Prevalence, Diagnosis, Perioperative Monitoring and Treatment of Right Ventricular Dysfunction and/or Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension in Cardiac Surgical Patients in Germany—A Postal Survey

Matthias Heringlake1  Julika Schön1  Teresa Pliet1  Nils Haake2  Alexander Reinecke2  Marit Habicher3
Michael Sander3  Andreas Markewitz4  Daniel A. Reuter5  Heinrich Volker Groesdonk6
Georg Trummer7  Kevin Pilarzyk8  Michael von der Brelié2  Berthold Bein9  Uwe Schirmer10

1Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany
2Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Christian-Albrechts University, Kiel, Germany
3Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Charité University Hospital, Charité Campus Mitte and Virchow Klinikum, Berlin, Germany
4Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, German Armed Forces Central Hospital, Koblenz, Germany
5Department of Anesthesiology, University Hospital Hamburg Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
6Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Saarland University Medical Center, Homburg/Saar, Germany
7Department of Cardiovascular and Vascular Surgery, Heart Center University Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
8Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany
9Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, St. Georg Hospital, Hamburg, Germany
10Department of Anesthesiology, Heart and Diabetic Center NRW, Ruhr University of Bochum, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany

Abstract

Background  Sparse data are available on the prevalence of right ventricular dysfunction and/or pulmonary arterial hypertension in patients scheduled for cardiac surgery in Germany as well as on the intensity and modalities used for diagnosis, perioperative monitoring, and treatment of these comorbidities.

Methods  A postal survey including questions on the prevalence of preoperative right ventricular dysfunction and/or pulmonary arterial hypertension in patients undergoing cardiac surgery in 2009 was sent to 81 German heart centers. Total 47 of 81 (58%) heart centers returned the questionnaires. The centers reported data on 51,095 patients, and 49.8% of the procedures were isolated coronary artery bypass grafting.

Results  Data on the prevalence of preoperative pulmonary hypertension and/or right ventricular dysfunction were not available in 54% and 64.6% of centers. In the remaining hospitals, 19.5% of patients presented right heart dysfunction and 10% pulmonary hypertension.
Introduction

It has become increasingly clear within the last years that right ventricular dysfunction (RVD) is a rather frequent complication after cardiac surgery, is more difficult to treat than left ventricular dysfunction, and may negatively impact patient survival with mortality rates up to 75%. Despite a rather low (0.1%) incidence of overt RVD, following uncomplicated cardiac surgical procedures, 2 to 3% of patients after heart transplantation and 20 to 30% of patients receiving a left ventricular assist device (LVAD) develop this complication. Furthermore, up to 40% of patients presenting with cardiogenic shock after cardiac surgery show RVD.

Overt ischemic postoperative RVD may easily be explained as a consequence of severe left-sided heart failure but may also be induced by incomplete revascularization, inadequate cardioplegia, bypass graft malfunction, or, less frequently, due to surgical complications of valve procedures. Additionally, more subtle RVD has been reported to occur almost universally in patients undergoing on- and off-pump CABG surgery and has been shown to be detectable up to 3 months after surgery. The pathophysiologic mechanisms causing this prolonged RVD after uncomplicated coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) surgery are still a matter of debate. However, one important mechanism seems to be pericardiodytism itself. Animal data point to a disturbed right ventricular myocardial blood flow after pericardiodytism. In line with this, Unsworth and colleagues have shown a loss in right ventricular contractility immediately after pericardial incision.

It is well accepted that right heart dysfunction is a poor prognostic sign in patients with heart failure and that up to 50% of heart failure patients with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction present RVD. In contrast to the course of chronic heart failure, little is known about the effects of preoperative RVD on outcome after cardiac surgery. Recently, Garatti and coworkers analyzed the impact of preoperative RVD on perioperative complications and long-term outcome in 324 patients with ischemic heart failure undergoing surgical ventricular reconstruction. Twenty-one percent of patients presented preoperative RVD and had a significantly complicated perioperative course; that is, they had a higher incidence of low-cardiac output syndrome and need for intra-aortic counterpulsation. Multivariate analysis identified this variable as an independent predictor of late mortality. No data about the incidence of preoperative RVD in patients scheduled for cardiac surgery in Germany are yet available.

Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a relevant risk factor in cardiac surgical patients and has been included in various cardiac surgical risk scores, including the most frequently used score in Germany, the EuroSCORE. According to the institution in charge of external quality assurance required by law in Germany “AQUA,” 2.7% of patients undergoing CABG and 11.8% of patients undergoing combined aortic valve surgery and CABG in 2012 had preoperative PAH (https://www.ssg.de/ergebnisse/leistungsbereiche/index.html; accessed on 21.4.2015; information in German language). Sparse data are available about the prevalence of PAH in patients scheduled for other procedures, that is, mitral valve surgery and on optimal treatment strategies for patients with PAH and/or RVD.

To shed some light on the magnitude of this problem and as a base for future prospective trials, the present study aimed at assessing the incidence of preoperative right ventricular dysfunction/failure and PAH, measures used to diagnose these risk factors, and treatment practice in adult cardiac surgery in Germany by a postal survey.

Material and Methods

The Section “Hemodynamics” of the German Interdisciplinary Association for Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine and the “Scientific Working group on Cardiac Anesthesia” of the German Society of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine developed a questionnaire focusing on adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery. This questionnaire was sent to 81 German heart centers in October 2010. The period of interest was the year 2009.

The questionnaire included questions on general issues regarding size and organization of the center and the perioperative care (Part A), questions concerning preoperative diagnosis including data on the prevalence of RVD and/or PAH (Part B), and questions on postoperative treatment (Part C). Multiple choice or open questions were used.
Statistical Analysis
Data were analyzed by descriptive statistics using the MedCalc 15.2.2 statistical software package (MedCalc Software bvba, Mariakerke, Belgium).

Results
Of 81 centers, 47 (58%) returned the questionnaire.

Part A: General Questions:
Patient Population
Data on 51,095 adult cardiac surgical patients were reported. The number of adult patients treated in the participating centers in the period of interest ranged from 330 to 3,312 patients (mean 1,135 points), of whom 9.5% were operated off-pump. The proportion of urgent and emergency cases was 15%; 49.8% of the procedures were isolated CABG of which 64.2% included a bypass on the right coronary artery (RCA). In addition, 12.9% were isolated aortic valve replacements, 5.4% isolated mitral valve reconstruction or replacement, 0.5% isolated tricuspid valve procedures, 11.1% combined procedures, and 13.0% others.

Surgical Course and Anesthesia
The mean duration of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) for isolated CABG procedures was 59 minutes (range 31–90 minutes). The mean aim for perfusion pressure during CPB was 60 mm Hg (range 30–75 mm Hg) and the mean temperature was 34.3°C (range 30–37°C). Nearly 60.9% of the centers use volatile anesthetics during CPB on a regular basis, 4.4% occasionally, and 34.8% never.

Organization of Perioperative Care
Of all the centers, 34.4% run a postanesthesia care unit (PACU). The immediate postoperative care was in the responsibility of anesthesiologists in 33.3% of the participating centers, of cardiac surgeons in 26.7%, and in a combination of both in 40.0% of the hospitals, respectively. The intensive care unit (ICU) for cardiac surgical patients was led by anesthesiologists in 43.5% of the hospitals, by cardiac surgeons in 39.1%, by internal specialists in 2.2%, and by an interdisciplinary approach in 15.2%.

Part B: Preoperative Diagnostics
Of all the centers, 74.5% stated that the answers on preoperative diagnostics given were estimates, and the other centers reported that at least some data had been drawn from the hospital’s data quality register or from a patient data management system.

The centers reported that on average 45.3% (range 0–100%) of patients scheduled for CABG had a preoperative echocardiographic examination with evaluation of pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) and right ventricular function. Nearly 47.7% of these echocardiographic examinations were performed in the hospital before surgery. About 13.6% of the centers could not detail any information on the frequency of preoperative echocardiographic testing.

The variables most often rated to be particularly useful for estimation of right ventricular function included dimensions of the right atrium and ventricle, PAP, and tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE). The variables mentioned to be useful for right ventricular assessment are shown in Fig. 1.

Preoperative right heart catheterization was performed in a median of 5% (0–100%) of patients scheduled for CABG and

![Fig. 1](image-url)

**Fig. 1** Variables assessed as especially useful or important for the preoperative echocardiographic assessment of right ventricular function. n, number of answers (multiple answers were possible); RH dimensions, right atrial and ventricular diameters; RVEF, right ventricular ejection fraction; RV MPI, right ventricular myocardial performance index; SPAP, systolic pulmonary arterial pressure; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; TVR, tricuspid valve regurgitation.
in a median of 10% (0–100%) of patients planned for valve procedures (►Fig. 2). Nearly 10.3% of the centers could not report the frequency of preoperative right heart catheterization.

