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Abstract The stereoselective total synthesis of unnatural (+)-oxycodone from phenethyl acetate is described. Absolute stereochemistry was established via microbial dihydroxylation of phenethyl acetate with the recombinant strain JM109 (pDTG601A) to the corresponding cis-cyclohexadienediol whose configuration provides for the absolute stereochemistry of the ring C of (+)-oxycodone. Intramolecular Heck cyclization was employed to establish the quaternary carbon at C-13, along with the dibenzodihydrofuran functionality. The C-14 hydroxyl was installed via SmI2-mediated radical cyclization. The synthesis of (+)-oxycodone was completed in a total of 13 steps and an overall yield of 1.5%. Experimental and spectral data are provided for all new compounds.
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The semi-synthetic opioid (–)-oxycodone (1) (Figure 1), although found also in nature,1 is a potent analgesic that is clinically prescribed for pain management.2 It is taken by mouth and is available mixed with acetaminophen in immediate release tablet form, which contains oxycodone HCl (5 mg) and acetaminophen (325 mg) (Percocet®),3 as a single ingredient medication oxycodone HCl (60 mg and 80 mg) or as film coated, extended release tablet OxyContin®.4

The past 70 years, since the milestone synthesis of morphine by Gates,9 there have been more than 30 total syntheses of morphine and related alkaloids and the academic effort continues unabated.10 Even the most efficient synthesis reported by Rice11 may not be suitable for scale-up in the industrial preparation of morphinans. Although the development of a truly practical total synthesis of any morphinan or an opiate-derived agent on a commercial scale seems like a distant dream we have attempted to design a method for the synthesis of oxycodone from readily available starting materials. A de novo preparation of oxycodone or any other medicinal opiate-derived agents for medicinal use may serve as an insurance against any future unforeseen events that may limit the supply of natural sources because of climate or political instabilities in the opium-producing regions. To date, there is only one published total synthesis of oxycodone.12 Fukuyama and co-workers accomplished the total synthesis of (–)-oxycodone (1) from 2-bromoisoavallin in 24 steps in an overall yield of 0.016%. The key steps in their synthesis featured a direct intramolecular arylation of an aryl bromide, an oxidative dearomatization reaction, an intramolecular Michael addition, and a Hofmann rearrangement. Absolute stereochemistry was incorporated into the starting material by the use of Evans’ oxazolidinone as a chiral auxiliary.
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Scheme 1 outlines our retrosynthesis of (+)-oxycodone. Disconnection of ring D leads to styrene 2. In the forward sense, Parker’s hydroamination can be utilized to construct the C-9 stereogenic center. The tosylamide group necessary for the cyclization reaction is derived from acetate 3, which is envisioned to be prepared from the keto acetal 4 following a deprotection of acetal and a SmI$_2$-mediated pinacol-type coupling reaction. The key intermediate 4 can be obtained from alkene 5 via dihydroxylation followed by selective mesylation of the less hindered hydroxyl group and the elimination of the mesylate to reveal the ketone functionality in 4. Alkene 5 can be obtained in two steps from alcohol 7 via a sequence of steps that involves a Mitsunobu coupling with an iodophenol acetal to furnish aryl ether 8. The absolute stereochemistry in 7 is incorporated via microbial dihydroxylation with toluene dioxygenase, overexpressed in E. coli JM109 (pDTG601A), in the whole-cell fermentation of phenethyl acetate (8). The enzymatically derived arene cis-dihydriodiols such as 7 have found widespread use in enantioselective synthesis of natural products.

