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Abstract

Traditional tympanometry done with 226 Hz probe tone frequency has some inherent defects and limitations due to which it does not give a very true picture of the middle ear status, and tympanometric findings are often very deceptive. It is not uncommon for otologists to find that on opening the middle ear, the pathology in the middle ear is very different from what they had expected from tympanometric findings. This is because the 226 or 220 Hz, that is used for the traditional single tone tympanometry is based on physical convenience, i.e., practicalities in carrying out the test rather than on test performance, i.e., the diagnostic efficacy of the test. The 226 Hz tympanometry is a poor predictor of middle ear effusions in babies and will be wrong in approximately 50% of cases and diagnosis of ossicular chain discontinuity by type A tympanogram is correct in only about 40% cases, if not lesser. In most cases of otosclerosis, though there is a middle ear stiffness, the tympanometric findings with traditional tympanometry show normal compliance, which is not expected in stiffness of the middle ear. Tympanometry is basically to identify common middle ear pathologies, such as middle ear effusion, ossicular chain discontinuity and otosclerosis. However, if in these very cases the diagnostic efficacy is so poor, then the objective of the test is lost. Traditional tympanometry has a lot of limitations and fallacies. These issues led scientists to sharpen the diagnostic efficacy of tympanometry and the final outcome of the research is wide band tympanometry (WBT). The special advantages of WBT, its difference from traditional single frequency tympanometry, and its clinical utility are presented in this article. Here, we review the concept of WBT, the basic mechanism, and its vast clinical applications. Most of the deficiencies of traditional tympanometry have been overcome by WBT. Not only that, the scope of tympanometry has been further widened by WBT and in addition to diagnosing middle ear pathologies with much more confidence, it can also be used for postoperative monitoring using non-pres - surized wideband absorbance.
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Introduction

Tympanometry is a test for evaluation of the conductivity of the middle ear. It assesses how efficiently the middle ear is transferring acoustic energy from the external auditory meatus to the inner ear. Different middle ear pathologies alter this function in diverse ways that are usually unique for the different middle ear pathologies. Tympanometry test can document how this function of transferring energy is altered and is hence used to diagnose the nature of the different middle ear disorders.
by changing the air pressure in the external auditory meatus. The stiffness is least; that is, the middle ear is most compli-
cant when the air pressure in the external auditory meatus
is equal to that of the middle ear space. The best transfer of
acoustic energy from the external to the inner ear takes place
when this happens i.e., when the pressure in the middle ear
space and that in the external auditory meatus is the same.

In tympanometry test, while the sound is presented to
the ear, the air pressure of the external meatus is continually
changed by an air pump, which, in turn, changes the
stiffness of the middle ear. The actual stiffness, and hence
the magnitude of the reflected sound, is dependent on the
pathology in the middle ear and changes in different middle
ear disorders. In different middle ear pathologies, this change
in stiffness as a function of the change of air pressure varies
uniquely. Hence, the acoustic energy reflected back from the
eardrum in different grades of stiffness varies differently
in different middle ear pathologies, and so by plotting the
stiffness (or its opposite, i.e., the compliance or ease of
transfer of acoustic energy) as a function of the changing air
pressure, a graph is obtained, which is called the tympano-
gram. The tympanogram is different in different middle ear
pathologies, and so it is used to diagnose different middle ear
pathologies.

**Traditional Tympanometry**

Traditional tympanometry test is done at one particular fre-
quency, usually 226 Hz (and uncommonly at 678, 800, and
1,000 Hz for special requirements). At 226 Hz, the middle ear
is stiffness dominated, and changes in stiffness of the middle
ear is best ascertained with a 226-Hz tone as most sounds of
this particular frequency are reflected back without absorp-
tion when the middle ear is made most stiff (i.e., entire sound
presented to the eardrum is reflected back into the external
auditory meatus) with an external meatus pressure of +200
daPa. Hence only at 226 Hz, compliance and admittance have
a 1:1 relationship. Therefore, tympanometry with a 226-Hz
sound detects problems with the tympanic membrane and
middle ear (tympanum) most easily, most simply, and with
least complications. Traditional tympanometry evaluates
the impedance or stiffness of the middle ear apparatus by
calculating the energy that is reflected from the eardrum
when a single pure tone sound (which is the probe tone
frequency of 226 Hz) impinges on it. The stiffer the middle
ear, the more is the sound that is reflected off the eardrum,
and by measuring the energy reflected off the eardrum, the
impedance audiometer ascertains the stiffness of the middle
ear. The sound absorbed by the middle ear and transmitted
to the inner ear is not measured and documented in tradi-
tional tympanometry.

