Alkali Metal Effects in Trans-Metal-Trapping (TMT): Comparing LiTMP with NaTMP in Cooperative MTMP/Ga(CH₂SiMe₃)₃ Metalation Reactions
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Abstract
Stepwise metalation and trapping, so called trans-metal-trapping (TMT), of anisole is studied using LiTMP as base and Ga(CH₂SiMe₃)₃ as trap. The isolated ‘trapped’ intermediate is also assessed in C–C bond forming reactions, highlighting the inherent advantages and remaining challenges of this system. The same base trap mixture is found to metallate N–Me bonds of the diamines TMEDA and PMDETA. Comparative studies replacing LiTMP by NaTMP have found significant alkali metal effects on the extent of both base-trap cocomplexation and onward reactivities of TMT products.
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Deprotonative metalation (C–H to C–M exchange) of aryl and heteroaryl substrates is a widely successful tool, utilised in the construction of important organic molecules, usually producing organometallic intermediates primed for onward reactivity. Longstanding reagents of choice in this context are alkylolithiums and lithium amides.¹ Synthetic drawbacks to these metalation transformations are commonly, poor functional group tolerance (at convenient temperatures) and selectivity, necessitating cryogenic reaction conditions to prevent unwanted side reactions or decomposition of the ligated species. One solution to these limitations is to employ metal salts such as MgCl₂ or ZnCl₂·2LiCl as in situ trapping agents during LiTMP metalations of arenes and N-heterocycles in THF, in reactions that have been performed under continuous flow conditions (TMP = 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidide).² A second approach is to use bimetallic systems containing two metals of different polarity within the same molecule. These systems can be shown to behave in concert, resulting in higher selectivity and in many cases using ambient reaction conditions during metalation. Important examples of bimetallic formulations used in deprotonation reactions are the classic Lochmann–Schlosser superbases,³ Knochel’s turbo Hauser reagents,⁴ and Uchiyama and Mongin’s TMP zinicates.⁵ This bimetallic approach, combining an alkali metal with a less electropositive metal (e.g., Mg or Zn) into an ‘ate’ complex has been termed alkali-metal-mediated metalation and, a series of reactivity and structural studies have demonstrated that the high reactivity of the alkali metal can be harnessed by the less polar metal, while retaining the advantages of selectivity that the non-alkali metal provides, thus representing a ‘best of both worlds’ scenario.⁶ Recently we have shown in our Strathclyde research groups that such prodigious metal···metal cooperative effects can, in certain cases work in sequence in a process that has been coined trans-metal-trapping (TMT).⁷ A general depiction of TMT is given in Scheme 1, and describes a process reliant upon the stepwise reactivity of the two metal organic reagents with the aromatic substrate. Deprotonation of the substrate with a lithium amide base exists in a pKₐ dependent equilibrium that typically lies towards the lithium amide and unreacted aromatic substrate. Deprotonation of the substrate with a lithium amide base exists in a pKₐ dependent equilibrium that typically lies towards the lithium amide and unreacted aromatic substrate, meaning that when used in isolation, a stoichiometric amount of lithium amide only delivers ca. <10% of product, when intercepted with an electrophile such as iodine.

However, in the presence of the Lewis acidic carbophilic trap, the ligated intermediate can be readily intercepted, resulting in a so called crossover bimetallic complex that stops short of full transmetalation, where separated lithium...
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and non-lithium metal products would form. A key aspect of this chemistry proven with lithium is that the base and the trap do not combine to form a bimetallic complex that would be inert towards the substrate. Functioning only on emergence of the deprotonated substrate (carbanion), the trap inserts into the Li–C bond and drives the equilibrium towards the desired metallated substrate.

