The Effect of Dorsal Angulation on Distal Radioulnar Joint Arthrokinematics Measured Using Intercartilage Distance
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Abstract

Background  The effects of dorsal angulation deformity on in vitro distal radioulnar joint (DRUJ) contact patterns are not well understood.

Purpose  The purpose of this study was to utilize intercartilage distance to examine the effects of forearm rotation angle, distal radius deformity, and triangular fibrocartilage complex (TFCC) sectioning on DRUJ contact area and centroid position.

Methods  An adjustable implant permitted the creation of simulated intact state and dorsal angulation deformities of 10, 20, and 30 degrees. Three-dimensional cartilage models of the distal radius and ulna were created using computed tomography data. Using optically tracked motion data, the relative position of the cartilage models was rendered and used to measure DRUJ cartilage contact mechanics.

Results  DRUJ contact area was highest between 10 and 30 degrees of supination. TFCC sectioning caused a significant decrease in contact area with a mean reduction of 11 ± 7 mm² between the TFCC intact and sectioned conditions across all variables. The position of the contact centroid moved volarly and proximally with supination for all variables. Deformity had a significant effect on the location of the contact centroid along the volar–dorsal plane.

Conclusion  Contact area in the DRUJ was maximal between 10 and 30 degrees of supination during the conditions tested. There was a significant effect of simulated TFCC rupture on contact area in the DRUJ, with a mean contact reduction of 11 ± 7 mm² after sectioning. Increasing dorsal angulation caused the contact centroid to move progressively more volar in the sigmoid notch.
Distal radius fractures are the most common type of upper extremity fracture in the United States. Factors such as osteopenia, comminution, age over 60 years, and a high degree of initial displacement may predispose these to malunion. Residual dorsal angulation is the most common deformity, and the consequences of this have been the most widely studied. Specifically, residual dorsal angulation can alter forearm mechanics, with effects on both the range/axis of forearm rotation and torque required for prosupination. Moreover, increased dorsal angulation may cause dorsal intercalated segmental instability and change the excursion and moment arms of the wrist muscles.

The consequences of distal radius malunion on the distal radioulnar joint (DRUJ) have been the subject of further enquiry. Dorsal angulation of the distal radius has a significant effect on the DRUJ, causing incongruity, instability, and abnormal load transfer across the joint. Persistent disability from malunion has been observed clinically, with symptoms including ulnar-sided wrist pain, deformity, restricted forearm rotation, and limitations in grip strength. Dysfunction related to these may be exacerbated in the setting of associated tri angular fibrocartilage complex (TFCC) rupture and DRUJ instability. These symptoms may, in part, relate to the biomechanical effects of distal radius malunion on the DRUJ.

Arthrokinematics, or the specific movement of joint surfaces, are not well understood for the DRUJ in the setting of distal radius malunion. Using in vivo methods, previous authors have documented a reduction in the contact area between the ulnar head and sigmoid notch with malunion. In vivo methods use cadaveric specimens and allow for individual deformities to be isolated and different conditions to be simulated, such as TFCC rupture. This permits a categorical analysis of the effects of each parameter on the arthrokinematics of the DRUJ.

Accurate indirect measurement of joint contact can be achieved using in vitro techniques. Intercartilage Distance (ICD) is one such technique, which utilizes computed tomography (CT)-based bone and cartilage models, fiducial-based registration, and optical tracking motion capture data. It has been used previously to characterize DRUJ contact in the intact state.

The purpose of this in vitro study was to utilize ICD to examine the effects of dorsal angulation deformity on DRUJ contact patterns throughout simulated active forearm rotation. Our hypothesis was that the contact area would decrease with progressive dorsal angulation, and that the centroid of contact would become more volar and distal in the sigmoid notch with increasing deformity. We also hypothesized that simulated TFCC rupture would decrease contact area at the sigmoid notch and increase the variability of the contact path of the centroid.

Methods

Specimen Preparation

Testing was performed on eight cadaveric forearm specimens (mean age 60 years; range 29–75 years; six men and two women) with no CT evidence of osteoarthritis. We established that this sample size would provide a power of 80% to detect changes of 0.8 mm in centroid position and 20 mm² in contact area at the 0.05 confidence level. The distal tendons of the wrist extensors (extensor carpi radialis longus [ECRL], extensor carpi ulnaris [ECU]), wrist flexors (flexor carpi radialis [FCR], flexor carpi ulnaris [FCU]), pronator teres [PT], and biceps [BIC] were then sutured using #2 Ethibond (Ethibond Excel, Ethicon Inc., Piscataway, NJ).

