Arthur Michael (1853 – 1942): The Michael Addition Reaction

Article by D. E. Lewis and R. K. Dieringer
Dear Readers,

Sadly, summer holidays are already over for me. However, I have to confess that – although the weather is not so good, as one should expect in Scotland where the summer can easily last no longer than one or two days – I am really enjoying the very mild and sometimes even chilly temperatures, while most of the southern and central Europe is currently grilling and sweating in an infernal heatwave. No al fresco dinners and no sun tan here, that’s for sure, but at least there is no risk of melting alive! Only one thing is really hot right now: it’s this new SYNFORM issue! Actually, it’s red hot because it is opened by a brand new editorial feature, authored by Professor David Lewis (University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire, USA): “Name Reaction Biography” (NRB), which is going to be published with a quarterly frequency. The first NRB article – co-authored by Rachael K. Dieringer – celebrates the 130th anniversary of the Michael reaction with an entertaining and insightful biography of Arthur Michael, a giant of organic chemistry and a true trailblazer. We really hope you will enjoy this and the next NRB articles!

The September issue continues with a contribution describing the progress towards the synthesis of archazolid recently reported by Gregory O’Neil (USA) and is followed by the automated oligosaccharide synthesis technology developed by Peter H. Seeberger (Germany). The third article covers the monumental work on the collective synthesis of indole alkaloids recently published by Yong Qin (P. R. of China), and the issue is completed by a Young Career Focus interview with Alexander J. Grenning (USA).

Enjoy your reading!
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Arthur Michael (1853–1942): The Michael Addition Reaction

The Michael reaction is now 130 years old,¹ and its use has continued unabated since.² As is so often the case, the chemist behind the reaction is not nearly as well known to chemists as the reaction itself. Despite several useful biographies of Michael,³ most organic chemists have little knowledge of his far-reaching work, or of the razor-sharp intellect that he used to great effect in criticizing the science of his contemporaries.

Michael was born in Buffalo, New York, and attended classical school there, where he received private instruction in chemistry. His father, a well-off real-estate investor, encouraged his son’s early love for chemistry, setting up a home laboratory for him and allowing him to conduct his own experiments there. However, toward the end of his teens he suffered a serious illness (brain fever, possibly scarlet fever), which eliminated his chances of entering Harvard College but allowed him to dabble in art and literature before returning to chemistry.

In 1871, he was admitted to Berlin University, where he studied in August Wilhelm von Hofmann’s laboratory – despite not having the required chemistry background. After a year in Hofmann’s laboratory, Michael continued his studies under Robert Bunsen at Heidelberg, where he strengthened his practical training. During this year Michael became the first to synthesize a natural glucoside, helicin.⁴ The method he used would become the standard synthetic route for this class of natural products.

Two years later, he rejoined Hofmann at Berlin and continued his work there from 1875 to 1878. In 1879, Michael conducted research in Paris with Charles-Adolphe Wurtz, as well as in St. Petersburg with Mendeleev.

Michael returned to the United States in 1880, with considerable experience, but no formal degree. Even so, just has he had first been appointed to Hofmann’s group without having satisfied all the requirements, he was appointed as an assistant in the chemical laboratory at Tufts College for a year before being appointed Professor of Chemistry there. He was awarded two honorary degrees from Tufts: the A. M. in 1882, and the Ph.D. in 1890.

In 1889, Michael married his student, Helen Cecilia DeSilver Abbott (1857–1904), an organic chemist herself, and one of the few women in the field. In addition, she was fully Michael’s intellectual equal. After their marriage, the couple spent 18 months traveling around the world. Michael was an enthusiastic mountaineer, and climbed several alpine peaks, including the Matterhorn. After their return to the United States, Michael accepted the position of Head of the Chemical Laboratory at Clark University in Worcester, Massachusetts – a position he had originally declined. After just one year at Clark, Michael moved to the Isle of Wight, where he set up a private laboratory. He worked in this laboratory for three years. He resumed his professorship at Tufts in 1894, and held this position until his retirement in 1907. Following his retirement, Michael set up a new private laboratory near Boston. In 1912, he was appointed Professor, without lecturing duties, at Harvard University. He retired from this position in 1936.

