Biomechanics Potential of the Masseter and Temporal Muscles in the Mandibles of Mesofacial Subjects
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Abstract

Introduction The knowledge of the organization of the masseter muscle (MM) and the temporal muscle (TM) is extremely important when related to the study of the stomatognathic system. Moreover, some authors have shown that mastication is of great importance, not only for the intake of food but also for the systemic, mental and physical functions of the body.

Materials and Methods We have decided to analyze the biomechanical potential (length of the force arm, muscular work and mechanical advantage) of the MM and TM in the mandibles of mesofacial subjects (n = 34).

Methods

Results Our results show that the MM exhibits a better biomechanical potential than the TM (p = 0.0001).

Conclusion With these data, orthodontists may develop a specific treatment plan and get better results, especially in cases of patients in whom the biomechanical pattern of the temporomandibular joint is unfavorable.

Introduction

Some studies describe the type of fiber, the thickness and the neuromuscular pattern of the masticatory muscles.1–5 However, the descriptions regarding the biomechanical organization of the masticatory muscles in specific craniofacial standards are still inadequate, especially those that aim to elucidate the biomechanical differences between the human masseter muscle (MM) and the temporal muscle (TM).

Knowledge of the organization of the MM and of the TM is extremely important when related to the study of the stomatognathic system. This knowledge of the masticatory muscle organization assists in researches associated with occlusion, facial growth and temporomandibular disorders.6–8 Thus, we have decided to analyze the biomechanical potential (length of the force arm, muscular work and mechanical advantage) of the MM and of the TM in the mandibles of mesofacial (ME) subjects.

For the present study, we have used 34 mandibles of adult subjects (mean age = 45.5 ± 7.1 years old) of both genders, from the collection of the Laboratory of Human Anatomy of the Universidade de Santa Cruz do Sul (UNISC, in the Portuguese acronym) (ethics committee—protocol 141346). All of the quantification procedures of the length of the force arm,
muscular work and mechanical advantage were made according to previous protocols. 

The distance between the condylar process and the coronoid process (the insertion site of the temporal muscle) represents the length of the force arm (LFA) of the TM, whereas the distance between the condylar process and the mental protuberance is the length of the resistance arm (LRA) (► Fig. 1). Similarly, the distance between the condylar process and the anterior border of the masseteric tuberosity (the insertion site of the masseter muscle) represents the LFA of the MM, whereas the distance between the condylar process and the mental protuberance is the LRA (► Fig. 1). Thus, the mechanical advantage of the TM and of the MM can be obtained using the following ratio: LFA/LRA. The inverse of this ratio represents the muscular work (LRA/LFA) of both muscles.

All of the measurements were performed on both sides (right and left) of all mandibles. However, we have decided to use data from one side (left) only because, using the paired t-test, we have concluded that there is no statistical difference between the sides and no apparent tendency toward any such difference ($p = 0.3574$). Only mandibles without any deformity or evidence of bone pathology were used. A $p$-value $\leq 0.05$ was considered significant in all statistical tests performed. Data were analyzed with the aid of the GraphPad Prism 5.01 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

In the present study, the comparison (paired t-test) of the biomechanical potential between the MM and the TM in the mandibles of ME subjects showed that the results were significantly higher in MM for LFA and mechanical advantage (17.2% and 19.0%, respectively). Thus, the muscular work of the TM proved to be 17.0% higher than that of the MM (► Table 1).

Finally, the present work aims to provide a simple but multidisciplinary synthesis of the current knowledge concerning the morphogenesis of the biomechanical organization of the main muscles of mastication and to help to promote future studies in this area. With these data, orthodontists may develop a specific treatment plan and get better results, especially in cases of patients whose biomechanical pattern of the temporomandibular joint is unfavorable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphometric Parameters</th>
<th>Masseter Muscle Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Temporal Muscle Mean ± SD</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of the resistance arm – LRA (mm)</td>
<td>106.14 ± 5.82</td>
<td>106.14 ± 5.82</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the force arm – LFA (mm)</td>
<td>44.6 ± 4.7</td>
<td>36.9 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscular work ($LRA/LFA$)</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.21</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical advantage ($LFA/LRA$)</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation.
Therefore, the purpose of the present study is to compile the latest scientific information concerning the relationship between mastication and general health.
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