Is Ovarian Cancer Prevention Currently Still a recommendation of Our Grandparents?

A prevenção do câncer de ovário ainda é uma recomendação de nossos avós?
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Abstract
Ovarian cancer is the leading cause of death among gynecologic tumors because in most of the cases (75%), the disease is diagnosed in advanced stages. Screening methods are not available since the disease is rare, and the tested methods, such as ultrasound and CA125, were not able to decrease the mortality rate for this type of cancer. This article discusses the main risk factors for ovarian cancer, and the potential clinical and surgical strategies for the prevention of this disease.

Resumo
O câncer de ovário é a principal causa de morte entre os tumores ginecológicos, já que na maioria dos casos (75%) o diagnóstico ocorre em estádios avançados. Métodos de rastreamento não estão disponíveis, já que a doença é rara, e os métodos diagnósticos, como ultrassonografia e CA 125, não são capazes de reduzir a taxa de mortalidade desse câncer. Este artigo discute os principais fatores de risco para o câncer de ovário e as possíveis estratégias clínicas e cirúrgicas para a prevenção dessa doença.

Introduction
Currently, ovarian cancer is the leading cause of death due to a gynecological tumor. This is largely due to the non-specific symptoms associated with ovarian cancer and the absence of effective screening methods. As a result, early detection of ovarian cancer is difficult and ovarian malignancies are predominantly diagnosed during the advanced stages of disease (that is, stages III and IV). As a result, patients with ovarian cancer have the lowest survival rates among patients with gynecological tumors. In 2017, it is estimated that there will be 22,440 new cases of ovarian cancer, and ~ 14,080 deaths, in the United States alone. Ovarian cancer currently accounts for ~ 5% of all cancers among women and its incidence has decreased by 1.0% per year since 1992. However, between 2005 and 2014, the death rate from ovarian cancer decreased 2.0% per year among white women, while the death rate remained stable for African-American women. Unfortunately, more women continue to die from ovarian cancer than from all other gynecologic types of tumor combined.

The overall survival rate for patients with ovarian cancer is less than 40% within 5 years of diagnosis. Moreover, the survival rates are 95%, 79%, and 28% for stage I, stage II, and advanced clinical stage patients, respectively. Even with
adequate treatment, 75% of ovarian cancer cases involve disease recurrence, most of which develop within the first 2 years after chemotherapy. Patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer have a poor prognosis, with the median survival period being less than 2 years and the 5-year survival rate being lower than 10%.5

Primary ovarian malignant tumors can be classified into three groups: epithelial-stromal tumors, tumors of the sexual cords, and germ cell tumors.6 Among these groups, ovarian epithelial tumors account for 90% of all primary ovarian cancer cases.7 An ovarian carcinogenesis model has been established that correlates with the clinical, pathologic, and molecular features of ovarian cancer in humans. Moreover, this model can be established with Type I or Type II ovarian tumors. Type I tumors are slow-growing, they can develop from precursor lesions, and they include borderline serous tumors, low grade serous carcinomas, mucinous carcinoma, endometrioid carcinomas, and clear cell carcinomas. In contrast, type II tumors are highly aggressive, most are diagnosed at an advanced stage, and they include high-grade serous carcinomas, malignant mixed mesodermal tumors (carcinosarcomas), and undifferentiated tumors.8

Screenings of asymptomatic women for ovarian cancer have not been effective. Therefore, it is very important that symptoms associated with early stage ovarian cancer be identified.

