Recurrent Miscarriage: Diagnostic and Therapeutic Procedures. Guideline of the DGGG (S1-Level, AWMF Registry No. 015/050, December 2013)

Diagnostik und Therapie beim wiederholten Spontanabort. Leitlinie der DGGG (S1-Level, AWMF-Registernummer 015/050, Dezember 2013)

Authors

B. Toth¹, W. Würfel², M. K. Bohlmann³, G. Gillessen-Kaesbach⁴, F. Nawroth⁵, N. Rogenhofer⁶, C. Tempfer⁷, T. Wischmann⁸, M. von Wolff⁹

Affiliations

recurrent miscarriage

- incidence
- diagnosis

Key words

- therapy
- recommendation

Schlüsselwörter

wiederholter Spontanabort

- Inzidenz
- Diagnose
- Therapie
- Empfehlung





gynécologie suisse

Deutsche Version unter: www.thieme-connect.de/ ejournals/gebfra

Bibliography

DOI http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0035-1558299 Geburtsh Frauenheilk 2015; 75: 1117–1129 © Georg Thieme Verlag KG Stuttgart · New York · ISSN 0016-5751

Correspondence

Prof. Dr. med. Bettina Toth Abteilung für Gynäkologische Endokrinologie und Fertilitätsstörungen Universitätsfrauenklinik Heidelberg Im Neuenheimer Feld 440 69120 Heidelberg bettina.toth@ med.uni-heidelberg.de

Abstract

Purpose: Official guideline coordinated and published by the German Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics (DGGG). Aim of the guideline was to standardize the diagnosis and treatment of patients with recurrent miscarriage (RM). Recommendations were proposed, based on the current national and international literature and the experience of the involved physicians. Consistent definitions, objective assessments and standardized therapy were applied.

The affiliations are listed at the end of the article.

Methods: Members of the different involved societies developed a consensus in an informal process based on the current literature. The consensus was subsequently approved by the heads of the scientific societies.

Recommendations: Recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with RM were compiled which took the importance of established risk factors such as chromosomal, anatomical, endocrine, hemostatic, psychological, infectious and immunological disorders into consideration.

Zusammenfassung

Ziel: Offizielle Leitlinie, koordiniert und publiziert von der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Gynäkologie und Geburtshilfe (DGGG). Ziel der Leitlinie war es, die Diagnostik und Therapie des wiederholten Spontanaborts (WSA) anhand der aktuellen (inter-)nationalen Literatur sowie der Erfahrung der beteiligten Kolleginnen und Kollegen evidenzbasiert zu standardisieren. Dies erfolgte unter Verwendung einheitlicher Definitionen, objektiver Bewertungsmöglichkeiten und standardisierter Therapieprotokolle.

Methoden: Anhand der internationalen Literatur entwickelten die Mitglieder der beteiligten Fachgesellschaften in einem informellen Prozess einen Konsensus. Anschließend wurde dieser durch die Vorsitzenden der Fachgesellschaften bestätigt.

Empfehlungen: Es wurden Empfehlungen zur Diagnostik und Therapie bei Patientinnen mit WSA anhand der internationalen Literatur erarbeitet. Insbesondere wurde auf die bekannten Risikofaktoren wie chromosomale, anatomische, endokrinologische, gerinnungsphysiologische, psychologische, infektiologische und immunologische Störungen eingegangen.

Information on the Guideline

Guidelines program

I.

Information on the guidelines program is available at the end of the guideline.

Citation format

Recurrent Miscarriage: Diagnostic and Therapeutic Procedures. Guideline of the DGGG (S1-Level, AWMF Registry No.015/050, December 2013). Geburtsh Frauenheilk 2015: 75: 1117-1129

Guideline documents

The complete long version, a short version, and a PowerPoint slide version of this guideline as well as a summary of the conflicts of interest of all the authors is available in German on the homepage of AWMF:

http://www.awmf.org/leitlinien/detail/ll/ 015-050.html

Authors See **> Table 1**.

Table 1Authors.	
Author Mandate holder	DGGG Working Group/Professional Association/Organization/Society
Coordinating lead guideline authors:	
Prof. Dr. Bettina Toth	German Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Gynäkologie und Geburtshilfe [DGGG]) German Society for Gynecologic Endocrinology and Reproductive Medicine (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Gynäko- logische Endokrinologie und Fortpflanzungsmedizin) Working Group Immunology of DGGG (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Immunologie in der DGGG [AGIM])
Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Würfel	German Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Gynäkologie und Geburtshilfe [DGGG]) German Society for Gynecologic Endocrinology and Reproductive Medicine (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Gynäko- logische Endokrinologie und Fortpflanzungsmedizin)
Other lead guideline authors:	
PD Dr. Michael K. Bohlmann	German Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Gynäkologie und Geburtshilfe [DGGG]) Working Group Immunology of DGGG (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Immunologie in der DGGG [AGIM]) Society of Thrombosis and Hemostasis Research (Gesellschaft für Thrombose- & Hämostase-Forschung [GTH])
Prof. Dr. Gabriele Gillessen-Kaesbach	German Society of Human Genetics (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Humangenetik [GfH])
Prof. Dr. Frank Nawroth	German Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Gynäkologie und Geburtshilfe [DGGG])
PD Dr. Nina Rogenhofer	German Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Gynäkologie und Geburtshilfe [DGGG]) Working Group Immunology of DGGG (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Immunologie in der DGGG [AGIM])
Prof. Dr. Clemens Tempfer	German Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Gynäkologie und Geburtshilfe [DGGG])
PD Dr. Tewes Wischmann	German Society for Fertility Counselling (BKiD)
Prof. Dr. Michael von Wolff	German Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Gynäkologie und Geburtshilfe [DGGG]) German Society for Gynecologic Endocrinology and Reproductive Medicine (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Gynäko- logische Endokrinologie und Fortpflanzungsmedizin)

Abbreviations

Ab	antibodies
ACE	angiotensin-converting enzyme
ANA	antinuclear antibodies
APC	activated protein C
aPL	antiphospholipid
APS	antiphospholipid syndrome
ART	assisted reproductive technology
ASRM	American Society for Reproductive Medicine
FVL	factor V Leiden
G-CSF	granulocyte colony-stimulating factor
GM-CSF	granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor
GW	week of gestation
IUFD	intrauterine fetal death
IVIG	intravenous immunoglobulin
LBR	live birth rate
LMWH	low molecular weight heparin
MTHFR	methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase
PAI	plasminogen activator inhibitor
РСО	polycystic ovary
RCOG	Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists
PBB	polar body biopsy
PGD	preimplantation genetic diagnosis
РТ	prothrombin
RM	recurrent miscarriage
SC	supportive care
TLC	tender loving care
VEGF	vascular endothelial growth factor
VTE	venous thromboembolism

II Application of the Guideline

Purpose and objectives

The guideline aims to standardize the diagnosis and treatment of recurrent miscarriage (RM) based on the most current national and international literature and the experiences of physicians.

Targeted patient care areas

Outpatient and inpatient care.

Target audience

In addition to gynecologists, this guideline is also aimed at professionals working in medical fields such as human genetics, psychotherapy, laboratory medicine, and internal as well as general medicine.

Targeted patient group: women wanting to have children as well as women with miscarriages

Period of validity

The validity of this guideline was confirmed by the boards/responsible persons of the participating professional medical associations/working groups/organizations/societies as well as by the board of the DGGG and the DGGG Guideline Commission in January 2014 and thereby approved in its entirety. This guideline is valid from December 31, 2013 to January 31, 2017. The period of validity has been estimated based on the guideline's contents. The guideline can be updated earlier if necessary; likewise, the guideline's period of validity can be extended if it continues to represent the current state of knowledge.

III Guideline

1

Methodology

During the compilation of this guideline, there was a special focus on previous recommendations (the guideline was first compiled in 09/2004, revised in 05/2008) and the ESHRE guideline of 2006 [1], as well as the guidelines of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG 2011) [2], the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG 2002) [3] and the American Society of Reproductive Medicine (2008) [4]. In addition, a search was done using PubMed and the Cochrane Library for current evidence-based studies. The guideline, which already existed in an earlier version from the year 2006, was adapted to take account of the recent literature and existing international guidelines. Drafts of the proposed guideline were circulated between authors, prompting some controversial discussions until an agreement was obtained. After several drafts had been circulated, all of the authors agreed to the version which was finally adopted. The Guideline Commission and the board of the DGGG approved the guideline in January 2014.