In this article we present a deeper understanding of key mechanistic insights of trans-metal-trapping (TMT), by combining structural, reactivity, and theoretical studies, using anisole, a classical substrate in directed ortho-metalation, as a case study. A key feature of our exploratory studies of TMT is the stepwise reactivity exhibited by the two organometallic constituents. In this respect the bulky tris(trimethylsilylmethyl)gallium has been demonstrated as an excellent trap for diazine and fluoroaromatic anions, that when metallated by conventional bases are prone to rapid decomposition. Therefore this study aims to highlight the benefits of LiTMP/Ga(CH2SiMe3)3 in metatation. From a synthetic perspective, liquid Ga(CH2SiMe3)3 (hereafter GaR3) possesses good hydrocarbon solubility (similar to iBu2AlTMP another successful trapping agent) giving it a decided advantage over salt traps (e.g., MgCl2, ZnCl2), which generally need the use of ethereal solvents (usually THF) and often require low temperatures to avoid competing salt metathesis reactions. More importantly, gallium is characterised by strong carbophilicity and is therefore well equipped to sedate ultra-sensitive anions post metatation with LiTMP. This fact is well illustrated in our comparative studies into the metatation of fluoroarenes, where it was shown that the resultant gallium TMT product had far superior stability against decomposition via benzyne formation than the aluminium counterpart. The poor stability may also be attributed to the fluorphilicity of aluminium meaning that aluminium traps may in general be incompatible with fluorinated substrates. Apart from these intrinsic properties of GaR3, a key specification of the trapping reagent, as demonstrated with iBu2AlTMP previously, is its inertness towards co-complexation with LiTMP, and therefore our first step was to establish whether GaR3 is bulky enough to compromise its ability to form a weakly basic, coordinatively saturated ate complex with LiTMP. Such separation of the organometallic reagents appears a prerequisite for an effective TMT process, and this is underlined by the fact that LiTMP/iBu2AlTMP mixtures are better TMT agents than LiTMP/iBu3Al mixtures, which form the aluminolate LiAl(TMP)(iBu)3 in a complicated equilibrium mixture of five compounds. Thus a comparison of the 1H NMR spectra (Figure 1) of an equimolar mixture of LiTMP and GaR3 and those of the individual components reveals that the two TMT reagents remain separate in benzene-d6 solution. The lack of co-complexation is best deduced by the informative singlet at δ = 0.13 which corresponds to coincidentally overlapping CH2 and CH3 resonances of the CH2-SiMe3 group on gallium. Furthermore, resonances for both tetrameric and trimeric forms of LiTMP are present and identical to those previously reported.

To further understand the ability (or lack thereof) to co-complexation we elected to perform some DFT studies, in order to determine energetics of such a process, forming a hypothetical lithium gallate I. In the optimised geometry of I the metals are connected by a TMP bridge and an alkyl bridge with another two monosilyl groups terminally bonded to the gallium atom. GaR3 was modelled as a monomer according to its known structure in the solid state, while LiTMP was modelled as a monomer, and a trimer and tetramer which are the two known aggregates of this compound in non-polar solvents. Co-complexation between monomeric LiTMP is energetically favoured (−17.1 kcal mol−1), which is unsurprising given the high energy of monomeric LiTMP (Table 1). Crucially, co-complexation of both trimeric and tetrameric LiTMP with GaR3 are energetically disfavoured (+9.0 kcal mol−1), and in line with the finding from the solution studies.
Next we determined to ascertain the effect of Lewis donor ligands on the TMT process. In particular PMDETA ($N,N',N'',N'''$-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine) has been crucial in facilitating the crystallisation of TMT products, which is important since structural data of these complexes provide valuable information on the modus operandi of metalation. Reaction between PMDETA, LiTMP, and GaR$_3$ at room temperature in hexane for one hour lead to the isolation and structural characterization of [Li{Me$_2$NCH$_2$CH$_2$N(Me)CH$_2$CH$_2$N(Me)CH$_2$}GaR$_2$] (1). The molecular structure of 1 (Figure 2, top) reveals that PMDETA has been metallated at a terminal NCH$_3$ group. The lithium atom reveals a contacted ion pair arrangement where the two metal centres connect through two anions, namely a TMP bridge and an ambidentate NCH$_2$ fragment of the metallated PMDETA.