To date, the symptoms that are considered suggestive of ovarian cancer include pelvic/abdominal pain, increased frequency of urination, urinary urgency, bloating, and early satiety. If these symptoms are present for less than 1 year and occur more than 12 days per month, ovarian cancer is suspected. When any 6 of these symptoms occurred more than 12 times per month and within a period less than 1 year, the associated sensitivity of ovarian cancer detection was 56.7% for early stage disease and 79.5% for advanced stage disease. In addition, the specificity of ovarian cancer detection was 90% for patients over 50 years of age and 86.7% for patients younger than 50 years of age.9 It is recommended that the presence of these symptoms should be considered for a differential diagnosis of ovarian cancer.3

Despite the low sensitivity and specificity of ovarian cancer screening methods and the need to develop new early diagnostic methods,10 gynecological examination, ultrasound, and monitoring of a panel of systemic tumor markers represent approaches that are currently considered reasonable for obtaining an early diagnosis of ovarian neoplasia.11

There are several theories regarding carcinogenesis in ovarian epithelial tissue. Some conditions are involved with increasing or reducing the risk. The most consistent risk factors are related to reproductive aspects, such as nulliparity, early menarche and late menopause.12

Approximately 5–10% of all breast and ovarian cancer cases reflect hereditary genetic defects, mainly in two breast and ovary cancer genes, BRCA1 (breast cancer 1) and BRCA2 (breast cancer 2).13 Both genes encode large proteins that play critical roles in the DNA repair pathway.14 Mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 predispose the hereditary syndrome of breast and ovarian cancer characterized by multiple family members affected with breast or ovarian cancer, bilateral breast cancer, and other cancers in family members, including prostate, pancreas, and male breast cancer.15,16

Other factors, such as poor diet that leads to overweight or obesity, sedentary lifestyle, alcohol consumption and smoking, are studied factors implicated in the possible increase in the rate of disease development.17

The main reasons for the occurrence of ovarian cancer are still unclear. However, lifestyle factors are also important in the etiology of this disease. Current evidence suggests that the risk of ovarian cancer can be reduced with the practice of various healthy habits that are often advocated by grandparents, specifically, a good diet that includes a minimal number of non-processed foods, regular physical exercise, and avoiding smoking, prolonged hormone replacement therapy, and obesity. It is also proposed that the detection of women at high risk for ovarian cancer should be evaluated for prophylactic salpingo-oophorectomy.18

Methods

A search for the following keywords was conducted in the PubMed database: “ovarian cancer prevention,” “risk factors,” “oophorectomy,” “salpingectomy,” “oral contraceptives,” “intratubal cytology,” and “lifestyle.” All of the articles identified were published in English between January of 1999 and July of 2017. Total 97 articles were selected for further examination.

Results

Risk Factors

Known risk factors for ovarian cancer include age, obesity, reproductive history, family history, hormone replacement therapy, and smoking (Fig. 1).19 Conversely, factors which limit or reduce the risk of ovarian cancer include: inhibition or arrest of normal ovulation due to pregnancy or contraception, an extended lactation period, multiparity,20 and prior surgery such as fimbrectomy, salpingectomy, or salpingo-oophorectomy. Moreover, the latter has been associated with a 75–96% reduction in the risk of ovarian cancer.21,22

In the literature, procedures have been described that may prevent the occurrence of ovarian cancer. However, there are some limitations to these procedures, such as there being no prospective studies that demonstrate the efficacy of oral contraception or bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy in patients with unknown factors for ovarian cancer.23 In the studies that have shown a reduced risk of ovarian cancer following bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, the surgeries were generally reserved for women at high risk of developing ovarian cancer, such as those with hereditary susceptibility to ovarian cancer. In contrast, women carrying BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations have exhibited a 90% reduction in their risk of ovarian cancer.24

Clinical Strategies Related to Anovulation

Oral Contraceptives, Reproductive and Menstrual Factors

Accumulating evidence suggests that ovulation increases the levels of inflammatory agents that can lead to mutations in the DNA. For example, ovulation creates breaks in the surface of an
ovary, and the subsequent healing process involves increased levels of inflammatory mediators and reactive oxygen species. Reactive oxygen species directly oxidize the DNA, and this can exponentially increase the risk of developing mutations. This model is consistent with the increased risk observed in patients who use ovulation-inducing drugs, and the decreased risk observed in patients who have breastfed their children, undergone pregnancy, and/or used oral contraceptives; with the latter set of conditions characterized by a reduced number of ovulatory cycles.

