Electrophilic Activation of Amides for the Preparation of Poly-substituted Pyrimidines
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Abstract
In this article we describe the straightforward synthesis of polysubstituted pyrimidines by electrophilic activation of secondary amides in the presence of alkynes. An unusual mechanistic detour leading to pyridine derivatives as products is also presented and briefly discussed.

Key words pyrimidines, amide activation, hydride shift, pyridines

Heteroaromatics occupy a prominent role in organic chemistry.1 Among them, the pyrimidine core is present in several natural products, pharmaceuticals and functional materials.2 For these reasons, numerous methods have been developed throughout the years to prepare these motifs (Scheme 1). The most popular method for the synthesis of pyrimidines remains the condensation of amidines with carbonyls (Scheme 1, a).2a,3

Another approach which has gained traction in recent years is the metal-catalyzed [2+2+2] cycloaddition between an alkyne and two nitriles (Scheme 1, b). Recently, our group reported a metal-free version of this reaction using ynamides and relying on triflic acid for the activation of the C≡C bond.5 Movassaghi and co-workers developed a straightforward synthesis of pyrimidines by electrophilic activation of a secondary enamide with triflic anhydride (Scheme 1, c).6 In that elegant report, the requirement for an electron-rich alkene in the form of the enamide partner somewhat limits the scope of the reaction. We herein propose an approach consisting of a three-component reaction that merges one alkyne and two secondary amides under an electrophilic activation regime (Scheme 1, d).

We started our investigation of this transformation by employing N-cyclopentylbenzamide (1a), 2-iodopyridine as a base and prop-1-yn-1-ylbenzene as alkyne partner (Table 1).

Already on our first trial (Table 1, entry 1) using 3 equivalents of amide, base and triflic anhydride, the expected pyrimidine 2a was obtained in 60% NMR yield. Other halogenated bases were screened, such as 2-fluoropyridine and 2-chloropyridine (Table 1, entries 2 and 3), resulting in an increase to 77% and 81% yield, respectively. During these experiments, we became aware of the non-negligible effect of the quenching method employed. In the event, we found that stirring for 1 hour at room temperature with a saturated aqueous solution of sodium bicarbonate increased the yield (Table 1, entry 4), up to 88% with 2-iodopyridine. Further variation of the base (Table 1, entry 5) or the loading of activated amide (Table 1, entry 6) led only to lower yields. Finally, we decided to modify the N-amide substituent and investigated N-isopropyl- and N-propylbenzamide. Both were less effective than 1a (yielding 57% and 0%, respectively; cf. Table 1, entries 7 and 8).
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Triflic anhydride is well-known to chemoselectively activate tertiary and secondary amides.\textsuperscript{7,8} We propose the following mechanism to explain the observed reactivity (Scheme 2). The secondary amide \(1\) is activated as nitrilium ion \(RI-1\), reversibly stabilized by 2-iodopyridine (cf. \(RI-2\)).\textsuperscript{7b,9} This pivotal nitrilium species can evolve either to the nitrile \(3\) by loss of cyclopentyl carbocation (presumably favoured by high temperatures), or undergo addition of the alkyne reactant to generate the vinyl cation \(RI-3\). The latter is stabilized by the vicinal aryl group, accounting for the regioselectivity observed in this process. Interception of \(RI-3\) by nitrile \(3\) (cf. \(RI-4\)) sets the stage for cyclization to the pyrimidinium ion \(RI-5\). A second elimination of cyclopentyl carbocation finally accounts for the formation of the pyrimidine product.\textsuperscript{10}

With optimized conditions in hand and the aforementioned mechanistic understanding, we investigated the scope of this reaction (Scheme 3). We started by varying the alkyne partner, keeping \(N\)-cyclopentylbenzamide (\(1a\)) as the amide reactant. The pyrimidine \(2a\) prepared during optimization could be isolated in a very good 83% yield. Alkyne substitution with an ethyl (\(2b\)) or phenyl (\(2c\)) residue did not affect the reaction. Terminal alkynes are also tolerated (\(2d\)). Chlorination of the alkyne’s aromatic moiety yielded the pyrimidines \(2e\) and \(2f\) efficiently, and the fluorinated pyrimidine \(2g\) was accessible by this method in moderate yield.