In 1887 Michael published the first of four papers with the title “Ueber die Addition von Natriumacetessig- und Natriummalonsaüreäthern zu den Aethern ungesättiger Säuren.” In this first report of what is now his eponymous reaction, Michael reported the addition of diethyl sodiomalonate and...
ethyl sodioacetatoacetate to ethyl cinnamate. Over the course of the next seven years, Michael and his students extended the reaction to a wide range of conjugated esters, including acetylenic esters.\textsuperscript{1d}

The power of this relatively simple reaction has been demonstrated repeatedly since its discovery. In 1935, Rapson and Robinson demonstrated an extremely useful tandem Michael reaction, now known as the Robinson annulation (or annelation).\textsuperscript{5}

More recently, research on the Michael reaction has been focused on controlling stereochemistry in both the relative and the absolute sense,\textsuperscript{2g–i} especially using chiral catalysts. The use of a chiral organocatalyst is nicely illustrated by Jørgensen’s synthesis of (S)-warfarin using a C\textsubscript{2}-pseudosymmetric chiral diamino acid, where the carboxy group is attached to the ring as either an \textit{r} or an \textit{s} pseudocenter of chirality.\textsuperscript{6}

Although he is best remembered for the Michael addition reaction and its many derivatives, Michael’s primary focus was actually the role of theory in organic chemistry. Working just after the great advances in organic structure and stereochemistry, he became a pioneer in physical chemistry and physical organic chemistry. As such, many of his most important contributions were as a critic of others’ scientific work. He was highly respected as a very intuitive critic, if often excessively blunt in his criticism. His criticisms were usually so cogent that he was hard for others to ignore. When Kolbe, Lossen, Claus, and Fittig all raised questions about the first version of van’t Hoff’s theory, their critiques were not taken completely seriously, especially following Kolbe’s infamous diatribe.\textsuperscript{7}

Michael often took the persona of an outsider arguing with the mainstream ideas. He was never afraid to take a stance at odds with the rest of the chemical community. He had great confidence in his work and had no problem disagreeing with others. He helped to broaden the field of structural theory through his criticisms and research.

Michael’s Harvard colleagues described him as “a powerful theorist, a keen critic, and a consummate experimentalist.” His criticisms of van’t Hoff’s stereochemical theory, for example, were taken seriously – such an extensive, multipart critique could not be so easily dismissed.\textsuperscript{8} Michael’s cogent arguments helped establish stereochemistry in organic chemistry. He also saw weakness in Wislicenus’s theory that additions to unsaturated substances necessarily precede cis.

Michael was driven by his general dislike of the mechanical aspects of stereochemical theory.

He lost his wife, Helen, to the influenza virus in 1904. He then retired from Tufts but continued to work in a private laboratory in Boston. In 1912 he was asked back to Harvard where he supervised graduate work until 1936 when he became emeritus. His love for research lasted until the day he died and with age he grew to enjoy gardening, a love for plants was something he and Helen both shared, as well as golf. He passed away at almost ninety years old in 1942 while wintering in Florida.

Throughout his life, Michael was an avid art collector; he was especially fond of ancient and medieval art. His collection grew over the course of the years to contain thousands of rare art pieces and objects. The friendship he developed with Ernest Fenollosa, an American art historian who specialized in Japanese art, led him to become fascinated with oriental art.
Much of his collection was objects and pieces from the Orient. Upon his passing he donated his large collection of American silver to the Smithsonian Institution and the remainder of his collection to the Albright Art Gallery located in his hometown of Buffalo. Even though he loved children he never had any of his own and therefore gave the remainder of his sizable estate to Buffalo Institutes that cared for crippled, blind, and needy children.

He also had a great love for hiking and climbing mountains, taking a majority of his vacations among mountain ranges like the Alps, the Canadian Rockies, and the Selkirks. He was among the first group to ascend both Mount Lefroy and Mount Victoria between Alberta and British Columbia’s borders. He was alert, artistic, and brilliant person but he was also intensely private. Michael resented publicity of any kind, even denying awards due to the publicity they would draw. It can be believed that he never received the credit he was due but many that knew him believed he wouldn’t have appreciated the attention. His intense dedication to organic chemistry was not done for accolades but for his love of organic chemistry. His career spanned the length of almost seven decades; he first became published at the age of twenty-three and had a great number of publications throughout his life, with his final publication appearing a few months after his death.
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Synthesis of the C$_1$–C$_{23}$ Fragment of the Archazolids and Evidence for V-ATPase but not COX Inhibitory Activity

*Synlett 2017, 28, 1101–1105*

V-ATPase is an important anti-cancer target and archazolid natural products represent a promising starting point for the development of V-ATPase-based cancer therapies. Over the last few years, the intensity of cancer research involving archazolid natural products has increased, as evidenced by several recent studies demonstrating promising activity for these compounds against particularly lethal and aggressive types of cancer. This follows an increasing appreciation for the role of the vacuolar-type ATPase (V-ATPase) in cancer.

