The History of Pseudotumor Cerebri Syndrome among “Courses” and “Recourses”
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Introduction

The disease now most frequently referred to as pseudotumor cerebri syndrome (PTCS), according to the most recent diagnostic criteria,1 has been known by various names since its first clinical descriptions: pseudotumor cerebri, benign intracranial hypertension (BIH), and idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH).2 These terms were introduced, respectively, by Nonne in 1904 and Foley in 1955, were originally used to describe patients with raised intracranial pressure in whom no tumor was found and whose course was considered to be relatively benign. In the modern era of medicine, the natural history of this condition has proved to be not always benign, as some patients with “benign intracranial hypertension” developed permanent blindness. Therefore, Buchheit in 1969 challenged the terms “benign” and “pseudotumor” and the denomination was then changed to “idiopathic intracranial hypertension.” The recently updated diagnostic criteria for this syndrome reintroduced the original terminology and proposed to define the condition as “pseudotumor cerebri syndrome.” The aim of this umbrella term is to encompass all the potential etiologies, primary and secondary, of increased intracranial pressure not associated with intracranial mass and/or anomalies of the brain parenchyma. In this article, we briefly review the history of pseudotumor cerebri syndrome.
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Earliest Descriptions of Pseudotumor Cerebri Syndrome

Authors had previously summarized the first description of this syndrome.8,9 One historic key manuscript is a monograph that focused on diseases of the optic nerve, published by The Transactions of the Ophthalmological Society, United Kingdom, in 1880.10 At that time lumbar puncture was not yet utilized to assess the CSF opening pressure, which later became a fundamental criterion in the diagnosis of PTCS. The earliest descriptions of the PTCS syndrome, lacking the evaluation of CSF pressure, might be regarded as presumptive.
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However, some of the early reports were highly suggestive of PTCS, because of the description of the typical symptoms (e.g., headache, diplopia) in the context of specific funduscopic findings (i.e., papilledema) on the background of known PTCS-related risk factors (e.g., female gender, obesity, endocrine derangements). Notably, in the 19th century, papilledema was usually referred to as optic neuritis.

From a long chapter published in 1880, we know that Dr. Hughlings Jackson mentioned reports regarding "...a recoverable optic neuritis in young women suffering from uterine derangement..." In 1881, Gowers described "optic neuritis," likely associated with iron deficiency anemia, a disorder now identified as an important risk factor for PTCS. In an article published in 1900, Williamson and Roberts reported 100 cases of “double optic neuritis” associated with headache; in this group of patients they assessed a higher prevalence of female gender, obesity, and menstrual irregularities. However, the earliest clinical descriptions pointing to PTCS seem to have been authored by Sir William Broadbent, a neurologist born in 1835 in West Yorkshire. Indeed, Dr. Broadbent described in 1872, a 12-year-old girl admitted to St. Mary hospital in London because of a 2-year history of headache and papilledema (referred by him as “chocked disc” [►Fig. 1]), on a background of menstrual irregularities. Her symptoms resolved after menstrual regularity was restored, although she remained blind from the sequel of the papilledema.

Sir William Broadbent (►Fig. 2) studied Medicine in the Royal School of Medicine in Manchester and worked for most of his career at St Mary’s Hospital in London (1859–1896). Broadbent was a leading British authority at that time in the field of cardiology and neurology. In 1881, he was elected President of the London Medical Society and in 1887, the President of the Clinical Society of London. In 1891, Broadbent helped to save the life of Prince George (the future King George V) from typhoid fever. In 1893, he was created a Baronet by Queen Victoria and, later, was appointed a Knight Commander of the Royal Victorian Order in March 1901.

The History of a Syndrome among Courses and Recourses

The articles by Quincke and Nonne, spanning the years 1893 to 1904, initiated the concept of PTCS as a specific disease entity. Despite the earliest description of a non-tumor-related increase of intracranial pressure (ICP) (see section Earliest Descriptions of PTCS), the first report of PTCS, documented by elevated CSF opening pressure during lumbar puncture, was ascribed to Quincke; he described it in 1893 under the name “meningitis serosa.” Quincke (►Fig. 3) was born in Frankfurt-an-der-Oder. He studied Medicine in Berlin, Wurzburg and Heidelberg, and became a doctor in 1863. Virchow was his most important mentor. Quincke was the first to characterize anaphylaxis-related angioedema, a condition that was later defined as “Quincke edema.”

Quincke was also the pioneer of lumbar puncture: he described this procedure at the 10th Congress of Internal
Medicine in Wiesbaden during April 1891. Through lumbar puncture, he was also the first clinician able at that time to measure intracranial pressure. He deeply studied the CSF circulation and, by injecting the red sulfide of mercury into the subarachnoid space of rabbits, was also the first to demonstrate the CSF flow.