Traditional tympanometry has some shortcomings; for
example, it does not tell us accurately whether there is some
fluid in a type C tympanogram. A type "C" tympanogram
implies that there is no fluid in the middle ear though there
is a negative middle ear pressure due to tubal dysfunction/
blockage. However, it is a common clinical experience that
in many such cases with a type "C" tympanogram, there is
a persistent heaviness in the ear and myringotomy in such
a patient yields fluid that is not expected in a type "C" tym-
panogram, thereby belying the tympanometric finding. In
persons with a perforation in the eardrum, no idea of the
middle ear status is possible with traditional tympanometry;
all that one gets is a flat tympanogram and there is no way
to know whether the middle ear is otherwise normal or not.
Sometimes there are artifacts or noise in the tympanogram
especially in children, and repeating the tympanogram does
not reduce the artifacts or noise. The 226-Hz tone at which
tympanometry is usually done is a poor predictor of middle
ear effusions in babies and will be wrong in approximately
50% of cases (Liu et al 2014) whereas tympanogram with a
1000-Hz tone is better in such cases but not perfect (Baldwin
2006). Therefore, there are a lot of limitations in traditional
single-frequency reflectance tympanometry.

**Wideband Tympanometry**

An improvement on traditional/conventional tympanometry
is the wideband tympanometry (WBT) that uses a wideband
click stimulus (226–8,000 Hz) and not a single-frequency
or pure-tone sound as the sound stimulus. WBT measures
the middle ear’s efficiency of sound transmission through
the middle ear at multiple frequencies (instead of a single
frequency) and hence evaluates middle ear function more
completely. Different middle ear pathologies affect the
transmission of sounds of different frequencies thorough
the middle ear differently. Consequently, WBT overcomes
many of the shortcomings and limitations of traditional
single-frequency tympanometry.

Moreover, WBT measures not only the sound that is
reflected off the eardrum (reflectance) as in traditional
tympanometry but also the sound that passes into the middle
ear across the eardrum (i.e., the sound that is absorbed
by the middle ear called “absorbance”), and hence yields
a lot more information about the middle ear conductive
mechanism and its disorders. The impact of different middle
ear pathologies on both reflectance and absorbance differs
at multiple frequencies, and WBT allows us the advantage
of documenting this difference very precisely. It is hence a
sharper diagnostic tool than traditional tympanometry. Just
testing reflectance with a single frequency only, as is done
in traditional tympanometry, does not yield all information
about the functioning of the middle ear in different middle
ear diseases. The plethora of data available about conductance
or absorbance from WBT helps in the detection of middle
ear problems with a much higher degree of accuracy than
conventional tympanometry. WBT allows comprehensive
analysis of middle ear status over a frequency range that
includes the full speech spectrum from 226 to 8,000 Hz or
more.

In essence, the difference between traditional and wide-
band tympanometry is (1) the stimulus and (2) the additional
measurement of absorbance data. With WBT, a click is used
that covers a frequency range from roughly 226 Hz up to (and
if wanted even beyond) 8,000 Hz. The time taken is the same
as a traditional tympanometry test. WBT provides not only the
same information as traditional tympanometry, that is, admittance, but also new information, that is, absorbance, and this it does at all perceivable frequencies across the speech spectrum. The middle ear is hence evaluated in much more detail.

The WBT output absorbance data are a three-dimensional (3D) graph (►Fig. 1) that factor in three variables or parameters, namely the middle ear pressure from +200 to −600 daPa, the frequency from 200 to 8,000 Hz or more, and the absorbance in percentage.

