In case of excessive ulnar head compression against the ulnar carpal bones and the triangular fibrocartilage complex (TFCC), or as a result of other structural or functional ulnar overlength, an ulnar impaction syndrome may occur.1–3 This syndrome is usually associated with positive ulnar variance, ulnocarpal chondromalacia, and degenerative changes of the TFCC, lunate, triquetrum or the ulnar head. Positive ulnar variance can either be congenital, a result of premature physeal arrest or malunited distal radius fractures.1,3,4 These problems can cause ulnar-sided wrist pain, less grip strength, and reduced range of motion.5–7

Ulnar impaction syndrome can be treated conservatively, but when symptoms persist, surgery might be needed. Length discrepancies between the ulna and radius may lead to incorrect interaction and painful transmission along the distal part of the upper limb. Changing the length of the ulna, ulnar shortening osteotomy (USO), by only 2.5 mm will change the load transmission across the wrist to the distal forearm bones dramatically.1,8 USO using a volarly or ulnarly positioned plate is the most commonly applied technique.6 Unfortunately, the reported incidence of plate removal due to irritation is up to 55% when using these techniques.5 This is possibly caused by greater direct pressure being exerted on the hardware, as the functional position of the forearm is in pronation. Consequently, patients are less satisfied due to soft tissue irritation, which more commonly occurs in volarly
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Furthermore, we studied patients' subjective functional disability and pain after dorsally approached USO, using the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (DASH), the Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation (PRWE), and a patient satisfaction questionnaire. To evaluate the long-term complications and results of the dorsal approach we retrospectively assessed complication rates and patient satisfaction using the dorsal approach. Furthermore, we studied patients' subjective functional disability and pain after dorsally approached USO, using the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (DASH), the Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation (PRWE), and a patient satisfaction questionnaire.

Methods

Patients

From 2005 to 2014 a single surgeon performed 49 USO with a dorsal approach. Patients' follow-up had to be at least 12 months for inclusions, as bony union usually takes at least three months. As a result of this, six patients could not be included in this study. Because an accompanying surgery may affect postoperative functional scores, patients were excluded if they underwent a USO with an accompanying surgery. Nine patients also underwent an Adams procedure (n = 5), radial styloid resection (n = 1), bone grafting (n = 2) or a radial osteotomy (n = 1). A total of 34 patients were enrolled in this study. At follow-up, patients' age ranges were from 18 to 75.

After approval by the medical ethical review committee (reference number: WAG/mb/15/036704), a retrospective chart review was conducted. The primary outcomes of interest were the PRWE score, DASH score, and patients' satisfaction scores. Secondary outcomes included plate removal due to hardware irritation and complications, such as infection, pseudoarthrosis or plate breakage and revision surgery. Medical charts were reviewed to ascertain the occurrence of complications, the amount of ulnar shortening and to determine whether union occurred or an operation for nonunion was necessary. Union was assessed on anterior-posterior and lateral plain radiographs and was defined as blurring of osteotomy margins and periosteal reaction that completely bridges the cortical interruption. However, during early stages of bony union, an osteotomy line can remain visible. Therefore, we used clinical criteria, such as painless range of motion and absence of tenderness at the osteotomy site in combination with radiographic criteria to define union.

Questionnaires

We used two validated questionnaires; the DASH Dutch Language Version (DASH-DLV) and the PRWE score, to assess functional disability and pain. The third questionnaire (Appendix), created by the authors, displays whether or not patients are satisfied with the operation and the final result of the operation. Furthermore, the questionnaire evaluates whether patients would undergo the same surgery again and recommend this surgery. The questions are rated on a five-point scale, in which a low score indicates satisfaction and a high score indicates dissatisfaction.

Surgical Indications

Patients with ulnar impaction syndrome, as a result of congenital or acquired disorders, such as malunited distal radial fractures, unresponsive to conservative therapy, were indicated for surgical treatment. The diagnosis was confirmed if a positive ulnar variance was present on a plain radiograph. To determine ulnar variance on radiographs, the accepted standard view is a posteroanterior view obtained with the wrist in neutral forearm rotation, the elbow flexed 90 degrees, and the shoulder abducted 90 degrees. It refers to the relative lengths of the distal articular surfaces of the radius and ulna. If positive, for example, the ulna projects more distally than the radius. In this study, the concentric circle method, described in 1982 by Epner et al, was used.

