Dislocation Rates following Anterior Approach THA: The Role of Functional Pelvic Tilt
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Abstract

Various target zones for acetabular cup placement have been suggested to minimize dislocation following total hip arthroplasty (THA), though dislocations occur despite proper positioning. The authors have reported accuracy of fluoroscopic guidance in cup positioning during direct anterior approach (DAA) THA when using a standardized fluoroscopic technique functional pelvic tilt. They believe that cup placement with regard to functional pelvic tilt, rather than static reference frames, will offer improved stability. A cohort of 1,597 fluoroscopy-assisted DAA primary unilateral THA patients was prospectively followed for a minimum of 1 year and component position measured radiographically. Target cup position was based off the standing anteroposterior pelvis while using conventional safe zone parameters. Dislocation rate was assessed. Average follow-up was 13.1 months (range 1–6 years). The mean abduction angle was 37.7° and the mean anteversion angle was 16.2°. Overall, 1,517 (95.0%) fell within the targeted abduction range, 1,528 (95.7%) fell within the targeted anteversion range, and 1,456 (91.2%) simultaneously met both criteria. There were nine dislocations, eight within the combined safe zone, for a dislocation rate of 0.56%. Fluoroscopy can improve accuracy and precision of cup placement in DAA THA. Conventional safe zone parameters applied using functional pelvic tilt resulted in a low dislocation rate, with most dislocations occurring within the safe zone. Using a dynamic functional safe zone may further reduce dislocation rates after DAA THA, though other factors that contribute to instability must be accounted for in future studies. This study had a III level of evidence.
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Dislocation following total hip arthroplasty (THA) is a leading cause for early revision, with significant clinical and economic impact.1 Several factors contribute to dislocation that include, but are not limited to, surgical approach, soft tissue balancing, patient anatomy, and component positioning.2–7 Considerable effort has been made to identify a safe zone for acetabular component position that would minimize risk of dislocation after primary THA.8 The commonly referenced Lewinnek safe zone suggests cup abduction and anteversion of 40° ± 10° and 15° ± 10°, respectively.9 However, cups within this and other described safe zones are not immune to dislocation. Some argue that a true safe zone may not exist.10–12 Particular attention must be placed on the reference planes for cup measurement by which a safe zone is derived.13 The Lewinnek safe zone is based on cup measurements using Murray’s radiographic definition of acetabular orientation referenced off the anterior pelvic plane (APP), as opposed to the functional (coronal) plane, which is based on...
Pelvic orientation is specific to each patient and dynamically changes with patient position. Failure to consider these relationships of the pelvis to the longitudinal axis of the body may lead to improper functional orientation of the acetabular cup and explain why some dislocations occur despite acetabular components believed to be within the Lewinnek safe zone.

The longitudinal axis of the body can be measured in the supine, seated, and standing positions. In each of these positions, there are changes in pelvic tilt, which is defined as the angle between the line connecting the midpoint of the sacral plate to the axis of the femoral heads and the vertical axis. Pelvic tilt can vary among patients, between sexes, and during different activities of daily living and sport-specific activities. In most patients, going from supine to standing causes a small increase in anteverision of the acetabular component due to relative increase in posterior pelvic tilt. During direct anterior approach (DAA) THA, intraoperative fluoroscopy is typically obtained with the patient supine on the operating table with reference to the functional plane (assuming a level operative table and orthogonal fluoroscopic image), essentially recreating supine anteroposterior (AP) pelvic radiograph; however, this may not represent the best functional position of the pelvis and the acetabulum. It is possible that standing radiographs may better integrate the dynamic influences of periarthritic muscleature and sagittal balance. Patients with ankylosing spondylitis or other diseases that drastically affect sagittal balance (> 20° from neutral) can be at higher risk of dislocation due to cup malpositioning when referencing the static APP.

It is our practice to obtain preoperative standing AP pelvic and hip radiographs. Intraoperative fluoroscopy is utilized to replicate the pelvic orientation of the preoperative standing film, which provides the basis for cup placement. This process inherently accounts for patient-specific variations in pelvic tilt without the need for computer navigation, advanced imaging, lateral pelvic radiographs, or nomograms.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate whether cup placement with regard to functional pelvic tilt during DAA THA using a standardized fluoroscopic technique will result in improved postoperative stability. As there is no universally accepted pelvic reference frame in which to base acetabular component position, an acetabular safe zone based on the standing functional plane may more accurately predict stability after primary DAA THA.

