Bromine-Radical-Mediated Site-Selective Allylation of C(sp³)–H Bonds
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Abstract The C(sp³)–H allylation of alkanes is investigated by using allyl bromides under radical reaction conditions. In many cases, methine C–H allylation preceded methylene and methyl C–H allylation with complete or a high degree of site selectivity. The C–H allylation of allylic compounds, such as allylbenzene, gives 1,5-dienes with the SH2′ reactions of the allyl radicals occurring at the less hindered carbon.
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Site-selective alkyl C–H functionalization has become a growing area of research, and useful catalytic methods have appeared in recent years. SH2-type hydrogen abstraction has excellent potential for allyl C–H functionalization, and we and the Pavia group have jointly been engaged in the development of C–H functionalization methods using the decatungstate anion as a photocatalyst. Interestingly, we found that both the polar and steric effects in the SH2 transition states strongly affect the site selectivity in C–H functionalization of compounds possessing a polar functionality, such as ketones, esters, nitriles, and pyridylalkanes.

Radical bromination of saturated alkanes by molecular bromine has a long history, representing a textbook radical reaction (Scheme 1, eq 1). The site selectivity is in the order of methine C–H, methylene C–H, and methyl C–H, which is regarded as a reflection of the bond-dissociation energy of C–H bonds. We recently reported the results of DFT calculations with respect to the transition states derived from the hydrogen abstraction of C–H bonds by bromine radical, which are illustrated in Scheme 1. In 1941, Kharasch and co-workers reported methine selective C–H bromination of 2-methylpropane (Scheme 1). Similarly complete site selectivity was observed for the methine C–H bond in the bromination of isooctane (Scheme 1). Russell and Brown reported that methine selectivity with 2-methylpentane drops to a level of 90% (Scheme 1) and it was reasoned that this decrease was due to the increased number of methylene C–H bonds competing in the process.

Although allylic bromides are found to act as useful allylating reagents in a bromine-radical-mediated chain process, the site-selective C–H allylation of saturated alkanes has yet to be investigated. In this paper, we report our results on the C–H allylation of a variety of saturated alkanes by allyl bromides under radical chain reaction conditions.

In the first investigation, we carried out the allylation of isooctane (1a) with ethyl 2-(bromomethyl)acrylate (2a) using di-tert-butyl peroxide (DTBPO) as a radical initiator and potassium carbonate as a HBr scavenger. When the reaction mixture was heated at 130 °C for 24 hours, we were pleased...
to find that the envisaged methine-selective C–H allylation of 1a proceeded to give the expected product, ethyl 4,4,6,6-tetramethyl-2-methyleneheptanoate (3aa) (Scheme 2). In this case, the yield of 3aa was moderate due to the isomerization of the initially formed 3aa into the internal olefin product 4aa. A shorter reaction time of 8 hours suppressed the isomerization and improved the isolated yield of 3aa to 55%. The use of excess amounts of alkane 1a was crucial to obtain good yields of allylated products.11

Encouraged by this result, we next examined the generality of the allylation of several structurally diverse alkanes 1 with allyl bromides 2 (Table 1). The reactions of isooctane (1a) with [(3-bromoprop-1-en-2-yl)sulfonyl]benzene (2b) and 2-(bromomethyl)acrylonitrile (2c) proceeded with complete site selectivity to give the corresponding allylated products 3ab and 3ac (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). The reaction of 1a with (3-bromoprop-1-en-2-yl)benzene (2d) was sluggish, giving product 3ad in a low yield via methine C–H functionalization (entry 3). The C–H allylation of 3-methylpentane (1b) with 2a gave a mixture of methine and methylene C–H allylated products 3ba and 5ba in a 92:8 ratio (entry 4). The drop in the methine selectivity is due to the increased number of methylene C–H bonds. The reactions of 1b with 2b and 2c gave similar sets of products (entries 5 and 6). Interestingly the C–H allylation of 2,3-dimethylbutane (1c) with 2a gave a 62:38 mixture of 5ca and 3ca in 31% total yield (entry 7). The low methine site selectivity is due to the sterically congested methine C–H bonds, which hamper access by the bromine radical.