The older ages associated with menarche have been found to be associated with a decreased risk of ovarian cancer (relative risk = 0.6 – 0.8); although some studies have indicated a protective effect when menopause occurs earlier. The lifelong number of menstrual cycles for a woman has also been associated with ovarian cancer risk, thereby suggesting that ovulation has a role in ovarian carcinogenesis.

Both case-control and cohort studies have demonstrated that pregnancy protects women against ovarian cancer, and that benefit increases according to the number of pregnancies. For example, each additional birth is predicted to reduce the likelihood of ovarian cancer by ∼ 12%. Accumulating evidence also indicates that the use of oral contraceptives reduces the risk of ovarian cancer, possibly due to suppression of ovulation and the direct effects of hormones on the ovary. Both a systematic review and a meta-analysis of 24 studies of case-controls and cohorts showed a significant reduction in the incidence of ovarian cancer in women who used oral contraceptives compared with those who did not. In addition, a significant duration-response relationship was observed, with a reduction in ovarian cancer risk > 50% associated with women who used oral contraceptives for 10 years or more.

The use of oral contraceptives in patients without a known risk for ovarian cancer has also been associated with a 22–56% decrease in risk of the disease. However, the minimum optimal duration of contraception use would be 5 years. In a case-control study of women with mutations in the BRCA1 or BRCA2, the use of oral contraceptives led to a 50% reduced risk of ovarian cancer.

More recently, factors that regulate the availability of sex steroids and their importance in the pathophysiological aspects of female reproductive cancers have been examined. Sexual steroid hormones (such as estradiol, progesterone, and testosterone) are involved in the etiology of ovarian cancer, and changes in these hormones have been observed when reproductive patterns exhibit alterations as well as when there is development of an ovarian cancer. For example, the use of oral steroids may increase the risk of ovarian cancer in the same way that hormone replacement therapy does, due to changes in the levels of estradiol and progesterone that occur during the menstrual cycle in premenopausal women. The high androgen levels in the pre- and postmenopausal stages correlate with the increased risk of ovarian cancer initiation. During menopause, the incidence of ovarian cancer is considerably increased because the androgens are the main steroid source produced by the ovaries.

Familial Predisposition

BRCA1 and BRCA2

Approximately 10% of ovarian cancer patients have a genetic or familial predisposition that may increase their risk of developing the disease. The BRCA1 and BRCA2 are tumor suppressor genes that are located on chromosomes 17q21 and 13q12–13, respectively. Germline mutations in these genes can be inherited in an autosomal dominant manner and significantly increase the risks of breast cancer and ovarian cancer. Moreover, patients with invasive ovarian cancer have an 11.7% chance of carrying a germline mutation in these genes. Women who have deleterious mutations in BRCA1 or BRCA2, or whose mother or sister have genetic mutations in these genes, represent a subgroup of individuals with an increased likelihood of developing ovarian cancer. It has been observed that a woman with BRCA1 gene mutations has a 20% chance of developing breast cancer before the age of 40. This risk increases to 37% after the age of 50, to 55% up to the age of 60, and is more than 70% by the age of 70. For ovarian cancer, the risk associated with BRCA1 mutations ranges
from 45–60%, and from 11–35% for BRCA2 mutations. Ovarian carcinomas associated with mutations in the BRCA gene are also considerably more aggressive than sporadic tumors. However, sensitivity to platinum-based treatments is high. In addition, a more favorable prognosis has been reported for patients carrying BRCA2 mutations compared with patients with sporadic ovarian cancer, and this cancer does not occur in women with BRCA1 mutations. 

When the epithelium at the surface of an ovary is disrupted during ovulation, tubal epithelial cells of the fimbriae of the fallopian tube can move and implant in the ovary, thereby forming an inclusion cyst. It is possible that a serious carcinoma can subsequently develop. In women with a genetic predisposition to ovarian cancer, as well as those without known mutations in the BRCA gene, small foci of tubal carcinomas can possibly invade the ovarian epithelium.

