Ascendobifemoral Bypass for the Treatment of a Thoracic Endograft Infection
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Introduction

The endovascular therapy became the first option for treating patients with abdominal and thoracic aortic aneurysms, aortic dissections (Stanford Type B, BeBakey III), intramural hematoma, and penetrating aortic ulcer (PAU).1,2 In comparison to the open surgical procedures, it is associated with a lower risk of intraoperative trauma, less mortality and morbidity, as well as shorter hospital stay. Despite advantages, complications after endovascular aortic repair (EVAR) and thoracic EVAR (TEVAR) require open surgical measures. Especially infections of aortic endografts with high mortality rates are a challenge.3 Endograft infections (EI) might occur in 0.2 to 5% of the patients.3,4 The constitutional symptoms are fever, malaise, thoracic and abdominal pain, weight loss, hematochezia (aortoenteric fistula), and hemoptysis (aortoesophageal or aortobronchial fistula).5,6 Perioperative stent graft seeding, hematogenous seeding, and mechanical erosion of the stent graft are the proposed mechanisms of EI.3 Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus occur as the most frequently isolated bacteria from blood cultures.1,3,5,6

The computed tomography angiography (CTA) and fluoro-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography are regarded as leading imaging techniques for diagnosis of EI. Despite follow-up protocols after endovascular procedures, there are no screening strategies to detect EI.1 The treatment of EI encloses antibiotic therapy and in situ or extra-anatomic reconstructions. Current in situ procedures include aorto-aortic, aorto-bi-iliac, or aortobifemoral bypasses and for the extra-anatomic reconstruction, axillofemoral or axillo-bifemoral bypass. In addition, extra-anatomic procedures such as ascending to descending aortic bypass and ascendobifemoral bypass can be applied. The materials for reconstruction are autologous femoral vein, cryopreserved allografts, rifampicin soaked grafts, and prosthetic grafts.3,4,6–9 In this case report, we describe the medical history of a patient with an initial treatment of an EI after TEVAR with an uncommon use of the ascending aorta (AA) as donor artery for the implantation of an ascendobifemoral bypass.

Keywords

► endograft infection
► TEVAR
► ascending aorta
► ascendobifemoral bypass
► extra-anatomic
► revascularization

Abstract

Background Endograft infections (EIs) are rare complications after endovascular procedures in the thoracic and abdominal aortas. The challenging treatment encloses antibiotic and surgical therapies.
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The endovascular therapy became the first option for treating patients with abdominal and thoracic aortic aneurysms, aortic dissections (Stanford Type B, BeBakey III), intramural hematoma, and penetrating aortic ulcer (PAU). In comparison to the open surgical procedures, it is associated with a lower risk of intraoperative trauma, less mortality and morbidity, as well as shorter hospital stay. Despite advantages, complications after endovascular aortic repair (EVAR) and thoracic EVAR (TEVAR) require open surgical measures. Especially infections of aortic endografts with high mortality rates are a challenge. Endograft infections (EIs) might occur in 0.2 to 5% of the patients. The constitutional symptoms are fever, malaise, thoracic and abdominal pain, weight loss, hematochezia (aortoenteric fistula), and hemoptysis (aortoesophageal or aortobronchial fistula). Perioperative stent graft seeding, hematogenous seeding, and mechanical erosion of the stent graft are the proposed mechanisms of EI. Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus occur as the most frequently isolated bacteria from blood cultures. The computed tomography angiography (CTA) and fluoro-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography are regarded as leading imaging techniques for diagnosis of EI. Despite follow-up protocols after endovascular procedures, there are no screening strategies to detect EI. The treatment of EI encloses antibiotic therapy and in situ or extra-anatomic reconstructions. Current in situ procedures include aorto-aortic, aorto-bi-iliac, or aortobifemoral bypasses and for the extra-anatomic reconstruction, axillofemoral or axillo-bifemoral bypass. In addition, extra-anatomic procedures such as ascending to descending aortic bypass and ascendobifemoral bypass can be applied. The materials for reconstruction are autologous femoral vein, cryopreserved allografts, rifampicin soaked grafts, and prosthetic grafts. In this case report, we describe the medical history of a patient with an initial treatment of an EI after TEVAR with an uncommon use of the ascending aorta (AA) as donor artery for the implantation of an ascendobifemoral bypass.
Case Description