2 Introduction

Caring for couples with RM is a challenge for clinicians as several possible causes of RM are known, but in the majority of patients no cause of RM is found. The psychological strain for couples is high, which can mean that extensive diagnostic investigations and a treatment strategy are requested after only a single miscarriage. Moreover, because of the lack of studies and the associated lack of evidence-based recommendations for therapy, a wide range of therapeutic approaches are currently used.

3 Incidence and Definition

Approximately 1–3% of all couples of reproductive age experience recurrent miscarriages. This can lead to serious problems for the couple's relationship and quality of life [5]. A miscarriage is defined as the loss of a fetus at any time between conception and the 24th week of gestation (GW) (WHO Guidelines) [2]. The WHO definition of recurrent miscarriage is: "3 or more consecutive miscarriages before the 20th GW" [2]. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists defines just 2 consecutive miscarriages as RM [6], raising the incidence of recurrent pregnancy loss to 5% [7]. This guideline takes the WHO definition (\geq 3 consecutive miscarriages) as the basis for its diagnostic investigations and therapeutic procedures.

If a woman has not previously given birth, the loss of the fetus is referred to as primary RM; if a woman has had a previous live birth, the pregnancy loss is referred to as secondary RM [8].

The risk of recurrent miscarriage varies considerably, depending on a number of factors. In addition to maternal age, the number of previous miscarriages also affects the risk of recurrence. **Table 2** presents the data from a large retrospective register study carried out by Nybo-Andersen et al. [9].

4 Causes and Diagnostic Workup

4.1 Genetic factors

4.1.1 Chromosomal anomalies

The most common cause of recurrent miscarriage is embryonic/ fetal chromosomal abnormality [10, 11]. However, the percentage of chromosomally abnormal fetuses decreases as the number of miscarriages increases. In a series of 1309 women who had 2– 20 miscarriages in their first trimester of pregnancy, the percentage of embryonic/fetal karyotype anomalies in women with 2 miscarriages dropped continuously, from 63% to 11% in women who had 10 or more miscarriages [12].

Parental chromosomal anomalies are present in 3% of cases with more than 3 miscarriages [13]. The probability that one of the parents has a structural chromosomal abnormality increases to almost 5% if the couple has a previous history of stillbirth or already has a previous child with major congenital impairments.

Both Robertsonian translocations (which affects the acrocentric chromosomes 13, 14, 15, 21 and 22) and reciprocal translocations have been reported [13]. In two thirds of cases, the woman is the carrier of the translocation; the man is only the carrier in one third of cases. Structural aberrations such as paracentric or pericentric inversion are much rarer [10].
 Table 2
 Probability of recurrent miscarriage depending on maternal age and the number of previous miscarriages, following Nybo-Andersen et al. [9].

Previous miscarriages	25–29 years	30–34 years	35–39 years	40–44 years
1	~ 15%	~ 16–18	~ 21–23	~ 40
2	~ 22-24	~ 23–26	~ 25-30	~ 40-44
≥3	~ 40-42	~ 38-40	~ 40-45	~ 60–65

The risk of embryonic/fetal trisomy from incorrect distribution of chromosomes increases with higher maternal age. Trisomy 16 is the most common presentation (approx. 30%), followed by trisomy 22 (approx. 14%). Triploidy is present in around 15% of cytogenetically abnormal miscarriages. Turner syndrome is responsible for around 20% of miscarriages in the first trimester of pregnancy. No association with maternal age has been found for Turner syndrome, polyploidy or structural chromosomal disorders [14].

The earlier the miscarriage occurs, the greater the likelihood that an embryonic/fetal chromosome aberration was present. In the first trimester of pregnancy, a chromosome abnormality was found in around 50% of cases with RM, whereas the rate of chromosomal anomalies in RM cases in the second trimester was only around 20% [15, 16].

Chromosome analysis of both parents should be done if a patient has suffered 3 or more miscarriages; chromosome analysis should also be done if couples have had 1 or more miscarriages in combination with a prior stillbirth or a child with impaired intelligence or congenital malformations. Prior to every genetic diagnostic procedure the patient must be informed about the planned investigation by a qualified physician in accordance with the German Genetic Diagnosis Act. In addition, the patient must give her written consent to the procedure.

4.2 Anatomical factors

4.2.1 Uterine disorders

The incidence of uterine disorders in RM reported in the literature is 10–25% (compared to 5% in control groups) [17] or 3.2– 6.9% [18]. Septate (and subseptate) uterus is known to be associated with an increased risk of miscarriage. Changes in the expression of VEGF receptors have been found in the endometrium covering the septal area. It is assumed that this affects vascularization during placentation, which would explain the increased risk of miscarriage if implantation occurs on the septum [19].

The differences between various studies may be due to poor interobserver agreement regarding the hysteroscopic diagnosis of septate uterus [20].

Hysteroscopy (alternatively, hysterosalpingography) is used to investigate potential uterine anomalies, potentially in combination with laparoscopy or 3D ultrasound; the choice of procedure depends on the individual case [17].

4.2.2 Fibroids

In an analysis of retrospective and prospective data obtained from patients with RM, the incidence of submucosal fibroids was found to be 2.6% (25/966) [21]. However, for methodological reasons the potential benefits of fibroid enucleation in patients with RM cannot be investigated in a blind randomized prospective study. An association with RM is unlikely for fibroids without a submucosal part which are located elsewhere in the uterus. Hysteroscopy is the standard diagnostic procedure to assess whether submucosal fibroids are present.

4.2.3 Polyps

It is not clear whether – as with submucosal fibroids – intracavitary polyps increase the risk of miscarriage. Polyps – particularly diffuse micropolyps – are often associated with chronic endometritis [22]. Hysteroscopy is recommended for the diagnosis and localization of polyps.

4.2.4 Cervical insufficiency

Miscarriages in the second and third trimester of pregnancy are often associated with cervical insufficiency. But the pathophysiology or potential diagnostic markers are still unknown.

4.3 Microbiological factors

The importance of microbiological factors in recurrent miscarriage is controversially discussed; general screening over and above standard prenatal screening is not currently recommended.

Bacterial vaginosis in the first trimester of pregnancy has been reported to be a risk factor for miscarriage in the second trimester and for preterm birth [23,24]. However, there is currently no evidence for an association with miscarriages in the first trimester of pregnancy [25].

4.4 Endocrine factors

Possible endocrine causes of RM include luteal phase deficiency, thyroid dysfunction and a complex of metabolic disorders associated with obesity, PCO syndrome, hyperandrogenemia and insulin resistance.

Luteal phase deficiency is not a proven cause of RM, as there are no defined standard values for progesterone concentrations in the luteal phase. Luteal phase deficiency as a relevant factor for RM can only be considered in patients with considerably shortened luteal phases and premenstrual spotting lasting several days.

When assessing thyroid function it is important to differentiate between manifest and latent dysfunction and increased thyroid autoantibody concentrations. The data for manifest hypothroidism and hyperthyroidism are limited because of the low prevalence of these conditions. An association is generally assumed but could not be confirmed with certainty [26].

Latent hypothyroidism is one of the most common thyroid dysfunctions occurring in cases with RM. The Endocrine Society considers a TSH value of 2.5 mU/l as the upper limit in infertile women, with a level of evidence of 1 [27]. Based on the assumption that latent hypothyroidism is present in women with TSH values of 2.5 mU/l and above, several studies have reported an increased risk of miscarriage even in cases with latent hypothyroidism [28, 29]. If increased thyroid autoantibodies are additionally present, the association with recurrent miscarriage is considered to be established [30].

Because of the overlap of pathophysiologies, it is difficult to differentiate between obesity, PCO syndrome, hyperandrogenemia and insulin resistance as the cause of RM.

However, several studies described an association between obesity and RM [31,32].

4.5 Psychological factors

Evidence-based medicine has not found a direct link between RM or fertility disorders in general and purely psychological factors such as (daily) stress [33]. Postulating the existence of mono-causal reasons or linear cause-and-effect relationships does not

do justice to the complexity of the human reproductive system [34, 35]. Based on the current state of knowledge, it is only possible to presume that there may be an indirect impact due to changes in the behavior of the pregnant woman (for example, ingestion of stimulants or poor nutritional status) [36]. The explanatory models for spontaneous abortion or RM (e.g. in [37]) used in the (older) psychosomatic literature can either not be verified empirically because of their theoretical presuppositions or it has not yet been possible to replicate them.