**Surgical Prophylaxis**

**Oophorectomy and Salpingectomy**

The prophylactic oophorectomy has been recommended for women with known BRCA1 or BRCA2 and who do not wish to get pregnant. The risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy surgery involves removal of the ovaries and fallopian tubes before the occurrence of a clinically apparent cancer. An additional benefit of this surgery is the apparent reduction in the risk of breast cancer, presumably because of the ablation of ovarian hormones.

Women who carry mutations in the BRCA1 or BRCA2 genes are often advised to consider prophylactic bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy surgery after the age of 35 or after they no longer want to become pregnant. This procedure can reduce the risk of ovarian cancer and the risk of breast cancer due to ablation of ovarian hormone production.

Over the last decade, a model has been proposed for ovarian carcinogenesis that starts in the fallopian tube. It was suggested that bilateral salpingectomy could prevent this process by removing the potential tissue source for ovarian cancer and the risks of surgical menopause would be avoided. For patients at high risk for ovarian cancer, this method has been proposed in clinical trials. In addition, the Society of Gynecologic Oncology (SGO) published their recommendation in 2013 that bilateral salpingectomy should be considered at the time of hysterectomy. It is predicted that this approach could reduce the risk of ovarian cancer by 20–40% over the next 20 years. In another case-control study, tubal ligation decreased the incidence of disease in 30% of the cases examined. Accumulating evidence indicates that the most common and lethal form of ovarian cancer, the high-grade serous subtype, originates in the distal fallopian tube. Consequently, it is recommended that bilateral salpingectomy be performed during gynecological surgeries, particularly during hysterectomy and tubal sterilization procedures, and for this reason, it is called opportunistic bilateral salpingectomy. The American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists has issued a statement endorsing the recommendation that surgeons and patients discuss fallopian tube removal during hysterectomy without oophorectomy, and that bilateral salpingectomy should be presented when counseling women about methods of laparoscopic sterilization.

**Risks of Surgery**

In premenopausal women, salpingo-oophorectomy induces a sudden onset of menopause. As a result, women can experience severe hot flashes, vaginal dryness, sexual dysfunction, sleep disturbances, and cognitive impairments following surgery, and these can affect the quality of life. Premature menopause is also a significant risk factor for osteoporosis and ischemic heart disease. Several authors have emphasized the risk of developing peritoneal carcinoma following prophylactic oophorectomy. For example, among 324 women who underwent prophylactic oophorectomy surgery at an ovarian cancer center in Buffalo, NY, 1.8% developed peritoneal carcinoma between 1 and 27 years after the surgery.

The salpingectomy is better at reducing risks related to early menopause. However, the safety of this surgery in regard to preservation of ovarian function is not well defined. If a salpingectomy does not interfere with ovarian blood flow, it should not adversely affect ovarian function (that is, hormone production, ovulation, and menopause age). However, this remains to be confirmed.

In a recent study that evaluated 425,180 women undergoing hysterectomies between 2008 through 2013 in the United States, a 371% increase in the number of surgeries that included bilateral salpingectomy was observed. For these combined surgeries, no increase in the risk of blood transfusion, complications, or postoperative infections were observed compared with the women who underwent hysterectomy alone.

Unfortunately, prospective studies to investigate the effect of patient age on salpingectomy and risk of ovarian cancer would require a long follow-up period. Moreover, residual microscopic fimbrial tissue on the ovarian surface can be found, which could decrease the impact of bilateral salpingectomy in reducing the risk of ovarian cancer. In the absence of these results, salpingectomies should only be performed when a suitable opportunity arises, and should not be performed only to prevent ovarian cancer.