The synthesis began with the microbial dihydroxylation of phenethyl acetate (8) (Scheme 2) in a whole cell fermentation with E. coli JM109 (pDTG601A) to afford the intermediate cyclohexadiene diol 7 (obtained in 5 g $^{-1}$ yield) which was subjected to a selective reduction of the less hindered alkene to afford the known diol 9 (85% yield). The distal, less hindered, hydroxyl in diol 9 was protected with tert-butylidemethylsilyl chloride and the proximal allylic alcohol was then coupled with iodophenol 10 derived from isovanillin, via a Mitsunobu reaction to furnish ether 6 (45% yield over two steps). A subsequent intramolecular Heck reaction of 6 produced olefin 5 (87% yield) whose dihydroxylation led to diol 11 (81% yield). This compound possesses the features of the ACE rings of oxycodone. The diol functionality was converted to ketone 4 via mesylation of the less hindered hydroxyl group followed by DBU-catalyzed elimination of the resulting mesylate (63% yield over two steps). With the attainment of 4, deprotection of the acetal followed by a pinacol-type coupling of the intermediate keto aldehyde using SmI$_2$ was conducted to afford diol 3, tentatively assigned as the cis-isomer (65% yield over two steps). Protection of diol 3 with a carbonate group to afford 12 (80% yield) allowed for the introduction of the tosylamide functionality via methanalysis of the acetate followed by Mitsunobu coupling of the resulting alcohol with N-methyl p-toluenesulfonyl amide. The carbonato moiety in the crude tosylamide was hydrolyzed to afford diol 13 (67% yield over three steps). It would have been desirable to isolate the intermediate tosylamide carbonate but the difficulty in the separation of residual N-methyl p-toluenesulfonyle amide from the desired product rendered this process impractical. The less hindered hydroxyl of 13 was converted to the corresponding mesylate and subjected to DBU-catalyzed elimination affording alkene 2 (70% yield over two steps), which was the precursor for the key Parker’s dihydroamination step to complete the ring system of oxycodone. Thus, treatment of 2 with Li in liquid ammonia as reported by Parker in the total synthesis of hydrocodone afforded the oxycodol ether 14 (76% yield). Deprotection of the TBS group in 14 followed by oxidation of the alcohol to ketone afforded ent-oxycodone [ent-(1)] (59% yield over two steps).

In conclusion, a short chemoenzymatic synthesis of ent-oxycodone has been accomplished in 13 steps from phenethyl acetate. Further improvements in this short synthesis will address installation of the N-methyltosylamide side chain earlier in the synthesis thus eliminating the need to use a carbonate protecting group during the synthetic sequence. In particular, the acetate functionality in 5 will be converted to the tosylamide. Furthermore, an SmI$_2$-mediated nitro-keto coupling is being investigated to afford an amino alcohol instead of diol intermediate for subsequent cyclization to the pendant acetate side chain. These
improvements, as well as the 2nd generation synthesis of the natural enantiomer, are currently being investigated and will be reported in due course.

Inoculum was obtained from viable cells stored at −78 °C in cryovials. They were grown in suitable media as previously described. 15b Substrate was fed in 5 mL increments over the course of 3 h with metabolites being harvested in the usual manner. All non-aqueous reactions were conducted in an argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques for the exclusion of moisture and air. CH2Cl2 was distilled from CaH2; THF and toluene were dried over Na/benzophenone. Analytical TLC was performed on Silicycle 60 Å 250 mm TLC plates with F-254 indicator. Flash column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh). Melting points were recorded on a Hoover Unimelt apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were obtained on a PerkinElmer One FT-IR spectrophotometer. Optical rotation was measured on a PerkinElmer 341 polarimeter at a wavelength of 589 nm. 1H and 13C spectra were recorded on a 300 MHz and 400 MHz Bruker spectrometer. All chemical shifts are referenced to TMS or residual nondeuterated solvent. Data of proton spectra are reported as residual nondeuterated solvent. Data of proton spectra are reported as [δ, J, S] relative to solvent resonance as internal standard. Mass spectra and high-resolution mass spectra were performed by the Analytical Division at Brock University.

2-[(S,S,R)-5,6-Dihydroxyhexa-1,3-dien-1-y]ethyl Acetate (7) 16

This compound was prepared according to a literature procedure.16 [α]D = 32.07 (c = 0.4, CHCl3) [Lit.16 [α]D = 40.7 (c = 2.0, CHCl3)]; Rf = 0.18 (hexanes/EtOAc 1:2).

IR (CHCl3): 3392, 2955, 2925, 1735, 1383, 1366, 1238, 1037, 803 cm–1.

HRMS (EI): 3392, 2955, 2925, 1735, 1383, 1366, 1238, 1037, 803 cm–1.

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 5.90 (ddd, 1H), 5.80 (dd, 1H), 5.75–5.70 (m, 1H), 4.30–4.14 (m, 3H), 4.09 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (s, 2H), 2.57–2.48 (m, 2H), 2.01 (s, 3H).