For the investigation of the amide scope, prop-1-yn-1-ylbenzene was retained as the alkyne partner (Scheme 4). The arylamide can be substituted \textit{ortho} or \textit{meta}\ (\(2h\) and \(2i\)), and the presence of halogens on the aromatic ring is also well-tolerated (\(2j\)), including fluorine (\(2k\), 90% yield). A benzamide is not a prerequisite for successful reaction and it was possible to prepare pyrimidine \(2m\) starting from a tert-

---

**Table 1 Optimization of the Reaction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Amide</th>
<th>2-X-Pyr</th>
<th>Tf₂O</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>NMR yield\textsuperscript{b}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3 equiv 2-i-Pyr (3 equiv)</td>
<td>3 equiv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>3 equiv 2-F-Pyr (3 equiv)</td>
<td>3 equiv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>3 equiv 2-Cl-Pyr (3 equiv)</td>
<td>3 equiv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>3 equiv 2-i-Pyr (3 equiv)</td>
<td>3 equiv</td>
<td>quench 1 h, NaHCO₃</td>
<td>88% (83%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>3 equiv 2-Cl-Pyr (3 equiv)</td>
<td>3 equiv</td>
<td>quench 1 h, NaHCO₃</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2e</td>
<td>2.5 equiv 2-i-Pyr (2.5 equiv)</td>
<td>2.5 equiv</td>
<td>quench 1 h, NaHCO₃</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2f</td>
<td>3 equiv 2-Pr-Pyr (3 equiv)</td>
<td>3 equiv</td>
<td>quench 1 h, NaHCO₃</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2g</td>
<td>3 equiv 2-Pr-Pyr (3 equiv)</td>
<td>3 equiv</td>
<td>quench 1 h, NaHCO₃</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Reaction conditions: amide, 2-halopyridine, 1,2-dichloroethane (3 mL), 0 °C; addition of Tf₂O, after 15 min, addition of alkyne (0.2 mmol, 1 equiv); 90 °C, 18 h.
\textsuperscript{b} NMR yield calculated using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard.
\textsuperscript{c} Isolated yield.
butyl amide. Alkenyl amides and benzamides carrying electron-withdrawing substituents led to lower yields, presumably due to less efficient electrophilic activation (2n, 2o).

In the course of this study, we noticed that no pyrimidine was formed when particularly electron-rich alkynes were employed. Instead, as depicted in Scheme 5, a pyridine derivative was isolated. Indeed, from 1-ethynyl-4-methoxybenzene, pyridine 3a was obtained in a moderate 45% yield. A propargylsilane similarly led to a (desilylated) pyridine (3b). Such cycloannulated pyridines can be found in agrochemicals and pharmaceuticals, and are commonly prepared by condensation reactions, in particular the Friedländer annulation.

This unexpected reactivity is rationalized by invoking a 1,5-hydride shift on the intermediate RI-3 to form the carbocation RI-6/azoniaallene RI-6′. Deprotonation thereof yields the imine RI-7 poised for a 6π-electrocyclization step towards the dihydropyridine 4. We presume that 4 undergoes disproportionation to tetrahydropyridine (also detected by HRMS) and pyridine 3, the latter being the only product that can be isolated from the reaction mixture. It is

---

**Scheme 3** Scope of the alkyne. Reagents and conditions: amide (0.6 mmol, 3 equiv), 2-iodopyridine (3 equiv), 1,2-dichloroethane (3 mL), 0 °C; addition of Tf2O (3 equiv), after 15 min, addition of alkyne (1 equiv); 90 °C, 18 h. Quench: saturated NaHCO3 solution, stirring, 1 h, r.t.

**Scheme 4** Scope of the amide. Reagents and conditions: amide (0.6 mmol, 3 equiv), 2-iodopyridine (3 equiv), 1,2-dichloroethane (3 mL), 0 °C; addition of Tf2O (3 equiv), after 15 min, addition of alkyne (1 equiv); 90 °C, 18 h. Quench: saturated NaHCO3 solution, stirring, 1 h, r.t.
tempting to presume that the aforementioned (cf. Scheme 2) nitrile capture of RI-3 dominates for 'less-stabilized' versions of this intermediate, whereas the intramolecular hydride-transfer pathway is favoured by increased stabilization (and thus increased lifetime in solution) of RI-3.