Interestingly, that a TMP anion is retained in the structure might suggest that it is GaR$_3$ that deprotonated the substrate as the structure incorporates a TMP anion and only two monosilyl groups on gallium. However GaR$_3$ on its own is not a sufficiently strong base to metallate PMDETA, therefore the α-deprotonation is based on the stepwise cooperation between LiTMP and GaR$_3$. LiTMP deprotonates the triamine followed by the fast trans-metal-trapping process with GaR$_3$ yielding a proposed intermediate A (Scheme 2) where concomitantly produced TMPH helps to fill the coordination sphere of lithium and is thus in close proximity to GaR$_3$. The sterically encumbered intermediate and enhanced acidity of coordinated TMPH makes it possible for the otherwise inert gallium alkyl to react affording 1 and Me$_4$Si.

Although we have no direct evidence for the proposed mechanism, it is supported indirectly by the notion that triorganogallium reagents cannot deprotonate coordinating additives such as PMDETA or TMEDA as C–H bonds adjacent to N centres in tertiary amines are only weakly acidic. In addition, it was found that the bulkiness of a reagent such as GaR$_3$ precludes chelation and instead, it acts as a bridging ligand as observed in the crystal structure of R$_3$Ga–TMEDA–GaR$_3$. Further, to an extent, experimental support for the proposed pathway came from the addition of a similar, but smaller Lewis donor TMEDA to the mixture of LiTMP and GaR$_3$ from which we were able to isolate crystals of [(TME-DA)Li{Me$_2$NCH$_2$CH$_2$N(Me)CH$_2$}GaR$_3$] (2) (Figure 2, bottom). The molecular structure of 2 has a contacted ion pair structure with three alkyl groups on gallium and no TMP anion incorporation, reminiscent of the proposed intermediate A. Here, due to the smaller size of the diamine, N$_4$-tetracoordinated lithium is capped with two molecules of TMEDA one of which is metallated and the other one is neutral, completing the coordination sphere and avoiding the close

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$\Delta E$ Co-complexation (kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+9.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
proximity of TMPH and GaR₃, which would induce alkyl basicity. Confident that LiTMP and GaR₃ indeed remain separate in non-coordinating solvent, yet cooperate in metalation reactions we next tested this mixture as a TMT reagent using anisole as a benchmark molecule in directed ortho-metalation. Thus, to a hexane suspension of equimolar amounts of GaR₃ and LiTMP at room temperature, a molar equivalent of anisole was added to give a light yellow suspension. After stirring the mixture for one hour, an equivalent of PMDETA was added and the solution placed at −33 °C affording a crop of colourless crystals of [(PMDETA)Li(o-C₆H₄OMe)GaR₃] (3) in 55% isolated yield (Scheme 3).

The structure of 3 (Figure 3) revealed the formation of a mixed-metal lithium gallate with the metal centres connected by an ambidentate ortho-metalated anisole fragment giving rise to a contacted ion-pair structure. The ortho carbon of the metallated anisole fragment bonds to gallium forming a new Ga–C bond (Ga–C₁₃ 2.0501(15) Å). The distorted tetrahedral lithium is fully solvated by the tridentate PMDETA as well as from the oxygen atom of anisole. In these studies we have demonstrated that LiTMP and GaR₃ are a highly efficient combination of base and trap, to selectively metalate useful aromatic molecules, illustrated by the potency of LiTMP as a base and of GaR₃ in being able to stabilise the newly formed organic carbanions. Probing the synthetic utility of 3, i₂ was added as an electrophilic source in an effort to prepare 2-iodoanisole. Interestingly, only a trace amount of ca. 1% of the quenched product was obtained, presumably reflecting the high stability of the metallated C–Ga bond. Thus, this TMT system is excellent in the stabilisation of incipient carbanions albeit the stability likely inhibits the downstream utility in simple electrophilic quenching studies.