13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 171.5, 137.7, 128.2, 124.7, 121.6, 70.1, 68.5, 63.1, 33.3, 21.1.

MS (EI): m/z = 198, 120, 107, 91, 75.

HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C10H14O4: 198.0892; found: 198.0889.

2-[(S,S,R)-5,6-Dihydroxyhexa-1-ethyl Acetate (9) 17

To a stirred mixture of diol 7 (150 mg, 0.76 mmol) and potassium azodicarboxylate [PAD] (200 mg, 1.03 mmol) in MeOH (4 mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise (over 15 min) AcOH (0.5 mL, 8.75 mmol) in MeOH (1 mL). The reaction was complete after 30 min, as monitored by TLC (2:1 EtOAc/hexanes). The mixture was concentrated via rotary evaporation to afford a crude product that was resuspended in CH2Cl2 (10 mL), and the CH2Cl2 layer was washed with sat. aq NaHCO3 (5 mL). The organic extract was dried (MgSO4), filtered, and evaporated to remove solvent to afford a crude oily residue that was chromatographed
on silica gel using 2:1 EtOAc/hexanes as eluent to afford 9 as an oil; yield: 128 mg (85%); [α]_20^20 –65.9° (c = 1.0, CHCl_3) [Lit.\^17 [α]_20^20 –53.0° (c = 0.2, CHCl_3)]; R_f = 0.25 (hexanes/EtOAc 1:2).

^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 5.63 (1, J = 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.37–4.26 (m, 1 H), 4.22–4.12 (m, 1 H), 4.03 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.79–3.71 (m, 1 H), 2.57–2.33 (m, 3 H), 2.23–2.05 (m, 3 H), 2.04 (s, 3 H), 1.75–1.62 (m, 2 H).

^13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 171.4, 133.6, 126.7, 69.5, 68.6, 63.3, 33.9, 24.9, 24.1, 20.9.


To a stirred solution of alcohol 9 (3.1 g, 10.0 mmol) and 3-[(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-2-iodo-6-methoxyphenoxymethyl]triethylamine\(^{15}\) (10: 3.7 g, 10.9 mmol) at −10°C in THF (40 mL) was added nBu_3P (3.5 mL, 14.0 mmol), followed by TMAD (2.3 g, 13.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to r.t. and stirred for 16 h at r.t. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using hexanes/EtOAc as eluent (4:1 to 2:1) to afford the product 6 as an oil; yield: 5.2 g (83%); [α]_20^20 +16.6° (c = 16.7, CH_2Cl_2); R_f = 0.36 (1:1 hexanes/EtOAc).

IR (film): 3429, 2856, 1671, 1433, 1042 cm\(^{-1}\).

HRMS (EI+): m/z calcd for C\(_{42}\)H\(_{74}\)O\(_4\)Si: 694.5298; found: 694.5287.

To a stirred solution of diol 11 (1.41 g, 2.61 mmol) in CHCl_3 (37 mL) at 0°C was added NE_3(272 μL, 2.22 mmol) followed with MeCl (303 μL, 3.91 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at 0°C for 30 min, and then diluted with CH_2Cl_2/aq NaHCO_3 (20 mL/30 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was further extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 15 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried (MgSO_4), and concentrated to afford a residue that was used without purification in the next step. To the crude mesylate (obtained from the previous step) dissolved in toluene (20 mL) was added DBU (5 mL). The mixture was heated to reflux for 5 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to r.t. after which it was diluted with EtOAc/sat. aq NH_4Cl (20 mL/20 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was further extracted with EtOAc (2 × 20 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried (MgSO_4), and concentrated to afford a residue that was chromatographed on silica gel using hexanes/EtOAc as eluent (4:1 to 2:1) to afford the product 4 as an oil; yield: 850 mg (63% over 2 steps); [α]_20^20 –60.2° (c = 17.9, CH_2Cl_2); R_f = 0.44 (2:1 hexanes/EtOAc).

IR (film): 2930, 2855, 1738, 1642, 1249, 1078, 776 cm\(^{-1}\).

HRMS (EI+): m/z calcd for C\(_{42}\)H\(_{72}\)O\(_4\)Si: 692.5240; found: 692.5213.