On average, 80% (2.5–100%) of patients had preoperative testing of pulmonary function. In addition to echocardiography and pulmonary function testing, only 8 (17.8%) of the centers performed additional diagnostic tests to evaluate right ventricular function (magnetic resonance imaging [six mentions]; computed tomographic scans [two mentions]; and angiography, scintigraphy, and spiroergometry [one mention each]).

Nearly 72.1% of the participating centers stated that there are specific groups of cardiac surgical patients in which the risk for RVD is underestimated or even overlooked. ►Fig. 3 shows the conditions most frequently thought to be at risk for underestimation of RVD.

In centers in which data on preoperative PAPs were available, a mean incidence of 10% (1–100%) was reported for PAH (defined as a systolic PAP > 60 mm Hg according to the EuroSCORE 1 criteria). The diagnosis was established in 53.8% by echocardiography and in 24.5% by right heart catheterization. In the remaining cases, the diagnostic modality could not be specified. Nearly 56.5% of the centers could not answer how often patients presented with preoperative pulmonary hypertension. Preoperative treatment of patients with severe pulmonary hypertension was reported from 71.1% of the centers. Oral sildenafil was the most often used drug with 71.1%, oral endothelin antagonists were used by 32%, and intravenous levosimendan was used in 20% of the centers (more than one answer was possible).

In centers in which data on preoperative right heart function was available, 19.5% of patients were reported to present with RVD. Of these, 70% were diagnosed by echocardiography, 15% by right heart catheterization, and in 15% the diagnostic modality could not be reported. Again, 66.0% of centers could not report the preoperative prevalence of patients with RVD. However, 95.7% of the centers quoted to start therapeutic measures in case of preoperatively diagnosed RVD. The most frequently mentioned drugs included
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**Fig. 2** The frequency of preoperative right heart catheterization in patients scheduled for coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG; gray bars) and valve surgery (VS; black bars).

![Fig. 3](image)

**Fig. 3** Cardiac surgical procedures in which the respondents of the survey deemed preoperative right ventricular dysfunction to be frequently underestimated or overlooked. AVD, aortic valve disease; congenital: status after correction of congenital heart disease; COPD, chronic obstructive lung disease; MVD, mitral valve disease; congenital, status after correction of congenital heart disease; n, number of answers (multiple answers were possible).
inhaled prostanoids or nitric oxide (44.2%), intravenous milrinone (41.9%), and oral sildenafil (34.9%).

Part C: Perioperative Management of Patients with Pulmonary Hypertension or Right Ventricular Dysfunction

Most centers stated that they had standard procedures for monitoring and treatment of RVD or pulmonary hypertension (Fig. 4). Hemodynamic monitoring for patients with PAH was performed in 87.2% by use of a pulmonary artery catheter and/or in 66.1% by transthoracic echocardiography (TEE). Only 25.3% of the centers used TTE as the first choice for monitoring of patients with pulmonary hypertension (more than one answer was possible).

For patients with RVD, TEE and the pulmonary artery catheter were mentioned equally frequent by 74.5% of the centers as the first choice for monitoring. TTE was mentioned by 19.2%, and only 6.4% was quoted to use minimally invasive hemodynamic monitoring—that is, pulse pressure–based methods—for monitoring patients with RVD.

Intra- or postoperative pulmonary hypertension not caused by left ventricular dysfunction was quoted to be primarily treated with intravenous phosphodiesterase-III (PDE-III) blockers (70.2% of the centers), inhaled prostanoids (38.8%), intravenous nitroglycerine (31.9%), and inhaled nitric oxide (25.5%).

Of all the centers, 68.1% that they had no information on how many patients needed treatment for postoperative RVD. Those centers having provided this information quoted that 3.2 to 20% of the patients needed postoperative therapy for right ventricular dysfunction or failure. During a normotensive hemodynamic situation, RVD was most often treated with PDE-III blockers (66.0%), inhaled prostanoids (36.2%), intravenous nitroglycerine (29.8%), inhaled nitric oxide (25.5%), and dobutamine (25.5%). In a hypotensive hemodynamic situation, norepinephrine was combined with PDE-III blockers (63.8%), inhaled prostanoids (38.3%), or dobutamine (34.0%). Only 19.0% of respondents reported to use adrenaline combined with nitroglycerine as the first-line treatment in this situation.