### Material and Methods

After obtaining institutional review board approval and using our prospectively constructed database, we identified 1,597 consecutive AA THA surgeries performed via a DAA by two fellowship-trained surgeons from March 1, 2010 through March 1, 2016. Average follow-up was 13.1 months (range 1–6 years). Both surgeons (P.P. and J.C.S.) were 3 years removed from fellowship with no formal training in DAA during residency or fellowship at the start of collection. The database contained date of operation, performing surgeon, laterality of the operation, most recent follow-up, cup anteverision and abduction angles, body mass index, and the postoperative complication of dislocation. Intraoperative pelvic position was determined by comparing the fluoroscopic image and the standardized preoperative standing AP pelvic radiograph. The C-arm was angled cranially or caudally and the beam properly centered to reproduce the pelvic orientation from the preoperative radiograph, using the obturator foramen ratio and coccyx-to-symphysis distance as references. This allowed us to account for positional changes in pelvic tilt with patient supine, which could result in suboptimal cup positioning when changes in tilt are extreme.

Neutral pelvis rotation was achieved by proper patient positioning on the operative table with minor adjustments made with the C-arm so that the coccyx was aligned with the pubic symphysis and the obturator foramina were symmetric. Intraoperative cup placement was gauged under the fluoroscopic AP pelvic view with a target orientation of 40° ± 10° abduction and 15° ± 10° anteverision. All measurements of acetabular component positioning were obtained from a standardized standing digital AP pelvic radiograph taken at least 2 weeks postoperatively. Patients were assessed postoperatively for dislocation through clinic follow-up and/or phone contact.

### Acetabular Component Measurement

Impax (version 6.0, AGFA Health Care) imaging software was used to calculate acetabular component inclination and version angles using methodology previously described. Radiographic acetabular version angle was calculated from the arc sine of the ratio of the minor and minor axes of the ellipse created from the rim of the cup. Inclination was determined by measuring the angle of the major axis of the ellipse and the interteardrop line.

### Results

For the 1,597 DAA THAs, average inclination and anteverision measured 37.7° ± 4.8° and 16.2° ± 5.4°, respectively. Overall, 1,517 (95.0%) fell within the targeted abduction range, 1,528 (95.7%) fell within the targeted anteverision range, and 1,456 (91.2%) simultaneously met both criteria. Average follow-up was 13.1 months. There were nine dislocations for a dislocation rate of 0.56%.

### Discussion

Our dislocation rate of 0.56% compares favorably to dislocation rates previously reported regardless of approach. Cases within the learning period were also included. Dislocation rates range between 0.6% to 1.8% for DAA and 0.3% to 0.6% for direct lateral approach. Posterior approach has generally been associated with higher dislocation rates of 1.7% to 5.3%, but recent literature has demonstrated...
improved stability with posterior capsular repairs.\textsuperscript{26,27} Another study reported dislocation rates of 0.55\%, 2.18\%, and 3.23\% for direct lateral, anterolateral, and posterior approaches, respectively.\textsuperscript{28} We previously found that our cup position accuracy improved yearly;\textsuperscript{22} however, we did not analyze dislocation rates or cup orientation parameters with regard to surgeon experience in this study. We believe that fluoroscopy is inexpensive and invaluable tool to improve accuracy and precision of cup placement in DAA THA and that the functional pelvic plane possibly provides a better framework from which to formulate an acetabular component safe zone.

Intraoperative navigation aids in component positioning, yet still has limitations. Navigation systems reference anatomic landmarks to define the APP; however, they do not incorporate preoperative pelvic tilt.\textsuperscript{16} The APP itself is a static measurement. Lembeck et al\textsuperscript{17} demonstrated that pelvic tilt significantly affected the accuracy of navigation systems due

**Fig. 1** Examples of extreme posterior pelvic tilt and anterior pelvic tilt with cups placed on the defined safe zone within the corresponding pelvic tilt.

**Fig. 2** Scatterplot demonstrating the number of direct anterior approach total hip arthroplasties within defined target ranges, indicated by the black lines, for both inclination (30°–50°) and anteversion (5°–25°). Dislocations are represented in black.
to alterations in the APP. They utilized a mathematical algorithm that found that every 1° of pelvic tilt led to functional correction of radiographic acetabular anteversion of 0.7°. They suggested that surgeons using intraoperative navigation should account for pelvic tilt to better position the acetabular component.

