Multicentric Hemangiopericytoma of Posterior Fossa: A Rare Case and Review of Literature
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Introduction

Hemangiopericytomas (HPCs) are rare aggressive tumors, thought to comprise 2 to 3% of all primary meningeal tumors and 0.4% of all intracranial tumors.1–5 It was first reported by Stout and Murray6 and occurs mostly in soft tissue, including brain meninges primarily. Histologically, intracranial HPC arises from malignant transformation of Zimmerman’s pericytes, supportive cells that help form the walls of meningotheial capillaries.1,2,6 HPC is considered a World Health Organization (WHO) grade II neoplasm, with anaplastic variants classified as grade III.4 Because HPC closely mimics meningioma in clinical and radiographic presentation, histologic confirmation is the only definitive means of distinguishing the diagnosis.

Even after aggressive initial management combining gross total resection (GTR) with adjuvant external beam radiotherapy (EBRT), recurrence rates have been as high as 30%.3 These tumors have high propensity toward progression making optimal primary treatment of HPC a difficult endeavor.

Case Report

A 38-year-old man presented in our neurosurgery clinic in May 2013 with complaints of holocranial headache associated with vomiting and blurring of vision for a period of 8 days. On examination cerebellar signs were present. Fundoscopy showed evidence of grade III papilledema. Computed tomography (CT) of the brain demonstrated a well-defined extra-axial hyperdense intensely enhancing lesion in right cerebellopontine angle with supra- and infratentorial extension with partial filling defect in right transverse and sigmoid sinus s/o meningioma. Ventriculoperitoneal shunt was inserted. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain showed a well-demarcated, solid mass in the right cerebellum of approximately 4.5 × 3.1 × 3.3 cm around the transverse and sigmoid sinus area. The mass demonstrated a heterogeneous low signal on T2-weighted images and an iso-low signal on T1-weighted images with signal voids (►Fig. 1a, b). The mass was strongly enhancing. The patient underwent right retrosigmoid suboccipital craniotomy with Simpson’s grade IV excision of the lesion. The
tumor was highly vascular and a part of tumor invading sigmoid sinus was left behind. Postoperative course was uneventful. Specimen examination revealed meningeal hemangiopericytoma (MHPC) WHO grade II. He received whole brain radiotherapy to a dose of 50 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks. Follow-up MRI of the brain at 6 months showed no evidence of recurrence or residual lesion (►Fig. 2).

Three years later in 2016, the patient came back with headache and cerebellar ataxia. MRI of the brain showed a well-demarcated, solid mass in the left cerebellum of approximately 3.9 × 3.8 × 4.1 cm around the transverse and sigmoid sinus area. The mass demonstrated a heterogeneous low signal on T2-weighted images and an iso-low signal on T1-weighted images with signal voids. T1-weighted postgadolinium contrast images showed intense homogenous enhancement (►Fig. 3a, b). Left retrosigmoid approach was taken. Tumor was reddish brown (►Fig. 4) highly vascular with well-defined capsule. Near-total excision was achieved. He was discharged on 10th postoperative day. Microscopically the tumor comprised numerous stag horn-shaped blood vessels lined by plump endothelial cells interspersed with spindle-shaped cells having round to oval nuclei. Immunohistochemically the tumor was positive for CD34, whereas no immunoreactivity was seen for epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) or progestogen receptor. He received another course of cranial irradiation with a lower dose (40 Gy, conventional fractionation). The treatment was well tolerated. Postoperative MRI performed 2 weeks after surgery showed no evidence of residual lesion (►Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

Intracranial HPCs either in primary or recurrent setting are rarely reported in the literature.\(^7\) Primary intracranial HPC has mostly been found in supratentorial regions and less frequently in the infratentorium.\(^7\) Reviewing other literatures, we found a study reporting primary HPC located in the posterior fossa and cerebellopontine angle as 12% of intracranial HPCs (range: 5–21%).\(^8,9\) Multicentric primary HPC in the posterior fossa that invades the transverse and sigmoid sinuses, such as in this case report, has rarely been reported in neurosurgical literature.

