Lobular Carcinoma in Situ with Atypical Mass Presentation: a Case Report

Carcinoma lobular in situ com apresentação nodular atípica: relato de caso
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Abstract

Lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS) is associated with an increased risk of breast cancer and accounts for 1 to 2% of all breast cancers. LCIS diagnosis currently remains one of the major identifiable risk factors for subsequent breast cancer development. Imaging methods are becoming increasingly sensitive, and the consequent detection of small lesions and subtle abnormalities increases the chance of detection of in situ and invasive carcinomas, leading to a reduction in mortality. This report describes a case of a palpable complaint with abnormal imaging findings, including a solid LCIS mass.

Resumo

O Carcinoma Lobular in situ (CLIS) está associado a um aumento do risco de câncer de mama e representa 1-2% de todas as neoplasias mama. Atualmente, o diagnóstico de CLIS continua a ser um dos maiores fatores de risco identificáveis para o posterior desenvolvimento de câncer de mama. Os métodos de imagem estão cada vez mais sensíveis, fazendo com que a detecção de pequenas lesões e anormalidade sutis aumentem o risco de detecções de carcinomas in situ e invasivos, levando a diminuição da mortalidade. Neste relato será descrito um caso de queixa clínica palpável com alteração de achados de imagem como massa sólida de CLIS.

Introduction

Palpable lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS) was first described in 1941 as a non-invasive lesion growing in the lobules and terminal ducts of the breast.1 The condition is defined by at least half of the acini in a lobe being filled and distended by monomorphic cells, and it is part of a spectrum of abnormalities called lobular intraepithelial neoplasia.2-4 Lobular intraepithelial neoplasia is associated with an increased risk of breast cancer, ranging from 3- to 4-fold in the case of atypical lobular hyperplasia (ALH) to 8- to 10-fold in the case of LCIS. Diagnosis is usually more common among 40- to 50-year-old women,5 ~15 years prior to the mean age at which an invasive carcinoma occurs2,3 and 7 to 8 years earlier than the occurrence of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS).6
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LCIS is not associated with any clinical abnormality or imaging findings.\textsuperscript{4,7} It is usually found incidentally in biopsies and is associated with an increased risk of bilateral malignancy.\textsuperscript{3,8,9} It can be found in up to 3.6\% of breast biopsies and is multicentric ~68\% of the time and bilateral in ~30\% of cases.\textsuperscript{3,8} However, the actual incidence is unknown because in most cases, it is not detectable by imaging methods.\textsuperscript{4,10}

The clinical picture is typically poor, and imaging tests do not seem to play a true role in LCIS diagnosis. Thus, the objective of this study is to report a case of LCIS that manifested as a palpable solid mass and changes in imaging.

**Case Report**

A female patient, 45, was admitted to an oncological reference center presenting a palpable nodule in the right breast for 40 days. Previous routine exams had shown no changes. The patient reported no systemic diseases or cases of breast or ovarian cancer in the family.

Mammography showed dense breasts with slight architectural distortion in the projection of the upper quadrants in the palpable region (\textsuperscript{\textbf{Fig. 1}}). Ultrasonography showed a predominance of fibroglandular tissue with heterogeneous distribution and hypoechoic noduliform formation, irregular and poorly defined in the palpation region in the upper quadrants of the right breast (\textsuperscript{\textbf{Fig. 2}}).

We indicated histological analysis because of the imaging characteristics. The patient chose surgical resection after pre-operative localization by wire-guided ultrasound (\textsuperscript{\textbf{Fig. 3}}). Histological analysis revealed classical lobular carcinoma in situ, nuclear grade I, with positive margins, and without atypia or other significant associated histological lesions (\textsuperscript{\textbf{Fig. 4}}).

After discussing the case with the multidisciplinary team and following clinico-anatomical-radiologic correlation, the elected course of treatment was six-month follow-up and hormonal treatment with tamoxifen. After four years of follow-up, the patient remains asymptomatic, still uses tamoxifen, and remains without significant changes in imaging (\textsuperscript{\textbf{Fig. 5}}).

**Discussion**

Only rare cases of LCIS presenting as nodular lesions have been described in the literature.\textsuperscript{5,11,12} The case reported herein was unusual because, in addition to the clinical complaint of a palpable lesion, imaging tests showed changes
that corresponded to this topography. Despite the positive surgical resection margins, we decided against performing margin extension. However, because of the increased risk of breast cancer development associated with LCIS, we indicated clinical and imaging monitoring every six months, combined with hormone therapy.

