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Abstract

Objective  Evaluate the influence of prenatal care on the occurrence of gestational hypertension.

Methods  The Web of Science, Scopus, Pubmed, Cochrane and ClinicalTrials electronic databases were searched for articles published between January 1st, 2012 and December 31st, 2016. No language restrictions were imposed. The following keywords were used: prenatal care, medical assistance, prenatal education, pregnancy-induced hypertension. The preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) checklist was employed. Two hundred and forty articles were identified during the initial search, but only seven met the inclusion criteria. This systematic review is registered with the international prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO; #CRD42017064103).

Results  The seven studies had a low risk of bias, with methodological quality scores ranging from six to eight points. Five studies found a positive relationship between prenatal care and pregnancy-induced hypertension, whereas two studies found no significant association between the two variables. The divergence among the studies may have been due to the type of healthcare service at which the study was conducted and the sample size.

Conclusion  Although the studies analyzed differed with regard to methodological aspects, the findings demonstrate the importance of prenatal care during the gestational period as a prevention and health promotion measure.

Resumo

Objetivo  Avaliar a influência da assistência pré-natal no acometimento de síndromes hipertensivas gestacionais.

Métodos  A revisão buscou artigos publicados nas plataformas eletrônicas de pesquisa Web of Science, Scopus, Pubmed, Cochrane e Clinical Trials, sem restrições de...
Introduction

Most women experience pregnancy with no complications. Some, however, have characteristics or conditions that can place their health and the health of the fetus at risk. One such condition is pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH), which is considered a public health problem due to its frequency as well as maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality, affecting ~10% of pregnancies throughout the world.1–3

The periodic measurement of blood pressure in pregnant women is essential to the precise diagnosis of hypertension, which can cause serious problems, such as stroke, premature birth or low birth weight.4 Pregnancy-induced hypertension occurs when the increase in blood pressure reaches or surpasses 140 × 90 mm Hg. The following classification is used: gestational hypertension, chronic arterial hypertension, chronic hypertension in conjunction with preeclampsia, preeclampsia and eclampsia. The prevalence and incidence of these conditions are quite high in Brazil and vary depending on age group, race, obesity and the presence of associated diseases, such as diabetes and kidney disease.5

Despite the vast accumulation of scientific knowledge in recent years, PIH continues to have serious repercussions. Therefore, individualized care is fundamental for early diagnosis and the establishment of interventions to minimize risks to the mother and fetus.6 The occurrence of avoidable deaths among pregnant women is associated with insufficient economic, cultural and technological conditions in a given society, making this a serious health problem throughout the world. Such deaths could be avoided if pregnant women had access to quality prenatal care.7

Perinatal outcomes are the result of a complex network of biological, socioeconomic and healthcare determinants. Prenatal care can contribute to more favorable situations by enabling the timely detection and treatment of adverse health conditions and the control of risk factors related to complications for the health of the mother and infant.8

The aim of the present study was to perform a systematic review of the literature to evaluate the influence of prenatal care on the occurrence of PIH. The following was the research question: Does greater prenatal care diminish the occurrence of PIH?

Methods

Selection of Articles

The present systematic review of the literature included cross-sectional, case-control and cohort studies involving patients with PIH. In December 2016, 2 independent reviewers searched 5 electronic databases (Web of Science, Scopus, Pubmed, Cochrane and ClinicalTrials) for articles published between January 1st, 2012 and December 31st, 2016. No language restrictions were imposed. The following was the search strategy ([Prenatal care OR Medical Assistance OR Prenatal Education] AND [Pregnancy-Induced Hypertension]). The present systematic review is registered with the international prospective register of systematic reviews PROSPERO (#CRD42017064103). The initial online research led to the retrieval of 240 references: 103 in PubMed, 22 in Web of Science, 28 in Cochrane, 84 in Scopus and 3 in ClinicalTrials. Duplicates were removed with the aid of the Reference Manager software, version 12.0.3 (Thomson Reuters, Toronto, ON, Canada), leading to a total of 175 articles, which were analyzed using the eligibility criteria based on readings of the titles and abstracts. Two reviewers underwent a calibration exercise for the application of the eligibility criteria. Following a detailed discussion of the criteria, the reviewers performed independent analyses of a sample of 10% of the abstracts. Interexaminer agreement was determined using the Kappa statistic ($K = 0.875$).
The inclusion criteria were cross-sectional studies, case-control studies, cohort studies and clinical trials involving prenatal care and PIH, with no restrictions imposed regarding age or language. The exclusion criteria were reviews, clinical cases, editorials, books, abstracts, questionnaire validation studies, studies not addressing PIH, studies published more than 5 years earlier and studies for which data extraction was not possible.