We then examined four cycloalkanes, 1d, 1e, 1f, and 1g. The reactions of cyclohexane (1d) with 2a and 2c took place to afford the corresponding products 3da and 3dc in 59% and 57% yields, respectively (Table 1, entries 8 and 9). The reaction of cyclopentane (1e) with 2a gave allylated cyclopentane 3ea in 41% yield (entry 10). The C–H allylation of methylcyclohexane (1f) with 2a proceeds with a high degree of site selectivity to give a mixture of methine C–H allylated product 3fa and other regioisomers in an 84:16 ratio (entry 11). The reaction of 1g with 2a gave an 86:14 mixture of 3ga and other isomers in a 37% yield (entry 12).

The proposed reaction mechanism for the present bromine-radical-mediated site-selective C–H allylation of alkanes is illustrated in Scheme 3 using the reaction of isooctane (1a) with 2a: (i) a tBuO radical is generated from DTBPO by homolysis under heating, (ii) the tBuO radical abstracts a hydrogen from alkane 1a to produce tertiary alky radical A, (iii) the radical A adds to allylic bromide 2a to form the radical intermediate B, which undergoes β-fission to give the allylated alkane 3aa and a bromine radical, and (iv) the liberated bromine radical abstracts a hydrogen site selectively from another molecule of 1a to produce the carbon radical A, thereby sustaining the radical chain.
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Table 1  Site Selectivity in the Br⁺ Induced C–H Allylation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Yield (%) b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>3ab</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>3ac</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>3ad</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>3ba + 5ba</td>
<td>66 (92:8)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>3bb + 5bb</td>
<td>48 (83:17)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>3bc + 5bc</td>
<td>28 (91:9)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>3ca + 5ca</td>
<td>31d (38:62)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>3da</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>3dc</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1e</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>3ea</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EWG

EWG = CO₂Et, SO₂Ph, CN, Ph

Reagents: (t-BuO)₂ (20 mol%), K₂CO₃ (0.5 mmol)

Conditions: 130 °C, 8 h

R = H + EWG

1 (5 mL) 2 (0.5 mmol) 3
The bromine radical smoothly abstracts a hydrogen from the allylic C–H bond of terminal alkenes, as we recently reported.\textsuperscript{12} We thought that the formation of allylic radicals by bromine-radical-induced C–H bond cleavage would be followed by allylation with allylic bromides at the least substituted site to give 1,5-dienes. As summarized in Scheme 4, both allylbenzene (1\textit{h}) and \textit{p}-allylanisole (1\textit{i}) undergo the envisaged hydrogen abstraction and \textit{S}$_{\text{2}}$' reaction at their termini to give the corresponding dienes 3\textit{ha} and 3\textit{ia} in acceptable yields. In these reactions, 1,2-epoxybutane was added as a HBr trap to significantly increase the yield. 1-Hexen-3-ol (1\textit{j}) also participated in the allyl radical formation/allylation sequence. In the case of 1\textit{j}, we obtained the $\delta$,$\varepsilon$-unsaturated ketone 3\textit{ja} via enol–keto tautomerization.

In summary, the C–H allylation of saturated alkanes with allyl bromides proceeds with good to excellent preference for the methine C–H bonds over methylene and methyl C–H bonds. These results are in good accordance with previously investigated C–H brominations of alkanes. The degree of the methine C–H preference is affected by both increased numbers of competing C–H bonds and steric congestion of the targeted methine C–H bond. In the case of allylic compounds, C–C bond formation took place at the less hindered site of the resulting allyl radical, which led to the formation of 1,5-dienes.
Yield: 58.5 mg (55%); yellow oil; \( R_f = 0.33 \) (hexane/EtOAc, 20:1).
IR (neat): 2953, 1720, 1626 cm\(^{-1}\).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta = 0.93 \) (s, 6 H), 0.99 (s, 9 H), 1.28–1.32 (m, 5 H), 2.35 (s, 2 H), 4.20 (q, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, 2 H), 5.43 (s, 1 H), 6.16 (d, \( J = 2.0 \) Hz, 1 H).

13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta = 32.45 \), 32.48, 32.50, 32.51, 36.58, 42.61.
HRMS (EI): \( m/z \) [M + Na]\(^+\) calcd for C\(_7\)H\(_{16}\)Na: 181.1587; found: 181.1589.

Ethyl (Z)-2,4,4,6,6-Pentamethylhept-2-enoate (4aa)
Yield: 5.66 mg (5%); yellow oil; \( R_f = 0.15 \) (hexane/EtOAc, 30:1).
IR (neat): 2956, 1464, 1365, 1151 cm\(^{-1}\).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta = 0.93 \) (s, 6 H), 0.99 (s, 6 H), 1.25 (s, 2 H), 2.28 (s, 2 H), 5.90 (s, 1 H), 6.49 (s, 1 H), 7.52 (t, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, 2 H), 7.60 (t, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, 1 H), 7.88 (d, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, 2 H).