Initially, LCIS was considered a direct precursor to invasive lobular carcinoma and was treated with mastectomy, but a bilateral risk of invasive ductal or lobular disease was later demonstrated. Therefore, LCIS is considered a marker of increased risk rather than a true precursor. It usually occurs as an incidental finding, but studies have shown a correlation with imaging findings.

Imaging methods are becoming increasingly sensitive, and the consequent detection of small lesions and subtle abnormalities increases the chance of early detection of in situ and invasive carcinomas. On imaging, LCIS can be found as calcifications in ~21–67% of cases. The finding of a nodule on ultrasound, indeterminate enhancement on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or suspicious calcifications on mammography may be associated with the coexistence of other lesions. Although some studies have shown that the linear punctate microcalcifications found by mammography are related to the presence of LCIS, others have shown that this diagnosis associated with the presence of microcalcifications was an incidental finding. LCIS has been reported as a solid nodule of 20 mm. In a published sample of four LCIS cases by core biopsy, there were two microcalcifications and two solid nodules, and only one was associated with DCIS on surgery, relating to a nodular lesion. In the mammography of the case reported herein, it was difficult to identify the lesion because of the high density of the breasts. The most evident finding was in the absolute profile view, conducted to better evaluate the palpable area. Ultrasound also did not reveal a classical finding, but because of the patient's clinical complaint, a more cautious evaluation was able to define the lesion.

Currently, LCIS diagnosis continues to be one of the largest identifiable risk factors for the later development of breast cancer. The risk of malignancy is 20 to 30% over the course of 15 to 20 years after initial LCIS diagnosis, and the most common form is ductal, with nearly half occurring in the contralateral breast. Over a period of 20 years, this type of pathology presents cumulative risks of cancer of 35% in the ipsilateral breast and 25% in the contralateral breast.

There is currently no way to predict the occurrence of subsequent development of invasive carcinoma. However, it is believed that histological characteristics, including prognostic markers, genomic alterations, and factors relating to epithelial to mesenchymal transition and signaling pathways, are key to determining the progression to invasive disease. Histological differentiation between the LCIS patterns into classical, pleomorphic, associated with a mixed pattern with DCIS or LCIS with comedonecrosis can make a
difference regarding treatment choice. Further investigation with surgical resection is indicated in all cases in which LCIS patterns, other than classical ones, are evidenced on percutaneous biopsy. Approximately 24 to 83% of surgeons reoperate in cases with margins compromised by pleomorphic LCIS because it seems that this type has a more worrying histological and immunohistochemical appearance than the classical form.

Wide-core needle biopsy is the safest method for evaluating lesions detectable by imaging methods, with an accuracy of 98%, although these lesions may be associated with other benign or malignant lesions. The literature shows an underestimation rate of LCIS ranging from 0 to 35%. However, it must be noted that these studies have some bias, and the number of evaluated cases, the lack of reviewed slides, the inclusion of cases of lobular neoplasia with other high-risk lesions, and the inclusion of high-risk LCIS variants, among other factors, should be considered. According to some studies, excisional biopsy is indicated in cases of high-risk lesions, including LCIS, ALH, and radial scar, because of the risk of underestimating the diagnosis in 1 to 46% of cases, as these entities may be associated with invasive lesions.

The imaging-pathology correlation is essential for indicating whether follow-up is necessary. Furthermore, the risk of invasive disease increases when there is an association with other lesions, such as ductal hyperplasia, or first-degree family history of invasive cancer. Patients are offered one of three treatment options: lifetime monitoring to detect subsequent malignancy at an early stage; chemoprevention, with tamoxifen being the most used drug, as it reduces the risk of developing cancer in the subsequent four years by 56%; or prophylactic bilateral mastectomy. Additionally, for patients with a positive classical LCIS margin, as in the present case, there is no consensus regarding reoperation, though ~7% to 53% of surgeons choose to reoperate.

In conclusion, LCIS is an important risk marker for the development of invasive breast cancer and is often an incidental finding of breast biopsies associated with other histological lesions. The anatomical-pathologic correlation is fundamental in these cases, and this evaluation should be performed in a multidisciplinary setting, considering both clinical and imaging findings. Although most LCISs do not present correspondence on imaging exams, we report a rare case of a palpable lesion visible on both ultrasound and mammography.
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