However, archazolids remain scarcely available, which has hindered their development as V-ATPase inhibitor therapeutics. “Only small quantities of archazolids are available from the natural source, and yet the vast majority of biological studies have utilized extracted and laboriously purified material. This argues for continuing efforts toward a more ‘ideal’ total synthesis,” said Professor Gregory O’Neil from Western Washington University (USA). In a recent *Synlett* article, Professor O’Neil’s group described what promises to be a highly efficient synthesis of the archazolids based on a convergent Stille coupling to construct the substituted Z,Z,E-conjugated triene unique to this class of compounds.

Professor O’Neil noted: “It is interesting that this reaction was only successful when using the ‘eastern’ fragment as the stannane and the ‘western’ fragment as the iodide (which we rationalize as being due to steric interactions during the oxidative addition step). Since tin and iodide groups are inter-changeable, the lesson here seems to be that it is prudent to investigate both coupling scenarios when attempting and/or encountering difficulties with complex Stille couplings.”

The group’s advanced archazolid intermediate displayed dose-dependent inhibition of the V-ATPase. “We were quite excited by the V-ATPase assay results obtained for our advanced fragment 1, despite its modest activity (~100 times less potent than the natural product), given the overall structural simplifications relative to the natural product,” remarked Professor O’Neil. He continued: “The results make a further case for the C$_7$–C$_{15}$ region of the natural products being pharmacophorically relevant, and gives us a starting point for future SAR studies. It is also planned to scale up our synthesis of 1 to make this compound more widely available as a new tool for V-ATPase/cancer research.”

Despite a computational suggestion that the compound would inhibit the cyclooxygenase (COX) enzyme, no COX inhibitory activity was measured. “There are a number of interesting questions that have been raised by our COX inhibition results in light of the previous theoretical study,” concluded Professor O’Neil. “For instance, does the inactivity of our compound arise from it being too large and/or because it lacks a carboxylic acid terminus? Are other factors such as binding entropies at play? These are all questions that we hope to address through synthesis.”

![Figure 1](image_url)

*Figure 1* Structure of the archazolid natural products. Glycosylation at either the C$_7$ or C$_{15}$ hydroxyl significantly reduces their V-ATPase inhibitory activity, indicating that these two groups form important interactions with the enzyme. Interestingly, these same hydroxyls are connected by a Z,Z,E-conjugated triene unique to the archazolids.
Scheme 1 Synthesis of the ‘eastern’ and ‘western’ domains of the archazolids

Scheme 2 Completion of the C₁–C₂₃ fragment (1) of the archazolids by a complex Stille coupling. First attempts using the ‘western’ stannane and ‘eastern’ iodide all failed. Switching the sense of organometallic/halide in these reactions led to success with the reaction.
Figure 2 *Arabidopsis* V-ATPase assay results for synthetic archazolid fragments 1, 12, and 13 along with a known V-ATPase inhibitor concanamycin A. Whereas compounds 12 and 13 were inactive, tetrol 1 displayed dose-dependent growth inhibition of etiolated *Arabidopsis* as an indicator for inhibition of V-ATPase. The results provide further evidence for the importance of properly linked C7- and C15-hydroxyls for archazolid/V-ATPase binding.

Figure 3 Predicted and measured COX inhibitory activity of hypothetical archazolid fragment ArcA-1, archazolid A (ArcA), and synthesized archazolid fragment 1. The inactivity of 1 suggests certain structural requirements for COX binding (i.e. carboxylic acid or macrocycle).
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Automated Glycan Assembly Using the Glyconeer 2.1 Synthesizer

PNAS 2017, 114, E3385–E3389

According to Professor Peter H. Seeberger from the Max Planck Institute of Colloids and Interfaces (Potsdam, Germany), synthesizing oligosaccharides has always been one of the great challenges of organic synthesis. "Manipulating monosaccharide protecting groups and designing a strategy for the preparation of oligosaccharides was as much a form of art as it was science," said Professor Seeberger. "Access to these very important molecules was limited because the preparation of oligosaccharides was very challenging and time-consuming, as it requires many purification steps and depends on many variables, which are not trivial to control."