4.6 Immunological factors4.6.1 Alloimmune factors

According to current studies, activation of the immune system (Th1 response) creates less favorable conditions for implantation and is associated with an increased probability of RM [38–40]. It has not yet been clearly proven that an increase in the Th1/Th2 ratio or in the T4/T8 index leads to an increased risk of miscarriage, even though many authors assume that it does. The same applies to increased TNF- α secretion as demonstrated by lymphocyte stimulation test or increased serum TNF- α levels [41]. Determination of these ratios, indices or levels is not yet generally recommended for routine screening.

In patients with RM, analyses of natural killer cells (NK cells) in peripheral blood or of uterine NK cells or of paternal HLA-C allotypes and maternal KIR receptors (e.g., paternal HLA-G2 and the absence of the 3 activating KIR receptors in the mother), NK toxicity texts, or analysis of the HLA identity of both parents should only be done under study conditions [42, 43].

4.6.2 Autoimmune factors

Numerous studies have shown an association between the presence of thyroglobulin antibodies (TGAb), particularly thyroid peroxidase (TPO) antibodies, and the development of (early) RM, with the rate of miscarriage found to be 54% higher in women with detectable antibodies [44].

Even though only 0.01–0.02% of pregnant women had Graves' disease with TSH receptor activating antibodies (TRAb), rates of pregnancy complications were increased in mothers who did not receive treatment [45,46].

The current data on the effect of antinuclear antibodies (ANA) on miscarriage rates is inconsistent, and determination of ANA is therefore not currently recommended as part of routine diagnostic procedures [47].

Celiac disease is characterized by gluten sensitivity; the association with RM is still controversially discussed. However, as part of diagnostic procedures for RM, testing for immunoglobulin A (IgA) antibodies against tissue transglutaminase can be done under study conditions, followed by a biopsy of the small intestine if findings are positive [48].

Non-specific antibodies against anionic phospholipids such as cardiolipins and β 2 glycoproteins, also known as antiphospholipid antibodies (aPLAb), have been detected in women with RM. However, according to the definition in **©** Fig. 1, antiphospholipid (aPL) syndrome is only present if both clinical and laboratory criteria for aPL syndrome are fulfilled. Around 2–15% of women with RM suffer from aPL syndrome [15]. It is important to determine whether aPL antibody titer is still moderate to high at follow-up after 12 weeks during diagnostic workup.

4.7 Congenital thrombophilic factors

Numerous studies in recent decades have discussed a possible association between RM and maternal (but also paternal) thrombophilia. Many procoagulation factors have been investigated, including factor V Leiden (FVL) mutation; prothrombin (PT) G20210A mutation; antithrombin, protein C, protein S, protein Z and factor XII deficiency; increased factor VIII or lipoprotein A levels [50]. The pathomechanism may be thrombophilia-related uteroplacental thrombosis affecting placental and embryonic/fe-tal growth [51]. However, up to 15% of the Caucasian population have at least one of the above-listed thrombophilia parameters [52]. Other factors include polymorphisms in the genes of meth-ylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR C677T), angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) or plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI); the reported prevalence of these factors is > 10% [52]. The prevalence of these variations in the general population mediates against the idea of hereditary thrombophilia as a monocausal factor in RM.

Given the differences in ethnicity and the associated differences in the prevalence of thrombophilia in investigated populations, the international data on hereditary thrombophilia in women with RM needs to be critically reviewed, as a study which looked exclusively at Caucasian women found no correlation between hereditary thrombophilia and RM [53].

Prospective cohort studies also found no correlation between miscarriage and maternal thrombophilia [54,55]. This means that not every pregnant woman with hereditary thrombophilia must automatically be considered at risk for (recurrent) miscarriage. But it is important to differentiate this combination from women with prior recurrent miscarriage and verified specific thrombophilia, as carriers of FVL appear to have significantly lower rates of live births compared to women with the corresponding wild type of the clotting factor in subsequent untreated pregnancies [56,57].

Because of the inconsistent data in international guidelines (ASRM, Bates, RCOG), general testing for hereditary thrombophilia is no longer recommended in women with RM [1,4,58]. The British guideline considers that investigations into maternal thrombophilia (FVL and PT mutation, protein S deficiency) are only indicated in the case of idiopathic miscarriage in the second trimester of pregnancy [1]. The ASRM recommendations propose testing for thrombophilia in women with RM only if these women have a medical or familial history of thromboembolic events [4].

As a step-by-step diagnostic approach, we recommend investigating the following factors in women with RM: antithrombin activity, APC resistance, molecular genetic testing for prothrombin mutation. If results appear to indicate APC resistance, testing for FVL mutation should be done in a second step.

Protein S and protein C activity should additionally be determined in women with a medical or familial history of thrombembolic events; investigations should be performed at least 8 weeks from the last pregnancy or intake of sexual steroids. According to the currently available data, testing for MTHFR polymorphism is not necessary.

4.8 Idiopathic RM

Idiopathic RM is present if the criteria for a diagnosis of RM are met, and genetic, anatomical, endocrine, established immunological or hemostatic factors have been ruled out.

The percentage of idiopathic RM in the total population of women with RM is 50–75% [3].

Clinical criteria

- ▶ ≥ 1 venous or arterial thrombosis
- I or 2 unexplained miscarriages of morphologically normal fetuses > 10th GW
- ▶ ≥ 3 miscarriages < 10th GW
- ≥ 1 late miscarriage or preterm birth < 34th GW because of placental insufficiency or preeclampsia

Criteria for laboratory findings

- (detected on 2 separate occasions at an interval of 12 weeks)
- Anti-cardiolipin antibodies (IgM, IgG) moderate to high titer
- Anti-β2 glycoprotein-1 antibodies (IgM, IgG) high titer
- Lupus anticoagulant

Fig. 1 Diagnostic criteria for antiphospholipid syndrome [49]. Clinical and laboratory criteria can be present either singly or in combination. By definition, at least one clinical and one laboratory criterion must fulfilled for a diagnosis of antiphospholipid syndrome.

5 Treatment

5.1 Genetic factors

It is not possible to treat chromosome disorders. Preventing miscarriages in cases with proven maternal or paternal chromosome disorders can only be done by selecting cytogenetically unremarkable gametes or embryos. However, a combination of assisted reproductive technology (ART) treatment and preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) is required for this. In cases of maternal chromosome disorders it may be possible to carry out a polar body biopsy (PBB) in specialized centers. But this procedure only tests for incorrect distributions of chromosomes in the oocyte; the male set of chromosomes is not investigated. PIGD will also show incorrect paternal chromosome distribution. Since February 1, 2014, PIGD is permissible in Germany after individual approval by an ethics commission. The use of donated sperm is permitted whereas egg donation is not legal in Germany.

5.2 Anatomical factors

5.2.1 Uterine disorders

A Cochrane meta-analysis [59] showed that there are no prospective randomized studies on the impact of septal dissection, and posited benefits are only based on retrospective uncontrolled studies. Based on the currently available data we nevertheless consider septal dissection useful in selected women with RM. Postoperative healing appears to take around 2 months [60], and pregnancy is tenable at the end of this period.

5.2.2 Fibroids

There are currently no prospective randomized and controlled studies on the benefits of fibroid resection. The indications for fibroid enucleation in patients with RM must depend on the patient's general status and history (hypermenorrhea, size and location of the fibroids, etc.).

5.2.3 Polyps

It is currently not clear whether the presence of polyps increases the risk of miscarriage and whether their resection decreases the risk.

5.2.4 Cervical insufficiency

A recent multicenter randomized study found no benefit for cerclage compared to conservative treatment for the prevention of preterm birth [61]. Similarly, there are no clear data on the benefits of pessary placement or early total cervical occlusion.

5.3 Microbiological factors

Because data are still controversial, generalized screening for microbiological factors is currently not recommended. In the event of a repeat pregnancy, testing and treatment should be provided if there is a suspicion of vaginal infection [40,62].

5.4 Endocrine factors

The data on the effect of luteal phase supplementation in patients with RM have still not been sufficiently validated. Because of the unclear data, luteal phase supplementation, e.g. using micronized progesterone applied vaginally, should only be considered in cases where luteal phase insufficiency is clinically very probable, i.e. in patients with significantly shorter luteal phases and RM. Manifest hypothyroidism or hyperthyroidism must always be investigated and treated, particularly in women with childwish. Patients with latent hypothyroidism - particularly patients with increased levels of thyroid autoantibodies or Hashimoto thyroiditis - should receive thyroid hormone substitution with the goal of reducing TSH levels until they are within lower ranges [30]. The Endocrine Society recommends reducing the levels to under 2.5 mU/l in infertile patients [27]. During pregnancy thyroxin doses should be increased by around 50% of the initial dose. Thyroid hormone substitution can be discontinued again postpartum in patients with latent hypothyroidism.