Underscoring the utility of this metal pairing, we next investigated an analogous system using NaTMP in place of LiTMP, rationalising that the larger alkali metal may be better equipped to form a complex with GaR₃, hence limiting the ability of the system to promote TMT. Firstly a control reaction between NaTMP and anisole in hexane at room temperature, followed by a standard iodine quench in THF afforded only ca. 20% of 2-iodoanisole. A second control reaction of the in situ GaR₃/NaTMP mixture with PMDETA reveals metalation of a methyl group of the Lewis donor after structural characterisation. The structure of this product [Na(Me₂NCH₂CH₂N(Me)CH₂CH₂N(Me)CH₂)(TMP)GaR₂] (4) (Figure 4, top) is directly analogous to that of the lithium gallate 1. Anticipating that since metalation occurs in this instance, then GaR₃ and NaTMP remain as separate entities in solution, GaR₃ was added to NaTMP in a J. Young’s NMR tube in C₆D₆, causing dissolution of the normally insoluble NaTMP. The ¹H NMR spectrum after 15 minutes revealed, in contrast to the case with LiTMP, that the characteristic resonance of GaR₃ was absent, indicating the surprising formation of a co-complex, albeit the resonances are broad and indicative of either a mixture of compounds or a system undergoing a degree of exchange. This reaction mixture was probed further by adding anisole directly and reaction monitoring revealed a small amount of metalation alongside the signals of coordinated anisole (Figure 5). Further monitoring by ¹H NMR details that additional metalation does not occur over an 18 hour window at room temperature. Repeating the reaction in a Schlenk flask at room temperature in hexane followed by addition of TMEDA after two hours stirring, and placing at 4 °C, resulted in a crop of crystals that were characterised X-ray diffraction as [(TMEDA)Na(o-C₆H₄OMe)GaR₃] (5, 17%) (Figure 4, bottom), a sodium analogue of 3. This result is surprising since reaction of the suggested sodium gallate with anisole would likely possess insufficient basicity to promote metalation, comparable with the LiTMP/iBuAl₃ mixture that forms a lithium aluminate that lacks the intrinsic basicity to promote C–H bond cleavage. Thus we attribute the reactivity as the result of unrestricted NaTMP that had not yet formed a complex with GaR₃, in accordance with the busier ¹H NMR spectrum recorded after 15 minutes. Probing the co-complex formation further, an equimolar mixture of NaTMP and GaR₃ in C₆D₆ was monitored by ¹H NMR until the spectra ceased evolving. At this point (3 days) anisole was added and the resulting spectrum detailed that metalation does not occur, giving further evidence to our original hypothesis and emphasizing the importance of the lithium reagent in preventing co-complexation and therefore subdued reactivity (Figure 5).

**Scheme 3** Synthesis of [(PMDETA)Li(o-C₆H₄OMe)Ga(CH₂SiMe₃)₃] (3)
Lastly we sought to investigate whether the order of addition would prejudice the reaction in favour of the metalated (trapped) product, rationalising that pre-complexation of anisole with Lewis acidic GaR₃ would compromise the moderately slow complexation of GaR₃ with NaTMP and hence result in enhanced yields of metallated products. In this case reaction between GaR₃ and anisole in a J. Young’s NMR tube in C₆D₆ for 15 minutes was followed by addition of NaTMP. At this point trans-metal-trapped anisole [TMP(H)Na(o-C₆H₄OMe)GaR₃] (6) was observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum in 74% yield against hexamethylbenzene (C₆Me₆) as internal standard (Scheme 4). Addition of TMEDA to this mixture affords a spectrum reminiscent of 5.

