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Summary

Eleven children with severe haemophilia A associated with the IVS 22 inversion and acquired high titre neutralising antibodies to factor VIII underwent immune tolerance induction. HLA class I and high resolution class II type is detailed for each patient. A three phase approach to immune tolerance induction was used. During phase 1, which lasted a median of six weeks, patients received factor VIII 100 IU/kg twice daily. Phase 2 comprised a factor VIII dose reduction to 100 IU/kg once daily, and continued for a median duration of 14 weeks. Subsequently 10 of the 11 patients satisfied the criteria of absent factor VIII neutralising activity by the Bethesda method, and a factor VIII elimination half life of greater than 5 h, allowing progression to phase 3, a further factor VIII dose reduction to 50 IU/kg three times weekly. A model for dose reduction as factor VIII tolerance evolves, based on pharmacokinetic analysis, is described.

Introduction

The development of factor VIII neutralising alloantibodies during replacement therapy in patients with severe haemophilia A is a treatment complication with substantial clinical and financial implications. Detected after a median of nine to 36 exposure days, the incidence of neutralising antibody development ranges from 15.6% to 28% in prospective studies of both plasma-derived and recombinant products in previously untreated patients (1-3). Inhibitory antibodies are predominantly of the IgG4 subclass (4), and often demonstrate specificity restricted to the C2 domain of the factor VIII light chain and/or the A2 domain of the heavy chain (5, 6). Low titre antibodies (less than 5 Bethesda units/ml) may spontaneously regress. High titre (greater than 10 Bethesda units/ml) and/or high responding factor VIII inhibitory antibodies, where the titre exhibits an anamnestic response to further factor VIII exposure, preclude factor VIII therapy at standard replacement doses (7, 8). Therapy directed at controlling haemorrhage or eradicating the inhibitor may incur substantial and unpredictable financial costs.

We now report a thorough analysis of the management of 11 children with severe haemophilia A and inhibitory antibodies to factor VIII at our comprehensive care haemophilia centre. Careful attention to the timing of initiation of an immune tolerance programme together with appropriate pharmacokinetic analysis of immune tolerance induction allows a prophylactic factor VIII replacement programme to be re-established in a highly cost-effective manner.

Patients and Methods

Patients and immune tolerance programme. All children with severe haemophilia A (factor VIII:C less than 1 IU/dl) registered at our centre are offered continuous prophylaxis with factor VIII replacement. This report describes the management of all children at our centre presenting with a factor VIII inhibitor over a 10 year period from 1987 to 1997. In all cases parents were counselled regarding therapeutic options, and consented to their children entering into a high dose immune tolerance induction (ITI) programme. During the preliminary phase of the immune tolerance protocol, prior to high dose factor VIII administration, bleeding episodes were managed with inhibitor bypassing agents, either FEIBA® (Immuno) or, subsequent to its licensing in the UK, recombinant factor VIIa (NovoSeven®, Novo Nordisk). Wherever possible, commencement of high dose factor VIII treatment was deferred until the neutralising antibody titre had declined to less than 10 or ideally less than 5 Bethesda units/ml. When this criterion was achieved, phase 1 (factor VIII 200 IU/kg/day) of the immune tolerance programme commenced. Phase 2 was defined as a dose reduction to 100 IU/kg as a daily intravenous bolus dose, and commenced after a phase 1 duration of between 4 and 12 weeks, when the neutralising antibody was undetectable by the Bethesda technique (our laboratory reports a Bethesda value of less than 1 BU/ml as negative). Phase 3 was defined as prophylactic factor VIII replacement at a dose of up to 50 IU/kg three times per week. Phase 3 commenced when pharmacokinetic analysis documented a factor VIII elimination half life of greater than five-to-six hours.

Factor VIII assay and neutralising antibody detection. Factor VIII procoagulant activity was measured on citrated plasma by a one stage clot based assay using a KC10 coagulometer. Neutralising antibodies to factor VIII were assessed on citrated plasma on a KC10 coagulometer by the Bethesda technique (9).