A general recommendation to prescribe metformin cannot be given. Decisions on treatment based on the off-label use of metformin must be made individually on a case-by-case basis and after definitive proof of insulin resistance in the patient. Metformin has not been approved for use during pregnancy, although no increased malformation rates have been reported after metformin administration during pregnancy. The current S3-guideline dealing with type II diabetes in pregnancy recommends switching from metformin to insulin.

5.5 Psychological factors

The concept of "tender loving care (TLC)", which is often used in the context of RM, goes back to 2 publications by Stray-Pedersen [63,64]. However, the ASRM Practice Committee has pointed out that there was no real control group, as division into the TLC group or the control group was done based on the patients' place of residence, and differences between the two groups with regard to lifestyle factors, social support and other psychological variables were unknown [65]. According to the tenets of evidence-based medicine, the TLC concept therefore still lacks scientific validation in the form of randomized controlled studies. Two other studies which looked at the benefits of supportive care (SC) have also not yet been replicated under controlled study conditions.

5.6 Treatments for immunological factors5.6.1 Alloimmune factors

Glucocorticoids

There are currently no studies which have been able to demonstrate an improvement in LBR for patients with RM and abnormal B-cell and T-cell concentrations or NK toxicity after glucocorticoid administration [66,67]. Therefore this type of treatment should be reserved for (pre-existing) autoimmune disorders which require glucocorticoid treatment even during pregnancy. **Intravenous immunoglobulins and lipid infusion**

There is some evidence that intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIG) can reduce the concentrations of natural killer cells in peripheral blood [68] and that lipid infusion reduces NK cell activity and pro-inflammatory cytokine formation [69, 70]. Nevertheless, the data for women with RM is inconsistent [68, 71–73]. No clear indications for the administration of immunoglobulins or for lipid infusion have been defined yet, which is why any administration should only be done under study conditions. Side effects of IVIG, which can include anaphylactic shock or the transmission of infectious pathogens are rare, but the patient must be informed about such side effects prior to administration.

Allogeneic lymphocyte infusion

("lymphocyte immunization")

To date, meta-analyses have not been able to show a benefit for patients with RM [74]. It should be noted that the transfusion of blood products can lead to complications (e.g. transmission of infections, formation of irregular autoantibodies, induction of autoimmune diseases).

G-CSF/GM-CSF

Two studies to date have shown that patients with RM can benefit from G-CSF administration in the first trimester of pregnancy [75,76]. There are currently no findings of fetal impairment after administration. It is not yet clear which subgroup of patients with RM will benefit from G-CSF administration, and further (randomized) studies will be necessary before a general recommendation.

TNF-α receptor blockers

Currently there is only one randomized study in which TNF- α receptor blockers were used in addition to low molecular weight heparins (LMWH) and immunoglobulins in patients with RM [73]. Side effects are well known and range from skin reactions to infections and even rare adverse events such as drug-induced lupus [77]. There are also concerns with regard to the potential induction of malignant disease after the administration of TNF- α blockers [78].

At present, TNF- α receptor blockers should only be administered in the context of controlled clinical studies or for specific conditions (e.g., for autoimmune diseases such as Crohn's disease or chronic polyarthritis).

5.6.2 Autoimmune factors

Although women with autoimmune thyroiditis and hypothyroidism benefit from medication which adjusts their TSH levels to < 2.5 mU/ml, there are currently no findings showing that this is also the case for women who have only hypothyroidism [28,79]. There are currently no valid data for patients with Hashimoto thyroiditis which show that the additional administration of selenium (200 μ g) or aspirin (100 mg) increases the rate of live births. Antibodies should be monitored prior to the 22nd GW in pregnant women known to have Graves' disease, with pharmacological treatment potentially indicated in these patients. Pharmacological treatment can consist of propylthiouracil (100– 150 mg/8 h) in the first trimester of pregnancy and methimazole in the second and third trimester [45,46].

Because of the inconsistent data on the prevalence of ANA in women with RM, current treatment strategies (aspirin, glucocorticoids, low molecular weight heparin) are inconsistent, and this guideline cannot offer any recommendations.

In women with verified ANA, further testing must be done to differentiate between antibodies and exclude the presence of SS-A/ Ro and SS-B/La antibodies, which occur with Sjögren's syndrome or systemic lupus erythematosus. In addition to neonatal lupus syndrome, antibodies can lead to the occurrence of AV (atrioventricular) block already in the fetal period.

Ultrasound monitoring of the fetus is recommended in such cases to exclude fetal bradycardia, and corticosteroid administration may be initiated. Prenatal care of pregnant women should be done in cooperation with experienced rheumatologists.

At present there is only one retrospective study on the treatment of women with celiac disease and RM (n = 13) [80]. The women in the study benefited from a gluten-free diet. However, further (randomized) studies which would permit a general recommendation to be made, e.g. for women positive for tissue transglutaminase antibodies without clinical symptoms, are still lacking. Possible treatment recommendations should be discussed with gastroenterologists.

Numerous studies have shown that patients with RM and APS benefit from the administration of aspirin (100 mg/d) combined with low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) [81]. Treatment should be initiated as soon as the pregnancy test is positive; aspirin administration should be continued till GW 32+0 and LMWH should continue to be administered for at least 6 week postpartum. In contrast to the combination of LMWH and aspirin, other therapeutic approaches such as the administration of corticoids, immunoglobulins or aspirin alone did not lead to any significant improvement in the LBR of patients with recurrent miscarriage and APS [81].

5.7 Treatment of thrombophilia

5.7.1 Heparin

If administration of heparin in pregnancy is therapeutically indicated, low molecular weight heparins should be administered because of their superior side-effects profile and ease of administration [58].

At the turn of the century it was hoped that the prophylactic administration of heparin could prevent miscarriages in women with RM in whom APS was excluded, but this hope has not been confirmed in more recent studies [82] (**• Table 3**).

While older cohort studies reported a positive effect of heparin administration on the rates of live births in subsequent pregnancies, these effects could not be confirmed in prospective randomized studies (• Table 2). Because of the current lack of studies confirming the positive effect of heparin administration on subsequent pregnancies, the administration of heparin is not generally indicated for women with idiopathic RM [95–97]. There is also no evidence for the benefit of heparin administration prior to or during conception to prevent further miscarriages.

At present, the administration of heparin outside clinical studies is not recommended for the indication "prevention of miscarriage" alone, even in thrombophilic women with RM (in whom APS has not been confirmed) [58,82].

Irrespective of the above, anticoagulation therapy may be justified to prevent maternal thromboembolism (VTE) in thrombophilic pregnant women with specific conditions (antithrombin deficiency; homozygote FVL mutation, compound heterozygote FVL and PT mutation, etc.) which put them at increased risk of VTE and in women presenting with additional risk factors for VTE in pregnancy (e.g., immobilization, surgery, excessive weight gain, etc.), and appropriate anticoagulation therapy must be considered for this group of patients.

Heparin should be administered during pregnancy and postpartum in cases with a positive history of thromboembolic events. The general maternal administration of heparin is not indicated in women with a familial history of thromboembolism who do not have a history of thromboembolic events themselves and who are not thrombophilic.

With the exception of scientific studies, there is currently no data which supports routine testing for individual polymorphisms (e.g., ACE, PAI) followed by therapeutic treatment.

5.7.2 Acetylsalicylic acid (ASA)

A non-randomized study found that ASA monotherapy (40 mg/d) in women with recurrent early miscarriage and hereditary factor XII deficiency could prevent a further miscarriage in subsequent pregnancies [98]. However, the use of ASA in pregnancy to prevent miscarriage represents an off-label use.

5.8 Treatment of idiopathic RM

The rate of live births for women with idiopathic RM who did not receive treatment is 35–85% [94,99]. A meta-analysis of randomized therapeutic studies reported that the LBR of women in the control groups was between 60 and 70% [100]. Empirical therapies are routinely used, particularly to treat women with idiopathic RM.

This is quite understandable in view of the frustration felt by affected couples after tests have been inconclusive as well as the strong desire for some form of treatment. However, especially in these cases, couples should receive evidence-based counselling and treatment.