The application of the eligibility criteria led to the exclusion of 159 articles based on the analysis of the titles and abstracts. Among the 16 articles submitted to full-text analysis, 9 were excluded due to the absence of statistical analysis on the association between prenatal care and PIH or for associating prenatal care or PIH with a variable of no interest to the present systematic review (Fig. 1).

Data Extraction
Seven studies performed a statistical analysis of the association between PIH and prenatal care and were included in the present systematic review.

Appraisal of Methodological Quality
Two independent reviewers performed the appraisal of the methodological quality of the studies included in the review using the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale for case-control and cohort studies. For each article, points were awarded for the presence of each item of the different categories (selection, comparability and exposure/outcome).

Data Synthesis
The data were grouped based on study design, characteristics of the population and unit of analysis. A narrative synthesis of the data was also performed.

Results
Type of Study, Setting and Population Characteristics
The articles selected were three case-control studies, two prospective cohort studies, one retrospective cohort study and a cross-sectional study conducted in Central America, South America, Europe, Asia and Africa. Three studies involved the use of a comparative group classified as a control group. Four studies recruited individuals from hospitals, two recruited individuals from maternity clinics and one study was based on data from a national department of statistics. Patient age ranged from 15 to 72.6 years.

Three studies involved convenience sampling but employed eligibility criteria for the determination of the final sample.

Fig. 1 Flowchart of the article selection process.
Other studies had population-based samples for the investigation of the proposed factors.\textsuperscript{13–15} One study failed to describe how many participants were in the sample.\textsuperscript{16} However, direct contact with the author enabled the determination that the study in question also employed a population-based sample (\textit{\textsuperscript{-}Table 1}).

3.3 Categorization of PIH
Two studies\textsuperscript{11,14} addressed preeclampsia and eclampsia. Another\textsuperscript{10} used these same categories and included the complication of hemorrhage. Two\textsuperscript{10,16} classified PIH as preeclampsia. One study\textsuperscript{15} specified the conditions as chronic hypertension, preeclampsia and eclampsia. Another study\textsuperscript{15} denominated the conditions as gestational hypertensive disorders, listing gestational hypertension, preeclampsia and eclampsia. Another investigation\textsuperscript{15} used the following categorization: isolated systolic hypertension (ISH), isolated diastolic hypertension (IDH), isolated systolic or diastolic hypertension with proteinuria (DHP), gestational hypertension (GH), preeclampsia/eclampsia and chronic hypertension (CH).

Statistical Analysis
The studies used prenatal care information as the unit of analysis to investigate the association between prenatal care and PIH. The seven studies\textsuperscript{10–16} performed univariate, bivariate and multivariate analyses.

Relationship between Prenatal Care and Pregnancy-Induced Hypertension
The studies reported the following associations: prenatal care with fewer appointments was associated with PIH ($p < 0.001$; OR = 2.04)\textsuperscript{10}; a lack of prenatal care increased the risk of PIH (odds ratio [OR] = 2.3 [95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.19 to 4.38]\textsuperscript{14} and OR = 3.97 [95% CI: (1.42 to 11.09)]\textsuperscript{11}); BPDSM reduced the incidence of preeclampsia (OR = 0.78; 95% CI: 0.67 to 0.88);\textsuperscript{16} hypertensive women had a greater number of prenatal care appointments than normotensive women, with significant associations found between $\geq 5$ appointments and ISH, IDH, DHP ($p < 0.0001$), GH ($p < 0.05$) and CH ($p < 0.001$).\textsuperscript{13} \textit{\textsuperscript{-}Table 1} displays the results of the studies that found no association between PIH and prenatal care. Differences were found in the presentation of effect measures, ORs, $p$-values and CIs.

3.6 Appraisal of Methodological Quality
The methodological quality of the studies analyzed ranged from 6 to 8 points on a 10-point scale (\textit{\textsuperscript{-}Table 1}). The cross-sectional study received a score of 6 points. A total of 4 studies received 7 points, and 1 study received 8 points.

Discussion
The present systematic review involved a search of multiple databases with no restrictions with regard to language or year of publication. Sixteen articles were preselected for the full-text analysis. A total of 7 met the inclusion criteria and were submitted to an appraisal of methodological quality, receiving scores of 6 to 8 on a 10-point scale.