The project of automating the synthesis of oligosaccharides was pioneered by Seeberger and co-workers in 1998, when he started as an assistant professor at MIT (USA). "The idea behind automating the synthesis of oligosaccharides came from the immense success of automated DNA and peptide synthesis," explained Professor Seeberger. "Creating an accessible and reproducible process, while minimizing the number of purification steps, was the goal of the development of the Glyconeer." Professor Seeberger revealed that during the construction of several oligosaccharide synthesizer prototypes – over almost two decades – it became clear that a new synthesizer would not only facilitate the access to oligosaccharides but would also provide online feedback to achieve reproducible results.

Professor Seeberger emphasized: "Many new functionalities have been integrated so that the Glyconeer will meet these requirements. The system was designed to have multiple building block vials to ensure the stability of monosaccharides during synthesis and to allow the synthesis of large oligosaccharides. Multiple solvent and reagent containers were introduced to minimize manipulation of the synthesizer during and in between runs. Furthermore, cooled compartments were integrated in order to guarantee stability of the activating reagents throughout the entire synthesis."

Professor Seeberger said: "In collaboration with engineers and software experts, we designed software that has the capability of controlling all reaction parameters in order to adjust conditions that may vary for each glycosylation. In addition, we added a feedback process that relies on an online detector during the synthesis and set of analytical steps used after synthesis to guarantee the purity of the oligosaccharide."

"We put the Glyconeer to the test by synthesizing oligosaccharides of different complexity to prove that the platform is reliable, reproducible and also flexible," said Professor Seeberger, who concluded: "We are certain that this benchtop synthesizer can find a wide audience in the field of carbohydrate research since it is a straightforward way to synthesize oligosaccharides."
I) Manual Oligosaccharide Synthesis

The main differences between I) manual oligosaccharide synthesis, which requires multiple purification steps and is time-consuming, and II) automated oligosaccharide synthesis, which requires only setting up the synthesizer and applying two modules in an iterative manner.

II) Automated Oligosaccharide Synthesis

Figure 1 The main differences between I) manual oligosaccharide synthesis, which requires multiple purification steps and is time-consuming, and II) automated oligosaccharide synthesis, which requires only setting up the synthesizer and applying two modules in an iterative manner.
Figure 2 A complete cycle for the elongation and deprotection performed on the synthesizer: 

A) The system in standby. 

B) Solvent is added to dissolve the monosaccharide building blocks as the solid support in the reaction vessel (RV) is being washed. 

C) RV is cooled and the dissolved building blocks (BB) are delivered to the RV. 

D) Activator is added to the RV to initiate the reaction. 

E) Glycosylation solution is discarded. 

F) Deprotection solution is added to RV and washed out after incubation through UV detector to monitor the reaction progress.
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Collective Synthesis of Indole Alkaloids Enabled by Photoredox-Initiated Radical Cascade Reactions

Chem 2017, 2, 803–816

Indole alkaloids represent a large and unique family of natural products that are characterized by complex and diverse structures, as well as an important range of bioactivities. Representative molecules such as vinblastine and reserpine have been used as clinical drugs for decades. These features, combined, have rendered the total synthesis of indole alkaloids a topic of great concern for synthetic chemists, the research of which could be traced back to the historic synthesis of reserpine and strychnine in the 1950s by Woodward and co-workers (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 2023 and references therein).

The group of Professor Yong Qin at Sichuan University (P. R. of China) has a long-standing interest in the synthesis of com-

Scheme 1
plex monoterpenoid indole alkaloids. Previously, the group developed a cyclopropanation strategy (Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 447), which has proven to be versatile in the synthesis of several intriguing indole alkaloids, such as communinesin F, miniensine, vincorine, lundurine A and fruticosine. Professor Qin explained: "Biogenetically, all monoterpenoid indole alkaloids are derived from tryptamine and secologanin by strictosidine synthase (STR1) and strictosidine glucosidase (SGD) catalyzed condensation (Scheme 1, A). Based on the carbon skeletons of the monoterpenoid units, these alkaloid natural products could be classified into three major types, namely, corynanthe-, aspidosperma-, and iboga-type alkaloids, which were further expanded to over 15 sub-types and more than 3000 known members." He continued: "In the course of our research, we were ambitious enough to pursue a general strategy that would enable the synthesis of all sub-types of indole alkaloids. Obviously, this would require a breakthrough in developing simple, green, catalytic and asymmetric synthetic methods."