6 Summary

The following diagnostic procedures are useful for patients with RM:

- 1. **Genetic factors:** Chromosome analysis of both parents, genetic counselling in the event that one of the parents has an abnormal karyotype; chromosome analysis of the aborted fetus or embryo can help affected parents come to terms with the miscarriage.
- 2. **Anatomical factors:** Diagnostic hysteroscopy to exclude subseptate or septate uterus, intracavitary polyps and fibroids.
- 3. **Microbiological factors:** Microbiological screening is not generally recommended at present because of the contradictory data. If a women with a history of RM becomes pregnant again, testing and treatment should be initiated at the slightest suspicion of vaginal infection.
- 4. **Endocrine factors:** Detailed history of menstrual cycles to exclude significantly shortened luteal phases; testing is required to exclude hyperthyroidism or hypothyroidism and autoimmune thyroiditis.
- 5. **Psychological factors:** Detailed exploration of the emotional impact of RM on the patient (particularly to determine whether the patient is experiencing feelings of guilt) should be done routinely together with an investigation into the patient's social resources (partnership, friends and family). The patient may be given information on psychosocial counselling, self-help groups and internet forums [101].
- 6. **Immunological factors:** Antiphospholipid syndrome (based on the definition given in **> Fig. 1**) should be excluded.
- 7. **Thrombophilic factors:** If the patient with RM has a familial or medical history of thromboembolic events: full screening for thrombophilia (FVL or PT mutation; protein C, protein S or AT deficiency, homocysteine levels, factor VIII); if the patient does not present with thrombophilic risk factors: determination of antithrombin, APC resistance and prothrombin (G20210A) mutation.

Study	Number of patients	Inclusion criteria	Intervention	Outcomes	Comments
Brenner et al., 2000 [83]	50 (61 preg- nancies)	≥ 3 early miscarriages or ≥ 2 late miscarriages or 1 IUFD and maternal thrombophilia	Enoxaparin (40 mg) in women with only thrombophilia vs. enoxaparin (80 mg) for combined thrombophilia; additional ASA (75 mg) for APS; comparison with historical control group	Rate of live births: 75.4% (46/61) after intervention vs. 19.7% (38/193) in historical control group (p < 0.0001)	 Not a purely prospective study (comparison with historical control group) No placebo group
Carp et al., 2003 [84]	85	≥ 3 miscarriages and maternal thrombophilia	No treatment vs. enoxaparin (40 mg)	Rate of live births: 43.8% (21/48) vs. 70.2% (27/37) (p < 0.02)	 Randomization criteria unclear No placebo group
Gris et al., 2004 [85]	160	1 unexplained miscarriage after ≥ 10th GW and maternal thrombophilia (factor V Leiden, protein S deficiency, prothrombin mutation)	ASA (100 mg) vs. enoxaparin (40 mg)	Rate of live births: 33.8% (27/80) vs. 86.3% (69/80) (p < 0.001)	 No untreated control group No placebo group No recurrent miscarriage
Brenner et al., 2005 (LIVE-ENOX study) [86]	180	≥ 3 early miscarriages or> 2 late miscarriages or 1 IUFD and maternal thrombophilia Start of study: 5th–10th GW	Enoxaparin (40 mg) vs. enoxaparin (80 mg)	Rate of live births: 84.3 % (70/83) vs. 78.3 % (65/83) (n. s.)	 Study only done to determine dosages No untreated control group No placebo group Included aPL (~ 20% in each study arm) & MTHF polymorphisms
Dolitzky et al., 2006 [87]	104	≥ 3 early miscarriages or ≥ 2 late miscarriages with positive heartbeat	ASA vs. enoxaparin (40 mg)	Rate of live births: 84% (42/50) vs. 81.5% (44/54) (n. s.)	 No untreated control group No placebo group
Badawy et al., 2008 [88]	340	> 3 idiopathic miscarriages with positive heartbeat; excluded from the study if maternal thrombophilia present	Folic acid (up to 13rd GW) vs. folic acid & enoxaparin (20 mg)	Rate of live births: 88.8% (151/170) vs. 94.7% (161/170) (p = 0.07)	 No untreated control group No placebo group Methodological limitatio Very low rates of miscarriage (5.3 vs. 11.2%)
Fawzy et al., 2008 [89]	160	> 3 idiopathic miscarriages with embryonic pole present on imaging, excluded if maternal thrombophilia present	Enoxaparin (20 mg) vs. pred- nisone & progesterone (12th GW) & ASA (75 mg; up to 32nd GW) vs. placebo	Rate of live births: 80.7% (46/57) vs. 84.9% (45/53) (n. s.) vs. 48% (24/50) (p < 0.05)	 Methodological limitatic Unclear blinding ("single blinded")
.askin et al., 2009 HepASA study) [90]	88	2 idiopathic miscarriages and antiphospholipid anti- bodies or/and hereditary thrombophilia or/and anti- nuclear antibodies and positive heartbeat or serially increased hCG levels	ASA monotherapy vs. dalte- parin (5 000 U) & ASA (81 mg)	Rate of live births: 79.1 % (34/43) vs. 77.8 % (35/45) (n. s.)	 Underpowered Study discontinued after interim analysis No placebo group Included aPL (47.7% in each study arm) & ANA
Visser et al., 2011 (HABENOX study) [91]	207	 > 3 early miscarriages (< 13th GW) or > 2 late miscarriages (< 24th GW) or > 1 IUFD & 1 early miscarriage Start of study: < 7th GW 	Enoxaparin (40 mg) & placebo vs. enoxaparin & ASA (100 mg) vs. ASA monother- apy (100 mg); double-blinded for ASA	Rate of live births: 71% vs. 65% vs. 61% (n. s.)	 Underpowered Study discontinued after interim analysis No placebo group for LMWH
Monien et al., 2009 [92]	82	"Unexplained early or late miscarriages" 27.8% of patients have "posi- tive thrombophilia markers"	LMWH (n = 28) vs. LMWH & ASA (100 mg) (n = 54)	84% "total rate of live births"	 Unselective administrati of ASA (no randomization) No placebo group Unclear start of therapy Unclear exclusion criteria
Clark et al., 2010 (Spin study) [93]	294	≥ 2 idiopathic miscarriages < 24th GW Start of study: < 7th GW	Enoxaparin (40 mg) & ASA (75 mg) & close monitoring vs. close monitoring alone	Rate of live births: 78.2% (115/147) vs. 80.2% (118/147) (n. s.)	 No placebo group Unclear thrombophilia status

Table 3 Intervention studies with heparin(s) administered to women with recurrent miscarriage with and without evidence of hereditary thrombophilia.

Continued next page

Table 3 Intervention studies with heparin(s) administered to women with recurrent miscarriage with and without evidence of hereditary thrombophilia. *(Continued)*

Study	Number of patients	Inclusion criteria	Intervention	Outcomes	Comments
Kaandorp et al., 2010 (ALIFE study) [94]	364	 > 2 idiopathic miscarriages > 20th GW (excluding biochemical pregnancy) Start of study: ASA & placebo prior to conception; LMWH administered after evidence of positive heartbeat 	ASA (80 mg) vs. ASA & nadroparin (2650 U) vs. placebo	Rate of live births: intention-to-treat group: 50.8% vs. 54.5% vs. 57.0% (n.s.) Group of women who were really pregnant: 61.6% vs. 69.1% vs. 67.0% (n.s.)	 Underpowered Study discontinued after interim analysis Limited exclusion criteria ASA start prior to conception No placebo group for LMWH
Schenk et al., 2013 (EThIG2 study)	434	 > 2 early miscarriages or ≥ 1 late miscarriage Start of study: < 8th GW and positive heartbeat 	Multivitamin preparation vs. multivitamin preparation & dalteparin (5 000 U) until at least 24th GW	Rate of live births (up to 24 + 0 GW): 86.6% (191/220) vs. 87.9% (188/214) (n.s.)	 No placebo group To date, data have only been published in an abstract

ANA: antinuclear antibodies; APS: antiphospholipid syndrome; aPL: antiphospholipid antibodies; ASA: acetylsalicylic acid; GW: week of gestation; IUFD: intrauterine fetal death; LMWH: low molecular weight heparin; n.s.: not significant

The following therapeutic treatments can be effective:

- 1. **Genetic factors:** Polar body biopsy or preimplantation genetic diagnosis should be done if the affected couple has known genetic abnormalities. In contrast to egg donations, heterologous sperm donations are permitted in Germany.
- 2. Anatomical factors: Resection of uterine septum, removal of polyps.
- 3. Microbiological factors: None
- 4. Endocrine factors: Because of the currently limited data, luteal phase supplementation with progesterone to treat women with RM cannot be generally recommended and should only be prescribed in certain conditions. This may change, depending on the results of the PROMISE study. In cases with hypothyroidism particularly patients with increased levels of antithyroid autoantibodies and Hashimoto thyroiditis TSH values should be adjusted till they are within low normal ranges ($\leq 2.5 \text{ mU/l}$). Hyperthyroidism must be treated. The administration of metformin cannot be recommended.
- 5. Psychological factors: Empathetic support counselling should be offered to the patient (and her partner) as part of "patientcentered care" (i.e., individually tailored information and the provision of emotional support) both within the patient-physician relationship and by additional medical staff. While the pregnancy is still ongoing, a patient with a prior history of RM should be able to have frequent contact with medical staff. From a psychological point of view, the prophylactic admission of patients to hospital is neither necessary nor desired by the patients themselves [102]. If required, referral to professional psychosocial grief counselling services can be considered to support the patient (the couple) with mourning rituals ("Moses basket", "letter for the child"). If there is a suspicion that patient is developing signs of depression, a (medical or psychological) psychotherapist should be consulted to determine whether the patient requires additional treatment.
- 6. **Immunological factors:** Low molecular weight heparin and aspirin should be administered to treat antiphospholipid syndrome.

