentation, manual apex/base adjustment and manual myocardial contour adjustment**

On the basis of the results of ADJ-step 1, endo- and epicardial myocardial borders of each short-axis image from the base to the apex were identified and manually adjusted at end diastole and end systole. Papillary muscles were considered to be part of the left ventricular cavity. For mass calculations, the interventricular septum was added to the left ventricle. These parameters were considered to be the reference standard. The different adjustment steps are illustrated in Fig. 1.

**Intra- and inter-rater reliability**

For 10 randomly selected patients from the investigated cohort (mean age: 12.9 years), the evaluation was performed twice in consensus and independently by three different readers. Intra-class correlation coefficients and coefficients of variation were calculated.

**Time effort for the automated segmentation and the adjustment steps**

The mean time effort for the automated segmentation and for the two adjustment steps was recorded for 10 randomly selected patients.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are expressed as means ± standard deviations and range. Bland–Altman plots were prepared to compare the end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume and myocardial mass parameters of automated segmentation with the parameters of ADJ-step 2 (automated segmentation + apex/base/myocardial contour adjustment; considered to be the reference standard) and to compare the parameters of ADJ-step 1 (automated segmentation + apex/base adjustment) with the parameters of ADJ-step 2 (automated segmentation + apex/base/myocardial contour adjustment). Differences between means of the different segmentation methods were assessed using the Student’s t-test for normally distributed dependent samples. Throughout the analysis, a two-sided p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. To show reproducibility, intra-class correlation coefficients and coefficients of variation were determined for 10 randomly selected patients that were evaluated twice. Intra-class correlation coefficients were calculated for the 10 randomly selected patients that were evaluated independently by three different readers. The coefficient of variance represents the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean. Statistical analysis was performed using dedicated software (SPSS Statistics v20, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

**Results**

MR image quality was satisfactory in all examinations. The software successfully detected and segmented the left ventricle in 38
of 40 patients (95%). The parameters of correctly detected/segmented left ventricles are as follows: Age: 13.1 ± 3.1 (4 – 17) years, body surface area (m²): 1.42 ± 0.32 (0.61 – 1.93), end-diastolic volume: 116.2 ± 39.4 ml, 35.2 – 207.8 ml, end-systolic volume: 49.7 ± 16.4 ml, 15.1 – 91.6 ml, myocardial mass: 74.6 ± 27.2 g, 21.9 – 157.6 g. The software failed to detect the patient’s left ventricle in 2 cases (5%). Both patients presented Ebstein’s anomaly with a severely dilated right atrium and a displaced left ventricle (age: 13 and 14 years, body surface area (m²): 1.35 ± 0.14 (1.25, 1.45), end-diastolic volume: 101.2 ± 8.8 ml, 100.6 – 101.8 ml, end-systolic volume: 68.7 ± 1.1 ml, 67.9 – 69.5 ml, myocardial mass: 63.4 ± 22.6 ml, 47.4 – 79.4 g). Detailed information is shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Patient characteristics divided into groups depending on the automated detection success of the left ventricle. Age, end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume and myocardial mass are given as means ± standard deviations and ranges. Results after automated segmentation + apex/base/myocardial contour adjustment (ADJ-step 2) are shown (these results served as a reference standard). The left ventricle was judged to be successfully detected by the software if the left ventricle rather than a different anatomical structure was marked.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Successful detection and segmentation of the left ventricle (n = 38; 95%)</th>
<th>Failed detection of the left ventricle (n = 2; 5 %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>age (years)</td>
<td>13.1 ± 3.1 (4 – 17)</td>
<td>13.5 ± 0.7 (13, 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gender</td>
<td>21 × male, 17 × female</td>
<td>1 × male, 1 × female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>body surface area (m²)</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.32 (0.61 – 1.93)</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.14 (1.25, 1.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end-diastolic volume (ml)</td>
<td>116.2 ± 39.4 (35.2 – 207.8)</td>
<td>101.2 ± 8.8 (100.6 – 101.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end-systolic volume (ml)</td>
<td>49.7 ± 16.4 (15.1 – 91.6)</td>
<td>68.7 ± 1.1 (67.9 – 69.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myocardial mass (g)</td>
<td>74.6 ± 27.2 (21.9 – 157.6)</td>
<td>63.4 ± 22.6 (47.4 – 79.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type of disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 × TOF, 12 × PA with VSD, 3 × PA without VSD</td>
<td>2 × Ebstein’s anomaly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total n = 40; TOF = Tetralogy of Fallot, PA = pulmonary atresia, VSD = ventricular septal defect.