**Intratubal Cytology**

In a study published by Lum et al, the effectiveness of cytological evaluations of fallopian tubes in patients at high risk for developing ovarian cancer was examined. Minimally invasive surgical techniques were performed to exfoliate cells of distal fallopian tubes and the proximal portion of fimbriae. When precursor lesions of ovarian cancer were found in the distal fallopian tubes, an abundance of significant cells were observed in the smears. A subset of smears also included epithelial cells of the tubes. This study demonstrated the potential for patients to be evaluated prior to surgical procedures or during more invasive interventions. During laparoscopic hysterectomy, a 6.5 mm hysteroscope was used and an endoscopic cytology brush could be introduced separately into each tubal ostium to reach the fimbriae end. When examining the samples obtained during prophylactic bilateral salpingo-oophorectomies that were performed...
in patients with \textit{BRCA1} and \textit{BRCA2} mutations, hidden cancers were found in 4–17% of the samples, with 57–100% of the cancers located in the distal portion of the fallopian tubes. Thus, the end distal fringes of the fallopian tube were identified as possible sites of origin for ovarian and peritoneal serous carcinomas. These results were encouraging given that the ability to identify serous tubal intraepithelial neoplasia and serous carcinomas at an earlier stage could prevent the rapid spread of high-grade invasive serous carcinomas and facilitate the early detection of ovarian cancer.\textsuperscript{56}

**Lifestyle**

A relationship between ovarian cancer and lifestyle has been observed, especially regarding smoking, alcohol consumption, physical exercise, and diet.\textsuperscript{57}

**Smoking and Alcohol Consumption**

The incidence of mucinous ovarian epithelial tumors in women with a history of smoking is well above the incidence of other histological subtypes, and it is higher than the incidence of these tumors in women who have never smoked.\textsuperscript{58} Despite these observations, however, it has not been thoroughly investigated whether smoking correlates with overall disease-free survival in patients with various histological types of ovarian epithelial cancer. To date, it is recognized that the association between smoking and patient survival differs according to the tumor stage at the time of diagnosis. In a recent study, it was observed that among women diagnosed with ovarian cancer, those who were smokers and those who had a history of smoking+ had a worse survival compared with women who had never smoked. Based on these data, the authors suggest that smoking has a greater impact on survival among women with “in situ” disease than those with disseminated disease. Furthermore, cigarette was found to be a modifiable factor associated with survival and it improved disease prognosis.\textsuperscript{59}

Alcohol consumption increases serum and urinary concentrations of androgens, estrogen, and other sex hormones, and it has been widely associated with an increased incidence of breast cancer.\textsuperscript{60} Epidemiological studies have also reported a direct correlation between alcohol consumption and an increased risk of developing ovarian cancer, and this correlation is dependent on the amount and duration of exposure (chronic versus acute exposure). Moreover, the effects of alcohol on ovarian tumorigenesis have been shown to be mainly caused by hormonal disorders. The most deleterious effects of chronic alcohol intake on the ovaries include alterations in estrogen and progesterone receptors, increases in estradiol levels, production of reactive oxygen species, and changes in ovary structure and size. Furthermore, ethanol and its metabolite, acetaldehyde, are capable of depleting folate levels, while also inducing DNA adduct formation and cytochrome P450-mediated reactive oxygen species generation. A DNA adduct is a segment of DNA bound to a cancer-causing chemical. It is proposed that all of these metabolic changes can be associated with the risk of tumor development.\textsuperscript{61}

In contrast, studies that have evaluated the effects of alcohol consumption, from any source, on the incidence and survival of patients diagnosed with ovarian cancer remain inconsistent. Therefore, it remains to be demonstrated whether alcohol has a direct role on ovarian cancer.\textsuperscript{62,63}

**Physical Exercise and Obesity**

Being overweight is associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer. In a systematic review of 47 epidemiological studies of 25,157 women with ovarian cancer and 81,211 women without ovarian cancer, the relative risk for ovarian cancer with a 5 kg/m\(^2\) increase in body mass index was 1.10 (95% confidence interval, 1.07 to 1.13).\textsuperscript{31}