The researchers described how the cohort and case-control studies occurred, although the eligibility criteria and participant selection methods were not adequately reported. The variables analyzed in the studies, including risk factors and outcome, were well defined. However, none of the studies reported a calibration exercise for the evaluation of the variables.

A case-control study\textsuperscript{10} conducted at a rural maternity clinic in Haiti involving a sample of 689 women (67 in the case group and 622 in the control group) found a 7% prevalence rate of PIH (preeclampsia and eclampsia) among individuals aged 15 to 46 years. The analysis of prenatal care considered the following categories: 0 appointments; 1 to 3 appointments; and 4 or more appointments. Prenatal care was not associated with a reduction in the risk of preeclampsia (1 to 3 appointments: $p = 0.71$, OR = 1.10; 4 or more appointments: $p = 0.50$, OR = 1.20). Thus, the presence or absence of prenatal care exerted no influence on the occurrence of preeclampsia.

Another case-control study\textsuperscript{10} conducted at a reference hospital involving a sample of 1,233 individuals: 650 in the case group (mean age: > 30 years) and 583 in the control group (mean age: 28 years). The prevalence of preeclampsia was not reported, but the condition was associated with fewer appointments ($p < 0.001$, OR = 2.04), which is in disagreement with the findings of another study in the present review.\textsuperscript{12}

A prospective cohort study\textsuperscript{16} conducted at the National Department of Statistics analyzed 387,000 women over a 10-year period. Prenatal care was based on the implantation of a program aimed at controlling obstetric and psychosocial risk factors. The prevalence of preeclampsia ranged from 0.4 to 1.4% among women monitored at a private healthcare service (mean age: 24.2 ± 6.5 years) and 1.4 to 3.2% among women with no access to a private healthcare service (mean age: 25.1 ± 6.9 years). The effect of prenatal care involving the biopsychosocial model reduced the incidence of eclampsia by 22% (OR = 0.78, 95% CI: 0.67–0.88), which is in agreement with the findings of another study\textsuperscript{10} suggesting that an increase in prenatal care reduces the risk of PIH.

A retrospective study\textsuperscript{14} conducted at university hospitals involved a sample of 1,015 individuals: 612 (60.3%) with preeclampsia, 346 (34.1%) with eclampsia and 57 (5.6%) with another type of PIH. The mean age was 25.8 years. A lack of prenatal care increased the risk of the emergence of PIH (OR 2.3; 95% CI: 1.19–4.38), which is in agreement with the findings of 2 other studies.\textsuperscript{10,16}