Table 1 Patient characteristics divided into groups depending on the automated detection success of the left ventricle. Age, end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume and myocardial mass are given as means ± standard deviations and ranges. Results after automated segmentation + apex/base/myocardial contour adjustment (ADJ-step 2) are shown (these results served as a reference standard). The left ventricle was judged to be successfully detected by the software if the left ventricle rather than a different anatomical structure was marked.
The initial automatically segmented, non-adjusted end-diastolic volume was 119.1 ± 44.0 ml, the end-systolic volume was 52.0 ± 18.5 ml, the stroke volume was 67.1 ± 28.5 ml, the myocardial mass was 83.7 ± 35.9 g and the ejection fraction was 55.5 ± 7.3%.

After the manual adjustment of the apex (short-axis images) and the base of the left ventricle (long-axis images) (= ADJ-step 1), the end-diastolic volume was 115.8 ± 39.5 ml, the end-systolic volume was 49.6 ± 16.9 ml, the stroke volume was 66.2 ± 25.4 ml, the myocardial mass was 76.2 ± 28.3 g and the ejection fraction was 56.7 ± 6.6%.

After the manual adjustment of the apex/base and the short-axis images of the left ventricle (refinement of the myocardial segmentation) (= ADJ-step 2), the end-diastolic volume was 116.2 ± 39.4 ml, the end-systolic volume was 49.7 ± 16.4 ml, the stroke volume was 66.5 ± 25.5 ml, the myocardial mass was 74.6 ± 27.2 g and the ejection fraction was 56.7 ± 6.3%.

Comparing the parameters of automated segmentation with those of ADJ-step 1 (automated segmentation + manual adjustment of the apex/base), the difference was 3.3 ml (2.8%) for the mean end-diastolic volume, 2.4 ml (4.6%) for the mean end-systolic volume, 0.9 ml (1.3%) for the mean stroke volume, 7.5 g (9.0%) for the mean myocardial mass and 1.2% (2.1%) for the mean ejection fraction.

Comparing the parameters of automated segmentation with those of ADJ-step 2 (automated segmentation + manual adjustment of the apex/base/myocardial contour), the difference was 2.9 ml (2.4%) for the mean end-diastolic volume, 2.3 ml (4.4%) for the mean end-systolic volume, 0.6 ml (0.9%) for the mean stroke volume, 1.9 g (10.9%) for the mean myocardial mass and 1.2% (2.1%) for the mean ejection fraction.

Comparing the parameters of ADJ-step 1 (automated segmentation + manual adjustment of the apex/base) with those of ADJ-step 2 (automated segmentation + manual adjustment of the apex/base/myocardial contour), the difference was 0.4 ml (0.3%) for the mean end-diastolic volume, 0.1 ml (0.2%) for the mean end-systolic volume, 0.3 ml (0.5%) for the mean stroke volume, 1.6 g (2.1%) for the mean myocardial mass and 0% for the mean ejection fraction.