Various studies have examined whether physical exercise can reduce obesity, reduce inflammation, and improve hormone levels and immune system function, all of which are factors involved in carcinogenesis.\textsuperscript{64} In particular, it has been investigated whether exercise intensity and frequency can be beneficial to cancer development. It has been advocated that exercising for 150 minutes/week, or 30 minute/day, 5 times per week, is advantageous. The American Cancer Society further recommends that 45 minute of exercise a day, 5 times a week, is beneficial. With respect to cancer prevention, high intensity exercise is especially recommended.\textsuperscript{64}

In general, the benefits of physical exercise for health are well established. A protective effect of physical exercise is also predicted for the development of ovarian cancer as a result of better blood circulation that can directly reduce adipose tissue, thereby balancing the release of circulating estrogen and inducing a lower ovulation frequency. These effects have the potential to reduce chronic inflammation in adipose tissue as well.\textsuperscript{58}

Chronic inflammation that is associated with obesity may involve continuous activation of the innate immune system, and this in turn can induce the secretion of cytokines by adipose tissue. Correspondingly, chronic inflammation associated with obesity has been linked with increased secretion of interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-\(\alpha\), which leads to a decrease in adiponectin production and an inability to store excess free fatty acids. The latter can contribute to the development of insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and obesity-related cardiovascular disease. In addition, a mitogenic and anti-apoptotic environment that is caused by elevated levels of insulin in obesity can accelerate a gradual accumulation of mutations, which can favor carcinogenesis.\textsuperscript{65} Aberrant production of adipokines in obese individuals, particularly involving the positive feedback loop of leptin and the negative feedback loop of adiponectin, may explain the observed association between obesity and the progression of ovarian cancer. Leptin has exhibited mitogenic and anti-apoptotic properties in various cancer cell lines and plays a role in promoting angiogenesis. On the other hand, adiponectin mediates anti-proliferative effects via induction of apoptosis. Thus, obesity can affect the survival of ovarian cancer patients via inflammatory cytokines, insulin resistance markers, and obesity-related hormones, such as estrogen, that contribute to the conversion of androgens into estrogen in adipose tissue.\textsuperscript{66}

Tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\), IL-6, and IL-1 can stimulate carcinogenesis by increasing cell proliferation, and this can lead to the activation of neoangiogenic cells. It may also
increase the release of growth factors by endothelial cells. In association with these factors, the metabolic syndrome, as well as various cancers, have been found to be related to inflammatory conditions. Immunological alterations that can affect the behavior and prognosis of neoplasms through the interaction of immune cells originating from chronically inflamed adipose tissue should also be considered.  

According to Reid et al, the direct effects of physical exercise on ovarian cancer have not yet been demonstrated. However, the authors acknowledge that accumulating evidence has demonstrated that physical exercise provides beneficial effects in regard to weight control, bone density, and heart disease. For sedentary women who report chronic recreational inactivity and who perform low intensity exercises, a higher incidence of ovarian cancer has been observed independent of tumor histological type or disseminated disease.  

**Food**  
The hypothesis that some compounds from plant foods have favorable effects on the risk of ovarian cancer has been an area of active study.

Data regarding the relationship between ovarian cancer and the intake of high-fat diets are limited and inconsistent. While some studies have demonstrated an association between ovarian epithelial cancer and a high intake of saturated fat, other studies have not shown this association. Intake of starchy foods and diets with high glycemic index and glyemic load have also been linked to an increased risk of ovarian cancer, although this relationship has not been confirmed.

An Australian study evaluated two population-based cohort and case-control studies as well as a meta-analysis, and it was observed that a greater intake of red and processed meats may be associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer due to the high concentrations of fats in these foods. Carcinogenic heterocyclic amines and nitrous compounds are also present in red and processed meats, and these components could eventually lead to accelerated tumor development. In contrast, intake of birds or fish was associated with a possible lower risk of ovarian cancer, partly because fish is a rich source of polysaturated fatty acids (such as, omega-3). Meanwhile, poultry meat has a higher protein content and a lower concentration of saturated fats than red meat. Taken together, these results suggest that a diet that accommodates a reduced intake of processed meats and an increased intake of poultry and fish could reduce the risk of developing ovarian cancer.

