Hearing Rehabilitation through Bone-Conducted Sound Stimulation: Preliminary Results
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Abstract

Introduction The bone-anchored hearing aid (BAHA) is a bone conduction system that transmits the sound directly to the inner ear by surpassing the skin impedance and the subcutaneous tissue. It is indicated for patients with mixed, conductive and unilateral sensorineural hearing loss who did not benefit from conventional hearing aids (HAs). Although the benefits from BAHA are well demonstrated internationally, this field still lacks studies in Brazil.

Objective To assess the auditory rehabilitation process in BAHA users through audiological, speech perception and tinnitus aspects.

Methods Individuals with hearing loss were assessed before and after the implantation. The participants were subjected to pure tone audiometry in free field, functional gain audiometry, speech perception tests, tinnitus handicap inventory (THI) in open format, and to the visual analog scale (VAS).

Results It was found that the participants benefited from the use of BAHA. The difference in the performance of the participants before and after the BAHA surgery was significant in terms of hearing acuity. There was no statistically significant difference in the speech perception tests. The tinnitus assessment showed that 80% of the participants scored slight tinnitus severity in THI after using a BAHA. Eighty percent of the participants classified their tinnitus as absent to mild in the VAS after the surgery.

Conclusion Based on the results of the current study, we can conclude that the participants improved both the auditory perception and the tinnitus handicap.

Introduction

Most people suffering with hearing losses benefit from conventional hearing aids (HAs), which are devices that help receiving, amplifying and transmitting sounds into the ear canal up to the cochlea. These devices may be placed behind or on the ear, and even inside the auditory canal. However, conventional HAs can obstruct ventilation and worsen infections in individuals affected by inflammatory processes in the ear. In addition, people carrying congenital anomalies, such as aural atresia, do not benefit from conventional HAs, since the anomaly may prevent the proper fixation of the device.

Bone-anchored hearing aids (BAHAs) are indicated whenever the reconstructive surgery or the HAs are insufficient or ineffective in providing satisfactory functional hearing.
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mainly in patients affected by aural atresia, recurrent otitis and external auditory canal stenosis.²

In 1996, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the use of BAHAs in cases of conductive and mixed hearing loss. In 1999, the FDA indications were extended to children > 5 years old. The device was approved for bilateral implantation in 2001, as well as in cases of unilateral hearing loss in 2002.³

The current BAHAs comprise a titanium screw, an abutment and a processor. The device is able to compensate any degree of hearing loss through bone conduction, as well as to improve a limited range of sensorineural hearing losses. The BAHAs are suitable for patients with conductive hearing loss, and quite significant for patients unable to wear conventional HAs.⁴

The BAHA is the only device able to produce clear sound perception, regardless of the external and middle ear function, when the cochlea remains intact.⁵

Hearing loss is a risk factor for tinnitus⁶,⁷ although some authors have found no statistically significant relation between tinnitus and different degrees of hearing loss. However, tinnitus is more often found in patients with coexisting hearing loss.

The improved auditory threshold resulting from the use of HAs is associated with a decrease in the severity of the tinnitus.⁸,⁹

Newman et al (1996)¹⁰ developed the tinnitus handicap inventory (THI), which was translated into Brazilian Portuguese as Inventário do Handicap do Zumbido and was validated by Ferreira et al (2005)¹¹ to measure tinnitus. The inventory comprises 25 questions divided into 3 scales (functional, emotional and catastrophic).

The visual analog scale (VAS), which is widely used to assess chronic pain, is another method used to measure tinnitus symptoms. The method consists of presenting a ruler (scale ranging from 0 to 10) to the patient, who should indicate the tinnitus volume intensity and discomfort level.¹²

Objective

In light of the aforementioned information, the aim of the current study is to analyze the possible audiometry, functional gain, speech perception test, THI and VAS performance variables in a sample comprising hearing-impaired BAHA users.

Methodology

The project was submitted to the evaluation of the Research Ethics Committee (CEP, in the Portuguese acronym) through Plataforma Brasil (Brazil Platform), opinion number 349628. The patients signed the free and informed consent Form (ICF). They agreed to participate in the research and authorized the use of data resulting from their participation.

Study Population

Inclusion Criteria

Patients with postlingual hearing loss, that is, the hearing loss happened after language acquisition with bilateral or unilateral hearing loss.

Patients with idiopathic-origin tinnitus.

Patients referred by the otorhinolaryngologist to bone amplification surgery between 2012 and 2013.