Statistically significant differences were found for the end-systolic volume/myocardial mass/ejection fraction comparing the automated segmentation results with these after ADJ-step 1 (automated segmentation + manual adjustment of the apex/base) and ADJ-step 2 (automated segmentation + manual adjustment of the apex/base/myocardial contour). No significant differences were found when comparing all results of ADJ-step 1 and ADJ-step 2 or when comparing end-diastolic/stroke volume results. Data are given as means ± standard deviations. Total n = 38. Stroke volume = end-diastolic volume – end-systolic volume. Ejection fraction = [(end-diastolic volume – end-systolic volume)/end-diastolic volume] × 100 (%).

**Table 2** Morphological and functional parameters of the left ventricle of automated segmentation and after different manual adjustment steps. Statistically significant differences were found for end-diastolic volume/myocardial mass/ejection fraction when comparing the automated segmentation results with these after ADJ-step 1 (automated segmentation + manual adjustment of the apex/base) and ADJ-step 2 (automated segmentation + manual adjustment of the apex/base/myocardial contour). No significant differences were found when comparing all results of ADJ-step 1 and ADJ-step 2 or when comparing end-diastolic/stroke volume results. Data are given as means ± standard deviations. Total n = 38. Stroke volume = end-diastolic volume – end-systolic volume. Ejection fraction = [(end-diastolic volume – end-systolic volume)/end-diastolic volume] × 100 (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Automated Segmentation (AS)</th>
<th>Automated Segmentation + Apex/Base Adjustment (ADJ-step 1)</th>
<th>Automated Segmentation + Apex/Base/Myocardial Contour Adjustment (ADJ-step 2)</th>
<th>p-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End-diastolic Volume (ml)</td>
<td>119.1 ± 44.0</td>
<td>115.8 ± 39.5</td>
<td>116.2 ± 39.4</td>
<td>AS vs. ADJ-step 1: 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AS vs. ADJ-step 2: 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ADJ-step 1 vs. ADJ-step 2: 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-systolic Volume (ml)</td>
<td>52.0 ± 18.5</td>
<td>49.6 ± 16.9</td>
<td>49.7 ± 16.4</td>
<td>AS vs. ADJ-step 1: 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AS vs. ADJ-step 2: 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ADJ-step 1 vs. ADJ-step 2: 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke Volume (ml)</td>
<td>67.1 ± 28.5</td>
<td>66.2 ± 25.4</td>
<td>66.5 ± 25.5</td>
<td>AS vs. ADJ-step 1: 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AS vs. ADJ-step 2: 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ADJ-step 1 vs. ADJ-step 2: 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial Mass (g)</td>
<td>83.7 ± 35.9</td>
<td>76.2 ± 28.3</td>
<td>74.6 ± 27.2</td>
<td>AS vs. ADJ-step 1: 1.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AS vs. ADJ-step 2: 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ADJ-step 1 vs. ADJ-step 2: 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection Fraction (%)</td>
<td>55.5 ± 7.3</td>
<td>56.7 ± 6.6</td>
<td>56.7 ± 6.3</td>
<td>AS vs. ADJ-step 1: 1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AS vs. ADJ-step 2: 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ADJ-step 1 vs. ADJ-step 2: 0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 These parameters are considered to be the reference standard. Diese Ergebnisse werden als Referenzstandard betrachtet.
Diese Ergebnisse werden als Referenzstandard betrachtet.
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Die Ergebnisse sind als Mittelwerte ± Standardabweichung der automatischen Segmentierung und nach unterschiedlichen manuellen Morphologische und funktionelle Parameter des linken Ventrikels

Abb. 2

Morphologische und funktionelle Parameter des linken Ventrikels der automatischen Segmentierung und nach unterschiedlichen manuellen Korrekturen. Die Ergebnisse sind als Mittelwerte ± Standardabweichung angegeben. Rot = enddiastolisches Volumen (EDV; ml), blau = enddiastolisches Volumen (EDV; ml), lila = Schlagvolumen (SV; ml), grün = myokardiale Masse (MM; g), orange = Ejektionsfraktion (EF; %). Schlagvolumen = end-diastolisches Volumen − end-systolisches Volumen, Ejektionsfraktion = \[(end-diastolisches Volumen - end-systolisches Volumen)/end-diastolisches Volumen\] × 100 (\%). Total n = 38.