However, from this 3D graph, different types of two-dimensional (2D) graphs can be extracted. The software is capable of generating the normal traditional 2D single-frequency tympanogram with pressure and compliance at 226 Hz (►Fig. 2) or at any other desired frequency such as 687 Hz, 1,000 Hz (►Fig. 3), etc. It can also generate a 2D averaged wide band tympanogram (►Fig. 4) with absorbance or compliance (y-axis) as a function of the pressure in daPa (x-axis), which is very useful in different middle ear disorders. It can also provide absorbance data as a 2D absorbance graphs at any desired pressure like at atmospheric or middle ear pressure. All these different outputs have clinically relevant diagnostic implications that are not available with traditional tympanometry. Wide band averaged tympanograms (by default 800–2,000 Hz

Fig. 1  Wide band tympanometry absorbance graph where the absorbance is plotted as a function of the middle ear pressure (+200 to −600 daPa) as well as the frequency (250–8,000 Hz).

Fig. 2  The 226-Hz tympanogram—diagrammatic representation.  Fig. 3  The 1,000-Hz tympanogram—diagrammatic representation.
in children and 375–2,000 Hz in adults) are much better detectors of middle ear effusions and are not contaminated by noise unlike the traditional tympanograms. Therefore, the scope of WBT is much wider than that of traditional tympanometry.

Individual, i.e., separate 2D graphs may be extracted from the WBT as in ►Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.

The superiority of WBT over traditional tympanometry are manifold:

1. With WBT providing much more stable and robust recordings in children and in less cooperative patients, this is a definite advantage of it. In many patients, a very noisy graph and artifacts (►Fig. 5) are obtained, which are very stubborn and repeatedly testing does not improve the recording quality. In such patients, a wide band averaged tympanogram is a big help, and a noise-free stable clear recording of the tympanogram is obtained. Because of the averaging, the noise has almost no impact on the WBT.

2. Type “C” traditional tympanogram is interpreted as a eustachian tube–blocked negative middle ear pressure, air–filled tympanum without any fluid (as compliance is normal) in the middle ear. However, often there is a persistent heaviness in many such ears and many of such patients are subjected to a myringotomy that surprisingly reveals fluid and contradicts the tympanometric findings. This indicates that many patients with type C tympanogram have partially fluid-filled middle ears that cannot be diagnosed by traditional tympanometry. WBT is a big help in all such patients. WBT absorbance graph will show that at a particular range of frequencies, the absorbance is very low (►Fig. 6B), but at another range of frequencies the absorbance is normal; this nearly flat absorbance graph with very little or low absorbance over a range of frequencies is an indication that there must be some fluid in the middle ear.

---

Fig. 4  Wideband averaged tympanogram.

Fig. 5  Noisy 1000-Hz traditional tympanogram with lots of artifacts.

Fig. 6  (A) Diagrammatic representation of a 2D absorbance graph. (B) Diagrammatic representation of a 2D absorbance graph in a patient who has a type C tympanogram in traditional tympanometry.
3. Wide band tympanometry allows the clinician to assess the middle ear condition even if there is a perforation in the eardrum or if there is a patent grommet in the eardrum; this is not possible with traditional tympanometry. If the middle ear function is normal, WBT absorbance graph will show a tracing that more or less tallies with the normative data with a peak usually below or around 1,000 Hz, maybe at other places also, but there will be a peak somewhere in the frequency range (200–8,000 Hz) with a close match to the normative data. However, if the middle ear is moist or abnormal in other ways, the absorbance graph will be more or less flat and not tally with the normative graph.

**Information Derived from Wideband Tympanometry at the Press of a Button**

Ease of operation is as good as the traditional tympanometry, and though a huge lot of information about the functionality of the middle ear can be extracted from WBT, there is nothing extra that the operator has to perform while carrying out the test. The operator just has to ensure an air-tight seal and press a single button for the complete test to be done in a few seconds. WBT system offers three different types of outputs, namely (1) 3D WBT view (►Fig. 1), (2) traditional 2D tympanogram at any selected frequency (►Figs. 2, 3), and (3) 2D absorbance graph (►Fig. 4). Admittance tympanograms are available for probe tone frequencies 226 Hz, 678 Hz, 800 Hz, and 1,000 Hz. There is an option to break down the Y-component of the traditional tympanogram and see the susceptance (B) and conductance (G) components also. In the tympanogram tab, there is also the option of viewing and printing the wide band averaged

---

**Fig. 7**  Wide band tympanogram absorbance graph in two-dimensional with the absorbance in percentage in the y-axis and the frequency from 250 to 8,000 Hz in the x-axis.