7. **Thrombophilic factors:** Administration of low molecular weight heparin should be considered for maternal indications of protein C or protein S deficiency, FVL mutation, PT mutation; monitoring of hemostatic status should be done in patients with qualitative or quantitative antithrombin deficiency.

The following diagnostic procedures and therapeutic treatments should currently only be carried out as part of a clinical study:

- 1. Anatomical factors: Antibiotic treatment for chronic endometritis.
- 2. **Immunological factors:** Determination of tissue transglutaminase antibodies to exclude celiac disease, gluten-free diet for women with celiac disease, determination of Th1/Th2 ratio (cytokine profiling), regulatory B-cells and T-cells, TNF-α, peripheral blood and uterine NK cells, NK toxicity test, KIR receptor profiling, KIR expression analysis, PIBF or HLA determination, particularly HLA-C; administration of TNF-α blockers, G-CSF, immunoglobulins, glucocorticoids, lipid infusion, allogeneic lymphocyte infusion, administration of aspirin if antinuclear antibodies are detected.
- 3. **Thrombophilic factors:** Low molecular heparin for embryonic or fetal indications, ASA 100 for factor XII deficiency.
- 4. **Microbiological factors:** Extensive antibiotic treatment for verified bacterial vaginosis in the 12th– 22nd week of gestation.

Affiliations

- ¹ Abteilung für Gynäkologische Endokrinologie und Fertilitätsstörungen, Universitätsfrauenklinik Heidelberg, Heidelberg
- ² Kinderwunsch Centrum München-Pasing, München
- ³ Klinik für Frauenheilkunde und Geburtshilfe, Universitätsklinikum Mannheim, Mannheim
- ⁴ Institut für Humangenetik, Universität zu Lübeck, Lübeck
- ⁵ Facharzt-Zentrum für Kinderwunsch, Pränatale Medizin, Endokrinologie und Osteologie, Hamburg
- ⁶ Hormon und Kinderwunschzentrum der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München
- ⁷ Universitätsfrauenklinik der Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Marienhospital Herne, Herne
- ⁸ Institut für Medizinische Psychologie im Zentrum für Psychosoziale Medizin des Universitätsklinikums Heidelberg, Heidelberg
- ⁹ Inselspital, Universitätsfrauenklinik, Abteilung Gynäkologische Endokrinologie und Reproduktionsmedizin, Bern, Switzerland

References

- 1 Jauniaux E, Farquharson RG, Christiansen OB et al. Evidence-based guidelines for the investigation and medical treatment of recurrent miscarriage. Hum Reprod 2006; 21: 2216–2222
- 2 WHO: recommended definitions, terminology and format for statistical tables related to the perinatal period and use of a new certificate for cause of perinatal deaths. Modifications recommended by FIGO as amended October 14, 1976. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1977; 56: 247–253
- 3 American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG practice bulletin. Management of recurrent pregnancy loss. Number 24, February 2001. (Replaces Technical Bulletin Number 212, September 1995). American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2002; 78: 179–190
- 4 Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Definitions of infertility and recurrent pregnancy loss. Fertil Steril 2008; 89: 1603
- 5 Carrington B, Sacks G, Regan L. Recurrent miscarriage: pathophysiology and outcome. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol 2005; 17: 591–597
- 6 Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Definitions of infertility and recurrent pregnancy loss. Fertil Steril 2008; 89: 1603
- 7 Rai R, Regan L. Recurrent miscarriage. Lancet 2006; 368: 601-611
- 8 Li TC, Makris M, Tomsu M et al. Recurrent miscarriage: aetiology, management and prognosis. Hum Reprod Update 2002; 8: 463–481
- 9 *Nybo Andersen AM, Wohlfahrt J, Christens P et al.* Maternal age and fetal loss: population based register linkage study. BMJ 2000; 320: 1708–1712
- 10 Laurino MY, Bennett RL, Saraiya DS et al. Genetic evaluation and counseling of couples with recurrent miscarriage: recommendations of the National Society of Genetic Counselors. J Genet Couns 2005; 14: 165– 181
- 11 *Robberecht C, Pexsters A, Deprest J et al.* Cytogenetic and morphological analysis of early products of conception following hystero-embryoscopy from couples with recurrent pregnancy loss. Prenat Diagn 2012; 32: 933–942
- 12 *Ogasawara M, Aoki K, Okada S et al.* Embryonic karyotype of abortuses in relation to the number of previous miscarriages. Fertil Steril 2000; 73: 300–304
- 13 Franssen MT, Korevaar JC, Leschot NJ et al. Selective chromosome analysis in couples with two or more miscarriages: case-control study. BMJ 2005; 331: 137–141
- 14 *Philipp T, Philipp K, Reiner A et al.* Embryoscopic and cytogenetic analysis of 233 missed abortions: factors involved in the pathogenesis of developmental defects of early failed pregnancies. Hum Reprod 2003; 18: 1724–1732
- 15 Branch DW, Gibson M, Silver RM. Clinical practice. Recurrent miscarriage. N Engl J Med 2010; 363: 1740–1747
- 16 Meza-Espinoza JP, Anguiano LO, Rivera H. Chromosomal abnormalities in couples with reproductive disorders. Gynecol Obstet Invest 2008; 66: 237–240
- 17 *Salim R, Regan L, Woelfer B et al.* A comparative study of the morphology of congenital uterine anomalies in women with and without a history of recurrent first trimester miscarriage. Hum Reprod 2003; 18: 162–166
- 18 Sugiura-Ogasawara M, Ozaki Y, Katano K et al. Uterine anomaly and recurrent pregnancy loss. Semin Reprod Med 2011; 29: 514–521
- 19 Raga F, Casan EM, Bonilla-Musoles F. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor receptors in the endometrium of septate uterus. Fertil Steril 2009; 92: 1085–1090
- 20 Smit JG, Kasius JC, Eijkemans MJ et al. The international agreement study on the diagnosis of the septate uterus at office hysteroscopy in infertile patients. Fertil Steril 2013; 99: 2108–2113.e2
- 21 Saravelos SH, Yan J, Rehmani H et al. The prevalence and impact of fibroids and their treatment on the outcome of pregnancy in women with recurrent miscarriage. Hum Reprod 2011; 26: 3274–3279
- 22 *Cicinelli E, Resta L, Nicoletti R et al.* Endometrial micropolyps at fluid hysteroscopy suggest the existence of chronic endometritis. Hum Reprod 2005; 20: 1386–1389
- 23 Hay PE, Lamont RF, Taylor-Robinson D et al. Abnormal bacterial colonisation of the genital tract and subsequent preterm delivery and late miscarriage. BMJ 1994; 308: 295–298
- 24 *Leitich H, Kiss H.* Asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis and intermediate flora as risk factors for adverse pregnancy outcome. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2007; 21: 375–390