* Diese Parameter sind als Referenzstandard betrachtet.

**Fig. 2** Morphological and functional parameters of the left ventricle of automated segmentation and after different manual adjustment steps. Data are given as means ± standard deviations. Red = end-diastolic volume (EDV; ml), blue = end-systolic volume (ESV; ml), purple = stroke volume (SV; ml), green = myocardial mass (MM; g), orange = ejection fraction (EF; %). Stroke volume = end-diastolic volume − end-systolic volume. Ejection fraction = \[(end-diastolic volume - end-systolic volume)/end-diastolic volume\] × 100 (\%). Total n = 38.

* These parameters are considered to be the reference standard.

**Abb. 2** Morphologische und funktionelle Parameter des linken Ventrikels der automatischen Segmentierung und nach unterschiedlichen manuellen Korrekturen. Die Ergebnisse sind als Mittelwerte ± Standardabweichung angegeben. Rot = enddiastolisches Volumen (EDV; ml), blau = enddiastolisches Volumen (EDV; ml), lila = Schlagvolumen (SV; ml), grün = myokardiale Masse (MM; g), orange = Ejektionsfraktion (EF; %). Schlagvolumen = end-diastolisches Volumen − end-systolisches Volumen, Ejektionsfraktion = \[(end-diastolisches Volumen - end-systolisches Volumen)/end-diastolisches Volumen\] × 100 (\%). Total n = 38.

* Diese Parameter sind als Referenzstandard betrachtet.

The mean time effort was 63.4 ± 6.9 s for the automated segmentation, 74.2 ± 8.9 s for ADJ-step 1 and 269.5 ± 39.4 s for ADJ-step 2 (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to investigate the feasibility of automatic left ventricular parameter segmentation and the effects of different steps of manual adjustment in cardiac MR data of children and adolescents who have undergone surgical repair of CHD-DPBF. We studied this cohort because a primarily normally developed left ventricle is expected. However, it is of interest how right-sided CHDs affect the automated segmentation of the possibly altered left ventricle and if adjustment steps are required to obtain satisfying results. MR imaging protocols in patients with CHD-DPBF should routinely include a quantitative assessment of left ventricular volumes and function in order to completely evaluate the complex pathology [3]. Moreover, left ventricular dysfunction measured by MR has been recognized as an important predictor of adverse clinical outcome in patients after TOF repair [4]. We investigated automated segmentation of the left ventricle since sophisticated software packages are available for this purpose. In contrast, automated segmentation software for the right ventricle in an early stage. Therefore, manual planimetry is usually performed for the right ventricle.

In our patient cohort, commercially available software algorithms, optimized for adult hearts, were able to detect and segment a very high percentage (95 %) of left ventricles. The applied software failed to detect 2 of 40 ventricles. Interestingly, both patients presented Ebstein’s anomaly with a severely dilated right atrium and a displaced left ventricle. This displacement is a potential reason why the software could not detect the appropriate landmarks in order to detect and segment the left ventricle. An extended database for algorithm training purposes, including displaced hearts in particular, could potentially improve the detection rate.

To date, manual short-axis planimetry (= marking of the outer and inner myocardial contour in every short-axis image) is frequently performed for left ventricular parameter assessment [29]. At the base of the heart, slices are considered to be in the left ventricle if the blood was at least 270° surrounded by ventricular myocardium when using this approach [29]. Although this approach is generally accepted, dedicated software presumably allows more precise determination of the base of the left ventricle in 2-, 3- and 4-chamber views (long-axis images). Therefore, we propose that the left ventricular volumes determined by automated segmentation and a subsequent manual adjustment of the apex (short-axis images) and the base (long-axis images) of the left ventricle as well as manual refinement of the myocardial segmentation can be considered superior and as a reference standard.