The sole use of anatomic landmarks can lead to acetabular component malpositioning due to variations in pelvic orientation. These variations are largely influenced by sagittal plane balance.21 A major advantage of DAA THA is that it facilitates the use of intraoperative fluoroscopy due to the supine position of the patient. Because the patient is supine, there is less alteration of pelvic orientation during surgery. The standing pelvis AP radiograph is a simple way of capturing the patient-specific variations in sagittal spine balance and pelvic tilt. We believe that intraoperatively recreating the standing preoperative AP pelvis view provides a better framework for acetabular component placement.16,22,23,29 This is highlighted when evaluating component orientation in patients with extreme pelvic sagittal imbalance (e.g., ankylosing spondylitis), which leads to unintended cup malposition and increased risk of dislocation.21,30

Accurate interpretation and utilization of intraoperative fluoroscopy with regard to cup placement have an associated learning curve, in addition to the technical learning curve associated with the surgical approach.6,31 After this learning period, we believe our method allows the surgeon to gauge accuracy of cup placement with increased validity, as final cup position is measured with similar pelvic orientation as when cup was placed. Studies have shown that DAA THA with fluoroscopy improves accuracy and reduces variability of cup placement compared with freehand DAA THA,32 freehand posterior approach THA,6 and fluoroscopy-assisted posterior approach THA.23 Fluoroscopy has also been shown to improve cup positioning in posterior approach THA compared with freehand methods as well.33 Some suggest that surgical approach itself contributes to stability and that optimal safe zones may be approach dependent.23,34

Cup abduction angle < 45° has been associated with decreased rates of polyethylene wear and osteolysis.35,36 Although abduction angle is less influenced by pelvic tilt than is anteversion, both can be significantly affected by positional changes; some suggest close monitoring of polyethylene wear in THA patients with severe posterior tilt, though this may be less of an issue with highly cross-linked polyethylene.37 Another recent study found that patient-specific geometry had a greater impact of polyethylene wear than head size in THA.38 In recent studies regarding native hip impingement, the standing AP pelvis was theorized to better reflect sagittal balance and potentially serve as a better guide for surgical planning and treatment.18,20 For these reasons, using the standing functional plane to account for pelvic tilt could minimize cup-related impingement and wear in addition to providing optimal coverage.

Lastly, given that most dislocations occurred within the safe zone, it reaffirms our knowledge that stability after THA is multifactorial. It is possible that other factors that we did not control for, such as femoral offset, femoral version, and patient compliance, led to these dislocations. It could also be that a more advanced metric is needed to identify certain patient subgroups that might require cup placement outside the conventional safe zone. One group suggests that there may not be a distinct safe zone at all,11 at least with the posterior approach, or that a spherical safe zone is ideal.34 Similar to our findings, most of their dislocations occurred with cups inside the safe zone. Since fluoroscopy is readily available, has been consistently shown to improve accuracy and precision of cup placement, and is most effective with the DAA, continued investigation into ideal cup positioning is warranted in this setting.

**Limitations**

One limitation for this study is the use of manual calculation to determine the position of the acetabular component on postoperative AP pelvis radiographs. While this method has been proven to be reproducible, there exist more accurate albeit expensive methods to measure acetabular component positioning such as computed tomography. We suggest that the method provided in this study is precise, reproducible, and economical as demonstrated in the results. A second
limitation to this study deals with the quality assurance of the measured radiographs. This was best addressed by utilizing the same preoperative technique to recreate the standing AP pelvic film in a supine position; however, no simultaneous quality measurement of variability was performed. A recent study evaluating cup position in DAA THA using methodology similar to ours, also referencing the standing functional plane, found that 95% of the time the fluoroscopic inclination measurement was between 3.5° less and 2° more compared with the postoperative standing AP X-ray; similarly, anteversion measurements were between 3.8° less and 2.2° more.  

We also did not account for other factors known to affect stability that include but are not limited to femoral offset, femoral anteversion, cup size, femoral head size, patient compliance, limb length discrepancy, and soft tissue tension. There was also no control group to reference dislocation rates from this and other approaches. Further analysis of our data to perhaps find a unique safe zone was not performed. Additionally, we acknowledge that there is increased exposure to radiation from fluoroscopy.

**Conclusion**

Due to the variety of study designs, surgical approaches and techniques, inconsistencies in defining cup orientation reference frames, measurement error, and patient-specific differences, it is difficult to draw broad conclusions regarding a definitive target zone for cup positioning in THA. Stability after THA is clearly multifactorial, so the ideal safe zone for each patient may vary depending on these factors. An ideal safe zone that completely eliminates the risk of dislocation may not exist. However, redefining the safe zone based upon functional pelvic tilt may further reduce this risk and our results support this. We recommend that future studies investigating acetabular cup positioning control for additional factors mentioned previously and assess different surgical approaches separately.
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