Repeating this reaction in a Schlenk flask and conducting an electrophilic quench with iodine resulted in formation of 2-idoanisole in 65% yield. That sodium gallate 5 affords 2-idoanisole in reasonable yields after an electrophilic quench is itself surprising since the analogous reaction with 3 only affords traces of products. This clear alkali metal effect, with the sodium gallate exhibiting far superior onward reactivity than the lithium counterpart suggests that when paired with the appropriate secondary metal, gallium–carbon bond functionalisation can be facile.

Finally, while we have demonstrated that the GaR₃ trans-metal-trapping system is highly efficient in stabilising emergent carbanions, post metalation with LiTMP, taking this trans-metal-trapping to the next level, that is, using the complexes in further C-element bond formation, remains to be realised as a routine procedure. The challenge is that since the trap must be a strong Lewis acidic carbophilic metal complex, logically that will produce a strong metal–carbon bond that may not be easily broken by an electrophile. Standard electrophilic quenching strategies using either iodine, or N-bromosuccinimide proved to be unsuccessful, resulting in essentially hydrolysed material after reaction and aqueous workup. This is rather unsurprising given the robust nature of the Ga–C bonds formed using the LiTMP GaR₃ system. Thus, we turned attention to C–C bond formation via palladium-catalysed cross-coupling protocols. A reaction between 3, 4-bromobenzonitrile, and Pd(PPh₃)₄ in THF at 80 °C for 16 hours was performed. After a standard organic workup, the cross-coupled product 2′-methoxybiphenyl-4-carbonitrile (7) was isolated in 73% yield. However the reaction yield was not reproducible (typically yields varied in the range 50–70% on repeated runs), and variable amounts of 4-(trimethylsilyl)[methy]benzonitrile were obtained, indicating that under these conditions the reaction evidenced poor selectivity. Using a different organic electrophile, benzoyl chloride, under the same conditions resulted in a moderate 62% yield of 2-benzoylanisole (8) (Scheme 5).
Rationalising that under the reasonably harsh reaction conditions required to promote cross-coupling, competing reaction of a CH2SiMe3 occurs, we decided to perform one more reaction between the homoleptic lithium gallate LiGaR3, and 4-iodoacetophenone. Once more the cross-coupled product was formed in a moderate 62% yield against a rare example of gallium-based cross-coupling,8b,14 conclusive. The choice of trap should also fulfil two requirements: (i) the atom should be able to form strong enough M–C bonds to stabilise the frequently sensitive metalation anions; (ii) the resulting M–C bonds should also be labile enough to promote straightforward reactivity into more complicated and synthetically useful bis-aryl or heteroaryl molecules. Moreover, despite the fact that the LiTMP/GaR3 system is a superior base/trap pairing, the NaTMP/GaR3 system is a more predisposed to favour onward Ga–C functionalisation.

All reactions and manipulations were conducted under a protective argon atmosphere using either standard Schlenk techniques or an MBraun glove box fitted with a gas purification and recirculation unit. NMR experiments were conducted in J. Young’s tubes oven dried and flushed with argon prior to use. Hexane, toluene, and THF were dried by heating to reflux over sodium benzenophene ketyl and then distilled under N2 prior to use. All other reagents were purchased commercially from Sigma-Aldrich and dried via distillation (where appropriate) from the appropriate drying agent prior to use. LiTMP11 and Ga(CH2SiMe3)15 were prepared as previously described or by slight variations thereof. NMR Spectroscopy: NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV3 or AV 400 MHz spectrometer operating at 400.13 MHz for 1H, 376.46 MHz for 2Li and 100.62 MHz for 13C. All 1H spectra were proton decoupled. 1H and 13C NMR spectra were referenced against the appropriate solvent signal. 7Li NMR spectra were referenced against LiCl in D2O at δ = 0.00. X-ray Crystallography: Crystallographic data were collected on Oxford Diffraction instruments with Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) or Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54184 Å). Structures were solved using SHELXS16 or OLEX2,180 while refinement was carried out on P2₁ against all independent reflections by the full matrix least-squares method using the SHELXL programs or by the Gaussian-Newton algorithm using OLEX2. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined using anisotropic thermal parameters. Selected crystallographic details and refinement details are provided in Table S1. CCDC 1880970–1880974 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for these structures. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures. DFT computational studies17 employing the B3LYP method18,19 and the 6-311G(d,p) basis set.20