Sutures were passed through alignment guides that reproduced the physiologic line of action of each muscle. ECRL and ECU were routed through a lateral epicondyle sleeve, while PT, FCR, and FCU were routed through a medial epicondyle sleeve. The supinator [SUP] was modeled by placing a suture anchor in the radial tuberosity and routing the attached suture through a Delrin sleeve which traversed the supinator crest to the posterolateral aspect of the ulna. The sutures of ECRU, ECU, FCR, FCU, and SUP were attached to individual pneumatic actuators (Airpot Corporation, Norwalk, CT).

Simulation of Motion

A servo motor (SM2315D; Anuristic, Santa Clara, CA) was used to simulate active motion, with a resistive counterforce provided by a pneumatic actuator. Active supination was initiated by attaching BIC to the servo motor set to motion control at a constant tendon velocity of 5 mm/s. The muscles were loaded using ratios based on a previous investigation of forearm muscle EMG and cross-sectional area. Constant tone loads of 10 N were applied to the FCU, FCR, ECU, and ECRL. Simultaneous pneumatic actuator loads were regulated by proportional pressure controllers (MAC Valves, Wixom, MI) under computer control using custom programmed software (LabVIEW, National Instruments, TX).

Motion Tracking and Kinematic Data Acquisition

Infrared marker triads were rigidly affixed to the proximal radius and ulna using custom Delrin pedestals and the arc of simulated active supination was tracked using an Optotrak Certus (Northern Digital Inc, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada) optical motion capture system (Fig. 1).

Simulation of Distal Radius Deformity

A previously described, custom-engineered adjustable implant was applied to the volar aspect of the distal radius for each specimen. This permitted the creation of simulated dorsal angulation deformities. The central appliance of the implant was removable and exchanged for each deformity condition. To install the device, a 20-mm corticocancellous segment of volar distal radius was removed 2 mm proximal to the DRUJ using an oscillating saw. The dorsal cortex was initially left intact as a bone bridge. Medullary bone from the distal radius metaphysis and shaft was curedt away and cavities were filled with polymethylmethacrylate cement. The adjustable implant was then fixated using bone screws in a neutral position (Fig. 2).

Four different deformity conditions were tested: no deformity (Straight Wedge [SW]), dorsal angulation of 10 degrees (DA10), 20 degrees (DA20), and 30 degrees (DA30).
The SW configuration of the adjustable implant kept the proximal and distal radius fragments in their original anatomic alignment, while the dorsal angulation configurations introduced progressive dorsal tilt of the articular surface relative to the original anatomy (►Fig. 3).

**Testing Procedure**

The specimens were kept hydrated throughout testing using 0.9% normal saline and closure of the skin envelope between implant exchanges. Kinematic data were gathered with the implant in the neutral (SW) position and for the dorsal angulation deformities with the TFCC intact. Once testing of the intact state had concluded, the TFCC was divided off its ulnar insertion (►Fig. 4).

Subsequently, all deformity testing was repeated for the TFCC insufficient state (►Fig. 4). At the conclusion of the testing protocol, the forearm was dissected and the bones were denuded of soft tissue. Landmarks on the distal radius, implant, proximal radius, and ulna were digitized relative to the attached motion trackers. This permitted the creation of a three-dimensional anatomic coordinate system; therefore, the kinematic data could be transformed to describe the position of the radius relative to the ulna.

**Intercartilage Distance Measurement Technique**

►Fig. 5 provides a flowchart summarizing the stages of data processing which follow kinematic data acquisition to create an ICD measurement. Steps are included from both the...
Data Analysis

All eight specimens were used for ICD contact analysis. The ICD algorithm was used to generate a contact patch and contact centroid for every 10 degrees interval of forearm rotation. The optical tracking system was unable to capture the extremes of forearm rotation due to loss of tracker visualization; therefore, an arc from $-60$ (60 degrees of supination) to $+40$ (40 degrees of pronation) was analyzed.

Centroid coordinate data from eight specimens was also evaluated. An anatomical coordinate system was assigned to the sigmoid notch of the distal radius, with a point designated as its center. Contact centroid position relative to the sigmoid notch center was then calculated in mm, for both the proximal–distal ($X$) and volar–dorsal ($Y$) axes.

The effects of forearm rotation angle, distal radius deformity, and TFCC sectioning on DRUJ contact area and contact centroid position were evaluated. A three-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed, with independent variables of forearm rotation angle, distal radius deformity, and TFCC condition.