2-[(5S,6S,9R,9a)-6-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-4-methoxy-5a,6,7,9a-tetrahydrodibenzo[d,b][furano-9a-yl]ethyl Acetate (7)

To a stirred solution of ether 6 (3.02 g, 4.76 mmol) in DMF (55 mL) was added Pd(OAc)_2 (168 mg, 0.71 mmol), Ag_2CO_3 (39.4 g, 143 mmol), and dppp (590 mg, 1.43 mmol). The resulting mixture was heated to reflux for 3 h. The cooled reaction mixture was diluted with EtO/H_2O (100 mL/50 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was further extracted with EtO_2 (2 × 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO_4), filtered, and concentrated to afford a residue that was chromatographed on silica gel using hexanes/EtOAc (8:1 to 4:1) as eluent to afford the product 5 as an oil; yield: 2.1 g (87%).

2-[(5S,6S,8S,9R,9a)-6-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-8,9-dihydroxy-4-methoxy-5a,6,7,8,9,9a-hexahydrodibenzo[d,b][furano-9a-yl]ethyl Acetate (11)

To a stirred solution of alkene 5 (1.5 g, 2.95 mmol) in acetonitrile (H_2O) (30 mL/9 mL) was added N-methylmorpholine oxide (NMO; 345 mg, 2.95 mmol) followed by a catalytic amount of K_2SO_4/2H_2O. The resulting solution was stirred at r.t. for 2 d whereupon the mixture was diluted with EtOAc/H_2O (30 mL/15 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was further extracted with EtOAc (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO_4), filtered, and concentrated to afford a residue that was chromatographed on silica gel using hexanes/EtOAc (1:1 to 2:1) as eluent to afford the product 11 as an oil; yield: 1.3 g (81%); [α]_20^20 +16.7° (c = 16.7, CH_2Cl_2); R_f = 0.36 (1:1 hexanes/EtOAc).

IR (film): 3429, 2951, 2856, 1738, 1629, 1433, 1042 cm\(^{-1}\).

HRMS (EI+): m/z calcd for C\(_{42}\)H\(_{58}\)O\(_4\)Si: 650.2755; found: 650.2734.

2-([5S,6S,9R,9a]-6-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-4-methoxy-9-oxo-5a,6,7,8,9,9a-hexahydrodibenzono[d,b][furano-9a-yl]ethyl Acetate (4)

To a stirred solution of diol 11 (22.2 mg, 0.035 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was added 50% aq TFA (1.0 mL). The biphasic mixture was warmed up to r.t. and stirred for 16 h at r.t. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using hexanes/EtOAc as eluent (4:1 to 2:1) to afford the product 4 as an oil; yield: 600 mg (80%); [α]_20^20 –53.3° (c = 207.8, 170.7, 148.9, 142.9, 127.1, 126.0, 123.0, 112.8, 104.7, 97.4, 67.5, 63.7, 55.5, 54.2, 54.1, 44.4, 33.7, 25.6, 21.0, 20.7, 17.9, –5.1, –5.2.

MS (EI+): m/z (%) = 522 (20), 490 (100), 430 (40), 373 (50), 341 (95), 313 (50), 299 (100), 287 (20), 275, 208, 179, –50.9, –50.9.

HRMS (EI+): m/z calcd for C\(_{42}\)H\(_{44}\)O\(_4\)Si: 540.2755; found: 540.2734.

2-((3S,5a,6S,9aR)-3-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9a-dihydroxymethoxy-1,2,3,3a,4,5,6,7,8,9-decahydrophenanthro-[4,5-]bd][furano-3-yl]ethyl Acetate (3)

To a stirred solution of keto acetal 4 (440 mg, 0.84 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was added 50% aq TFA (1.0 mL). The biphasic mixture was heated to 50°C for 30 min, then it was allowed to cool down to r.t. The
reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc/sat. aq NaHCO₃ (10 mL/5 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was further extracted with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated to afford a residue that was used as crude in the next step. To the crude keto acetal (obtained from the previous step) dissolved in THF (3 mL) was added SmI₂ (50 mg, 0.10 mmol), followed by TMAD (23 mg, 0.13 mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to r.t. and stirred for 16 h at r.t. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to afford a residue that was used as crude in the next step. To the crude alcohol (obtained from the previous step) dissolved in THF (2 mL) was added aq NaOH (10 mL/5 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was further extracted with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated to afford a residue that was chromatographed on silica gel using hexanes/EtOAc as eluent (2:1) to afford the product 2 as an oil; yield: 262 mg (65% yield over 2 steps; [α]D = +41.5 (c = 0.9, CH₂Cl₂); Rf = 0.33 (1:1 hexanes/EtOAc). IR (film): 3435, 2952, 2930, 2854, 1736, 1713, 1624, 1527, 1030 cm⁻¹.  

