Child Nutrition and Bone Health

Lucía Redondo-Cuevas1 Jesús Sanchis-Chordà1 Pilar Codoñer-Franch1,2

1 Department of Pediatrics, Obstetrics, and Gynecology, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain
2 Department of Pediatrics, Dr. Peset University Hospital, Valencia, Spain

Address for correspondence Lucía Redondo-Cuevas, BSc, MSc, Department of Pediatrics, Obstetrics, and Gynecology, University of Valencia, Avenida de Blasco Ibáñez 15, 46010 Valencia, Spain (e-mail: lucia.redondo.cuevas@gmail.com).

Abstract

Nutrition is one of the modifiable factors that contributes to bone accrual during childhood and adolescence, a critical period to prevent adult osteoporosis. Calcium and vitamin D seem to be the most important nutrients for optimal bone growth. Requirements for calcium intake are different among countries and organizations, and exact recommendations are difficult to determine since other dietary factors directly affect calcium metabolism, such as salt intake and vitamin D levels. Some scientists have suggested that the actual calcium requirements are overestimated and that increased dairy intake does not necessarily translate to better bone health in adults. Moreover, calcium can be obtained from other natural foods, such as cruciferous vegetables (turnip greens, broccoli rabe, kale, broccoli, and cabbage), endive, sesame seeds, legumes, almonds, calcium-fortified vegetable beverages, and canned sardines. Vitamin D should be obtained from food combined with appropriate sun exposure, and if that is not enough, vitamin D supplements can be used. Diets comprised a complex combination of nutrients and foods, and dietary patterns in children and adolescents play a key role in bone formation. A dietary pattern that is high in vegetables and fruits and low in processed foods (containing large amounts of added sugar and salt) is necessary to achieve optimal bone formation. Finally, physical activity, particularly activities that apply large forces, is even more important than dietary factors to contribute to bone accrual.
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Introduction

The fetal period, early life, childhood, and puberty are critical periods for the development and/or programming of metabolic systems, including the skeleton. Osteoporosis is described by the World Health Organization (WHO) as a “progressive systemic skeletal disease characterized by low bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue, with a consequent increase in bone fragility and susceptibility to fracture.” Because of the morbidity of osteoporosis, the prevention of this disease and its associated fractures is considered essential to the maintenance of health, quality of life, and independence in the elderly population.1

Peak bone mass attained during childhood and adolescence determines skeletal fragility in old age.2 Peak bone mass is the amount of bone acquired when accrual ceases or reaches a plateau at some point after the completion of growth and development.3 During growth and development, skeletal growth proceeds through the coordinated action of bone deposition and resorption to allow bones to expand and lengthen into their adult form. This process of bone modeling begins during the fetal growth period and continues until the end of the second decade of life. Bone modeling is sensitive to mechanical loading, emphasizing the importance of physical activity throughout growth.4,5
The most frequently used clinical indication of osteoporosis and fracture risk is bone mineral density (BMD). However, fracture resistance is determined by several factors that are difficult to measure: the strength of the bone, which in turn depends on its geometric properties (size, shape, and connectivity), the activities of the cells in the tissue, and the material properties of the tissue. The material properties of bone include the mineral content, mineral composition, mineral crystal size, and collagen matrix content and composition. In general, when osteoclast activity (the sole bone-resorbing cell) is greater than osteoblast activity (responsible for bone formation), a higher bone fragility develops.

The growth and maintenance of bone tissue are complex processes influenced by many factors (Fig. 1):

**Genetics, sex, and ethnicity**: Heritable factors can explain 60 to 80% of the variability in bone mass and osteoporosis risk. Genome-wide association studies have identified more than 70 loci associated with adult bone density or fractures; however, only a few of these studies have been conducted in children. Males tend to have higher bone density and content, and they achieve it at a later age than females. Finally, racial differences in bone become apparent during childhood. In the United States, studies of areal BMD generally show the greatest values in African Americans followed by American white, Asian or Hispanic children.

**Intrauterine life**: Maternal diet (particularly vitamin D deficiency), smoking, and physical activity modulate the bone mineral acquisition of the baby; moreover, both low birth size and poor childhood growth are directly linked to the risk of hip fracture later in life.