Results of automated segmentation and manual adjustment of the apex and base of the left ventricle (ADJ-step 1) are close to the completely manually revised data (adjustment of the apex, base and the myocardial contour = ADJ-step 2). No significant differences were found comparing the results of ADJ-step 1 (manual apex/base adjustment) and ADJ-step 2 (manual apex/base/myocardial contour adjustment). The end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume and stroke volume were slightly underestimated (0.4 ml (0.3 %) for the mean end-diastolic volume, 0.1 ml (0.2 %) for the mean end-systolic volume, 0.3 ml (0.5 %) for the mean stroke volume, and the myocardial mass was slightly overestima-
Fig. 3  Bland-Altman plots show the morphologic parameters of the left ventricle, comparing the results of automated segmentation and of automated segmentation + manual adjustment of the apex/base (ADJ-step 1) with the results of automated segmentation + adjustment of the apex/base/myocardial contour (ADJ-step 2; reference standard). AS = automated segmentation, EDV = end-diastolic volume, ESV = end-systolic volume, MM = myocardial mass. Red lines show the mean of the differences and the mean of the differences ± 1.96 × standard deviation of the differences. Total n = 38.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>automated segmentation</th>
<th>automated segmentation + apex/base (ADJ-step 1)</th>
<th>automated segmentation + apex/base/myocardial contour adjustment (ADJ-step 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>end-diastolic volume</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intrarater reliability</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interrater reliability</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end-systolic volume</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intrarater reliability</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interrater reliability</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myocardial mass</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intrarater reliability</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interrater reliability</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| time effort (seconds)     | 63.4 ± 6.9             | 74.2 ± 8.9                                       | 269.5 ± 39.4                                                                  

Abb. 3 Bland-Altman-Diagramme zeigen die morphologischen Parameter der linken Ventrikel. Es werden die Ergebnisse der automatischen Segmentierung und die der automatischen Segmentierung + der manuellen Apex-/Basiskorrektur (Korrekturschritt 1, ADJ-step 1) mit den Ergebnissen der automatischen Segmentierung + der manuellen Apex-/Basis/Myokardkonturkorrektur (Korrekturschritt 2, ADJ-step 2; Referenzstandard) verglichen. AS = automatische Segmentierung, EDV = enddiastolisches Volumen, ESV = endsystolisches Volumen, MM = myokardiale Masse. Die roten Linien zeigen den Mittelwert der Differenzen ± 1.96 × Standardabweichung der Differenzen. n = 38.

Table 3  Intra- and inter-rater reliability. 10 randomly selected patients of the cohort (mean age 12.9 years) were evaluated twice by the same readers and independently by 3 different readers. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) were calculated.

 Automated left ventricular segmentation in MR data of children and adolescents with CHD-DPBF is feasible with excellent intra- and inter-rater reliability using dedicated commercially available software. Automated segmentation with manual apex/base adjustment provided clinically acceptable parameters for the majority of cases and potentially improves and accelerates the workflow in the clinical routine. Limitations were observed for patients with a distinctively displaced or “squashed” left ventricle in the presence of massive right heart dilatation. Future research should investigate the accuracy and time efficiency of different software packages for left ventricular segmentation in children and adolescents as well as their potential to improve intra- and inter-reader agreement.

Clinical Relevance of the Study

- Automated left ventricular volumes and function analysis in MR data of children and adolescents who have undergone surgical repair of right-sided congenital heart disease is feasible with intra- and inter-rater reliability.
- Automated segmentation with manual apex/base adjustment provides clinically acceptable results. Additional manual myocardial contour adjustment does not significantly improve the results.
- Automated segmentation with a few manual adjustments may accelerate workflow and reading.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

- CCHD-DPBF: Congenital cyanotic heart disease with decreased pulmonary blood flow
- TOF: Tetralogy of Fallot
- PA: Pulmonary atresia
- VSD: Ventricular septal defect
- ADJ-step: Adjustment step
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