N-(2-[(5a,8a,8a1R,11,11aS)-11-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-2-methoxy-7-oxa-5a,8a19,10,11,11a-hexahydro-5H-furo[2′,3′,4′:5,6]phenanthro[8a,9-d]-[1,3]dioxol-8a1-yl]ethyl)-N4-dimethylbenzenesulfonamide (13)  

To as stirred solution of carbonate 12 (50 mg, 0.10 mmol) in MeOH (2 mL) was added K₂CO₃ (50 mg, 0.36 mmol). The resulting suspension was stirred at r.t. for 2 h. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the residue was diluted with EtOAc/sat. aq NaHCO₃ (10 mL/5 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was further extracted with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated to afford a residue that was used as crude in the next step. To the crude alcohol (obtained from the previous step) dissolved in THF (15 mL) at –10 °C was added nBu₃P (35 μL, 0.14 mmol), followed by TMAD (23 mg, 0.13 mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to r.t. and stirred for 16 h at r.t. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to afford a residue that was used without purification in the next step. To the crude tosylamide carbonate (obtained from the previous step) dissolved in MeOH (2 mL) was added aq 3 N NaOH (0.5 mL). The resulting cloudy solution was stirred at r.t. for 30 min. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the residue was diluted with EtOAc/H₂O (10 mL/5 mL). The aqueous layer was further extracted with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated to afford a residue that was chromatographed on silica gel using hexanes/EtOAc (2:1) as eluent to afford the product 13 as an oil; yield: 40 mg (67% yield over 3 steps; [α]D = +1.1, CH₂Cl₂); Rf = 0.50 (1:1 hexanes/EtOAc). IR (film): 3466, 2928, 2855, 1633, 1505, 1159 cm⁻¹.  

N-[(3S,5aS,3a'R,9aS)-3-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-9a-hydroxy-5-methoxy-1,2,3,4,3a,4a,5,6-tetrahydrophenanthro[4,5-bc]furan-3a'-yl]ethyl)-N4-dimethylbenzenesulfonamide (2)  

To a stirred solution of diol 13 (150 mg, 0.25 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) at 0 °C was added NEt₃ (63 μL, 0.35 mmol) followed by MeSiCl (29 μL, 0.37 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, and then it was diluted with CH₂Cl₂/aq NaHCO₃ (10 mL/10 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was further extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 10 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated to afford a residue that was used without purification in the next step. To the crude mesylate (obtained from the previous step) dissolved in toluene (3 mL) was added DBU (1 mL). The mixture was heated to reflux for 1 h. The mixture was allowed to cool to r.t. after which it was diluted with EtOAc/sat. aq NH₄Cl (10 mL/10 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was further extracted with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated to afford a residue that was
To a stirred solution of tosylamide 2 (50 mg, 0.085 mmol) in THF (8 mL) was added t-BuOH (100 µL, 1.05 mmol). The solution was cooled to −78 °C and ammonia was condensed (30 mL) to the reaction mixture. Li (60 mg, 8.65 mmol) was added in three portions over 10 min. The resulting deep blue solution was stirred at −78 °C for 10 min. It was quenched by the sequential addition of solid NH₄Cl (4 g), MeOH (20 mL) and sat. aq NH₄Cl (20 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to r.t. after which it was diluted with CH₂Cl₂/sat. aq NH₄Cl (30 mL/30 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was further extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 30 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated to afford a residue that was chromatographed on silica gel using CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (9:1) as eluent to afford the product 14 as a solid; yield: 28 mg (76%); mp 120–122 °C (MeOH); δH (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ= 3.46 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.38 (s, 3 H), 2.17–2.22 (m, 1 H), 1.92–2.01 (m, 1 H), 1.55–1.58 (m, 2 H), 1.42–1.46 (m, 1 H), 0.91 (s, 9 H), 0.13 (s, 3 H), 0.03 (s, 3 H). δC (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ= 145.4, 144.7, 143.2, 137.2, 135.1, 129.7, 129.6, 127.5, 123.6, 112.8, 118.3, 97.3, 75.8, 73.3, 56.7, 50.3, 46.9, 35.2, 34.4, 33.0, 25.9, 25.2, 21.6, 18.2, –4.5, –4.9.

