Semi-sitting Position in Neurosurgery: A Review
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Abstract

Specialists rarely perform neurosurgical procedures on patients in the semi-sitting position. This is due to several factors, most importantly, the perception of risks associated with this position and lack of practice in some services. Nevertheless, the benefit of this position is still the subject of controversy both in neurosurgery and neuroanesthesia. Our objective is to report on the benefits associated to its use for posterior fossa diseases and dorsal cervical spine procedures, through cases in the literature. We survey and analyze state-of-the-art works that mention the semi-sitting position, based on searches in Pubmed, Scielo, Science Direct, and Lilacs. We found 46 original articles on the subject that we included in the review. This review demonstrates that the advantages for access in this position include gravitational drainage of venous blood and cerebrospinal fluid, easier surgical access to midline structures, as well as reduced cerebellar edema, surgery time and blood loss. This technique also allows ventilation with low pressure, less impairment of diaphragmatic motion, and better access to the tracheal tube. There are, however, some disadvantages, among which the most serious is paradoxical arterial embolism. We describe early detection methods of complications and discuss situations that can factor in to the choice of position. In summary, a semi-sitting position is safe and effective in neurosurgical posterior fossa and the upper cervical spine, provided there is a joint effort between neurosurgeons and anesthesiologists in selecting patients and complying with the technical standards favorable to this technique.
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Introduction

The benefit of the semi-sitting position is still controversial in both neurosurgery and neuroanesthesia. Neurosurgical procedures in the semi-sitting position are rarely performed, mainly because of the perception of increased risk related to this position. While the patient in the vertical position offers a number of advantages to the neurosurgeon, there are challenges for the anesthetist. Many institutions frown upon the semi-position technique due to potential serious consequences, such as venous air embolism. The use of the semi-sitting position is apparently lowest in Japan.\(^1\)\(^2\)

There are, however, indisputable advantages to the semi-sitting position for posterior fossa and dorsal cervical spine procedures. The sitting position allows gravitational drainage of venous blood and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and better guidance of surgical approaches to the midline structures, significantly reducing cerebellar edema, surgery time, and blood loss. These are key features that could contribute to a better outcome of patients in semi-sitting position. There are also several advantages to the anesthesiologist, such as the possibility of venting at lower pressure, less impairment of the diaphragm motion, and improved access to the tracheal tube.\(^3\)\(^4\)

While there are specific complications associated with the semi-sitting position\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^10\), the use of the semi-sitting position should be on an interdisciplinary dialogue between neurosurgeon and anesthesiologist.

Discussion

The use of the semi-sitting position remains controversial, despite its distinct advantages over the horizontal position. Many institutions are reluctant to use it out of fear of serious complications, mainly air embolism and paradoxical embolism (PAE). Other concerns include venous gas embolus in the presence of a patent foramen ovale (PFO), hypotension, spinal cord syndrome, quadriplegia and pneumocephalus, damage to the peripheral nerve, quadriplegia, macroglossia, and paradoxical arterial embolism, which is the most serious complication.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^10\)

However, embolism during neurosurgery is not related exclusively to the sitting position and has often been reported in the prone and supine positions. While the advantages of the sitting position are well documented, the impact and relevance of long-term embolism has not been well investigated. Reports show there is less blood loss and better preservation of cranial nerve function in a semi-sitting position.

Embolism is not exclusively associated with the semi-sitting position. One study reported embolism in 10-17%, also in of the craniotomy performed in the dorsal position.\(^11\)

The overall incidence of gas embolism during surgery in semi-sitting position in cranial surgery is slightly higher, at 21%. These results, which are gathered from the articles reviewed here, conform with previous studies, which report incidence of embolism ranging between 7 and 76%.\(^3\)

It is important at this point to stress that the potential advantages of the sitting position are offset, in emergency cases (reduction of cerebellar swelling), even by the additional time spent by the surgical team positioning the patient, about 15-20 minutes, when compared to the upright position. In these cases, medical teams should consider alternative positioning techniques, such as semi-inclined.

The overall incidence of embolism in the sitting position is 39% for operations in the posterior fossa and 12% for
cervical procedures. Detection rate also depended on the monitoring method, with transesophageal echocardiogram rate was 25.6%, while with the esophageal Doppler it was 9.4%.12–16

Stendel et al performed transesophageal echocardiograms in neurosurgical patients in the lying and semi-sitting positions. Air embolism was observed in 35% of cervical (foraminotomy) and 75% of posterior fossa operation cases.17

Some authors argue that the measurement of ETCO2 alone is an appropriate method for monitoring during the semi-sitting position. The incidence of embolism while monitoring for the fall in ETCO2 was 11% for cranial surgery and 6.8% for cervical spine surgery.9

Simply detecting embolism, however, does not necessarily mean the patient is at risk. It is just as important to investigate the sequelae associated with hemodynamic or respiratory embolism.14,18

Duke et al investigated the incidence of air embolism in a study of patients undergoing surgery for vestibular schwannoma in the sitting and supine positions. They found an incidence of 28% in the semi-seated position versus 5% in the supine position. Serious embolism with hypotension rates were 1.8% and 1.4%, respectively, with no significant difference between the two groups.7