Exclusion Criteria

Patients who tested the BAHA device, but who did not undergo surgery.

Profile of the Participants.

The sample comprised 5 BAHA users (3 women and 2 men) with hearing loss, in the age group between 36 and 54 years old.

Patient 1 (n1) – Hearing loss: bilateral moderate conductive. Implanted ear: right ear.

Patient 2 (n2) – Hearing loss: bilateral severe mixed. Implanted ear: right ear.

Patient 3 (n3) – Hearing loss: left ear – moderate mixed. Right ear – within the normality pattern. Implanted ear: left ear.


Patient 5 (n5) – Hearing loss: left ear – severe mixed. Right ear – moderate mixed. Implanted ear: left ear (see Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Participants’ profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual 1 (n1)</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual 2 (n2)</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual 3 (n3)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual 4 (n4)</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual 5 (n5)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tests and Exams

Free-field Tone Audiometry before Surgery
The free-field tone audiometry was performed at the frequencies of 500, 1,000, 2,000, 3,000, and 4,000 Hz. The narrowband noise masking was performed through the air conduction of sounds to the contralateral ear, whenever necessary.

Functional Gain Audiometry 6 Months after Device Activation
The auditory improvement was calculated by subtracting the free-field airborne auditory thresholds by the functional gain thresholds in all the tested frequencies. The functional gain was defined as the difference (in decibels [dB]) between the free-field auditory thresholds, with and without the device, under the same test conditions.

Speech Perception Test under Silence Condition before and after Device Activation
The list of sentences—Audiological Research Center (CPA, in the Portuguese acronym)—proposed by Valente (1998) was used in the current study. The sentences were distributed by keeping a constant 10-second interval between the end of a sentence and the beginning of the next one. All audiological and speech perception assessment procedures were performed in an acoustic booth. The list of monosyllables and disyllables proposed by Lacerda (1976) was used in the present study.

The THI and VAS was applied in open format before and after surgery.

Statistical Analysis
The database was prepared in Excel format. The non-parametric Wilcoxon test was used to compare the different measures before and after the BAHAs were implanted. Differences presenting p-values < 0.05 were considered significant. The statistical analysis was conducted in SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk NY, USA).

Results
The results were didactically divided in four groups—sample characterization, audiological results, speech perception test results, and tinnitus assessment results—in order to be better analyzed.

Audiological Results
The median auditory threshold values found at frequencies ranging from 500 to 4,000 Hz after the implantation were significantly lower than the values found before the implantation (p = 0.031, in all comparisons).

The frequency of 3,000 Hz showed the highest free-field auditory threshold variation in all individuals. Fig. 1 shows the results of the functional gain audiometry at frequencies ranging from 500 to 4,000 Hz.

Speech Perception Tests
The speech perception tests showed no significant difference in the correct-answer median values concerning disyllables, monosyllables, and sentences after the implantation, in comparison to the median values before the implantation (p = 0.063, in all comparisons), although they showed an improved rate of correct answers. Figs. 2, 3, and 4 show the results of the speech perception tests using monosyllables, disyllables, and sentences.

Tinnitus Results
The analysis of the number of patients in each THI category showed 3 patients presenting mild hearing loss (60%), 1 with moderate hearing loss (20%), and 1 with severe hearing loss (20%) before the implantation. On the other hand, 4 patients showed slight hearing loss (80%), and 1 presented mild hearing loss (20%) after the implantation.

The bar graph depicts the qualitative improvement in preexisting tinnitus after the surgery, according to the changes in the THI scale. There was no significant difference in the median THI value before and after implantation (p = 0.063). Table 2 shows the THI category classification.

The analysis of the number of patients in each VAS category showed 2 patients in the mild category (40%), and 3 in the severe category (60%) before the implantation. On the other hand, 4 patients were in the mild category (80%), and 1 was in the moderate category (20%) after the implantation. Table 3 shows the VAS category classification.

Fig. 1 Functional gain audiometry at frequencies ranging from 500 Hz to 4,000 Hz.
The bar graph shows the qualitative improvement in the preexisting tinnitus after the surgery, according to the changes in the VAS scale. The median VAS intensity and discomfort values after the implantation were significantly lower than the values found before the implantation ($p = 0.031$). Figs. 5 and 6 show the VAS category classification of intensity and discomfort.