Factor VIII-binding assay. The radioimmuno-precipitation assay (RIPA) for detecting antibodies to factor VIII epitopes was a modification of the sensitive assay developed by Prescott et al. (10). Highly purified recombinant factor VIII (rfVIII) was generously provided by Dr. R. W. Kuhn (Bayer Corporation, Berkeley, CA, USA). Its specific activity was approximately 5,000 U/mg when RFVIII activity was measured in a one-stage clotting assay. 30 µg of RFVIII in 0.2 M Na Acetate, 5 mM Ca(NO₃)₂ was radiolabelled by incubation with 37 MBq of Na¹²⁵I (Amersham International), 10 µl of Lactoperoxidase beads (Worthington Biochemicals) for 10 min at room temperature. Free Na¹²⁵I was removed on a PD10 column (Pharmacia Biotech). ¹²⁵I-rfVIII had a specific activity of 33.33 KBq/µg. ¹²⁵I-rfVIII was diluted to 1.6 ug/ml in 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1% BSA, pH 7.4 (TBS-BSA). 10 µl (approx 30,000 cpm) was added to 50 µl of diluted plasma (1:2 in TBS-BSA) in duplicate and incubated at 4°C for 18-20 h. The ¹²⁵I-rfVIII-anti-fVIII immune complexes were then precipitated by the addition of 150 µl of 1 in 3 dilution of protein G Sepharose (Pharmacia Biotech) in TBS-BSA with incubation on a rotary shaker for 3 h at 4°C. The precipitate was then washed 3 times with 1 ml of PBS. The precipitate was then washed 3 times with 1 ml of PBS. The precipitate was then washed 3 times with 1 ml of PBS.
ing: [(Bound minus Non-specific activity)/Total] × 100. A normal range for this technique of less than 0.96% was established by processing plasma samples from eighty four healthy blood donors. The mean % binding in this group was 0.104%, standard deviation 0.43%, mean coefficient of variability 19%.

A europium-based non-radioactive method for the detection of factor VIII binding antibody was developed. Lyophilised recombinant factor VIII (Recombinat™, Baxter Hyland®) was dissolved in buffer (NaHCO₃ 0.1M mixed with Na₂CO₃ 0.1 M to pH 9.6) to 25 IU/ml and incubated in microtiter plates at 4°C overnight. After four washes with buffer in an automated plate washer, wells were blocked with 1% BSA (Sigma) for 30 min at room temperature and washed again. Dilutions of patient and control plasmas were then incubated in wells at 4°C overnight. After four further washes, 100 μl of europium labelled anti-human IgG (EG & G Wallac), diluted 1 in 500, was added to each well and incubated at 4°C overnight. Plates were then washed four times in wash concent and 200 μl of enhancing solution (EG & G Wallac) added to each well. After storage in the dark for 10 min, plates were read using a time-resolved fluorescence reader (Arcus, EG & G Wallac) and reported in Time Resolved Fluorescence (TRF) units. A normal range for this technique of less than 39.4 × 10⁻³ TRF units was established by processing plasma samples from eighty seven healthy blood donors. The mean factor VIII-binding activity in this group was 20 × 10⁻³ TRF units, standard deviation 9.7 × 10⁻³ TRF units, mean coefficient of variability 8%.

Pharmacokinetic analysis. Studies of factor VIII pharmacokinetics were performed during and at intervals after high dose factor VIII therapy for induction of immune tolerance. After a four day washout period, blood samples were taken before and at intervals after a 50 IU/kg factor VIII bolus dose, until measured factor VIII procoagulant activity had returned to native baseline levels. The factor VIII elimination half life (t 1/2) was assessed using a pharmacokinetic analysis program (PKAnalyst, MicroMath® Scientific Software, Salt Lake City, UT), with data fitting based on a two compartment model.

Statistical analysis. Correlation coefficients between t 1/2, values and factor VIII binding by the europium based assay and by the RIPA method were calculated using the Pearson’s product-moment correlation.

IBS 22 inversion method and HLA typing. DNA was extracted from 1-3 mls of whole blood by standard techniques (Puregene™, Flowgen, UK). DNA (8 μg) was digested with Bcl I (Promega, Madison, USA) for 6 h at 50°C, and electrophoresed on a 0.5% agarose gel (Promega, Madison, USA) at 80 v over night before being Southern blotted onto Hybond N (Amersham, UK) and UV cross-linked. Filters were prehybridized in 2 × SSC, 2 × Denhardt’s, 0.5% SDS, and 100 μg/ml Geneblock (Advanced Biotechnologies, Epsom, UK) at 68°C for 2 h, and then hybridized to a 3P labelled (Multiprimer DNA labelling kit, Amersham, UK) EcoRI/Sac I fragment of pA82.6 in 2 × SSC, 0.5% SDS, 100 μg/ml Geneblock for 4 h at 68°C. Filters were washed in 0.2 × SSC, 0.1% SDS at 65°C for 30 min, then 0.2 × SSC for 30 min prior to autoradiography (11). EDTA blood samples were collected for tissue typing at the National Blood Service reference laboratory. Low resolution class I typing was performed by serological methods. High resolution class II typing was performed by PCR-SSP.