**Hormonal status**: Several hormones affect bone mass. Estrogen plays an important part in maintaining BMD in women, and estrogen deficiency is associated with increased bone resorption and increased fracture risk. Androgens, growth hormones, and insulin-like growth factor-1 promote bone formation, whereas excess glucocorticoids both increase bone resorption and impair bone formation. Neighboring muscle and adipose tissue also exert significant control over bone remodeling.

**Physical activity**: Bone growth and maintenance are critically stimulated by physical activity, and it is important at all ages. Regular physical activity is the key to optimizing peak bone mass, thus preventing osteoporosis later in life.

**Low-grade inflammatory state**: It has been recognized that inflammatory cytokines of the immune system have a significant impact on the fine-tuned balance between bone resorption and bone formation. For example, cytokines, such as interleukin-6, interleukin-1 and tumor necrosis factor-α, suppress osteoblasts and stimulate osteoclast functions. Furthermore, oxidative stress and the generation of advanced glycation end products have emerged as links between inflammation and bone destruction.

**Gut microbiota**: The microbiota interacts with the host to modulate bone density through different mechanisms:

1. Gut microbiota dysbiosis can lead to intestinal inflammation and bone inflammation.
2. Dietary or prebiotic fiber reaches the lower gut where the resident microbiota ferments the fiber to short-chain fatty acids, which can enhance calcium absorption and signal locally in the gut and in the bone.
3. The gut bacteria secrete factors and intestinal hormones, such as incretins and serotonin, that are known to regulate bone density.

![Fig. 1](image) Factors influencing bone formation during childhood and adolescence.
Tobacco and alcohol use during childhood and adolescence have been associated with lower bone density levels.\textsuperscript{16}

Many diseases, certain drugs, and toxic agents affecting children and adolescents can be associated with a reduction in bone accrual or a loss of bone mass and quality. For example, glucocorticoids result in significant reductions in bone formation because of the decreased differentiation of osteoblast precursors, impaired osteoblast function, and decreased osteoblast lifespan.\textsuperscript{3,17}

**Nutritional factors**: The present review evaluates and summarizes current evidence of nutritional factors that promote maximal bone health from childhood through early to late adolescence.

### Nutritional Factors

#### Calcium

Calcium is one of the main bone-forming minerals; over 99% of total body calcium is found as calcium hydroxyapatite in bones and teeth, where it provides hard tissue with its strength.

**The Calcium Paradox**

Surprisingly, countries or populations with lower calcium intakes also have a lower prevalence of osteoporotic fractures,\textsuperscript{18,19} suggesting that environmental factors other than calcium intake play a key role in bone health. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO),\textsuperscript{18} most of the world’s population consumes 500 mg of calcium or less per day and few or no dairy products and yet experience low fracture rates. Currently, there is no satisfactory answer to the question behind the “calcium paradox.” It is not surprising since it is known that bone is a complex tissue, and it is not impacted solely by calcium.\textsuperscript{20} However, an adequate intake of highly bioavailable calcium seems to be important to build bones in children.

#### How Much Is Adequate Calcium?

There is currently a considerable debate about the adequate recommended intake of calcium, and there is wide variation in the estimates of daily calcium requirements made by different expert authorities (\textit{Table 1}). Moreover, data on calcium intakes in children is too limited to draw conclusions about adequacy.\textsuperscript{21}

Ludwig and Willett suggest that the recommended level of calcium intake in the United States is based predominately on balance studies of 3 weeks or less and likely overestimates actual requirements and greatly exceeds the recommended intake in the United Kingdom.\textsuperscript{28} According to the WHO, in countries with a high fracture incidence, only a minimum of 400 to 500 mg of calcium intake is required to prevent osteoporosis in older people.\textsuperscript{29} It has been described that calcium homeostasis is maintained mainly by controlling gastrointestinal absorption and renal excretion of calcium. Children with low calcium intakes (227 or 359 mg/day) demonstrated a mean fractional absorption of 61 or 63.1%, respectively, approximately double that of children with calcium intakes close to the recommended dietary allowances.\textsuperscript{30} Moreover, children with low calcium intakes also reduce their renal excretion of calcium.\textsuperscript{30}

Calcium requirements vary depending on dietary factors, such as the intake of vitamin D,\textsuperscript{31} sodium,\textsuperscript{4} and caffeine\textsuperscript{32} the presence of molecules modifying intestinal calcium absorption, such as lactose\textsuperscript{33} and other factors, such as physical activity.\textsuperscript{4,5} Calcium excretion increases with sodium intake because these minerals share some of the same transport systems in the proximal tubule. Lowering sodium intake by 2.3 g from 3.45 to 1.15 g, for example, reduces the theoretical calcium requirement from 840 to 600 mg.\textsuperscript{34} This effect is more pronounced in Caucasians than in black adolescent girls.\textsuperscript{10} Determining an exact quantity of a nutrient while the rest of the diet and lifestyle changes results in a complex task.