By carefully analyzing the structure of a corynanthe-type core, a common biogenetic precursor of indole alkaloid sub-types, the group envisioned that key disconnections at the N–C2, C3–C14 and C15–C20 bonds would lead back to compound 1 (Scheme 1, B). In a classic reaction pathway, the N atom in sulfonamide 1 would add to the α-position of the enamine double bond due to its electrophilicity, forming the tetrahydroquinoline intermediate 2. "We envisioned that an electron-deficient nitrogen-centered radical (Ts-I; Scheme 1, B) would enable the formation of a C–N bond between the electron-donating aniline nitrogen and the β-carbon of the enamine," said Professor Qin. He continued: "Although this was a big challenge, such a radical could be directly generated via deprotonation and oxidation of a sulfonamide N–H bond, which is superior to the classic homolysis of N–X functionalities. Accordingly, three types of radical cascade reactions (intra-/intra-molecular, intra-/inter-molecular, and intra-/inter-/intra-molecular) were also designed to access the aspidosperma-, tetrahydrocarbolinone-, and corynanthe-type skeletons (3, 4, and 5, respectively; Scheme 1, B) starting from sulfonamide 1."

According to their design, the group first examined the generation of a nitrogen-centered radical by direct cleavage of the N–H bond from Ts-protected aniline. Professor Qin said: " Gratifyingly, after extensive experimentation, two PhD students (Xiaobei Wang and Wenfang Qin) detected the formation of an indoline ring when subjecting 1 to the photocatalytic conditions [Ir(dtbppy)(ppy)2PF6 (0.5 mol%), KHCO3 (5 equiv), THF, blue LEDs]. Inspired by this preliminary result,
three types of radical cascade reactions were carried out as illustrated in Scheme 2.” Treating substrate 6 with the optimized reaction conditions led to the formation of aspidosperma derivatives 7. Reaction of 8 in the presence of an external radical acceptor (9 or 10) yielded tetrahydrocarbolinone derivatives 11, and an intra-/inter-/intra-molecular cascade occurred using 12 and 10 as substrates to afford tetracyclic corynanthe-type intermediates 13. All these radical cascade reactions proceeded efficiently to construct multiple C–N and C–C bonds and rapidly establish molecular complexity in moderate to excellent yields. Notably, excellent control of diastereoselectivity of the newly formed stereogenic centers was observed in most of the examples.

Professor Qin remarked: “Having the radical cascade methodology established, we next explored its application to the total synthesis of indole alkaloids (Scheme 3). By careful and precise design of the structure of substrates, our group succeeded in synthesizing 33 indole alkaloids belonging to four sub-types (eburnamine-vincamine, yohimbine, corynanthe, and heteroyohimbine) in 6–14 steps using the radical cascade as a key reaction.” He continued: “Of note, all these key radical cascades were performed on decagram scales. While excellent stereoselectivity was observed at positions C2 and C3 (or C21 in aspidosperma-type intermediates 15a/b), generation of stereochemical divergence at distal sites such as C15 and C20 in the products provided us good opportunities to synthesize corresponding naturally differentiated indole alkaloids.”
“To summarize, a target-oriented synthesis program which aimed at a large collection of natural products has prompted us to develop an innovative synthetic method,” said Professor Qin. “This photoredox-induced protocol provided mild and direct generation of a nitrogen-centered radical via deprotonation and oxidation of a sulfonamide N–H bond. Subsequent cascade reactions not only reversed the conventional reactivity between two electron-donating amine and enamine groups, but also allowed efficient access to libraries of chiral and multiple-ring-fused tetrahydrocarbolinones.” Professor Qin concluded: “Collective synthesis of 33 indole alkaloids has thus been accomplished by employing this simple, mild, and scalable method as a key step. This powerful strategy is expected to facilitate the synthesis of more types of indole alkaloids and associated analogues.”
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Yong Qin received his B.Sc. degree from Yunnan University (P. R. of China) in 1989 and his Ph.D. from the Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Beijing, P. R. of China) in 1995. From June 1995 to August 1996, he worked at the Chengdu Institute of Organic Chemistry (P. R. of China) as an Assistant and Associate Professor. From August 1996 to August 2000, he worked with Professor Martin E. Kuehne as a postdoctoral associate at the University of Vermont (USA). Then, he moved to San Diego (USA) and worked as a research scientist at Triad Therapeutics Inc. In 2003, he joined the faculty of West China School of Pharmacy, Sichuan University (P. R. of China) as a full Professor. His research has been focused on the total synthesis of bioactive natural products and medicinal chemistry.
Young Career Focus: Professor Alexander J. Grenning (University of Florida, USA)