13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta = 26.07, 26.36, 32.72, 36.14, 42.61, 54.69, 120.30, 120.59, 134.28 \).
HRMS (ESI): \( m/z \) [M + Na]\(^+\) calcd for C\(_7\)H\(_{16}\)Na: 172.1095; found: 172.1096.

[(4,4,6-Tetramethyl-1-en-2-yl)sulfonyl]benzene (3ab)
Yield: 63.9 mg (48%); orange oil; \( R_f = 0.20 \) (hexane/EtOAc, 20:1).
IR (neat): 2965, 2938, 1305, 1148 cm\(^{-1}\).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta = 0.74 \) (t, \( J = 6.4 \) Hz, 6 H), 0.78 (s, 3 H), 1.23 (q, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, 4 H), 2.17 (s, 2 H), 5.85 (s, 1 H), 6.49 (s, 1 H), 7.54 (t, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, 2 H), 7.62 (t, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, 1 H), 7.78 (d, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, 2 H).

13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta = 8.01, 24.02, 30.82, 36.54, 36.83, 126.23, 128.49, 129.21, 134.42, 139.40, 148.73 \).
HRMS (EI): \( m/z \) [M – OEt]\(^+\) calcd for C\(_{10}\)H\(_{17}\)N: 174.1253; found: 174.1254.

Ethyl 4-Ethyl-4-methyl-2-methylenehexanoate (3ba)
Yield: 65.4 mg (66%); colorless oil; \( R_f = 0.40 \) (hexane/EtOAc, 20:1).
IR (neat): 2925, 2851, 1719, 1153 cm\(^{-1}\).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta = 0.86–0.96 \) (m, 2 H), 1.08–1.34 (m, 4 H), 1.51–1.78 (m, 5 H), 2.14 (d, \( J = 9.0 \) Hz, 2 H), 5.66 (d, \( J = 1.5 \) Hz, 1 H), 5.86 (s, 1 H).

13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta = 26.07, 26.36, 32.72, 36.14, 42.61, 119.07, 131.32 \).
HRMS (ESI): \( m/z \) [M + Na]\(^+\) calcd for C\(_{10}\)H\(_{17}\)Na: 174.1253; found: 174.1254.

2-(Cyclohexylmethyl)acrylonitrile (3dc)
Yield: 42.5 mg (57%); yellow oil; \( R_f = 0.40 \) (hexane/EtOAc, 20:1).
IR (neat): 2924, 2852, 1719, 1153 cm\(^{-1}\).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta = 0.86–0.96 \) (m, 2 H), 1.08–1.34 (m, 4 H), 1.51–1.78 (m, 5 H), 2.14 (d, \( J = 9.0 \) Hz, 2 H), 5.66 (d, \( J = 1.5 \) Hz, 1 H), 5.86 (s, 1 H).

13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta = 26.07, 26.36, 32.72, 36.14, 42.61, 119.07, 131.32 \).
HRMS (ESI): \( m/z \) [M + Na]\(^+\) calcd for C\(_{10}\)H\(_{17}\)Na: 172.1097; found: 172.1098.
Ethyl 2-[(1-Methylcyclopentyl)methyl]acrylate (3ga)

Yield: 126.7 mg (55%); colorless oil; δ (CDCl3) = 0.50 (hexane/EtOAc, 20:1).

IR (neat): 2933, 1714, 1607, 1509, 1176, 1138 cm–1.


Ethyl (E)-2-Methylene-6-phenylhex-5-enoate (3ha)

Yield: 126.7 mg (55%); colorless oil; δ (CDCl3) = 0.50 (hexane/EtOAc, 20:1).

IR (neat): 1725, 1182 cm–1.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 1.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 2.49 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.40 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.14–2.16 (m, 2 H), 1.56–1.58 (m, 2 H). 0.81 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H). 1.08–1.10 (m, 2 H).


Ethyl 2-Methylene-6-oxononanoate (3ja)

Yield: 76.4 mg (36%); colorless oil; δ (CDCl3) = 0.25 (hexane/EtOAc, 20:1).

IR (neat): 2874, 1715, 1462 cm–1.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 0.89–0.93 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.58–1.64 (m, 2 H), 1.76 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.30 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.36–2.44 (m, 4 H), 4.20 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 5.54 (s, 1 H), 6.16 (s, 1 H).