Increasing calcium intake from dietary sources or by taking calcium supplements in adults produces small non-progressive increases in BMD,\textsuperscript{35} and dairy consumption during adult life does not appear to be associated with reduced risk of fracture.\textsuperscript{36} During childhood and puberty, a small, biologically, and statistically significant positive effect of calcium intake on areal BMD and/or bone mineral content (BMC) accrual was observed, and this effect was largest in children who had the lowest intakes of calcium at baseline.\textsuperscript{4} However, calcium supplementation studies in pediatric

### Table 1 Recommendation for calcium intake in several countries and organizations (mg/day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Organization</th>
<th>Toddlers</th>
<th>Young children</th>
<th>Older children</th>
<th>Adolescents</th>
<th>Young adult</th>
<th>Middle-aged adult</th>
<th>Older adult</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAO/WHO, 2002\textsuperscript{22}</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM, 2011\textsuperscript{23}</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSA, 2015\textsuperscript{24}</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1,150</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain, 2010\textsuperscript{25}</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1,000–1,100</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK, 1991\textsuperscript{26}</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>800–1,000</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan, 2015\textsuperscript{27}</td>
<td>450 Male</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>700–1,000</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 Female</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>650–800</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: EFSA, European Food Safety Authority; FAO/WHO, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health Organization; IOM, Institute of Medicine; UK, United Kingdom.
populations have shown that the relative gains in BMD were lost once supplementation was discontinued.\textsuperscript{30,37,38}

**Effect of Dairy Intake on Bone Health during Childhood and Adolescence**

Some randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have been conducted on supplementation with dairy food and its effect on bone mass accrual during childhood and adolescence, but the results are still inconclusive. The National Osteoporosis Foundation conducted a systematic review of the predictors of peak bone mass\textsuperscript{4} in which they collected three 2-year RCTs showing increased gains in some bone sites with dairy food consumption. The first one was a school milk intervention in Chinese girls who consumed a low calcium quantity at baseline: 418 to 455 mg Ca/day. It was reported that increasing milk consumption (330 mL milk fortified with calcium) enhanced growth and bone mineral accretion.\textsuperscript{39} The second RCT was a trial performed with 195 girls (10–12 years old) in which no beneficial effects on bone were recorded after cheese supplementation after adjusting for differences in growth velocity among subjects.\textsuperscript{40} The third RCT showed the strongest evidence for a dairy food effect on bone mass accrual. Ninety-one healthy 15 to 18 years old girls in New Zealand were randomly allocated to either the control group or the dairy supplementation group. Calcium intake was similar at baseline, but at the end of study, it was significantly higher in the dairy-supplemented group (the number of servings of dairy food was not reported). After 2 years, there was a significantly greater increase in BMD at the trochanter, femoral neck, and lumbar spine in the high calcium group than in the control group.\textsuperscript{41}

More recently, other RCTs have been published. In a population of 274 healthy girls aged 13 to 14 years with habitual dairy intakes of \(\leq 1\) glass of milk/day and \(\leq 600\) mg Ca/day, a 1-year intervention in which participants consumed recommended intakes of \(\geq 1,200\) mg Ca/day from low-fat milk or yogurt produced a small benefit compared with the effect seen in the control group in total-body minus head BMC. This difference was not statistically significant; however, the authors affirm that it has practical significance because it is estimated that increasing the bone mass of the elderly population by 5% would decrease hip fracture incidence by 50%.\textsuperscript{42} Vogel et al conducted an RCT with 181 girls aged 8 to 15.9 years who consumed \(< 800\) mg Ca/day.\textsuperscript{43} They were randomly selected to receive an 18-month dairy intervention (3 servings/day) or a control diet (2 servings/day). This study failed to support the recommended consumption of \(> 2\) servings dairy/day for adolescents based on BMD, BMC, and bone area for the total-body radius, lumbar spine, and total hip. Weber et al performed an RCT with 139 healthy children (7–10 years of age) implementing a family-centered behavioral modification and nutritional education program designed to increase dietary calcium intake.\textsuperscript{44} Although the program was successful in increasing calcium intake, it had no effect on bone accrual.