**Biographical Sketch**

**Alex Grenning** is from the northern suburbs of Chicago (USA). He received his B.A. degree from Lake Forest College (USA) under the research supervision of Dr. William B. Martin in 2007. He received his Ph.D. in Chemistry in 2012 from the University of Kansas (USA) under the guidance of Professor Jon A. Tunge where he developed various decarboxylative and deacylative alkylation reactions. In 2012, he moved to Boston University (USA) to work with Professor John A. Porco on complex molecule synthesis, most notably the development of new routes to polyrenylated acylphloroglucinol (PPAP) natural products and analogues. Alex’s independent career began in the summer of 2014 when he joined the faculty in the Department of Chemistry at the University of Florida in Gainesville (USA).

His broad research goal is to simplify complex molecule synthesis through the invention of novel chemical methodologies and strategies and to discover natural product based chemotherapeutics.

Other interests include rock n’ roll, guitars, record collecting, microbrews, billiards, long boarding, biking, and of course, entertaining his little girls (~3 years old and ~1 year old as of June 2017).

- Visit the Grenning Lab homepage: www.grenninglab.com
- Follow Alex on Twitter: OChem_Grenning

---

**INTERVIEW**

**SYNFORM** What is the focus of your current research activity?

**Prof. A. J. Grenning** My group’s overall research goal is to simplify access to complex terpenoid natural products and analogues. To do this, we are developing methods to multifunctionalize Knoevenagel adducts.

**SYNFORM** When did you get interested in synthesis?

**Prof. A. J. Grenning** It was while participating in the “Molecule of the Semester” meetings held jointly between the Tunte, Hanson, Aube, and other labs at The University of Kansas. I believe these meetings have their origin from Professor Trost’s group: Student teams devise total synthesis plans, present their ideas, and then get eaten alive by the faculty! It was challenging, but I learned a lot and it got me hooked on synthesis!

**SYNFORM** What do you think about the modern role and prospects of organic synthesis?

**Prof. A. J. Grenning** De novo synthesis is often the only method to get a hold of certain bioactive natural products and their analogues. We must continue to become more proficient at complex molecule synthesis.

**SYNFORM** Your research group is active in the area of synthetic methodology and bioorganic/medicinal chemistry. Could you tell us more about your research and its aims?

**Prof. A. J. Grenning** We are devising a simple and tunable platform for complex terpenoid natural product and analogue synthesis. By the nature of our goal, it must be achieved from inexpensive and abundant starting materials using simple
chemical transformations. Through our efforts, we will establish a synthetic platform for natural product and analogue synthesis that is user-friendly and therefore, hopefully, adopted by those interested in drug discovery.

**SYNFORM** What is your most important scientific achievement to date and why?

**Prof. A. J. Grenning** Although it can feel like “drops in the bucket,” we are slowly but steadily making progress toward a “unified terpenoid synthesis strategy.” I would recommend the following two papers as introductions to our research program:

Coming soon

- Literature Coverage
  Asymmetric Silver-Catalyzed Intermolecular Bromotrifluoromethylation of Alkenes with a New Trifluoromethylation Reagent

- Literature Coverage
  Unique Physicochemical and Catalytic Properties Dictated by the B₃NO₂ Ring System

- Literature Coverage
  Nickel-Catalyzed C-Alkylation of Nitroalkanes with Unactivated Alkyl Iodides

Further highlights

Synthesis  Review: Synthesis of α-Amino Esters via α-Nitro or α-Oxime Esters
(by Y. L. Janin and co-workers)

Synlett  Account: New Reaction Mode in Carboxylate-Directed C–H Functionalizations: Carboxylates as Deciduous Directing Groups
(by A. Biafora, L. J. Gooßen)

Synfacts  Synfact of the Month in category “Metal-Catalyzed Asymmetric Synthesis and Stereoselective Reactions”: Asymmetric CuH-Catalyzed Coupling Reaction to Access Chiral Ketones