**Fig. 8**  Examples of the WBT absorbance graph, which have a different configuration in different diseases. Due to this, diagnosis of the nature of the middle ear pathology is a lot easier ahe clind allows a greater confidence to clinician than traditional tympanometry.
Fig. 9  Wideband tympanometry test done in the Interacoustics wide band tympanometer in the authors' clinic. The patient is a 13-year-old boy who presented with tubo-tympanic catarrh bilaterally. The test is after a course of steroids. The top graphs are the 2D absorbance graphs, in the middle are the traditional tympanograms at 226 Hz and 1000 Hz, and at the bottom is the 3D composite graph.
tympanogram for adults from 375 to 2,000 Hz and in infants from 800 to 2,000 Hz that is a much better and more accurate detector of middle ear pathology as compared with the traditional tympanogram. In the 2D WBT tab, there is also an option of knowing the resonant frequency of the middle ear that is the frequency at which most energy passes through the middle ear. There are different tabs in the screen, and the operator just has to select the output desired.

The beauty of the WBT is the absorbance graph (Fig. 6, 7) in which the x-axis shows the frequency and the y-axis shows the absorbance, that is, the acoustic energy passing through the middle ear. There is a gray area in the graph, which is the normative range, and the line graph is the absorbance plotted as a function of the frequency. This absorbance graph gives a lot of new information as explained above, but in addition, it has a typical configuration for different diseases and in different stages of the same disease like in otosclerosis (Fig. 8). This is a great help in diagnosis.

Advantages of Wideband Tympanometry

1. Multiple evaluations of the middle ear status and function from a single test each of which has its own and unique diagnostic value.
2. The wide band averaged tympanogram that is the composite picture of the middle ear conductance function over a wide range of frequencies is a much more sensitive and accurate test of middle ear function as compared with traditional single-frequency tympanometry.
3. There is much less of artifacts and a clearer and cleaner tympanogram recording that is free from noise and artifacts by the averaged WBT. Hence decision making is easier and ambiguity is much lesser.
4. WBT is a much better and more accurate diagnostic tool for detecting fluid in the middle ear not only in children but also in adults. This is especially useful in type C tympanograms where the presence of fluid is often missed by the traditional tympanometry.
5. In adults also, diseases such as superior semicircular canal dehiscence (SSCD) and ossicular discontinuity are much more confirmatively diagnosed by WBT as the absorbance graphs are very typical of these diseases. In SCCD there is increased absorbance of around 1,000 Hz, which is evident as a peak at around 1,000 Hz in the absorbance graph.
6. Evaluation of the middle ear condition in ears with a perforation or a patent grommet is much better with WBT; this is not possible with traditional tympanometry.
7. The progress of disorders such as otosclerosis can be monitored by the absorbance WBT test.
8. There is also an option of doing an absorbance tympanometry at atmospheric pressure without changing the middle ear pressure. This facility can be used for monitoring middle ear function immediately after middle ear surgery when pressure changes are contraindicated.

Everything taken together, WBT is a boon to the clinical otologist, and clinicians practicing in otology should imbibe this new modality for better diagnosis and more accurate evaluation of middle ear function. The benefits of this new system of tympanometry will be realized and the test will be popularized only if practicing otologists order this test for their patients with suspected middle ear disorders.

The authors use the interacoustics Titan WBT in their Vertigo and Deafness Clinic in Kolkata and are amused with the diagnostic possibilities of this new tool (Fig. 9). If we are allowed to quote from the Skoda ad the WBT is “simply clever.” Most limitations of traditional tympanometry can be overcome with this new innovation in tympanometry. Absorbance graphs add a new dimension to tympanometry and are a great diagnostic help. However, because the absorbance measurement is a new modality, more research and extensive use are necessary to realize its full diagnostic potentials.
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