A prospective cohort study including over 22 years of follow-up concluded that greater milk consumption during the teenage years was not associated with a lower risk of hip fracture in older adults.\textsuperscript{45} Milk consumption in early life not only builds bone mass but also increases height, and height is a risk factor for hip fractures in later life. Further research is needed to clarify the role of early milk consumption and height in the prevention of hip fractures in older adults.\textsuperscript{45}

**Food Sources of Calcium**

Milk allergies or lactose intolerance are situations that can lead to the elimination of dairy products as a calcium source. Vegan people do not allow dairy in their diets for various personal reasons. There are some suggested pathologies or situations in which the suitability of dairy consumption should be individually assessed, such as acne, mucus production,\textsuperscript{47} and gastrointestinal disorders,\textsuperscript{48} prostate cancer,\textsuperscript{49,50} and others.\textsuperscript{51,52} For these reasons, achieving a better understanding of both dairy and nondairy products as calcium sources is required.

Calcium sources should be evaluated based on both calcium content and bioavailability. Calcium absorption from milk is similar to that of other dairy products, even though the lactose content and the chemical form of calcium in cheese and yogurt are altered during processing. It is estimated that the calcium of both milk and yogurt and cheese have an absorption of 32.1%.\textsuperscript{57} Studies in humans have generally failed to demonstrate an enhancement of calcium absorption by lactose.\textsuperscript{53} Furthermore, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies,\textsuperscript{54} concludes that “a cause and effect relationship has not been established between the consumption of lactose and an increase in calcium absorption leading to an increase in calcium retention.” Oxalates, phytates, and tannins are the main antinutritional components that negatively affect calcium absorption. However, culinary preparations in food grains, such as soaking, fermentation, and germination, reduce these antinutritional components,\textsuperscript{55} increasing calcium absorption. Roasting is another procedure to reduce phytic acid, as demonstrated in brown sesame seeds.\textsuperscript{56} Weaver et al\textsuperscript{57} described calcium absorption in humans from several food sources. Rhubarb and spinach are not good sources of calcium due to their apparently high levels of oxalates, the fractional absorption of calcium is 8.54% and 5.1%, respectively.\textsuperscript{57} Additionally, low oxalate vegetables, such as those in the cruciferous group, have excellent calcium bioavailability, even better than dairy. For example, broccoli (61.3%), bok choy (53.8%), and kale (49.8%) have a high calcium absorption.\textsuperscript{57} Pinto beans (26.7%), red beans (24.4%) or white beans (21.8%) have a middle absorption rate for calcium.

Table 2 highlights the food quantities that are calcium equivalents to 1 glass of milk.

**Vitamin D**

Taking calcium paired with vitamin D seems to be more beneficial for bone health than taking calcium alone. Vitamin D
During the winter, when living above and below latitude in due to the synthesis of vitamin D that occurs. The season and how the hens are maintained and fed and whether the dose of UV solar radiation is considered bene...

Table 2 Food calcium equivalents to 1 glass of milk (240 mL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food Item</th>
<th>Calcium Equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 units of small yogurt (125 g each one) or 1 unit of big yogurt (200 g)</td>
<td>1.5 ounces of hard cheese (cheddar, mozzarella, Swiss, Parmesan) or 2 cups of cottage cheese</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data of food composition were obtained from US Department of Agriculture.

a Data were obtained from the product label (Cantero del Letur).
b Quantity based in calcium content and absorption percentage.
c Data were provided by the food manufacturer (Lima, distributed in Spain by Biocop).

Protein Intake

Protein makes up 50% of bone volume and approximately one-third of its mass; it provides the structural matrix of bone. Collagen and a variety of noncollagenous proteins form the organic matrix of bone. However, it has been described that dietary protein (particularly animal protein) increases calcium urinary excretion. It was proposed that increasing dietary protein that contains sulfur-containing amino acids results in a metabolic acid load that requires buffering from alkali stores in the bone, resulting in skeletal calcium loss. This theory has been refuted by a recent systematic review and meta-analysis that concluded that no adverse effects in bone health were observed due to higher protein intakes. It seems that the increased urinary calcium excretion is due to the positive effect of protein in intestinal calcium absorption.

