I would like to start by congratulating Professors Klaus Neis (Saarbrücken) and Matthias Beckmann (Erlangen) for their work in coordinating the contributions to the Hysterectomy guideline. The AWMF S3-guideline was formulated in consensus with the German, Austrian and Swiss Societies for Gynecology and Obstetrics (DGGG, ÖGGG, SGGG). The guideline discusses the indications and methods used for hysterectomy based on an extensive search of the literature (1990–2012). The search included reviewing more than 450 citations, of which just under 300 were taken into account [1].

The international consensus reached with regard to total hysterectomy procedures was that abdominal hysterectomies should be avoided wherever possible, with preference being given to vaginal hysterectomies. If a vaginal hysterectomy does not appear feasible, the proposed alternative is total laparoscopic hysterectomy. Opinions may differ with regard to the merits and demerits of the increasing numbers of certifications and guidelines issued in recent years, but at least they serve as signposts and practical guides for physicians and other medical professionals looking for information on the topic and also provide orientation to patients.

After laparoscopically assisted vaginal hysterectomy (LAVH) was first described in Germany at the beginning of the 1980s [2], the concept was later accepted and implemented internationally [3]. As a surgical procedure for total hysterectomy, LAVH combines the known benefits of vaginal hysterectomy with those of laparoscopic hysterectomy; however it avoids the documented drawbacks of abdominal and laparoscopic hysterectomy procedures [4]. The complications associated with laparoscopic hysterectomy such as bladder or ureteral lesions and the complications associated with total abdominal hysterectomy procedures such as intestinal obstructions and the stress of laparotomy are significantly lower with LAVH procedures [5]. Given the proper training and preparation, the repositioning required when access is switched from a laparoscopic to a vaginal approach is nothing more than a simple technical operation. LAVH also allows other surgical procedures to be carried out concurrently, for example the laparoscopic removal of adhesions [6,7], adnexal tumors, endometriosis, etc. [8] Preparations for the vaginal part of the hysterectomy can partly be done during laparoscopy, which will allow vaginal removal of the uterus to be done at a significantly lower risk, possibly even following morcellation with a knife [9,10]. Very often, subsequent vaginal hysterectomy procedures can be carried out without morcellation or after morcellation using only a knife, at all events without abdominal electric morcellation. Intraabdominal electronic morcellation has recently been extensively debated, and it is not necessary to use this procedure as in LASH or total laparoscopic hysterectomy [11,12]. Electric power morcellation needs to be evaluated in epidemiological or prospective studies before it is possible to give a final opinion on the associated risk of sarcoma dissemination [13,14]. Several additional techniques have been described which can be used to remove even very large uteri in patients with a very narrow vagina or which are s/p cesarean section or nullipara per vaginam [15].

Using LAVH for total hysterectomy combines the benefits of minimal access surgery; it offers the maximum degree of invasiveness required and access through the natural orifices (Natural Orifice Trans-Luminal Endoscopic Surgery, NOTES) [16] but without additionally requiring expensive robotic assistance [17].

The school which favors a vaginal approach is well served by the large population of gynecological surgeons in Germany, as not all have the ability and knowledge to perform laparoscopic surgery at the very highest level. In terms of health policies the approach can be comprehensively offered to patients across the country. From a profession-
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al point of view, the expertise required to perform vaginal hysterectomy – and after all, LAVH is only a variant of this form of surgery – is only available from gynecological surgeons, which additionally protects our field from other medical specialties. In summary, based on the newly compiled guideline on hysterectomy which, in turn, was based on a review of the existing national and international literature, because of its safety and efficacy as well as the reduced numbers of complications LAVH must be considered the gold standard for total hysterectomy.
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