To determine if the centroid pathways were more variable after TFCC sectioning, the standard deviation values for each 10 degrees interval of forearm rotation were compared using a one-way repeated measures ANOVA for matched deformities. Both the proximal–distal and dorsal–volar axes were assessed.

Data imputation using a linear regression model was used to reconstitute missing contact area and centroid coordinate values. A Greenhouse–Geisser's correction was applied. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Data presented are the mean DRUJ contact area ± standard deviation unless otherwise specified. We used a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons to compare main effects.

Results

There was no significant effect from deformity on contact area in the DRUJ ($p = 0.30$). Forearm rotation angle had a significant effect on contact area ($p = 0.004$), with measurements being highest between 10 and 30 degrees of supination. TFCC sectioning caused a significant decrease in contact area in the DRUJ ($p = 0.030$), with a mean reduction of $11 \pm 7 \text{ mm}^2$ between the TFCC intact and sectioned conditions across all variables (Figs. 6 and 7).

The position of the contact centroid along the volar–dorsal axis moved volarly with supination for all variables ($p < 0.001$). Deformity had a significant effect on the location of the contact centroid along this plane ($p = 0.043$). Relative to the SW position, the mean centroid position moved $0.3 \pm 1 \text{ mm}$ volar in 10 degrees of dorsal angulation, $0.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ mm}$ volar in 20 degrees of dorsal angulation, and $0.6 \pm 0.9 \text{ mm}$ volar in 30 degrees of dorsal angulation. There was no effect from sectioning the TFCC on the volar–dorsal position of the centroid ($p = 0.24$). Variability of the centroid pathway was significantly increased along the volar–dorsal axis after TFCC sectioning ($p < 0.001$), with a 16% increase in the magnitude of standard deviation values for each angle of forearm rotation across deformities.
The position of the contact centroid along the proximal–distal axis moved proximally with supination for all variables ($p = 0.043$). Deformity did not have a significant effect on the location of the contact centroid along this plane ($p = 0.17$). There was no effect from sectioning the TFCC on the proximal–distal position of the centroid ($p = 0.21$). Variability of the centroid pathway was significantly increased along the proximal–distal axis after TFCC sectioning ($p = 0.004$), with a 50% increase in the magnitude of standard deviation values for each angle of forearm rotation across deformities (►Figs. 8 and 9).

**Discussion**

This study demonstrated that contact area in the DRUJ is variable and dependent on the angle of forearm rotation. Contact area was maximal between 10 and 30 degrees of supination during the conditions tested. These findings are consistent with the literature, with reports indicating that the highest DRUJ contact area values occur across 10 to 30 degrees of supination.24–26 We noted that the contact centroid on the sigmoid notch moved volarly and proximally with progressive supination. This was also expected and is in

---

**Fig. 5** A flowchart detailing the stages of postexperiment data processing for application of the Intercartilage Distance algorithm.
agreement with the published literature on DRUJ kine-
matics\textsuperscript{27–30} and contact.\textsuperscript{21,31}

Simulated malunion with dorsally angulated distal radius
deformities influenced DRUJ contact. Increasing dorsal angula-
tion caused the contact centroid to move progressively more
volar in the sigmoid notch. This was in keeping with our
hypothesis, and relates to the distal radius being dorsally
displaced relative to the ulnar head during forearm rotation.
\textsuperscript{3,32}

We found no correlation between the amount of simulated
distal radius deformity and contact area in the DRUJ. This
finding was unexpected, given the sensitivity of this technique
for subtle contact area changes\textsuperscript{20} and the known effects of dorsal
angulation deformity on DRUJ biomechanics.\textsuperscript{6,7,12,18,32–34}
It is possible that DRUJ contact area does not change with progres-
sive dorsal angulation of the distal radius. Alternatively, the lack
of difference in our study may relate to the arc of motion studied
(60 degrees of pronation to 40 degrees of supination). Other
authors have noted the greatest effect of deformity at the
extremes of forearm rotation, with limitations in pronation\textsuperscript{3}
and supination\textsuperscript{7} beyond 50 degrees of rotation. It is also possible
that no difference from deformity was observed because of the
type of deformity tested. Previous authors have noted more
significant kinematic changes from combined deformities\textsuperscript{6} or
shortening compared with dorsal angulation.\textsuperscript{33} Finally, we may
have been underpowered with a small sample size to show a
statistically significant difference on contact area between
deformity groups.