IR (film): 3411, 2927, 2853, 1634, 1500, 1447, 1257, 1108 cm⁻¹.

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃); δ = 6.02 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.92 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.19 (s, 3 H), 2.91–2.94 (m, 1 H), 1.87–1.96 (m, 1 H), 1.41–1.47 (m, 2 H), 1.32–1.38 (m, 2 H), 0.83 (s, 3 H), 0.04 (s, 3 H).

MS (EI+): m/z (%) = 431 (20), 374 (100), 313 (15), 157 (15), 75 (20), 69 (35), 57 (20).

HRMS (EI+): m/z calecd for C₁₄H₂₁NO₄Si: 431.2492; found: 431.2482.

References
(3) https://www.rexall.ca/articles/view/894/Percocet.


(18) Oxidation of the diol 3 to afford a keto alcohol followed by NaBH₄ reduction afforded an epimeric diol. Attempts to form the acetonide of this supposed trans-isomer failed. The diol 3 was smoothly converted to the acetonide. Unpublished observations.


(20) The outcome of the hydroamination was dependent on the condition of the reaction. Initial experiments resulted in the formation of an undesirable side product 15 (isolated as 16) from the reduction of the cinnamyl alcohol moiety (Scheme 3). The formation of this product was eliminated by reversing the order of addition of reagents. Thus, Li was added last in the reaction and in several portions (see experimental section). The same phenomenon has been observed originally by Birch²¹ and later by Hall²² in their studies with dissolving metal reduction of cinnamyl alcohols.

N-(2-[(35S,3aS,3aR,9aR)-3-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-9a-hydroxy-5-methoxy-1,3,8,9a-hexahydrophenanthro[4,5-bcd][furan-3a(2H)-yl]ethyl]-N4-dimethylbenzene-sulfonamide (16)

$\text{Mp } 67-68^\circ \text{C (MeOH)}; [\alpha]_D^{20} +2.0 \left( c = 0.2, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \right); R_f = 0.5 \left( 2:1 \text{ hexanes/EtOAc} \right)$.

IR (film): 3500, 2925, 2854, 1735, 1600, 1461, 1338, 1257, 1160, 830 cm⁻¹.

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.57 \left( d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H} \right), 7.27 \left( d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H} \right), 6.76 \left( d, J = 8.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H} \right), 6.63 \left( d, J = 8.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H} \right), 4.55 \left( d, J = 4.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H} \right), 3.87 \left( s, 3 \text{ H} \right), 3.60 \left( m, 1 \text{ H} \right), 3.34 - 3.38 \left( m, 1 \text{ H} \right), 2.84 - 2.97 \left( m, 2 \text{ H} \right), 2.71 \left( s, 3 \text{ H} \right), 2.65 - 2.72 \left( m, 1 \text{ H} \right), 2.43 \left( s, 3 \text{ H} \right), 2.12 - 2.21 \left( m, 2 \text{ H} \right), 1.86 - 1.96 \left( m, 1 \text{ H} \right), 1.40 - 1.81 \left( m, 4 \text{ H} \right), 0.91 \left( s, 9 \text{ H} \right), 0.14 \left( s, 3 \text{ H} \right), 0.07 \left( s, 3 \text{ H} \right)$.

$^1$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 143.1, 142.7, 135.0, 131.4, 129.6, 127.3, 125.4, 120.3, 114.3, 93.9, 77.2, 72.8, 71.5, 56.7, 49.7, 47.2, 35.2, 33.0, 31.8, 25.8, 25.0, 24.3, 21.5, 18.0, -4.8, -5.2.

MS (El⁺): $m/z$ (%) = 587 (10), 530 (20), 439 (25), 403 (20), 345 (40), 343 (70), 327 (50), 315 (45), 198 (100), 183 (30), 97 (25).

HRMS (El⁺): $m/z$ calc for C₃₁H₄₅NO₆SSi: 587.2737; found: 587.2726.

Scheme 3