- 25 Ralph SG, Rutherford AJ, Wilson JD. Influence of bacterial vaginosis on conception and miscarriage in the first trimester: cohort study. BMJ 1999; 319: 220–223
- 26 Hirsch D, Levy S, Nadler V et al. Pregnancy outcomes in women with severe hypothyroidism. Eur J Endocrinol 2013; 169: 313–320
- 27 Abalovich M, Amino N, Barbour LA et al. Management of thyroid dysfunction during pregnancy and postpartum: an Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2007; 92 (8 Suppl.): S1–S47
- 28 Negro R, Schwartz A, Gismondi R et al. Increased pregnancy loss rate in thyroid antibody negative women with TSH levels between 2.5 and 5.0 in the first trimester of pregnancy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010; 95: E44–E48
- 29 Benhadi N, Wiersinga WM, Reitsma JB et al. Higher maternal TSH levels in pregnancy are associated with increased risk for miscarriage, fetal or neonatal death. Eur J Endocrinol 2009; 160: 985–991
- 30 *Thangaratinam S, Tan A, Knox E et al.* Association between thyroid autoantibodies and miscarriage and preterm birth: meta-analysis of evidence. BMJ 2011; 342: d2616
- 31 Metwally M, Saravelos SH, Ledger WL et al. Body mass index and risk of miscarriage in women with recurrent miscarriage. Fertil Steril 2010; 94: 290–295
- 32 Boots C, Stephenson MD. Does obesity increase the risk of miscarriage in spontaneous conception: a systematic review. Semin Reprod Med 2011; 29: 507–513
- 33 Kentenich H, Brähler E, Kowalcek I et al., Hrsg. Fertilitätsstörungen psychosomatisch orientierte Diagnostik und Therapie. Leitlinie und Quellentext (Revision). Gießen: Psychosozial-Verlag; 2013
- 34 Catherino WH. Stress relief to augment fertility: the pressure mounts. Fertil Steril 2011; 95: 2462–2463
- 35 *Li W, Newell-Price J, Jones GL et al.* Relationship between psychological stress and recurrent miscarriage. Reprod Biomed Online 2012; 25: 180–189
- 36 *Schilling K, Toth B, Rösner S et al.* Prevalence of behaviour-related fertility disorders in a clinical sample: results of a pilot study. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2012; 286: 1307–1314
- 37 Läpple M. Streß als Erklärungsmodell für Spontanaborte (SA) und rezidivierende Spontanaborte (RSA). Zentralblatt für Gynäkologie 1988; 110: 325–335
- 38 *Kwak-Kim J, Lee SK, Gilman-Sachs A.* Elevated Th1/Th2 cell ratios in a pregnant woman with a history of RSA, secondary Sjogren's syndrome and rheumatoid arthritis complicated with one fetal demise of twin pregnancy. Am J Reprod Immunol 2007; 58: 325–329
- 39 *Kwak-Kim JY, Chung-Bang HS, Ng SC et al.* Increased T helper 1 cytokine responses by circulating T cells are present in women with recurrent pregnancy losses and in infertile women with multiple implantation failures after IVF. Hum Reprod 2003; 18: 767–773
- 40 Ng SC, Gilman-Sachs A, Thaker P et al. Expression of intracellular Th1 and Th2 cytokines in women with recurrent spontaneous abortion, implantation failures after IVF/ET or normal pregnancy. Am J Reprod Immunol 2002; 48: 77–86
- 41 *Kwak-Kim J, Park JC, Ahn HK et al.* Immunological modes of pregnancy loss. Am J Reprod Immunol 2010; 63: 611–623
- 42 Lash GE, Bulmer JN. Do uterine natural killer (uNK) cells contribute to female reproductive disorders? J Reprod Immunol 2011; 88: 156–164
- 43 *Hiby SE, Regan L, Lo W et al.* Association of maternal killer-cell immunoglobulin-like receptors and parental HLA-C genotypes with recurrent miscarriage. Hum Reprod 2008; 23: 972–976
- 44 Stagnaro-Green A, Roman SH, Cobin RH et al. Detection of at-risk pregnancy by means of highly sensitive assays for thyroid autoantibodies. JAMA 1990; 264: 1422–1425
- 45 Muller AF, Berghout A, Wiersinga WM et al.; working group Thyroid Function Disorders of the Netherlands Association of Internal Medicine. Thyroid function disorders–Guidelines of the Netherlands Association of Internal Medicine. Neth J Med 2008; 66: 134–142
- 46 *De Groot L, Abalovich M, Alexander EK et al.* Management of thyroid dysfunction during pregnancy and postpartum: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2012; 97: 2543–2565
- 47 Kurien BT, Scofield RH. Autoantibody determination in the diagnosis of systemic lupus erythematosus. Scand J Immunol 2006; 64: 227–235
- 48 Kumar A, Meena M, Begum N et al. Latent celiac disease in reproductive performance of women. Fertil Steril 2011; 95: 922–927

- 49 *Miyakis S, Lockshin MD, Atsumi T et al.* International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). J Thromb Haemost 2006; 4: 295–306
- 50 Toth B, Vocke F, Rogenhofer N et al. Paternal thrombophilic gene mutations are not associated with recurrent miscarriage. Am J Reprod Immunol 2008; 60: 325–332
- 51 Toth B, Jeschke U, Rogenhofer N et al. Recurrent miscarriage: current concepts in diagnosis and treatment. J Reprod Immunol 2010; 85: 25–32
- 52 Roberts LN, Patel RK, Arya R. Venous thromboembolism and ethnicity. Br J Haematol 2009; 146: 369–383
- 53 Baumann K, Beuter-Winkler P, Hackethal A et al. Maternal factor V Leiden and prothrombin mutations do not seem to contribute to the occurrence of two or more than two consecutive miscarriages in Caucasian patients. Am J Reprod Immunol 2013; 70: 518–521
- 54 Dizon-Townson D, Miller C, Sibai B et al.; National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network. The relationship of the factor V Leiden mutation and pregnancy outcomes for mother and fetus. Obstet Gynecol 2005; 106: 517–524
- 55 Silver RM, Zhao Y, Spong CY et al.; Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units (NICHD MFMU) Network. Prothrombin gene G20210A mutation and obstetric complications. Obstet Gynecol 2010; 115: 14–20
- 56 *Rai R, Backos M, Elgaddal S et al.* Factor V Leiden and recurrent miscarriage-prospective outcome of untreated pregnancies. Hum Reprod 2002; 17: 442–445
- 57 Jivraj S, Makris M, Saravelos S et al. Pregnancy outcome in women with factor V Leiden and recurrent miscarriage. BJOG 2009; 116: 995–998
- 58 Bates SM, Greer IA, Middeldorp S et al.; American College of Chest Physicians. VTE, thrombophilia, antithrombotic therapy, and pregnancy: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest 2012; 141 (2 Suppl.): e691S–736S
- 59 *Kowalik CR, Goddijn M, Emanuel MH et al.* Metroplasty versus expectant management for women with recurrent miscarriage and a septate uterus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011; 6: CD008576
- 60 Yang JH, Chen MJ, Chen CD et al. Optimal waiting period for subsequent fertility treatment after various hysteroscopic surgeries. Fertil Steril 2013; 99: 2092–2096.e3
- 61 *Brix N, Secher NJ, McCormack CD et al.; CERVO group.* Randomised trial of cervical cerclage, with and without occlusion, for the prevention of preterm birth in women suspected for cervical insufficiency. BJOG 2013; 120: 613–620
- 62 Nigro G, Mazzocco M, Mattia E et al. Role of the infections in recurrent spontaneous abortion. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2011; 24: 983–989
- 63 *Stray-Pedersen B, Stray-Pedersen S.* Etiologic factors and subsequent reproductive performance in 195 couples with a prior history of habitual abortion. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1984; 148: 140–146
- 64 *Stray-Pedersen B, Stray-Pedersen S.* Recurrent Abortion: the Role of Psychotherapy. In: Beard R, Sharp F, eds. Early Pregnancy Loss. Mechanisms and Treatment. London, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 1988: 433–440
- 65 ASRM Practice Committee. Evaluation and treatment of recurrent pregnancy loss: a committee opinion. Fertil Steril 2012; 98: 1103–1111
- 66 Hasbargen U, Reber D, Versmold H et al. Growth and development of children to 4 years of age after repeated antenatal steroid administration. Eur J Pediatr 2001; 160: 552–555
- 67 Laskin CA, Bombardier C, Hannah ME et al. Prednisone and aspirin in women with autoantibodies and unexplained recurrent fetal loss. N Engl J Med 1997; 337: 148–153
- 68 Stephenson MD, Kutteh WH, Purkiss S et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin and idiopathic secondary recurrent miscarriage: a multicentered randomized placebo-controlled trial. Hum Reprod 2010; 25: 2203– 2209
- 69 *Coulam CB, Acacio B.* Does immunotherapy for treatment of reproductive failure enhance live births? Am J Reprod Immunol 2012; 67: 296– 304
- 70 *Moraru M, Carbone J, Alecsandru D et al.* Intravenous immunoglobulin treatment increased live birth rate in a Spanish cohort of women with recurrent reproductive failure and expanded CD56(+) cells. Am J Reprod Immunol 2012; 68: 75–84
- 71 Ata B, Tan SL, Shehata F et al. A systematic review of intravenous immunoglobulin for treatment of unexplained recurrent miscarriage. Fertil Steril 2011; 95: 1080–1085.e1-2