Results

As a result of either routine three monthly screening for neutralising factor VIII antibodies or of investigation into reduced haemostatic control, 11 children, most with high titre inhibitors, were identified between January 1987 and December 1996. The patients had a median age of 2 years (range 0.8-4.5 years) at the time of inhibitor detection, after a median of 20 exposure days (range 4-37). Patient demographic details are shown in Table 1. Most children were of British Caucasian origin, except patients 2 and 10, who were of African extraction and Asian extraction respectively. Only two patients had a documented maximum inhibitor titre of less than 10 BU/ml (patients 1 and 9). In both these cases, the potential for anamnestic response in inhibitor titre was avoided by immediate conversion to recombinant factor VIII as a therapeutic modality to control bleeding. Molecular analysis confirmed that the IBS 22 inversion was the causative mutation in all cases. HLA genotype of each patient is shown in Table 2. Patients 6 and 7 were brothers, and HLA identical. All 11 consecutive patients proceeded to an IT programme, the details of which are shown in Table 3. Unless the clinical condition necessitated earlier intervention, phase 1 of the programme was delayed until the inhibitor titre had declined to as low a level as possible. In most cases the inhibitor titre at the onset of phase 1 was less than 5 BU/ml (median 2.9, range 0.6-20). Five patients (ID 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8) were diagnosed with a factor VIII inhibitory antibody prior to 1994, when our centre started to perform immune tolerance induction procedures. These 5 patients had delays of 6.5, 4.0, 5.5, 2.5 and 3.5 years respectively between diagnosis and start of immune tolerance induction. Other patients had a median interval of 3 weeks (range 2-52) between inhibitor diagnosis and start of immune tolerance induction. There were six patients receiving monoclonal purified, high purity, plasma derived factor VIII concentrate at the time of inhibitor diagnosis. Immune tolerance was established with the same plasma derived product in one of these (patient 5) and with a recombinant product in the other five (patients 2, 3, 6, 7 and 8). The other five patients (1, 4, 9, 10 and 11) who had always received recombinant factor VIII were tol-erised with the same product. Phase 1 commenced with continuous factor VIII infusion to provide periooperative haemostatic control for inser-
tion of a central venous access device. With this regime, measured factor VIII-binding alloantibody results by radio-immuno-assay (RIA) and Time Resolved Fluorescence (TRF) techniques. na: not available; NR: normal range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Days on phase 3</th>
<th>Current Factor VIII t1/2 (hours)</th>
<th>and recovery (%)</th>
<th>RIPA (% binding)</th>
<th>Factor VIII binding (TRF units mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4  Phase 3 of immune tolerance programme: Pharmacokinetic data and factor VIII-binding alloantibody results by radio-immuno-assay (RIA) and Time Resolved Fluorescence (TRF) techniques. na: not available; NR: normal range

Discussion

The pathogenesis of development of neutralising factor VIII antibodies in haemophilia A patients is unclear. Attempts at defining the immune responsiveness of haemophiliacs through documentation of HLA type have not yet identified strong predictors for inhibitor development (12), possibly because of the high degree of heterogeneity of both class II HLA type and the individual genetic mutations causing haemophilia. Oldenburg et al. (13) studied a group of severe haemophilia A patients with a single causative mutation, inversion of IVS 22, and determined HLA genotype. They found the haplotype HLA-A3, B7, C7, DQA0102, DQB0602. DR15 was weakly correlated to inhibitor development. All patients in our report had inversion of IVS 22 as their causative mutation. Our data are consistent with the findings of Oldenburg et al. (13), and expand on the database detailed as an appendix to their paper. In our patients, the three alleles HLA-DRB1*1501, DQA1*0102 and DQB1*0602 each showed a frequency of 36%. These alleles form a conserved haplotype, and have been reported to be present at increased frequency in the patient group with IVS 22 inversion and acquired inhibitors to factor VIII (12, 13).