\[(\text{PMDETA})\text{Li(o-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{OMe})\text{Ga(CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3\]\n
To a suspension of LiTMP (0.074 g, 0.5 mmol) and Ga(CH2SiMe3)2 (0.165 g, 0.5 mmol) in hexane (10 mL), an equivalent of anisole (0.054 g, 0.54 μL, 0.05 mmol) was added at r.t. As soon as anisole was added, a yellow fine suspension was formed which persisted during stirring for 1 hour at r.t. PMDETA (0.11 mL, 0.5 mmol) was added, the solvent was exchanged in vacuo for toluene and the yellow solution placed in the freezer to obtain 3 (0.17 g, 55%) as colourless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis.

1H NMR (400.13 MHz, 298 K, THF-d8): δ = 7.44 (d, 1 H, Ar-H), 6.78 (t, 1 H, Ar-H), 6.53 (t, 1 H, p-CH), 6.40 (d, 1 H, Ar-H), 6.40 (d, 1 H, Ar-H), 3.59 (s, 3 H, OCH3), 2.47 (m, 4 H, NCH2CH2N), 1.27 (m, 4 H, NCH2CH2N), 2.26 (s, 3 H, NCH3), 2.20 [s, 12 H, N(CH2)3], –0.19 [s, 27 H, Si(CH3)3], –0.85 (s, 6 H, CH2SiMe3).

13C NMR (100.62 MHz, 298 K, THF-d8): δ = 166.7 (Ar-C), 155.2 (C-Ga), 138.8 (Ar-C), 125.0 (Ar-C), 120.9 (Ar-C), 119.4 (Ar-C), 107.5 (Ar-C), 58.5 (PMDETA), 56.2 (PMDETA), 54.3 (OCH3), 46.0 (PMDETA), 43.7 (PMDETA), 3.9 [Si(CH3)3], 0.5 (CH2SiMe3).

7Li NMR (376.46 MHz, 298 K, THF-d8): δ = 0.14.


\[(\text{TMEDA})\text{Na(o-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{OMe})\text{Ga(CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3\]\n
In a Schlenk flask, Ga(CH2SiMe3)2 (0.33 g, 1 mmol) and NaTMP (0.163 g, 1 mmol) were suspended in hexane and stirred at r.t. for 1 h. To this mixture, one equivalent of anisole (0.11 mL, 1 mmol) was added, re-distilled under white suspension, and stirred at r.t. for a further 2 h. Upon

Scheme 5 Palladium-mediated C–C bond formation from 3, using 4-bromobenzonitrile or benzoyl chloride, affording 2′-methoxybiphenyl-4-carbonitrile or 2-benzoylanisole, respectively.
addition of TMEDA (0.21 ml, 1 mmol), a colourless solution was ob-
tained which, with slow cooling to 4 °C, produced a crop of colourless
crystals of 5 (98 mg, 17%).

1H NMR (400.13 MHz, 298 K, C6D6): δ = 8.00 (dd, 1 H, Ar-H), 7.09 (td, 1 H,
Ar-H), 7.04 (td, 1 H, Ar-H), 6.56 (dd, 1 H, Ar-H), 6.40. 3.46 (s, 3 H, OCH3),
1.59 [s, 12 H, N(CH2)3], 1.54 [s, 4 H, NCH2CH2N], 0.33 [s, 27 H, Si(CH3)3],
−0.51 (s, 6 H, CH3SiMe3).

13C NMR (100.62 MHz, 298 K, C6D6): δ = 165.1 (Ar-C), 147.9 (C-Ga),
141.4 (Ar-C), 127.9 (Ar-C), 123.0 (Ar-C), 112.2 (Ar-C), 56.9 (OCH3), 56.5
(TMEDA), 45.1 (TMEDA), 3.6 [Si(CH3)3], −0.7 (CH3SiMe3).