In conclusion, we have developed a new and efficient access to pyrimidines by formal cycloaddition of 2 equivalents of an appropriately substituted amide and an alkylene. We believe this work is complementary to other methods for the preparation of pyrimidines and benefits from the ready availability of both starting materials. Furthermore, an unusual pathway towards pyridines was uncovered, presumably relying on an internal hydride transfer.
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**Synthesis of Amides**

**General Procedure A**

To a solution of the amine (1.00 equiv) and Et₃N (2.00 equiv) in DCM (0.1 M) at 0 °C, the corresponding acyl chloride (1.20 equiv) was added dropwise and the resulting reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring overnight (14 h). After this time, the thionyl chloride and solvent were removed under reduced pressure to afford the acyl chloride (and thus increased lifetime in solution) of RI-3. To a solution of the amine (1.00 equiv) and Et₃N (2.00 equiv) in DCM (0.1 M) at 0 °C, the corresponding acyl chloride (1.20 equiv) was added dropwise and the resulting reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring overnight (14 h). After this time, the thionyl chloride and solvent were removed under reduced pressure to afford the acyl chloride. To a solution of the amine (1.00 equiv) and Et₃N (1.50 equiv) in DCM (0.1 M) at 0 °C, the corresponding acyl chloride (1.00 equiv) was added dropwise and the resulting reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring overnight (14 h). After this time, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution was added and the biphasic system was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with DCM (1 ×) and the organic phases were combined and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The dried solution was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude material was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (heptane/EtOAc) to afford the desired compound.

**Procedure C**

To a solution of the carboxylic acid (1.00 equiv) and DMF (1 drop) in DCM (0.1 M) was added dropwise thionyl chloride (2.00 equiv) at room temperature. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature overnight (14 h). After this time, the thionyl chloride and solvent were removed under reduced pressure to afford the acyl chloride. To a solution of the amine (1.00 equiv) and Et₃N (1.00 equiv) in DCM (0.1 M) at 0 °C, the corresponding acyl chloride (1.00 equiv) was added dropwise and the resulting reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring overnight (14 h). After this time, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution was added and the biphasic system was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with DCM (1 ×) and the organic phases were combined and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The dried solution was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude material was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (heptane/EtOAc) to afford the desired compound.

**N-Cyclopentylbenzamide (1a)**

General Procedure A; 97% yield. All analytical data were in good accordance with reported data.

**N-Isopropylbenzamide**

General Procedure A; quant. All analytical data were in good accordance with reported data.

**N-Propylbenzamide**

General Procedure A; quant. All analytical data were in good accordance with reported data.

**N-Cyclopentyl-2-methylbenzamide (1b)**

General Procedure A; quant. All analytical data were in good accordance with reported data.

**N-Cyclopentyl-3-methylbenzamide (1i)**

General Procedure A; 95% yield. All analytical data were in good accordance with reported data.

**2-Bromo-N-cyclopentylbenzamide (1j)**

General Procedure A; light-yellow solid, quant.; mp 96–98 °C. IR (neat): 3244, 3066, 2952, 2866, 1628, 1593, 1541, 1468, 1430, 1360, 1320, 1277, 1194, 729, 694 cm⁻¹.

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.56 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.34 (td, J = 7.5, 1.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.26–7.22 (m, 1 H), 5.93 (br s, 1 H), 4.46–4.37 (m, 1 H), 2.10–2.03 (m, 2 H), 1.75–1.63 (m, 4 H), 1.58–1.52 (m, 2 H).

13C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 167.2, 138.2, 133.4, 131.2, 129.8, 127.7, 119.4, 52.0, 33.1, 23.9.

HRMS (ESI+): m/z [M + H⁺]⁺ calcld for C₁₀H₁₂BrNO: 268.0332; found: 268.0336.
N-Cyclopentyl-4-fluorobenzamide (1k)  
[1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 8.59–8.40 (m, 2 H), 7.57–7.38 (m, 4 H), 7.26–7.21 (m, 3 H), 7.20–7.13 (m, 3 H), 7.03–6.97 (m, 2 H). HRMS (ESI+): [M + H]+ calcd for C23H19N2: 323.1543; found: 323.1539.