Quincke attributed the clinical features of headache and visual disturbance, of what is today known as PTCS, to an elevation of ICP. He also thought that increased CSF production was the cause of the observed rise of ICP. Finally, he also understood some of the first PTCS-related comorbidities/risk factors, including head injury, pregnancy, infections, and otitis media. Patients followed up by Quincke complained of headache and visual disturbances, coupled with papilledema and increased ICP pressure, as documented by lumbar puncture. Overall, Quincke reported 10 cases suggestive of PTCS (seven females, three males) and remarked on the female preponderance.

Max Nonne was a German neurologist in Hamburg. His most important mentor was Wilhelm Heinrich Erb, the neurologist who first described brachial plexus palsy, also known as “Erb-Duchenne” palsy. In the history of PTCS, Nonne had the distinction of introducing his original work by the still-remaining term “pseudotumor cerebri”; this term described the constellation of signs and symptoms related to raised ICP but without any intracranial tumor. He reported in 1904 on 18 patients with signs suggesting PTCS.

Fig. 3 Dr. Heinrich Irenaeus Quincke (August 26, 1842, Frankfurt an der Oder–May 19, 1922, Frankfurt am Main).

Fig. 4 Dr. Max Nonne (January 13, 1861, Hamburg–August 12, 1959, Hamburg).

Fig. 5 Title page of the original article published by Dr. Max Nonne in 1904, where he introduced for the first time the term “pseudotumor cerebri.”
although their clinical characteristics did not fulfill the actual diagnostic criteria.

In the 1930s, Symonds identified children who developed signs of elevated ICP in association with middle ear infection. He was strongly impressed by the large amount of CSF drained by lumbar puncture. Symonds hypothesized that an “increased ICP due to the presence of an excess of normal CSF” might follow a middle ear disease which impairs sinus venous drainage. He, therefore, defined this condition as “otic hydrocephalus,” reflecting the increase in CSF volume in the ventricular system. He also recommended diversion of CSF by lumbar puncture as the best treatment choice in these patients.

In subsequent years, the introduction of radiological techniques, such as ventriculography and angiography, allowed the exclusion of any significant expansion in the ventricular system of these patients. In fact, Dyke and Davidoff were the first to describe a series of adult and pediatric patients, with signs and symptoms suggestive of PTCS, in whom the lack of any ventricular dilatation on ventriculography was demonstrated. They used the term “hypertensive meningeal hydrops” to define the condition of intracranial hypertension characterized by normal findings on ventriculography, in place of the term “otic hydrocephalus” coined by Symonds. Dandy also reported a large series of patients with signs and symptoms of intracranial hypertension in whom ventriculography showed the absence of ventricular dilatation. He, therefore, confirmed the conclusion of Dyke and Davidoff. Dandy used the term “intracranial pressure without brain tumor” to describe the disease and was the first to propose diagnostic criteria for this condition. He also hypothesized on the underlying pathophysiology and proposed that changes in cerebral circulation were responsible for the raised ICP, rather than an increase of CSF volume.

The first author who recognized a possible metabolic-endocrine pathophysiological basis for the condition was Thomas in 1933; he understood that obese young women were particularly prone to develop this raised ICP syndrome, likely, due to some endocrine disturbances affecting CSF and cerebral circulation dynamics. Joynt and Sahs in 1957 demonstrated intracellular and extracellular edema on brain biopsy samples obtained from patients with raised ICP syndrome. Following their study, there was a growing perception of cerebral edema as the cause of intracranial hypertension. Accordingly, diuretics (acetazolamide) and corticosteroids were started in the treatment of these patients.

Foley in 1955 introduced the term “BIH,” which became the most commonly term used to define this condition in the next several decades. In the subsequent years, with progress in neuro-ophthalmological examinations, the natural history of this condition proved to be not always benign, as some patients with “BIH” developed permanent blindness; consequently, the conventional designation at that time was changed to “IIH.” Ironically, in recent years, the nomenclature of this syndrome has been changed from “IIH” to “PTCS,”

Notably, in 1955, Foley outlined some of the peculiar findings of PTCS: “The most important symptoms being headache of moderate degree, obscurations of vision, diplopia, and sometimes tinnitus; marked papilledema and abducens palsies are the only signs, the CSF is normal in composition and the prognosis is almost invariably good, the condition subsiding within a few weeks or months.” Interestingly, Foley was also interested in explaining the underlying pathophysiology of the syndrome and in 1955 suggested that: “…an underlying endocrine imbalance, with presumably a disturbance of electrolytes, is in some way connected with the alteration of intracranial pressure.” These concepts became meaningful again in contemporary times. In fact, recently great attention has been directed toward the role of CSF electrolyte imbalances due to endocrine derangements in PTCS. Indeed, some authors suggest that an impaired endocrine-metabolic homeostasis plays an universal etiological role in explaining most cases of primary PTCS related to well known risk factors (e.g., female gender, obesity, adrenal disorders, recombinant growth hormone therapy, and vitamin A imbalance). However, further studies are needed to explain the elevation of ICP in this historically mysterious but yet fascinating and challenging disease.
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