There are several other ways to detect venous air embolism, including transesophageal echocardiography, reduced end-tidal CO2 (ETCO2) pressure, precordial Doppler changes, increased pulmonary artery pressure in the catheter, and direct observation of clinical parameters. The transesophageal echocardiogram (TEE) is the most sensitive test, capable of detecting 0.02 mL/kg of injected air bubbles, or 5 to 10 micrometers. However, it is expensive and impractical to keep trained professionals available continuously monitoring via TEE intraoperatively. Moreover, TEE may lead to complications such as esophageal bleeding, displacement of the endotracheal tube, and risk of glottis injury with prolonged use.15,17

Some measures to reduce the occurrence of embolism may actually induce an increase pulmonary artery pressure, which may lead to the occurrence of air embolism. Specifically, the positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP), although it may reduce the occurrence of air embolism may also, through the increase in right atrial pressure, increase the risk of an intracardiac shunts from right to left, causing an increase pulmonary artery pressure.19,20

The influence of patient posture on the respiratory system has been studied in different situations. When healthy humans switch from the sitting to the supine posture, functional residual capacity reduces and breathing resistance increases. In other words, esophageal pressure values are slightly less negative when sitting compared to supine, but the transdiaphragmatic pressure remains the same.21,22

While such postural changes have limited relevance to healthy individuals, they may influence the breathing pattern and dyspnea in patients with severe chronic lung disease. The supine posture can increase intrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure, dynamic hyperinflation, airway resistance, expiratory flow limitation, or orthopnea in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or obesity. Conversely, some authors have reported relief of dyspnea for some patients with COPD non-ventilated while in the supine position. Nonetheless, the supine position can significantly reduce functional residual capacity in patients with phrenic or neuromuscular paralysis and, in mechanically ventilated patients, limitation of expiratory flow and PEEPi may increase.23–25

We found no significant change in respiratory effort between the sitting and supine positions in stable COPD patients under noninvasive ventilation, regardless of their seniority or obesity. In patients with prolonged or difficult weaning, respiratory muscle weakness is usually present. The effects of posture on these patients’ breathing effort may be clinically relevant. Although a semi-sitting position in bed is often recommended for patients on mechanical ventilation because of the lower risk of microaspirations through the endotracheal tube, it is often difficult to maintain in clinical practice.26,27

Respiratory effort significantly decreased in the semi-sitting position, more comfort, and less necessity of high PEEP compared with other positions.28

As for PEEPi and dyspnea, there were only minor changes across postures. The functional residual capacity is reduced by 20–30% when the patient goes from sitting to the supine posture. This effect relates to the increase in intrathoracic blood volume, the greater head position of the diaphragm, and the obstruction of small airways. The reduction in functional capacity leads to increasing resistance against the position to sit.29–31

In COPD patients, the supine position is associated with dyspnea, or orthopnea and expiratory flow. Limitation at rest manifests earlier more in the supine than in semi-sitting position. Many patients with COPD have more severe dyspnea in the supine position. In such cases, pulmonary hyperinflation, airway resistance, PEEP, and expiratory flow limitation may play a role in the genesis of orthopnea. In several patients with heart failure or obesity, airway resistance, increased PEEP, expiratory flow limitation, and orthopnea are also more prevalent in the supine position compared to semi-sitting. Patients with severe phrenic or neuromuscular paralysis experience a large reduction in functional residual capacity when supine. In mechanically ventilated adults with acute respiratory distress syndrome, the supine posture offers higher expiratory flow limitation and work-related PEEPi when compared to the semi-sitting.32–35

The semi-sitting position induces a smaller respiratory effort than the supine and sitting positions. Weaning patients experience a significant increase in respiratory load and muscle effort for breathing. Mechanical ventilation is meant to decrease this breathing effort to a level acceptable to the patient. Weaning failure often results from an imbalance between the mechanical load and respiratory muscle activity. Most studies evaluating changes in breathing effort according to position reported little to no differences. In healthy individuals, transdiaphragmatic pressures remained unchanged between supine, semi-sitting, and sitting.36
same held true for elderly individuals in the semi-sitting and supine positions. In patients with stable COPD, transdiaphragmatic pressures were lower when sitting versus in supine. In obese individuals, there was no variation between average transdiaphragmatic pressures in the supine and sitting positions. While postural changes probably are not relevant to healthy and stable individuals, it may have relevance in patients with more severe respiratory difficulties.\textsuperscript{36–38}

Several studies have indicated that many ICU patients are not positioned in a semi-reclined position. Although a 45° position in bed is recommended for patients on mechanical ventilation, mainly because of decreased risk of microaspirations, reduce brain venous pressure, intracranial hypertension, this is often difficult to maintain in practice.\textsuperscript{39}

### Conclusion

As shown in the discussion above, the semi-sitting position is a safe and useful adjunct in neurosurgery of the posterior fossa and the upper cervical spine. Nonetheless, this requires team effort between dedicated neurosurgeons and anesthesiologists for a safe fit and seamless positioning. However, there are alternative ways to ensure adequate and safe positioning.

The semi-sitting position at a 45° angle decreases the inspiratory effort, and is as comfortable or more for patients that suffer from difficult weaning in ventilation. Reports show that PEEP is moderately higher in the supine position, whereas the supine yields lower PEEPi values, but higher breathing effort, possibly because of a central command of the upper breathing. There is also the potential role of decreased chest wall compliance when sitting, as seen in paralyzed patients. These results are clinically relevant because they demonstrate that the semi-sitting position reduces PEEP and relieves respiratory muscles in difficult weaning situations.
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