Much of what is known regarding dietary protein and bone health comes from adult studies; limited work in children and adolescents has been done. However, an adequate dietary protein intake seems important for optimal acquisition and maintenance of bone mass, as has been described in prospective studies.

Other Nutrients

Bone formation is a complex biological process and involves many other nutrients. Magnesium, vitamin K, vitamin C, manganese, copper, zinc, and iron could have some positive effects on bone formation since they are cofactors in enzymes responsible for bone metabolism and collagen synthesis. However, there are limited studies to substantiate these potential effects. Zokova et al reviewed the effect of trace elements on bone health. Zinc, copper, manganese, magnesium, iron, selenium, boron, and fluoride seem to be beneficial for bone health. However, cadmium, cobalt, and lead are toxic. Furthermore, excessive intake of certain beneficial elements (fluoride, iron, magnesium, and zinc) can also have toxic effects; for example, a high intake of fluoride damages bone integrity.
Dietary Patterns

Human subjects eat a variety of different foods and beverages throughout the day, not single nutrients. For this reason, it is important to consider the dietary pattern rather than the individual nutrients. Movassagh and Vatanparast reviewed the impact of dietary patterns on bone health. Western dietary patterns, mainly characterized by high intakes of soft drinks, fried foods, meat, processed products, sweets, desserts, and refined grains, were inversely associated with BMD and directly associated with bone resorption and formation biomarkers and the risk of osteoporosis, compared with “healthier” dietary patterns emphasizing the intake of fruit, vegetables, whole grains, poultry, fish, nuts, legumes, and low-fat dairy products. Most of the studies had cross-sectional designs and were conducted in adults. Only one of the described clinical trials was conducted on adolescents. Twenty male adolescents (~12.9 years of age) were enrolled to follow a 28-day Mediterranean-type diet. Compared with the consumption of their habitual diets, adolescents significantly increased the absorption and retention of dietary calcium, and consequently, calcium utilization efficiency was significantly improved. The calcium intake during the Mediterranean diet was lower than in the habitual diets; in fact, the percentage of calcium provided by dairy products decreased. These results suggest that the Mediterranean diet leads to increased calcium bioavailability.

Regarding the detrimental effect of Western diets on bone health during growth, it is important to highlight the especially negative effect of soft drinks.

Physical Activity

Physical activity in childhood, particularly activities that apply large forces, is one of the most powerful preventive strategies in the fight against osteoporosis. It has even been suggested that physical activity during the critical growing years can compensate for a low calcium intake.

Conclusions

Bone health should be prioritized during childhood, adolescence, and even during the fetal stage of development. These stages are especially important for building bones and developing habits to prevent adult osteoporosis. Bone health is affected by multiple factors, of which nutrition is a modifiable one. Moreover, physical activity is even more important than dietary factors to contribute to bone accrual (Fig. 2). As much as possible, outdoor physical activity should be promoted to allow for adequate sun exposure and vitamin D synthesis, another key factor to optimize bone health.

Currently, dietary patterns in children and adolescents are low in fruits and vegetables and high in processed foods with large amounts of added sugar and salt, which negatively affect bone health. At the same time, a dramatic increase in sedentary behavior in children is the current reality, and an alarming rate of alcohol intake and smoking among adolescents is described. For these reasons, urgent food and lifestyle policies addressing these topics are needed to effectively prevent chronic diseases, such as osteoporosis.

Calcium and vitamin D seem to be the most important nutrients for optimal bone growth. The exact calcium requirements are difficult to determine since other dietary factors directly affect calcium metabolism, such as salt intake and vitamin D levels. Some scientists suggest that the actual requirements of calcium are overestimated and that increased dairy intake does not necessarily translate to better bone health in adults. In any case, when dairy products are recommended, it is important to avoid sweetened dairy, since refined sugar adversely affects bone health. Finally, if dairy cannot be eaten or is avoided for personal motives, calcium can be obtained from
other natural foods, such as cruciferous vegetables (turnip greens, broccoli rabe, kale, broccoli, and cabbage), endive, sesame seeds, legumes, almonds, or canned sardines.
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