Discussion

The present study aimed at assessing the prevalence of preoperative RVD and PAH, the measures used to diagnose these risk factors, as well as treatment practice in adult cardiac surgery in Germany by a postal survey.

The results clearly show that these entities remain frequently undetected preoperatively. This contradicts the fact that preoperative RVD and PAH are recognized as clinically relevant problems in the care of cardiac surgical patients and by the observation that most centers have even established concepts for the pre- and perioperative treatment and monitoring of RVD and PAH. Our results provide evidence that that more than 50% of all CABG cases do not undergo routine preoperative echocardiography for evaluation of right ventricular performance and PAP. Comparably, in patients scheduled for valve surgery (in which a preoperative echocardiography is mandatory to determine the extent of valvular disease), right ventricular catheterization is also only rarely performed despite increasingly recognized limitations of echocardiography for the precise determination of PAPs in patients with PAH and severe tricuspid regurgitation. Interestingly, in centers that had data on the prevalence of these comorbidities, 19.5% of patients presented right heart dysfunction and 10% presented PAH, numbers clearly higher than those contemporarily reported.1,11

Fig. 4 Availability of standard procedures for monitoring and treatment of right ventricular dysfunction (RVD) or pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) in German heart centers.
These findings may have relevant implications:

1. First of all, the fact that more than 50% of CABG patients do not have a preoperative echocardiographic or right-heart catheter examination highly questions the reliability of preoperative risk adjustments with any EuroSCORE version because pulmonary arterial pressure is one of the variables needed to calculate these scores.12,16,17

2. An increasing number of publications clearly show that preoperative right heart dysfunction is associated with a poor prognosis in cardiac surgery.4,11 Further, based on the results of this survey, clinicians either routinely initiate or are willing to initiate specific therapeutic measures (mostly PDE-V inhibitors and/or inhaled pulmonary arterial vasodilators) in patients with known RVD and/or PAH. Consequently, this lack of information may be invariably associated with inappropriate preoperative treatment in this group of high-risk cardiac surgical patients.

The reasons for this inappropriate preoperative diagnostic workup are clearly speculative.

A. First, more than half of the preoperative echocardiographic examinations were performed by an external cardiologist, not necessarily aware of the implications of RVD for the perioperative course. Thus, one may speculate that these examinations were more focused on left than on right ventricular function, especially in patients scheduled for CABG. Additionally, in the light of increased financial pressure on cardiological departments, a high number of coronary artery interventions may be more important than a time-consuming preoperative evaluation of a surgical patient including right heart catheterization.

B. As a second point and as a result of the increasing economic pressure in the German health system, repeated examinations are strongly discouraged by the health care authorities and insurance companies and cannot be reimbursed. Therefore, many institutions may be reluctant to repeat preoperative examinations—even if the quality or the information brought by the available examinations is not optimal—or may simply rely on the results of levocardiography.

C. Third, it is well known that echocardiographic determination of PAP is not possible in a relevant number of patients who—if being studied invasively by right heart catheterization—are diagnosed to have PAH. Analyzing data from 29 trials, Janda and coworkers concluded that “Echocardiography is a useful and noninvasive modality for initial measurement of pulmonary pressures, but due to limitations, right heart catheterization should be used for diagnosing and monitoring pulmonary hypertension.”18 In a more recent meta-analysis, but only analyzing nine trials, Taleb and coworkers stated that “Doppler echocardiography is a useful noninvasive modality to screen for pulmonary hypertension and can reliably determine whether systolic PAP is normal, mildly elevated, or markedly elevated. However, abnormal results from Doppler echocardiography need to be confirmed by right heart catheterization.”19 This suggests that preoperative diagnostics in patients with presumed or documented RVD and/or PAH scheduled for cardiac surgery ideally should also include right heart catheterization. Thus, the attitude to rely only on echocardiography carries the risk to underestimate the true prevalence of PAH.