Our findings are interesting to contrast to in vivo studies of
the DRUJ in the setting of distal radius malunion.\textsuperscript{18,34} Crisco
et al\textsuperscript{18} noted that deformity had a significant effect on interbone
joint spacing area (their proxy for joint contact area), but that
forearm rotation angle had no effect. They demonstrated less
contact in malunited wrists with a contact centroid which
moved more proximally. This was in contrast to our findings,
which showed a significant effect of forearm rotation angle on
contact area, and did not demonstrate a change in DRUJ contact
area with deformity. Moreover, unlike Crisco et al,\textsuperscript{18} we noted
no change in the position of the contact centroid along the
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**Fig. 6** Depicting the mean +1 SD of DRUJ contact area for the normal condition (SW) and with an increasing degree of dorsal angulation deformity (DA10/20/30). Measurements were made at 10 degrees intervals of forearm rotation, from 60 degrees of supination to 40 degrees of pronation. DRUJ, distal radioulnar joint; SD, standard deviation; SW, straight wedge.
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**Fig. 7** Depicting the mean +1 SD of DRUJ contact area after TFCC sectioning, for the normal condition (SW) and with an increasing degree of dorsal angulation deformity (DA10/20/30). Measurements were made at 10 degrees intervals of forearm rotation, from 60 degrees of supination to 40 degrees of pronation. DRUJ, distal radioulnar joint; SD, standard deviation; TFCC, triangular fibrocartilage complex.
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**Fig. 8** The position of the contact centroid on the face of the sigmoid notch during forearm rotation. Mean centroid position is displayed for TFCC intact specimens. TFCC, triangular fibrocartilage complex.
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**Fig. 9** The position of the contact centroid on the face of the sigmoid notch during forearm rotation. Mean centroid position is displayed for TFCC sectioned specimens. TFCC, triangular fibrocartilage complex.
proximal–distal axis with deformity, but did find that it displaced volarily with progressive dorsal angulation. Their values for absolute contact area in normal were also significantly higher than in our study and those documented in the DRUJ by other authors using Tekscan. There are multiple reasons that could explain the discrepancies: (1) ICD is more accurate than interbone distance as the true cartilage thickness is accounted for in the bone–cartilage model, compared with interbone distance where an arbitrary number is used to create the proximity map. (2) Their technique uses multiple static positions to extrapolate kinematics; thus, pathways depicting forearm motion may not be entirely accurate. (3) They were evaluating multilobar deformities which included shortening, as opposed to isolated dorsal angulation deformities as in our study. (4) Their measurements are based on a live population who has an almost complete range of motion despite their chronic deformity. In vitro specimens are unable to compensate their soft tissue compliance for increasing levels of deformity.

Our study also examined the effect of the TFCC on contact area in the DRUJ. We demonstrated a significant effect of simulated TFCC rupture on contact area in the DRUJ, with a mean contact reduction of $11 \pm 7 \text{ mm}^2$ after sectioning. This was to be expected, as once TFCC failure occurs, forces across the DRUJ relax considerably. It is generally believed that the TFCC constrains the DRUJ up to a certain limit in the setting of distal radius deformity. Some authors have experienced that only moderate deformities can be reproduced with an intact TFCC complex. Sectioning of the TFCC allows for more extreme malpositions to be achieved with decreased torques to achieve full prosupination. In light of the above, it is interesting then that no difference was found from TFCC sectioning on contact centroid position. In our study, after TFCC sectioning, there was a 16% increase in the magnitude of standard deviation values for the contact centroid position along the dorsal–volar axis, and a 50% increase along the proximal–distal axis. This implies a dramatic increase in the variability of the contact centroid pathway after sectioning of the TFCC. This variability likely explains why no significant difference was found.

The limitations of this study include the inability for cadaveric specimens to undergo soft tissue adaptation, unlike the in vivo condition. Moreover, the results are less generalizable because only uniplanar deformity was tested, while malunion is usually composed of combination of shortening, angulation, translation, and rotation. The advantage of an in vitro method for studying contact area, compared with in vivo methods, is that test parameters are better controlled and effects of individual deformities can be isolated. Fewer assumptions are made for changes in kinematic pathways, as testing occurs continuously throughout an arc of motion. Finally, the cartilage models created from specimens CT scanned in air create excellent cartilage definition and more accurate models.

In conclusion, increasing dorsal angulation deformity has no apparent effect on contact area in the DRUJ, but causes the contact centroid position to displace volarily. Simulated TFCC rupture reduces the DRUJ contact area and significantly increases the variability of the contact centroid pathway during forearm rotation. Future directions include testing other deformities, including dorsal translation, combined deformities, and volar deformities to increase the generalizability of the results.
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