2'-Methoxybiphenyl-4-carbonitrile (7)
To a solution of 3 (200 mg, 0.323 mmol) in THF (8 ml) were added
4-bromobenzonitrile (59 mg, 0.323 mmol) and Pd(PPh3)4 (18 mg, 5 mol%).
The mixture was then stirred at reflux temperature for 16 h.
After removal of all volatiles in vacuo, the residue was extracted with
Et2O (20 ml), then washed with H2O (2 × 10 ml) and brine (2 × 10 ml).
The organic phase was then dried (MgSO4) and concentrated.
Column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc 95:5–90:10) afforded
7 (49 mg, 0.24 mmol, 73%) as a colourless oil; Rf = 0.45. 1H and
13C NMR spectra are in agreement with those previously published.21

2-Benzoylanisole (8)
To a solution of 3 (200 mg, 0.323 mmol) in THF (8 ml) were added
Pd(PPh3)4 (18 mg, 5 mol%) followed by benzoyl chloride (0.037 ml,
0.25 mmol). The mixture was then stirred at reflux temperature for 16 h.
After removal of all volatiles in vacuo, the residue was extracted with
Et2O (20 mL), then washed with H2O (2 × 10 mL) and brine (2 × 10 mL).
The organic phase was then dried (MgSO4) and concentrated.
Column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc 95:5–90:10) afforded
8 (43 mg, 0.20 mmol, 62%) as a colourless solid; Rf = 0.35. 1H and
13C NMR spectra are in agreement with those previously
published.22

NMR Study: Synthesis of [TM[H][Na(o-C6H4OMe)Ga(R3)] (6)
In a J. Young’s NMR tube, Ga(CH2SiMe3)3 (83 mg, 0.24 mmol) and LiTMP
(70 mg, 0.25 mmol) were combined in C6D6 solvent affording a colourless
solution. Analysis by 1H NMR spectroscopy indicated meta-
replacement, the order of addition was reversed so that NaTMP was added
(0.163 g, 1 mmol) were suspended in hexane (10 mL) at r.t. To this, anisole
(0.11 mL, 1 mmol) was added affording a white suspension, and the
mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h. In a separate Schlenk tube, a solution of I2
(6 mmol) was prepared in THF (10 mL). Both Schlenk tubes were then cooled to −78 °C in an acetone/dry ice bath and stirred for 20 min until completely cooled. Then, the
I2/THF solution was syphoned into the mixture at −78 °C and allowed
to slowly warm up to r.t. over 16 h. Workup of the mixture was achieved by addition of sat. aq Na2SO4 solution until bleaching
occurred (approx. 20 mL), followed by addition of sat. aq NH4Cl (10 mL).
The mixture was then extracted with EtOAc (3 × 10 mL) and the com-
bined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried (MgSO4),
and filtered. All organic solvents were then removed under reduced pressure to give a brown solid; 2-iodoanisole was obtained in 20% yield (NMR using C6Me6 a internal standard in CDCl3).
Spectroscopic values obtained are in good agreement with those previously
reported in the literature.23

Synthesis of [[(PMDETA)Li(o-C6H4OMe)Ga(CH3SiMe3)] (3)
In a J. Young’s NMR tube, equimolar amounts of Ga(CH3SiMe3)3 (83
mg, 0.25 mmol) and LiTMP (36 mg, 0.25 mmol) were combined in
C6D6 (0.5 ml) resulting in a white suspension. Addition of anisole (27
μL, 0.25 mmol) was then performed and allowed to react for 1 h at r.t.
with a white suspension persisting. Dissolution was achieved by the
addition of PMDETA (53 μL, 0.25 mmol) to afford a yellow solution;
compound 3 was obtained in 75% yield ([1H NMR with C6Me6 as inter-
nal standard).

