

Answer – Abortion and Zika Virus Congenital Infection

Vivian Maria Ribeiro Mota¹ Alanna dos Santos Delfino³ Thayse Elaine Costa Figueiredo Lopes⁴
 André Luiz Santos Pessoa⁵ Erlane Marques Ribeiro⁴ Luciano Pamplona de Góes Cavalcanti²

¹ Universidade de Fortaleza, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil

² Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil

³ Universidade Estadual do Ceará, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil

⁴ Faculty of Medicine, Centro Universitário Christus, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil

⁵ Hospital Infantil Albert Sabin, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil

Address for correspondence Vivian Maria Ribeiro Mota, MD, Universidade de Fortaleza, Rua Vasco de Ataíde 895, 60841-395, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil (e-mail: vivianmariaribeiromota@gmail.com).

Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet 2018;40:498.

We would like to thank for the comments of the letter to the editor about our article “Abortion in Cases of Zika Virus Congenital Infection.”¹ Intrauterine consequences have become a focus of concern when it comes to Zika virus infection.² As for the first statement: “There is still no evidence that Zika virus infection in pregnant women is the cause of abortion,” in fact, there are records in the scientific literature that strongly suggest this association and report intrauterine fetal death and spontaneous abortion,^{3,4} both precocious⁴ and late,² caused by the Zika virus and confirmed by serological tests on the fetus and the placenta.

We agree with our colleagues about the statement that not all cases of maternal infection by the Zika virus have as a result a fetus with microcephaly. This was initially demonstrated by a series of cases of babies born with normal cephalic perimeter, despite the confirmation of infection of the mother.⁵ In view of these findings, there is no doubt that the maternal infection by the Zika virus is not a reliable evidence of fetal infection. However, in our article,¹ we present data that indicate that pregnant women throughout the world, due to the psychological distress and the epidemiology of fetal infection, may be deciding whether to undergo an induced abortion after being infected by the Zika virus. Thus, we reinforce the statement that: “Early diagnosis may be useful for some purposes such as epidemiological monitoring, but should not be the presumptive data for the decision on abortion for the pregnant woman infected with Zika virus.”

We believe that an early diagnosis provides the woman with a better understanding of the fetal situation, which empowers and enables her to decide what would be the best for her and the fetus, according to the law of each country.

Conflicts of Interest

None to declare.

References

- 1 Mota VMR, Cavalcanti LPG, Delfino ADS, Lopes TECF, Pessoa ALS, Ribeiro EM. Abortion in cases of Zika virus congenital infection. *Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet* 2018 Jun 29. Doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1648219
- 2 Schaub B, Monthieux A, Najihoullah F, et al. Late miscarriage: another Zika concern. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2016; 207:240–241. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2016.10.041
- 3 Gonc e A, Mart nez MJ, Marb n-Castro E, et al. Spontaneous abortion associated with Zika virus infection and persistent viremia. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2018;24:933–935. doi: 10.3201/eid2405.171479
- 4 van der Eijk AA, van Genderen PJ, Verdijk RM, et al. Miscarriage associated with Zika virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 375:1002–1004. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1605898
- 5 van der Linden V, Pessoa A, Dobyns W, et al. Description of 13 infants born during October 2015–January 2016 with congenital Zika virus infection without microcephaly at birth - Brazil. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2016;65:1343–1348. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6547e2