In accordance with the published literature on intracranial HPC, recurrence rates have been as high as 30%.\(^1,4\) Even in cases of apparent GTR, microscopic pockets of malignant tumor cells likely remain present, inevitably leading to recurrence. The addition of radiation to surgery in the treatment of HPC may stunt tumor growth by altering the biology of these remaining tumor cells, leaving them less likely to divide and eventually play an important role in the disease process.
role in the prevention of recurrence, and causing tumor progression.

Descriptions of the MRI features of intracranial HPC are limited. Intracranial HPCs are heterogeneous, predominantly isointense on T1- and T2-weighted magnetic resonance images, show prominent internal vessel voids, and enhance heterogeneously on contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance images.4

Macroscopically, HPCs exhibit high vascularity, with intrinsic appearance of gray color in the visible vascular spaces. Microscopically, a prominent “staghorn” vascular channel around a reticulin network is a common finding with the tumor cells round to spindle shaped. These findings differ from those of meningiomas, namely nuclear pseudo-inclusions and psammoma bodies.4,9

The most important immunohistochemical (IHC) markers thought to be diagnostically useful are CD34, Bcl-2, and CD99. CD34 is thought to be specific for HPCs.10 Bcl-2 and CD99 have also been reported as very sensitive markers for HPCs.10 Most HPCs strongly coexpressed CD99 and Bcl-2, with this pattern being highly specific. In accordance with Shoji et al,11 most MHPCs also strongly express p21. A fusion gene between NAB2 and STAT6 has been recently identified as potential marker specific for HPCs.12 STAT6 is a highly sensitive marker for the diagnosing HPCs. Together considered as IHC STAT6, CD34, CD99, and Bcl-2 findings could provide more supportive diagnostic information.

Loss of 1p, NF2, 4.1B, and 14q by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) has been frequently identified in
malignancies, whereas no consistent chromosomal losses or gains have been seen in HPCs.

Considering the aggressive nature of HPCs, GTR of the tumor is the optimal treating method.\textsuperscript{3,4} It has been demonstrated that local treatment is strongly related with the final prognosis, but the prognosis remains dismal due to the unpredictable malignancy of HPC.\textsuperscript{13}

Though surgery is the definitive modality of treatment, radiotherapy has been used to treat surgically inaccessible or recurrent tumors and to decrease local recurrence.\textsuperscript{14} The role of postoperative radiation therapy is well defined: literature analyses demonstrated that surgery and adjuvant radiotherapy at a dose of 50 Gy or more resulted in significantly better local control than surgery alone and radiotherapy at a dose of 50 Gy or more resulted in significantly better local control than surgery alone and radiotherapy should be given even if tumor removal is complete.\textsuperscript{3,5} The metastatic rate of this tumor is 23.4%.\textsuperscript{16} The lungs are the most common metastatic site, followed by the liver, bones, adrenals, and kidneys.\textsuperscript{16,17}

Much of the published literature on HPC makes clear that recurrent and metastatic disease is a very common occurrence despite a wide array of initial treatment strategies.\textsuperscript{3,4,18}

It is prudent to maintain vigilant radiographic surveillance and staging of all patients with intracranial HPC after treatment, regardless of extent of resection or adjuvant radiation. Chemotherapy does not appear to have an established role in the primary management of these tumors.\textsuperscript{19} However, in patients with disseminated distant metastasis, palliative chemotherapy has been tried.

We have here reported a rare case of HPC that arose in the posterior fossa at two different locations and at different intervals. The unusual location of HPC as in our case often makes it difficult to diagnose via radiologic study alone. Because of relatively high tendency of intraoperative bleeding of HPCs and recurrence even after GTR, the importance of differentiation between HPCs and meningiomas cannot be overemphasized.

**Conclusion**

Although extremely rare, a surgeon should be aware of the existence of this disorder to dexterously manage the aggressive nature and high vascular tendency of HPCs.
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