Dorsal Plate Technique

After axillary nerve blockage or general anesthesia, a tourniquet is placed on the upper arm. A longitudinal incision is made between the fifth and sixth extensor compartment, and muscles are retracted. A 6-hole 2.7-mm plate is centred and placed on the dorsal surface of the distal ulna (Fig. 1). After two distal cortical screws are predrilled and inserted, the osteotomy site and the longitudinal axis are marked. The plate is removed, and two parallel transverse cuts are made. The plate is placed back in position, and the two distal screws are inserted. A screw is placed just proximal from the osteotomy site, and a compression clamp is applied on either site of the osteotomy to achieve further interfragmentary compression. The remaining two screws are placed proximally. Stability of the distal radioulnar joint is tested. If stable, the incision is closed using resorbable sutures.

Postoperatively, patients are immobilized in a forearm cast for 4 weeks, followed by physical hand therapy. After immobilization, no splint was used, and patients could use the wrist and forearm freely.

Statistical Analysis

We analyzed data using IBM SPSS statistics 21.0. The reliability coefficient, which displays an estimation of the internal consistency of the questionnaire made by the authors, was assessed using Cronbach’s α. Cronbach’s α ranges from zero to one, in which one implies a high internal consistency. Either Pearson’s or Spearman’s calculations were used to calculate the correlation between variables. Significance was established at a p value of less than 0.05.

Results

We identified 34 patients with at least 1-year follow-up. They all underwent USO without an accompanying surgery.
All patients had been diagnosed with an ulnar impaction syndrome due to either congenital \((n = 19)\) or acquired \((n = 15)\) conditions. The symptoms included ulnocarpal tenderness, a painful ulnar stress test and positive ulnar variance on X-ray. Other potential causes of ulnar-sided wrist pain, such as arthrosis or distal radioulnar joint abnormalities had been ruled out (Fig. 2).

The response rate, of patients enrolled in this study, was 59\% (20/34). The remaining cohort consisted of 6 men and 14 women (total, \(n = 20\)); mean age was 43 years (range: 17–72 years, standard deviation [SD]: 15 years). Patients mean age, sex, and side of ulnar shortening is displayed in Table 1.

The PRWE, DASH, and the patient satisfaction questionnaire were conducted at an average of 3 years and 7 months after USO (range: 10 year–10 months). Table 2 shows objective and subjective outcomes. The mean postoperative PRWE score was 28 (SD: 30). Overall, patients were very satisfied with the cosmetic result (mean: 2; SD: 2).

The mean postoperative DASH score was 20 (SD: 26). DASH scores for work were missing in 1 out of 20 (5\%) patients and in 9 out of 20 (45\%) for sports (Table 2).

A total of 75\% (15/20) of patients were either very satisfied or satisfied with the operation. Overall, 65\% (13/20) of patients were very satisfied or satisfied with the eventual result of the operation, 80\% (16/20) would undergo the same operation again, and 70\% (14/20) would recommend the operation.

In one patient (5\%) a complication occurred. In this case, revision surgery with bone grafting was performed after

### Table 1 Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operated side, (n) (%)</th>
<th>Male ((n = 6))</th>
<th>Female ((n = 14))</th>
<th>Total ((n = 20))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>4 (67)</td>
<td>10 (71)</td>
<td>14 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>4 (29)</td>
<td>6 (30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication for USO, (n) (%)</th>
<th>Male ((n = 6))</th>
<th>Female ((n = 14))</th>
<th>Total ((n = 20))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congenital disorder</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>10 (71)</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired</td>
<td>5 (83)</td>
<td>4 (29)</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; USO, ulnar shortening osteotomy.
Plate breakage as a result of trauma. Union of the osteotomy site occurred in all patients, except one, after 5.3 months (SD: 1.4). The removal of hardware due to irritation was noted in six patients (30%). Mean postoperative time interval until the removal of hardware was 25 months (SD: 29).

We calculated Cronbach’s α for the authors’ questionnaire, which was 0.914. Which means the questionnaire has a high internal consistency. Furthermore, a significant correlation was seen between patients satisfaction about the operation and the total DASH and PRWE scores (ρ = 0.481, p = 0.032 and ρ = 0.512, p = 0.021).

Also, mean PRWE and DASH scores were calculated for men and women without revision surgery for removal of hardware. Mean DASH and PRWE scores increased for men (DASH: 37–40, PRWE: 45–49) and decreased for women (DASH: 13–8, PRWE: 20–7).

**Discussion**

Placement of the hardware in USO can be varied either on the dorsal surface of the ulna, volar surface or ulnar surface. Plate removal, after placement on the volar surface of the ulna, occurs in up to 55% of patients. Clarke and Geissler, however, described a hardware removal rate of 0%, although 5% of patients complained about hardware irritation. When plates are placed ulnarily, revision surgery for plate removal is performed in 21 to 32% of patients. Loh et al report irritation rates of 68% placing plates on the ulnar border. In Köppel et al requirement of hardware removal was present in 75% of patients. The dorsal approach for plate placement is not yet common practice, but results seem promising. Hardware removal rates range from 6 to 25%. In our study, the occurrence of hardware removal was 30%.