All analytical data were in good accordance with reported data.23

5-Ethyl-2,4,6-triphenylpyrimidine (2b)  
Yield: 72%.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 8.68–8.62 (m, 2 H), 7.54–7.47 (m, 3 H), 7.45–7.38 (m, 4 H), 7.32–7.27 (m, 3 H), 7.26–7.21 (m, 3 H), 7.20–7.13 (m, 3 H), 7.03–6.97 (m, 2 H).


All analytical data were in good accordance with reported data.24

2,4,5,6-Tetraphenylpyrimidine (2c)  
Yield: 41%.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 8.79–8.68 (m, 2 H), 8.38–8.24 (m, 4 H), 8.03 (s, 1 H), 7.64–7.47 (m, 3 H).


All analytical data were in good accordance with reported data.25

4-(2-Chlorophenyl)-5-methyl-2,6-diphenylpyrimidine (2f)  
Yield: 59%.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 8.15–8.07 (m, 2 H), 7.82–7.77 (m, 2 H), 7.52–7.38 (m, 4 H), 7.31–7.21 (m, 3 H), 7.25–7.19 (m, 2 H), 7.18–7.13 (m, 2 H), 7.09–6.97 (m, 2 H).


All analytical data were in good accordance with reported data.26
4-(4-Fluoro-2-methylphenyl)-2,6-diphenylpyrimidin (2g)

Yellow solid, 57% yield; mp 119–120 °C.

IR (neat): 3068, 3054, 3028, 2936, 2868, 1615, 1601, 1532, 1507, 1392, 1270, 1149, 1006, 842, 808, 772, 734, 697 cm⁻¹.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.64–8.51 (m, 2 H), 8.41–8.13 (m, 2 H), 8.14–7.81 (m, 1 H), 7.94 (s, 1 H), 7.61–7.49 (m, 6 H), 7.18 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H).

13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 167.3, 165.8, 161.7, 139.2, 137.9, 137.8, 135.5, 131.0, 130.5, 129.5, 129.3, 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 123.2, 17.8.


2.4-Bis-(3-fluorophenyl)-5-methyl-6-phenylpyrimidin (2k)

Yellow solid, 90% yield; mp 148–150 °C.

IR (neat): 3057, 3025, 2928, 2861, 1713, 1601, 1532, 1507, 1392, 1379, 1222, 1149, 1006, 842, 808, 772, 734, 697 cm⁻¹.

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.59–8.54 (m, 2 H), 7.78–7.71 (m, 4 H), 7.56–7.49 (m, 3 H), 7.22 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.14 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.39 (s, 3 H).

13C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 167.3, 166.0, 164.9 (d, J = 176.7 Hz), 163.2 (d, J = 176.4 Hz), 160.9, 139.1, 135.3 (d, J = 3.3 Hz), 134.1 (d, J = 2.8 Hz), 131.5 (d, J = 8.4 Hz), 130.4 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 129.4, 129.8, 123.1, 115.5 (d, J = 11.1 Hz), 115.4 (d, J = 11.0 Hz), 17.9.

19F NMR (565 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = −111.1 (tt, J = 8.4, 5.6 Hz), −111.8 (tt, J = 8.6, 5.5 Hz).

HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₅H₁₈F₂Na₂: 381.1174; found: 381.1168.
White solid, 21% yield; mp 188–190 °C.

IR (neat): 3084, 3059, 3027, 2949, 2843, 1719, 1527, 1434, 1388, 8.16–8.11 (m, 2 H), 7.84–7.79 (m, 2 H), 7.77–7.71 (m, 2 H), 7.57–7.50 (m, 2 H), 7.38–7.34 (m, 1 H), 7.29 (s, 1 H), 3.09 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 3.07 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H).
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(10) It is likely that the 2 equivalents of cyclopentyl carbocation eliminated ultimately lead to cyclopentene by proton loss (E1). Given the volatility of cyclopentene, it was not possible to detect its presence in the reaction mixture.


(13) Dihydropyridine 4 has been detected by HRMS in several reactions but was never isolated.