Generally, the intake of natural products or herbs as a supplement to cancer treatment is intended to reduce the side effects of conventional therapies and block carcinogenesis. To date, clinical data regarding the intake of natural products appears to be positive, although most studies have not included randomized clinical trials. Currently, more than half of the drugs available for treatment derived from natural products. Both derivatives from plants and anticancer agents that block cell proliferation induce apoptosis. Epidemiological studies have also shown that natural products and nutrients can be active in cancer chemoprevention. For example, curcumin (diferuloylmethane) is a phenolic compound derived from the Curcuma longa plant that represents a promising chemopreventive and anticancer agent. Correspondingly, a diet rich in curcumin has been shown to inversely correlate with several human malignancies. Resveratrol (3,4', 5-trihydroxy-trans-stilbene) is a polyphenolic compound that is present in the skin of grapes, in red wine, peanuts, and blackberries. Resveratrol has exhibited anti-aging properties, preventative effects on cardiovascular events, and cancer protection properties. Regarding the latter, resveratrol has been shown to block cell proliferation and induce apoptosis. Flavonoids are polyphenolic plant species that have exhibited anti-allergic, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antimicrobial, and anticancer activities. Consequently, they are a topic of great interest. Thus, it has been demonstrated that flavonoids are able to inhibit hormone-related cancers by modulating the activity of steroid hormone receptors.  

**Comorbidities**

The presence of previously existing comorbidities, mainly hypertension, diabetes and its possible complications, are of great interest in the survival of women with ovarian cancer. These diseases may influence the prognosis directly, perhaps affecting the cellular biology of cancer or increasing the production of growth factors that influence the evolution of cancer cells as a result of prolonged exposure to hyperglycemia in patients with preexisting diabetes. In addition, they may indirectly affect the prognosis by altering patients' ability to tolerate chemotherapy or surgery.

In addition, some studies suggest that the use of commonly prescribed medications for hypertension and other cardiovascular conditions, such as β-adrenergic receptor blockers, may limit the growth of ovarian tumors. This is because ovarian tumors tend to express adrenergic receptors that can bind to β-blockers and lead to decreased invasion of ovarian cancer cells in vitro, but such a claim is controversial.  

A study that analyzed pooled data from 15 studies that participated in the Ovarian Cancer Association Consortium on the relationship between history of hypertension, diabetes, their medications, and survival among patients diagnosed with invasive ovarian epithelial carcinoma. The results demonstrated that diabetes can influence the prognosis of ovarian cancer patients, affect treatment and increase the risk of death in these patients. Significant associations of mortality for hypertension were not observed. The use of β blockers, oral antidiabetic drugs and insulin was associated with increased mortality. On the other hand, the literature has described that the use of metformin can be an important factor for the decrease in the risk of ovarian cancer development. Integrating the clinical profile of patients with ovarian cancer may be essential in understanding the factors related to global morbidity and mortality.

**Melatonin**

Melatonin has previously been identified as a substance with immune enhancing properties and a capacity to reduce oxidative stress. More recently, antitumor properties have also been observed for melatonin. The lipophilic and hydrophilic
properties of melatonin facilitate its access to many tissues and fluids. Moreover, depending on its production site and target organ, melatonin may act as a hormone, immuno-modulator, or as a biological modifier. To identify the role played by melatonin in apoptosis and necrosis, the ovarian cancer cell lines OVCAR-429 and PA-1 were subjected to increasing doses of melatonin (0, 400, 600, and 800 μM) for 24–72 hours. The treatment with melatonin reduced the survival and proliferation of both of the cell lines tested. Thus, melatonin could potentially be used as a therapeutic substance for the prevention or paralysis of neoplastic growth, but this remains to be confirmed.95,96