To evaluate difficulty in performing physical activities, symptoms and psychosocial effects after USO, postoperative DASH scores were evaluated. Scores range from 9 to 37.2 when plates are placed on the volar surface of the ulna. The lowest DASH score is reported at a follow-up of 11 years. After placement of hardware on the ulnar border, postoperative DASH scores range from 21.0 to 37.21. No study reported on postoperative DASH scores after application of plates on the dorsal surface. In our study, mean DASH score was 20. Overall DASH scores in our study were measured at a mean follow-up of more than 7 years and lie in the midrange when compared with previously reported scores. Only Fulton et al mentioned DASH scores for work and sports, which are inferior to our DASH scores (mean DASH work: 38 and mean DASH sports: 50). We used the PRWE score to assess pain and evaluate function during normal and special activities after USO. Previously reported PRWE scores vary from 15 to 40.24 Only one single study reported on ulnarly placed plates. Das et al described PRWE scores for dorsally placed plates. In our study, PRWE scores are not better, nor worse than PRWE scores reported in other literature (scores ranging from 15 to 42).6,24,29 Das et al reported no significant difference in PRWE when dorsal plating is compared with volar plating.

When a comparison is made between pre- and postoperative PRWE and DASH scores, a significant reduction of scores is seen.24 Isaacs et al placed their plates volarly and Kim and Park ulnarily. No results on pre- and postoperative PRWE and DASH scores have been reported on dorsally placed plates.

Over time, many options have been described regarding the osteotomy. It is hypothesized an oblique osteotomy results in the faster bony union and little nonunion cases, due to an increase in surface area. Many previous studies confirm this hypothesis and describe nonunion rates of 0% 18,22,24,25,29,31–33 However, others report up to 18% nonunion after performing an oblique osteotomy.6,14–17,19,21,34–36 When a transverse osteotomy is performed, nonunion occurs in up to 16% of patients.6,12,20,22,29,30,37,38 In our study a transverse osteotomy was used and only one nonunion occurred. External compression devices may provide more compression and better alignment of the osteotomy, which leads to better and faster union.12,32,35 In this study, a free hand technique was used, and union occurred in almost all patients.

There are several limitations to this study. First, a retrospective design was used. No preoperative DASH and PRWE scores were available. Consequently, functional improvement rates could not be assessed. However, patient factors such satisfaction, functional disability, pain and psychosocial effects of the procedure, are useful for estimating long-term outcomes after USO with dorsally placed hardware. Furthermore, the timing of control X-rays was not protocolled, so
time to the union could not be assessed. However, only one nonunion occurred. Another limitation is the possible occurrence of nonresponse bias, due to the response rate of 59%. Only two minor complications occurred among nonresponders. Finally, the sample size of this study is small, which reduces the chance of detecting true effects. To evaluate real differences between hardware irritation due to the localization of plate placement, prospective studies with larger patient populations are needed.

In conclusion, no differences are seen in complication rates, PRWEE and DASH scores. The incidence of hardware removal, in patients undergoing a USO with a dorsally placed plate for ulnar impaction syndrome, lies in the midrange when compared with previously described results for dorsally, unlarly, and volarly placed plates. Overall, patients were satisfied with the operation and the results. Based on the results of our study, we would recommend considering a freehand technique with transverse osteotomy and plate placement on the dorsal surface of the ulna as an option for ulnar shortening, for this is a relatively easy method, patients’ outcomes are comparable to other techniques, and patients are satisfied.
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Appendix

Questionnaire satisfaction
In the past you underwent an ulnar shortening osteotomy in the University Medical Centre Utrecht. The questions below are about this operation. Choose the most applicable answer. Please answer all questions.

Date (dd/mm/yyyy) : __________________________________________________
Date of birth : __________________________________________________
Sex : M/F
Operated side : Left/Right/Both

1. I am satisfied about the operation performed.
   I totally agree   I agree   I don’t agree/disagree   I disagree   I totally disagree

2. If necessary, I would choose the same operation again.
   I totally agree   I agree   I don’t agree/disagree   I disagree   I totally disagree

3. I would recommend this surgery.
   I totally agree   I agree   I don’t agree/disagree   I disagree   I totally disagree

4. I am satisfied about the final result of the operation.
   I totally agree   I agree   I don’t agree/disagree   I disagree   I totally disagree

Comments:
______________________________________________________________________________________________________________ 
____________________________________________________________________________________________________
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