A case-control study\textsuperscript{11} conducted at 3 reference hospitals involved 299 women: 80 in the case group (prevalence of chronic hypertension: 52%) and 219 in the control group (prevalence of...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors, year, country</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Prevalence; age (years)</th>
<th>Categorization of prenatal care</th>
<th>Categorization of PIH</th>
<th>Statistical analysis</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sekkarie et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Rural maternity</td>
<td>689 subjects (67 cases and 622 controls)</td>
<td>7.0%; 15–46</td>
<td>No appointments; 1–3 appointments; 4 or more appointments</td>
<td>Preclampsia and eclampsia</td>
<td>Univariate and multivariate</td>
<td>Prenatal care not associated with reduction in risk of PIH: 1–3 appointments (p = 0.71; OR = 1.10) and 4 or more appointments (p = 0.50; OR = 1.20)</td>
<td>7 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luo and Ma (2013)</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Tertiary reference hospital</td>
<td>1233 subjects (650 cases and 583 controls)</td>
<td>Prevalence not reported; mean case: 30+; control: 28)</td>
<td>Case - mean: M 2.68 ± 6.18 appointments; control - mean: 9.19 ± 4.49 appointments</td>
<td>Preclampsia</td>
<td>Univariate and multivariate</td>
<td>Preeclampsia associated with fewer prenatal care appointments (p &lt; 0.001; OR = 2.04)</td>
<td>7 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrera et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>National Department of Statistics</td>
<td>387,000</td>
<td>Chronic hypertension, pre-eclampsia = 0.4%–1.4%; Without private service (pre-eclampsia = 1.4%–32%); mean: 2.4 ± 6.5 and 25.1 ± 6.9</td>
<td>Implantation of BPSM program</td>
<td>Preclampsia</td>
<td>Univariate and multivariate</td>
<td>Implantation of BPSM program reduced incidence of pre-eclampsia by 22% (OR = 0.78, 95% CI: 0.67–0.88)</td>
<td>6 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berhan and Endeshaw (2015)</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>University teaching hospitals</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>Prenatal care: yes or no</td>
<td>Chronic hypertension, pre-eclampsia and eclampsia</td>
<td>Univariate and multivariate</td>
<td>Lack of prenatal care increased risk of PIH (OR 2.3; 95% CI: 1.19–4.38)</td>
<td>Lack of prenatal care associated with PIH (OR = 3.97; 95% CI: 1.42–11.09)]</td>
<td>7 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assarag et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Three reference hospitals</td>
<td>299 subjects (80 cases and 219 controls)</td>
<td>Chronic hypertension: 52% of case group and 47% of control group; mean: 29.2 in case group and 28.4 in control group; range: 18–49</td>
<td>Prenatal care: yes or no</td>
<td>Preeclampsia, eclampsia and eclampsia complicated by hemorrhage</td>
<td>Univariate and multivariate</td>
<td>Lack of prenatal care associated with PIH (OR = 3.97; 95% CI: 1.42–11.09)]</td>
<td>8 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correia et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Transversal</td>
<td>Five public maternities</td>
<td>7,325</td>
<td>Gestational hypertensive disorders (gestational hypertension, preeclampsia and eclampsia)</td>
<td>Gestational hypertensive disorders (gestational hypertension, preeclampsia and eclampsia)</td>
<td>Univariate and multivariate</td>
<td>Larger or smaller number of appointments in public or private sector did not affect occurrence of PIH (low-risk pregnancy: OR = 1.12; high-risk pregnancy: OR = 0.53)</td>
<td>Larger or smaller number of appointments in public or private sector did not affect occurrence of PIH (low-risk pregnancy: OR = 1.12; high-risk pregnancy: OR = 0.53)</td>
<td>6 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Männistö et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Community maternity care clinics</td>
<td>10314</td>
<td>Prenatal care: ≥ 5 appointments</td>
<td>ISH, IDH, DHP, CH, Preclampsia / Eclampsia</td>
<td>Univariate and multivariate</td>
<td>Prenatal care associated with ISH, IDH, DHP (p &lt; 0.0001); CH (p &lt; 0.05); and CH (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>Prenatal care associated with ISH, IDH, DHP (p &lt; 0.0001); CH (p &lt; 0.05); and CH (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>7 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BPSM, biopsychosocial model; CH, chronic hypertension; CI, confidence interval; GH, gestational hypertension; IDH, isolated diastolic hypertension; ISH, isolated systolic hypertension; OR, odd ratio; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension; DHP, isolated systolic or diastolic hypertension with proteinuria.
Chronic hypertension: 47%). The ages ranged from 15 to 49 years, with a mean of 29.2 years in the case group and 28.4 in the control group. A lack of prenatal care increased the risk of PIH (preeclampsia and eclampsia as well as preeclampsia and eclampsia complicated by hemorrhage) (OR = 3.97; 95% CI: 1.42–11.09). Therefore, the absence of prenatal care was related to the occurrence of different types of PIH, placing patients at risk.

In a cross-sectional study conducted at 5 public maternity hospitals involving a sample of 7,325 women (55.4% aged 25–34 years, 29.7% aged 24 years or younger and 14.9% aged 35 years or older), the prevalence of PIH (gestational hypertension, preeclampsia and eclampsia) was 3.19%. Prenatal care was not associated with PIH, as a larger or smaller number of appointments at public or private services did not exert an influence on the emergence of PIH (low-risk pregnancy: OR = 1.12; high-risk pregnancy: OR = 0.53), which is in agreement with the findings of another study in the present review.

In a prospective cohort study conducted at maternity care clinics involving a sample of 10,314 women with a mean age of 66.7 years, the prevalence of PIH was 17%. Prenatal care was associated with the outcome, as women with ISH, IDH, DHP, GH and CH had a larger number of prenatal appointments than normotensive women, which is in agreement with findings reported in two other studies, suggesting that prenatal care does not exert an influence on the reduction in or emergence of PIH.

Studies with a sufficient follow-up period involving women of different ages and with the control of possible confounding factors are needed to confirm the effect of prenatal care on the occurrence of PIH. The present review was conducted following the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) checklist.

Conclusion

The present findings demonstrate the importance of greater prenatal care as a measure for health promotion and a reduction in the occurrence of pregnancy-induced hypertension. Standardized methods are needed to strengthen the statistical power of the studies and prospective investigations are needed to gain a better understanding of the association between these two variables.
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