- 72 Ensom MH, Stephenson MD. A two-center study on the pharmacokinetics of intravenous immunoglobulin before and during pregnancy in healthy women with poor obstetrical histories. Hum Reprod 2011; 26: 2283–2288
- 73 Winger EE, Reed JL. Treatment with tumor necrosis factor inhibitors and intravenous immunoglobulin improves live birth rates in women with recurrent spontaneous abortion. Am J Reprod Immunol 2008; 60: 8–16
- 74 Porter TF, LaCoursiere Y, Scott JR. Immunotherapy for recurrent miscarriage. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006; 2: CD000112
- 75 *Scarpellini F, Sbracia M.* Use of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor for the treatment of unexplained recurrent miscarriage: a randomised controlled trial. Hum Reprod 2009; 24: 2703–2708
- 76 Santjohanser C, Knieper C, Franz C et al. Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor as treatment option in patients with recurrent miscarriage. Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz) 2013; 61: 159–164
- 77 Rychly DJ, DiPiro JT. Infections associated with tumor necrosis factoralpha antagonists. Pharmacotherapy 2005; 25: 1181–1192
- 78 *Fellermann K.* Adverse events of tumor necrosis factor inhibitors. Dig Dis 2013; 31: 374–378
- 79 *Bernardi LA, Cohen RN, Stephenson MD.* Impact of subclinical hypothyroidism in women with recurrent early pregnancy loss. Fertil Steril 2013; 100: 1326–1331
- 80 *Tursi A, Giorgetti G, Brandimarte G et al.* Effect of gluten-free diet on pregnancy outcome in celiac disease patients with recurrent miscarriages. Dig Dis Sci 2008; 53: 2925–2928
- 81 Empson M, Lassere M, Craig J et al. Prevention of recurrent miscarriage for women with antiphospholipid antibody or lupus anticoagulant. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005; 2: CD002859
- 82 *Tan WK, Lim SK, Tan LK et al.* Does low-molecular-weight heparin improve live birth rates in pregnant women with thrombophilic disorders? A systematic review. Singapore Med J 2012; 53: 659–663
- 83 *Brenner B, Hoffman R, Blumenfeld Z et al.* Gestational outcome in thrombophilic women with recurrent pregnancy loss treated by enoxaparin. Thromb Haemost 2000; 83: 693–697
- 84 *Carp H, Dolitzky M, Inbal A.* Thromboprophylaxis improves the live birth rate in women with consecutive recurrent miscarriages and hereditary thrombophilia. J Thromb Haemost 2003; 1: 433–438
- 85 *Gris JC, Mercier E, Quéré I et al.* Low-molecular-weight heparin versus low-dose aspirin in women with one fetal loss and a constitutional thrombophilic disorder. Blood 2004; 103: 3695–3699
- 86 Brenner B, Hoffman R, Carp H et al.; LIVE-ENOX Investigators. Efficacy and safety of two doses of enoxaparin in women with thrombophilia and recurrent pregnancy loss: the LIVE-ENOX study. J Thromb Haemost 2005; 3: 227–229
- 87 *Dolitzky M, Inbal A, Segal Y et al.* A randomized study of thromboprophylaxis in women with unexplained consecutive recurrent miscarriages. Fertil Steril 2006; 86: 362–366
- 88 Badawy AM, Khiary M, Sherif LS et al. Low-molecular weight heparin in patients with recurrent early miscarriages of unknown aetiology. J Obstet Gynaecol 2008; 28: 280–284
- 89 *Fawzy M, Shokeir T, El-Tatongy M et al.* Treatment options and pregnancy outcome in women with idiopathic recurrent miscarriage: a randomized placebo-controlled study. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2008; 278: 33–38
- 90 Laskin CA, Spitzer KA, Clark CA, et al. Low molecular weight heparin and aspirin for recurrent pregnancy loss: results from the randomized, controlled HepASA Trial. J Rheumatol 2009; 36: 279–287
- 91 *Visser J, Ulander VM, Helmerhorst FM et al.* Thromboprophylaxis for recurrent miscarriage in women with or without thrombophilia. HABE-NOX: a randomised multicentre trial. Thromb Haemost 2011; 105: 295–301
- 92 *Monien S, Kadecki O, Baumgarten S et al.* Use of heparin in women with early and late miscarriages with and without thrombophilia. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost 2009; 15: 636–644
- 93 Clark P, Walker ID, Langhorne P et al.; Scottish Pregnancy Intervention Study (SPIN) collaborators. SPIN (Scottish Pregnancy Intervention) study: a multicenter, randomized controlled trial of low-molecularweight heparin and low-dose aspirin in women with recurrent miscarriage. Blood 2010; 115: 4162–4167
- 94 *Kaandorp SP, Goddijn M, van der Post JA et al.* Aspirin plus heparin or aspirin alone in women with recurrent miscarriage. N Engl J Med 2010; 362: 1586–1596
- 95 *de Jong PG, Goddijn M, Middeldorp S.* Antithrombotic therapy for pregnancy loss. Hum Reprod Update 2013; 19: 656–673

- 96 Check JH. The use of heparin for preventing miscarriage. Am J Reprod Immunol 2012; 67: 326–333
- 97 Gris JC. LMWH have no place in recurrent pregnancy loss: debateagainst the motion. Thromb Res 2011; 127 (Suppl. 3): S110–S112
- 98 *Ogasawara MS, linuma Y, Aoki K et al.* Low-dose aspirin is effective for treatment of recurrent miscarriage in patients with decreased coagulation factor XII. Fertil Steril 2001; 76: 203–204
- 99 Liddell HS, Pattison NS, Zanderigo A. Recurrent miscarriage-outcome after supportive care in early pregnancy. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 1991; 31: 320-322
- 100 Jeng GT, Scott JR, Burmeister LF. A comparison of meta-analytic results using literature vs. individual patient data. Paternal cell immunization for recurrent miscarriage. JAMA 1995; 274: 830–836
- 101 *NICE.* Ectopic Pregnancy and Miscarriage: Diagnosis and initial Management in early Pregnancy of ectopic Pregnancy and Miscarriage. London: National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health; 2012
- 102 *Musters AM, Koot YE, van den Boogaard NM et al.* Supportive care for women with recurrent miscarriage: a survey to quantify women's preferences. Hum Reprod 2013; 28: 398–405

Guideline Program

Editors Leading Professional Medical Associations



German Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Gynäkologie und Geburtshilfe e. V. [DGGG]) Head Office of DGGG and Professional Societies Hausvogteiplatz 12 DE-10117 Berlin info@dggg.de http://www.dggg.de/

President of DGGG

Prof. Dr. med. Diethelm Wallwiener Universitätsfrauenklinik Tübingen Calwerstraße 7 DE-72076 Tübingen

DGGG Guidelines Representative

Prof. Dr. med. Matthias W. Beckmann Universitätsklinikum Erlangen Frauenklinik Universitätsstraße 21–23 DE-91054 Erlangen

Guidelines Coordination

Dr. med. Paul Gaß, Tobias Brodkorb, Marion Gebhardt Universitätsklinikum Erlangen Frauenklinik Universitätsstraße 21–23 DE-91054 Erlangen fk-dggg-leitlinien@uk-erlangen.de http://www.dggg.de/leitlinienstellungnahmen

OEGGG

Austrian Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics (Österreichische Gesellschaft für Gynäkologie und Geburtshilfe [OEGGG]) Innrain 66A AT-6020 Innsbruck stephanie.leutgeb@oeggg.at http://www.oeggg.at

President of OEGGG

Prof. Dr. med. Uwe Lang Universitätsklinik für Frauenheilkunde und Geburtshilfe Graz Auenbruggerplatz 14 AT-8036 Graz

OEGGG Guidelines Representative

Prof. Dr. med. Karl Tamussino Universitätsklinik für Frauenheilkunde und Geburtshilfe Graz Auenbruggerplatz 14 AT-8036 Graz

gynécologie

suisse

Swiss Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics (Schweizerische Gesellschaft für Gynäkologie und Geburtshilfe [SGGG]) Gynécologie Suisse SGGG Altenbergstraße 29 Postfach 6 CH-3000 Bern 8 sekretariat@sggg.ch http://www.sggg.ch/

President of SGGG

Dr. med. David Ehm FMH für Geburtshilfe und Gynäkologie Nägeligasse 13 CH-3011 Bern

SGGG Guidelines Representative

Prof. Dr. med. Daniel Surbek Universitätsklinik für Frauenheilkunde Geburtshilfe und feto-maternale Medizin Inselspital Bern Effingerstraße 102 CH-3010 Bern