Chondroma of the Cerebral Falx: Case Report of a Very Rare Intracranial Tumor

Introduction

Chondroma is a benign cartilaginous tumor, usually arising from the small bones of hands and feet, but also been found on several sites of the body.\(^1,2\) They are rarely diagnosed inside the cranial vault, and in that case more frequently related with the skull base. Nevertheless, there are few isolated cases reports of chondromas arising from the convexity dura, falx, choroid plexus, or intraparenchymal.\(^3-5\) Because they are very rare intracranial tumors with a slow growing pattern and share imagiological similarities with meningiomas, they can be frequently mistaken, especially when are located outside the skull base.

Therefore, we present a case report of a 48-year-old woman, with a history of frontal headaches and an extra-axial tumor arising from the anterior falx, initially diagnosed as a meningioma.
was considered a meningioma. After surgery the histology revealed a falx chondroma.

**Case Report**

We present a case of a 48-year-old woman with a long history of frontal headache, and an initially good response with analgesics. She was studied with a computed tomography (CT) scan after a period of more frequent and intense episodes of headache.

The computed tomographic (CT) scan revealed a sharply demarcated, extra-axial, interhemispheric mass, implanted on the falx cerebri, measuring $55 \times 26 \times 25$ mm. It was predominately hypodense with a hyperdense peripheral rim, presented multiple coarse calcifications and had no obvious enhancement after contrast (Fig. 1).

On magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), the tumor showed T1 and T2 heterogeneous signal, with a peripheral component similar to the cortex and a hyperintense central core, with foci of low T2/T2$^*$ signal due to calcifications. After gadolinium, there was slight, nonhomogenous enhancement, mainly at its periphery. The surrounding parenchyma was molded but without signs of peritumoral vasogenic edema (Fig. 2).

Based on neuroimaging, the initial diagnosis was of a meningioma, and after careful explanation of the prognosis, the patient preferred a conservative approach. She underwent 6-month interval checkup evaluations and yearly MRIs. Four years after the initial scan, the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a small increase in lesion’s overall dimensions and surgical treatment was proposed.

During the surgery, we could observe that the tumor was a white firm mass, easily dissected from the cortex, without hemorrhage during progressive piece-meal resection, and ultimately inserted on the right lateral wall of superior sagittal sinus. Histologic examination showed a tumor primarily composed of lobules of hyaline cartilage with mild nuclear atypia and rare binuclear forms; however, no mitotic figures were seen (Fig. 3). There was no immunoreactivity for Ki-67. The diagnosis was of a chondroma. Tumor removal was complete.

**Discussion**

Intracranial chondroma is a very rare differential diagnosis of a falx implanted tumor, since it accounts for less than 0.5% of primary intracranial tumors. They are usually solitary—except when associated with Maffucci syndrome or Ollier multiple enchondromatosis—and commonly located at the skull base. There are few cases reports elsewhere in the cranial vault, like the convexity dura, falx, choroid plexus or even within the brain parenchyma. In fact, fewer than 30 falcal chondromas have been reported in the literature.

Because of its slow growth pattern, the tumor can reach considerable size before eliciting any symptoms, delaying the diagnosis. The clinical manifestations are nonspecific and depend mainly on its size and location. Thus, it can be a neurologic deficit, psychologic, or personality change, or even intracranial hypertension as any other slow growing.

Its origin is yet a matter of debate, with some studies mentioning an origin from meningeal fibroblasts metaplasia,
others claiming that the tumor may arise from multipotential or perivascular mesenchymal cells or still, from aberrant nests of cartilage forming cells in the dura.\textsuperscript{4,13–15} There are cases reports of patient age ranging from 15 months to 60 years, with a peak at the third decade.\textsuperscript{3}

When found outside the skull base, the diagnosis is even more challenging. The main reasons being imagiological and clinical similarities with the much more common meningioma, and of course its rarity. Although in most cases the chondroma will follow a benign course, there is a report of intratumoral and subarachnoid hemorrhage associated with a clival chondroma.\textsuperscript{16} Although Maheshwari et al previously mentioned a relationship between Maffucci syndrome and a malignant transformation of a chondroma,\textsuperscript{17} we could not find this association in the referred article.\textsuperscript{18} Actually van Nielen and de Jong mentioned a malignant transformation of a low-grade glioma in a patient with Maffucci syndrome.

The imaging characteristics of convexity and falciune chondromas are nonspecific. On CT, the tumor appears as a well-circumscribed extra-axial mass with calcifications in at least 60\% of cases.\textsuperscript{19–21} Like in all chondromas, these calcifications are usually multifocal, curvilinear, and irregular, with a coarse aspect. In 50\% to 60\% of the cases, the convexity-based lesions may induce either hyperostosis or scalloping of the adjacent calvarium.\textsuperscript{21} The tumor may be homogeneously iso-, hyper-, or hypodense or it can have a more heterogeneous presentation, with a central hypodense area that constitutes a degenerative cyst.\textsuperscript{12,23} After contrast injection, early enhancement is typically minimal, although mild to moderate delayed enhancement has been reported.\textsuperscript{24–26}

On MRI, they show heterogeneous signal, due to the association of a cartilaginous matrix (characteristically hyperintense on T2 and hypointense on T1) with multiple calcifications (usually hypointense on T2/T2* and hyperintense on T1). After gadolinium these lesions present slight, heterogeneous enhancement, mainly at the periphery. Like all benign mesenchymal tumors, convexity and falciune chondromas do not incite dural reactions, so a “dural tail” sign is absent.\textsuperscript{15,19,26}

As in our case, the main misleading diagnosis is meningioma, by far the most common intracranial extra-axial tumor, which also presents with calcification. The neuroimaging differentiation of these two entities is not always easy but the lack of homogeneous enhancement, the nonvascular appearance and the absence of a dural tail should point to other diagnosis than meningioma. Histologically the
differential diagnosis would be a meningioma with extensive chondroid metaplasia, but in this case there were no areas of nonchondroid elements that could be recognized.

Another important distinction is with chondrosarcoma, the malignant version of chondroma. Chondrosarcomas are tumors of young adults (fourth and fifth decades) and slight male predominance.27 On neuroimaging they present as aggressive looking extra-axial masses, with signs of necrosis and hemorrhage, bone destruction, and frequent brain invasion.28 Unlike chondromas, they usually demonstrate heterogeneous but intense contrast-enhancement. Although the differential diagnosis between chondrosarcoma and benign chondromas can be difficult on a histologic basis, in the histologic evaluation of our case there was no sufficient evidence of anaplasia to consider the lesion as chondrosarcoma.

The intracranial chondromas usually follow a benign course with a slow growth rate and favorable prognosis. When indicated, surgical removal of the tumor with its dural attachment is the treatment of choice. Partial removal can be considered for neural decompression when dural attachment is the treatment of choice. Partial removal is expected after total removal. Pathologic distinction from chondrosarcoma should be done in all cases as prognosis and subsequent treatment differs.

Conclusion

Chondromas can rarely arise from the falx cerebri and are frequently misdiagnosed as a meningioma. Although the CT and MRI appearance is not pathognomonic, some features can suggest this type of tumor. The tumor follows a benign course, and when indicated surgery is the treatment of choice with no recurrence expected after total removal. Pathologic distinction from chondrosarcoma should be done in all cases as prognosis and subsequent treatment differs.
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