**Discussion**

The aim of the present study was to investigate the benefit to and the satisfaction of patients with conductive, mixed, and sensorineural hearing loss with the BAHA device. This investigation was conducted through the application of auditory tests and instruments used to assess tinnitus.
According to the postsurgery results, the BAHA device provided a significant auditory improvement, which was confirmed through the audiometric measurements. In addition, it enabled functional gain and suppressed tinnitus. The five participants subjected to the frequencies investigated in the present study showed a significant improvement during the free-field functional gain audiometry. These results corroborate the study by Boleas-Aguirre et al (2012), who investigated the frequencies of 500, 1,000, 2,000, and 3,000 Hz in the free-field and found mean value gains of 22, 33, 20, and 15 dB, respectively. They also corroborate the findings by Byrne et al (1994), who found an auditory improvement from 5 to 15 dB in patients subjected to the investigation of the frequencies of 500, 1,000, 2,000, 3,000, and 4,000 Hz.

Studies comprising patients with aural atresia, chronic otitis media, otosclerosis and chronic external otitis showed evident hearing improvement after the implantation of BAHAs. Lustig et al (2001) reported pure tones of ~28 dB and a hearing gain of 33 dB, on average. Liepert et al (1994) reported a similar mean hearing gain (30 dB) at the speech reception threshold (SRT), whereas Wazen et al (1998) found SRT improvement.

The patients subjected to speech perception tests in the present study showed gains. However, these gains were not as significant as those reported by Flynn (2012), who recorded lower, but non-significant (F [1,19] = 1.83, p > 0.05) speech recognition under silence condition (4–5%). Therefore, they found 50 dB and 65 dB improvement in the test-sound processor.

Some studies suggest that the bone conduction transmission is useful in cases of unilateral sensorineural hearing losses because it helps overcoming some of the limitations associated with severe and deep hearing loss. In addition, it helps improving the satisfaction of the users, although there is some controversy in cases of unilateral sensorineural losses.

The benefits achieved by patients with mixed hearing loss were more significant in the present study, since these patients presented bilateral air and bone conduction impairment. Mylanus et al (1998) compared the audiometric results BAHAs and HAs and concluded that the larger the bone-air gap, the greater the benefit from BAHAs in comparison to that from HAs.

The use of BAHAs by patients with unilateral conductive or mixed hearing loss was a successful method in the present study, since the normal contralateral hearing increased the chance of the patients to benefit from binaural hearing. Individuals affected by tinnitus can also benefit from BAHAs.

According to the results of the VAS applied in the current study to measure the discomfort and intensity of tinnitus before the implantation of BAHAs, 2 patients were in the mild category (40%), 2 were in the moderate category (40%) and 1 was in the severe category (20%). After the implantation, 4 patients upgraded to the mild category (80%), and 1 upgraded to the moderate category (20%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 THI category classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total after (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: THI, tinnitus handicap inventory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 VAS category classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS – discomfort and intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total after (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: VAS, visual analog scale.
Sánchez (2007) conducted a study about the improvements in the quality of life of the patients and found that 20.8% out of 37.5% of the patients affected by tinnitus before the surgery remained affected by it after the surgery. This difference was statistically significant (37.5 and 20.8%, p < 0.05); however, their study assessed the presence of symptoms rather than the classification categories. The aforementioned findings are positively related to data provided by Holgers et al (2002), who assessed the use of the BAHA device based on a therapy applied to tinnitus patients and recorded 8 patients (with different degrees of tinnitus) benefiting from the bone anchored stimulator. Holgers et al. also assessed the tinnitus frequency of the patients, as well as the minimum level of decibels necessary to mask tinnitus through air and bone conduction. In addition, they subjectively assessed the hearing improvements resulting from the bone anchored stimulator. The researchers concluded that the sound transmitted through bone conduction had the same potential to mask tinnitus as the sound transmitted through air and bone conduction in patients with conductive or mixed hearing losses. Thus, their results indicate that a sound generator connected to a BAHA device may favor patients affected by tinnitus.

Studies about improvements in the tinnitus condition due to the use of BAHAs are scarce in the literature. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct further researches using a larger sample to corroborate the current findings. Based on the tests applied in the current study, it is possible to suggest that the auditory rehabilitation provided by the use of BAHAs is an effective treatment option even for individuals affected by different degrees and types of hearing losses.

Conclusion

Bone anchored hearing aids have significantly improved the tonal thresholds. They were effective, although not statistically significant, in the speech perception of monosyllables, disyllables and sentences. In addition, they showed no significant difference in tinnitus reduction; however, they showed upgrades in the classification categories intensity and discomfort classification according to the VAS scale.
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