The Fleur-de-PAP Flap for Bilateral Breast Reconstruction

Katharine Saussy, BA1 Mark W. Stalder, MD1 Stephen J. Delatte, MD2 Robert J. Allen, MD1
Hugo St. Hilaire, DDS, MD1,2

1 Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, Louisiana
2 Breast Cancer Specialists of Louisiana, Lafayette, Louisiana

Address for correspondence Hugo St. Hilaire, DDS, MD, Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, 1542 Tulane Avenue, Room 758A, New Orleans, LA 70112 (e-mail: hugost1@gmail.com).

Abstract

The authors present the case of a 42-year-old female patient who underwent autologous breast reconstruction using a new perforator flap designed from the medial and posterior thigh. Due to a prior abdominoplasty, the patient’s abdominal donor site was unavailable to reconstruct her large, ptotic breasts, so an alternate approach was undertaken. The fleur-de-PAP flap is based on the same perforators employed by the standard profunda artery perforator (PAP) flap, but simultaneously incorporates tissue from both the transverse and vertical PAP flap skin paddles. This design maximizes flap volume at a single donor site and provides a reasonable secondary option for autologous reconstruction in large-breasted patients in whom the abdomen is not available.

Perforator flaps have become accepted as an excellent option for autologous breast reconstruction. The deep inferior epigastric perforator ( DIEP) flap is the first-line option for perforator-based flaps in most patients due to the available tissue volume, skin quality, and secondary aesthetic benefits.1,2 However, variability in patient anatomy and body habitus create the need for reliable secondary flap options.

While several perforator flaps have been used successfully for patients in whom the abdominal donor site is not available, the profunda artery perforator (PAP) flap has emerged in recent years as a popular choice due to its ease of dissection and favorable donor-site aesthetics.3–5 The ability to harvest the PAP flap in the lithotomy position makes it amendable to a simultaneous two-team approach—increasing operative efficiency—and the perforasome anatomy permits variation in skin paddle design—with either transverse PAP (tPAP) or vertical PAP (vPAP) flaps available—allowing a “designer” approach based on each patient’s unique anatomy.6

We present the first described case of an immediate bilateral autologous breast reconstruction using the “fleur-de-PAP” (FDP) flap design—incorporating tissue from both the tPAP and vPAP skin paddles on a single vascular pedicle.

Clinical Report

A 42-year-old female patient was referred to our clinic with a diagnosis of unilateral multifocal ductal carcinoma in situ, a plan for bilateral mastectomies, and a desire for autologous reconstruction. On examination the patient demonstrated large, ptotic breasts, and a lower abdominal scar from a previous abdominoplasty (Fig. 1). Computed tomography angiography was obtained to evaluate perforator anatomy for free tissue transfer from the patient’s thighs. To account for the volume of tissue required and the bilateral nature of the reconstruction, flaps were designed that incorporated both the tPAP and vPAP territories in a “fleur-de-lis” pattern.

With the patient in the lithotomy position, two perforators were marked out along the vertical axis of each flap, and the transverse limbs were extended from the centrally positioned vertical skin paddle to complete the markings (Fig. 2). The dissection began using an anterior approach, and was carried through the subcutaneous tissue and muscular fascia of the gracilis and adductor magnus muscles until the perforators were visualized. The vascular pedicle was divided at its origin to obtain sufficient length and caliber, and the harvest of the...
and had bilateral nipple reconstruction. There were no complications, and the patient is pleased with the reconstructive results (Fig. 1).

Discussion

As screening techniques for breast cancer have improved, the mastectomy rate has increased accordingly over recent years. Concurrently, outcomes in autologous breast reconstruction have improved dramatically over that time with regard to both aesthetics and morbidity. The number of reliable perforator flap options available for breast reconstruction has also increased over this period of time, allowing for greater surgical flexibility and creativity.

The DIEP flap remains the perforator flap of choice in most patients. When the abdominal donor site is not available, or contains insufficient donor tissue for an adequate reconstruction, alternative approaches must be considered. Often this correlates to the use of stacked flaps, which can be an excellent option to achieve adequate volume and projection in the reconstructed breast, while maintaining the benefits of vascularized tissue. Bipedicled and stacked DIEP flaps are frequently used to good effect for unilateral reconstructions. However, bilateral stacked perforator flap reconstructions require the use of a second donor site, typically from the gluteal or thigh areas, and are challenging cases for even the most experienced microsurgeons.

The PAP flap has emerged as a popular option for stacked unilateral cases and in conjunction with the DIEP for stacked bilateral reconstructions. The PAP offers improved donor-site aesthetics and pedicle length, and an easier dissection relative to other secondary options such as the superior gluteal artery perforator or inferior gluteal artery perforator flaps. For the patient presented here undergoing bilateral autologous reconstruction of large breasts, stacked DIEP/PAP flaps would have been the preferred technique, but with the abdominal donor site unavailable the fleur-de-lis modification of the PAP flap offered an acceptable secondary option.

The FDP flap incorporates tissue from both the tPAP and vPAP skin paddles into a single flap—similar to the design of an extended medial thigh lift—maximizing volume, and employing a pseudostacked flap design on a single vascular pedicle. The design is comparable in many ways to the fleur-de-lis modification of the transverse upper gracilis (TUG), which is also considered a reasonable secondary option for autologous breast reconstruction. However, the TUG flap relies on the vascular pedicle to the gracilis muscle, which offers considerably less length than the PAP, and necessitates sacrifice of the muscle, resulting in increased donor-site morbidity relative to the perforator-based FDP.

Conclusion

The FDP flap, incorporating both the tPAP and vPAP flap skin paddles into a single flap, provides yet another perforator-based alternative for autologous breast reconstruction. This flap offers satisfactory tissue volume for moderate-sized breasts, and a reliable vascular dissection in an area already familiar to most microsurgeons.
Fig. 2  Preoperative markings for the fleur-de-PAP flaps used in the bilateral breast reconstruction of our patient included two profunda artery perforators along the central axis of the vertical aspect flap, with transverse extensions of the flap, included both posteriorly and anteriorly to maximize volume (upper left). The closure of the donor site resulted in a T-shaped incision similar to an extended medial thigh lift (upper right). Each flap was raised on a single vascular pedicle, and consisted of three arms that allowed for inset in a teardrop pattern with increased projection and volume at the inferior pole once the transverse extensions were folded posteriorly to the main body of the flap (lower left and right).
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