**Vitamin D**

Some of the traditional roles established for vitamin D include regulation of bone metabolism and homeostasis of calcium and phosphorous, and both directly affect bone health. Vitamin D also influences the normal functioning of immune cells, including T and B lymphocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, and keratinocytes. Moreover, very low levels of vitamin D have been related to autoimmune diseases such as Crohn’s disease, type 1 diabetes mellitus, multiple sclerosis, asthma, and rheumatoid arthritis. However, the importance of vitamin D action is not limited to bone health. Vitamin D has also been identified as a promising target for the treatment of diseases mediated by the immune system. It should be noted that the calcium status can also influence the effect of vitamin D and immunity.91

Intense sun exposure can be damaging to an individual’s health and is largely associated with the development of cataracts, a leading cause of blindness worldwide. Excessive sun exposure is also related to the development of skin cancer and other comorbidities associated with changes in squamous cells.92 Despite these known risks, exposure to direct sunlight is necessary for the transformation of vitamin D into its active form in the human body, and this has benefits for bone and metabolic functions.93 Studies have also shown that vitamin D reduces the risk of ovarian cancer by inhibiting the growth and apoptosis of tumor cells in culture and in animal models.94 In another study, vitamin D supplementation was associated with decreased occurrence of borderline serous ovarian tumors and endometrioid tumors.95 while higher concentrations of circulating vitamin D have been found to be inversely associated with the risk of developing ovarian cancer.92

Vitamin D and its analogues have also exhibited a capacity to suppress the invasive behavior of epithelial ovarian cancer. This observation is consistent with vitamin D activity in tumor tissue, the proliferation of tumor cells according to specific vitamin D receptors (VDRs), and the observation that compounds can regulate vitamin D activity in tumor tissue. An active metabolite of vitamin D, 1α, 25-OH2D3, also known as calcitriol, may represent a potential anti-cancer agent since administration of this vitamin D analogue has been shown to inhibit cell proliferation, activate apoptotic pathways, and inhibit angiogenesis by binding to VDRs. Furthermore, VDRs are present in almost all human tissues.96 Upon binding of vitamin D to its receptor, the receptor is activated, particularly in tissues with a high metabolic rate and high rates of cell proliferation. This function is similar to those observed in members of the p53 family, which include anti-proliferative activities mediated through induction of cell cycle arrest, senescence, and differentiation to induce apoptosis. Consequently, vitamin D is a determining factor in the autocrine suppressive regulation of various tumor types.97

To explore the chemoprevention capacity of vitamin D, an epithelial ovarian cancer was induced by 7, 12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene (DMBA) in the presence and in the absence of vitamin D for 20 weeks. Vitamin D not only delayed the malignant transformation of epithelial cells of the ovary, but also played a chemoprotective role. In addition, no change in serum calcium concentrations were observed throughout the study, while vitamin D reduced the concentration of CA125 both in serum and ascitic fluid. The biological actions of vitamin D are mediated directly by VDRs, and lower levels of receptor expression and vitamin D are closely associated with the occurrence of ovarian cancer. The authors attribute the observed slowing of the ovarian epithelial cancer progression to overstimulation of the epithelial adhesion protein, E-cadherin, by vitamin D. In addition, the VDRs could down regulate β-catenin, thereby leading to protection of ovarian epithelial cells.98 Based on these results, the importance of vitamin D supplementation for patients with ovarian cancer is demonstrated.

**Conclusion**

Prevention of ovarian cancer remains a challenge due to our limited knowledge of its causes, difficulties associated with the modification of several established risk factors, and limited availability of early detection techniques. It is crucial to understand the role of additional factors related to the prognosis of ovarian cancer, including factors that are potentially modifiable and may contribute to change the progression of ovarian cancer and improve survival. However, it is proposed that prevention of ovarian cancer can be achieved with clinical strategies related to anovulation or surgical prophylaxis and the recommendations provided by many grandparents: we need to eat healthy, maintain physical activity, monitor weight control, and sunbathe moderately.
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