Protocols for immune tolerance induction (ITI) in haemophiliacs with neutralising factor VIII antibodies have utilised low (14) and high (15, 16) dose factor VIII replacement. Multivariate analysis of the International Immune Tolerance Registry has shown that inhibitor titre of greater than 10 BU/ml at the start of immune tolerance induction, and high factor VIII dosage (≥100 IU/kg/day) independently predict for a high probability of inducing immune tolerance (17). However, limitations to the implementation of ITI programmes include poorly defined guidelines for monitoring the evolution of IT, limited dose-adjustment criteria as IT is established, and lack of systematic collection of quality of life outcome data to facilitate cost-benefit analysis. Our results are in accord with the International Registry findings, and in addition they provide a framework for factor VIII dose management throughout the ITI programme, through to resumption of a prophylactic replacement schedule, based on pharmacokinetic data. Contentious issues regarding ITI therapy include choice of factor VIII dose for ITI, definition of when tolerance is achieved, and what constitutes a successful outcome. In addition, there is no uniform practise regarding whether a patient is subsequently placed on a programme of prophylactic factor VIII replacement. It is generally accepted that high dose programmes will achieve a degree of tolerance more rapidly than low dose regimes, but at a higher financial cost. During phase 3, when factor VIII neutralising antibodies are undetectable by the Bethesda method, we have shown a progressive prolongation of t1/2. This observation indicates that immune tolerance is not an 'all or nothing' phenomenon. Rather, tolerance to regularly infused factor VIII antigen continues to evolve long after the Bethesda method fails to detect a neutralising antibody. Our approach to ITI emphasises this important concept, and illustrates that high and low dose protocols need not be mutually exclusive. Phases 1 and 2 of our protocol (200 and 100 IU/kg/day respectively) serve to induce a degree of tolerance sufficient to improve the pharmacokinetic profile of administered factor VIII. Implementation of phase 3 at a time when factor VIII pharmacokinetics are satisfactory represents a switch to a low dose regime, at a time when such a dose effectively prevents spontaneous haemorrhage. The concept that IT continues to evolve during phase 3 is compatible with the observations by Dazzi et al. (18) that the titre of factor VIII binding antibody does influence the t1/2 even when the Bethesda method fails to detect a neutralising antibody.

The dose reduction from phase 1 to phase 2 was largely empirical, facilitating a 50% reduction in factor VIII consumption. The delay to therapy inherent in accurate assessment of factor VIII t1/2 even at a median of 12 weeks into phase 2 appeared not to have deleterious effects on success of the programme, and provided valuable data to justify a further dose reduction to phase 3. The RIPA technique is a very sensitive indicator of the presence of factor VIII-binding activity and is not necessarily a reflection of active site blockade. The limitation of the RIPA data in this study is that samples were not taken serially before and at intervals during ITI in all patients. Nevertheless, results do indicate the presence of factor VIII binding antibodies in most patients after ITI, when factor VIII neutralising activity as assessed by the Bethesda technique is not detected. This factor VIII binding activity was absent in a group of 7 severe haemophilia A controls on routine prophylactic treatment, matched for age and causative genetic mutation (data not shown).
Presence of both factor VIII-binding and specific anti-idiotypic antibodies have been documented in desensitised inhibitor patients (19) and people without haemophilia (20), and do not necessarily reflect factor VIII neutralising activity. We have presented factor VIII binding results as assessed by RIPA and Time Resolved Fluorescence techniques, together with corresponding pharmacokinetic data, and showed that these techniques can provide complimentary information on the biological fate of infused factor VIII. The two methods measuring factor VIII binding activity were positively correlated with each other and negatively correlated with t1/2, although study of a larger inhibitor patient group would be required to demonstrate statistical significance.

In conclusion our findings provide a framework for development of dose adjustment guidelines throughout an ITI programme, based on pharmacokinetic assessment. Factor VIII binding assays using the RIPA and/or Time Resolved Fluorescence techniques may provide useful information, particularly if performed serially, and interpreted in conjunction with pharmacokinetic data. A comprehensive approach to induction of immune tolerance, including systematic assessment of impact on quality of life is essential to justify the cost effectiveness of ITI programmes.
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