A 74-year-old male patient was referred by the general practitioner to our outpatient department with intermittently fever, progressive back pain, and recently occurring hemoptysis. The laboratory values indicating an inflammation were elevated. One month ago, he was diagnosed with a ruptured PAU and subsequently treated with TEVAR. Despite a long-term oral antibiotic therapy, the clinical symptoms did not ameliorate. We hospitalized the patient and started intravenous antibiotic therapy immediately. Blood cultures revealed the presence of S. aureus. The CTA showed gas collections around the aortic stent graft what confirmed the EI (Fig. 1). The infection expanded retrograde right above the celiac trunk up to the aortic arch including the left-sided common carotid artery (CCA). By lacking effect after the antibiotic therapy, we discussed to implant an extra-anatomic ascendobifemoral bypass and performed the procedure after counseling with the patient. We intended to keep both prosthesis limbs retrosternal through the mediastinum and intra-abdominal direct below the abdominal wall. On account of the necessary sternotomy with clamping of the AA, the cooperation with cardiac surgeons was necessary. The whole surgery was divided in two stages. In the first stage, after a minimal sternotomy, the AA was prepared and clamped tangentially without the assistance of the heart lung machine. For the safety of the cerebral and brachial perfusion, a bypass (Dacron graft 14 × 7 mm, Vascutek) between the AA and the left-sided CCA and subclavian artery was performed (Fig. 2). Following this procedure, the aortic arch was interrupted distally of the brachiocephalic trunk as a first step of the complete resection of the infected aortic stent graft. Subsequently, the ascendobifemoral bypass was implanted. After the proximal end-to-side anastomosis to the AA (Dacron graft 20 × 10 cm, Vascutek), both prosthesis limbs were laid retrosternal and intra-abdominal underneath the abdominal wall to the inguinal region. Following this, an anastomosis to the both-sided common femoral arteries was performed (Fig. 3). In the second stage, following a left-sided thoracotomy, we ligate the thoracic abdominal aorta proximal of the celiac trunk and explanted the infected stent graft entirely (Fig. 2). During the first postoperative week at the intensive care unit, the renal and mesenteric system showed no dysfunctions. The inflammation parameters decreased continuously. Neurological symptoms did not appear. For prophylaxis of embolic events, we established a therapy consisting of aspirin and low-molecular-weight heparin. Over the subsequent weeks, the patient developed several comorbidities such as renal insufficiency with the need for dialysis, spondylodiscitis, cholangitis, and respiratory insufficiency with prolonged intubation with resulting pneumonia. Two months after surgery, the patient died due to a sepsis.

Discussion

According to the international data, EI is a rare complication after EVAR and/or TEVAR. However, in both cases, treatments remain challenging. The origin of EI is not yet completely cleared. Especially persistent endoleaks are assumed as the most important origin of thrombus seeding, which leads to an increased likelihood of EI. Animal experiments show that a relevant preventing factor for EI is the formation of an endothelial covering during the neointimal healing process. The use of antibiotics for avoiding hematogenous bacterial graft seeding usually occurs preoperatively and is continued postoperatively often for a period of 4 or 6 months. As EI mostly become obvious during the first 3 months after surgery, a continuous antibiotic therapy is recommended for 12 months or even lifelong. Patients with good response to antibiotics, a low expansion of the infection, and high perioperative risk can be treated in the long term conservatively. In the presence of extended local infections, inefficient antibiotic respond, and an aortoesophageal fistula, a total endograft excision with surgical reconstruction is recommended. In particular, the infection of the thoracic aorta shows a high mortality, which is caused by the complex reconstruction procedures. Reviewing the literature mortality rates for nonexcisional therapy of infected aortic endografts range from 33 to 70% and for the presence of infected aortic endografts range from 33 to 70% and for...
surgical procedures from 51 to 84% after 5 years of follow-up. In our case, the pronounced cranial and caudal infections of the aorta including the left-sided CCA and subclavian artery as well as the proximity to the celiac trunk lead us to the decision for an extra-anatomic reconstruction. Guided by the widely accepted consensus for treatment with a completely explantation of the infected aortic area, we decided for an extra-anatomic reconstruction using the AA as a donor artery for revascularization. With the performance of the ascendobifemoral bypass instead of ascendoabdominal aortic bypass, we intended to avoid, in particular, a manipulation within the infected abdominal aortic area. In addition, we intended to keep a short duration and avoid a two-cavity procedure. Complications such as bypass flow reduction caused by stenosis of the diaphragm section (Fig. 3) causing legs ischemia or cerebral embolization by manipulating at the aortic arch did not appear. Our case indicated performing the procedure described earlier is safe, and complications such as brain embolization or other ischemic events could be avoided. To our knowledge, the literature shows no similar case for this kind of surgical procedure; therefore, long-term outcome for the extra-anatomic ascendobifemoral bypass is not known. To prevent EI in the future, there is a need for more studies concerning the exact postoperative application period of antibiotics and the development of screening strategies for early EI detection.

**References**


**Fig. 3** Computed tomography angiography images with the (A) retrosternal and (B) abdominal.