D. Last, this lack of proper preoperative diagnostics may be related to a lack of established recommendation, that is, the fact that there are no guidelines suggesting a diagnostic workup of right ventricular function and the pulmonary circulation in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. And indeed, despite a recent German guideline on hemodynamic monitoring and treatment of patients after cardiac surgery recommending the use of extended hemodynamic monitoring in patients with right heart dysfunction, no suggestions are made regarding preoperative diagnostics.20 Comparably, the most recent US guideline for CABG21 only gives evidence-based recommendations on perioperative monitoring including TEE but no details or suggestions on preoperative functional cardiac diagnostics. In contrast, the most recent European guideline clearly recommends an echocardiographic examination before and after CABG with a class I, level C recommendation.22 Unfortunately, this information is detailed in a table entitled “Long-Term Lifestyle and Risk Factor Management after Myocardial Revascularization” and thus may be easily overseen.

The respondents presented a variety of echocardiographic variables they deemed relevant for the preoperative assessment of right ventricular function. Astonishingly, objective variables for the determination of right ventricular contractility such as TAPSE, fractional area change (FAC), or the right ventricular index of myocardial performance (RIMP) were rather infrequently reported and the overwhelming number of respondents primarily focused on PAP and right atrial and ventricular dimensions. A detailed guideline on echocardiographic assessment of the right ventricle has been published after the survey23 and will possibly lead to a more standardized approach for preoperative echocardiographic assessment.

Paralleling the publication of an S3 guideline on postoperative hemodynamic monitoring and treatment in patients after cardiac surgery in 2007 and its revision in 2010,24 Kastrup and colleagues performed two surveys to determine the particular practice patterns in German cardiac surgical intensive care and how clinical practice had changed after the publication of the respective guidelines.24,25 The present analysis confirms many of their findings, especially that PAC and TEE are still the preferred monitoring modalities in German heart centers. However, Kastrup’s surveys did not specifically address the monitoring used in patients with right heart dysfunction and/or PAH, a fact that may explain the much higher usage of a pulmonary artery catheter and/or TEE in these patients in the present study. Remarkably, according to the results of the present survey, RVD and RHF are most frequently treated with PDE-III inhibitors and—if
necessary to maintain adequate blood pressure—without noradrenaline. This is in clear contrast to the results presented by Kastrup and coworkers reporting that right heart failure in German cardiac surgical ICUs is predominantly treated with adrenaline. Addressing the complete perioperative period, our survey adds new information on preoperative diagnostics, that is, the use of oral drugs for pulmonary vasodilatation such as sildenafil or endothelin, antagonists that may be taken into account in future revisions of this guideline.20

Limitations

Several limitations apply to the present study:

1. The methodology of a postal survey, the descriptive analysis, and the fact that nearly 75% of the answers on preoperative diagnostics were estimated and not based on prospectively sampled data must of course be recognized as relevant limitations. In any case, even if the absolute numbers may be slightly different from the numbers perceived by the respondents of this survey, the main results, that is, that no information on right ventricular function and/or PAP has been collected on a relevant number of cardiac surgical patients, are still most likely correct.

2. Regarding a lack of a generally accepted definition of RVD during the survey period, any statement about the incidence of preoperative RVD may also be regarded as relatively “weak.” However, the fact that almost 80% of centers cannot give precise data on the prevalence of RVD/RVF is clearly supportive that these comorbidities are grossly underdiagnosed in patients undergoing cardiac surgery in Germany. Interestingly, in other countries (i.e., the United States), routine data on the prevalence of RVD/RVF are also only recorded in closed registries such as the STS (Society of Thoracic Surgeons) database and are not publicly available, suggesting that this lack of knowledge on the prevalence of pre- and perioperative RVD in cardiac surgical patients may not be restricted to Germany.

Keeping these limitations in mind, the results of the present survey provide evidence that in a relevant proportion of patients undergoing cardiac surgery in Germany, no preoperative data on right ventricular function and/or pulmonary arterial pressure are available. Additionally, most centers do not have precise data on the incidence of postoperative right heart dysfunction and/or PAH. This may lead to underestimation of perioperative risk and inappropriate management of this high-risk population, despite a high level of clinical awareness for these comorbidities and willingness to adequately react therapeutically.

In conclusion, right heart dysfunction, right heart failure, and PAH appear to represent inadequately diagnosed clinical problems in German cardiac surgery, although the importance of these problems is well acknowledged and accepted. There is an urgent need for prospective studies analyzing the magnitude of this problem and the consequences on outcome as well as precise recommendations on preoperative diagnostics in patients scheduled for cardiac surgery.
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