1H NMR (400.13 MHz, 298 K, C6D6): δ = 8.19 (d, 1 H, Ar-H), 7.06 (t, 1 H,
Ar-H), 7.02 (dt, 1 H, p-CH3), 6.31 (d, 1 H, Ar-H), 3.44 (s, 3 H, OCH3), 2.03
(s, 3 H, NCH2), 1.83 (m, 4 H, NCH2CH2N), 1.75 (m, 4 H, NCH2CH2N),
1.57 [s, 12 H, N(CH2)3], 0.32 [s, 27 H, Si(CH3)3], −0.34 (s, 6 H, CH3SiMe3).

13C NMR (100.62 MHz, 298 K, C6D6): δ = 164.6 (Ar-C), 142.6 (Ar-C),
131.7 (C-Ga), 124.3 (Ar-C), 125.9 (Ar-C), 116.5 (Ar-C), 62.7 (OCH3),
57.2 (PMDETA), 53.5 (PMDETA), 45.6 (PMDETA), 44.5 (PMDETA), 4.1
[Si(CH3)3], 1.5 (CH3SiMe3).

Li NMR (376.46 MHz, 298 K, C6D6): δ = 0.10.

Metalation of Anisole followed by Electrophilic Quenching Using
I2: NaTMP + Anisole
In a Schlenk flask, NaTMP (0.163 g, 1 mmol) was suspended in hexane
(10 mL) at r.t. To this, anisole (0.11 mL, 1 mmol) was added affording a white suspension, and the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h.
In a separate Schlenk tube, a solution of I2 (6 mmol) was prepared in
THF (10 mL). Both Schlenk tubes were then cooled to −78 °C in an acetone/dry ice bath and stirred for 20 min until completely cooled. Then, the
I2/THF solution was syphoned into the mixture at −78 °C and allowed
to slowly warm up to r.t. over 16 h. Workup of the mixture was achieved by addition of sat. aq Na2SO4 solution until bleaching
occurred (approx. 20 mL), followed by addition of sat. aq NH4Cl (10 mL).
The mixture was then extracted with EtOAc (3 × 10 mL) and the com-
bined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried (MgSO4),
and filtered. All organic solvents were then removed under reduced pressure to give a brown solid; 2-iodoanisole was obtained in 20% yield (NMR using C6Me6 a internal standard in CDCl3).
Spectroscopic values obtained are in good agreement with those previously
reported in the literature.23

Metalation of Anisole followed by Electrophilic Quenching Using
I2: LiTMP (or NaTMP) + GaR3 + Anisole
In a Schlenk flask, LiTMP (0.146 g, 1 mmol) and Ga(CH3SiMe3)3 (0.33
g, 1 mmol) were suspended in hexane (10 mL) at r.t. To this, anisole
(0.11 mL, 1 mmol) was added retaining a white suspension, and the
mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h [for NaTMP (0.163 g, 1 mmol) reac-
tion, the order of addition was reversed so that NaTMP was added
last]. In a separate Schlenk tube, a solution of I2 (6 mmol) was pre-
pared in THF (10 mL). Both flasks were then cooled to −78 °C in an acetone/dry ice bath and stirred for 20 min until completely cooled. Then, the
I2/THF solution was syphoned into the mixture at −78 °C and allowed
to slowly warm up to r.t. overnight (approx. 16 h in total).
Workup of the mixture was achieved by addition of sat. aq Na2SO4 solution until bleaching occurred (approx. 20 mL), followed by addition of sat. aq NH4Cl (10 mL). The mixture was then extracted with
EtOAc (3 × 10 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with
brine (10 mL), dried (MgSO4), and filtered. All organic solvents
were then removed under reduced pressure to give a brown solid. The yield of 2-idoanisole was 65% (using NaTMP) and 1.5% (LiTMP) (NMR using C₆Me₆ as internal standard in CDCl₃). NMR data are in agreement with those previously published for 2-idoanisole.21
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