Large-vessel vasculitis (LVV) encompasses the spectrum of vasculitides, which cause pathological chronic granulomatous inflammatory changes, primarily in the aorta and its major branches. These patients are at risk of developing life-threatening aortic lesions that, without recognition and prompt treatment, can cause detrimental effects. Many provocative issues surrounding large-vessel vasculitis and its surgical treatment still remain, spanning from recognition to management. In this review, we discuss the main large-vessel vasculitides, Takayasu’s arteritis and giant cell arteritis. We include the key points and current controversies surrounding diagnostic imaging, timing of interventions, and patient outcomes.

Clinical Presentation of Takayasu’s Arteritis

TA, historically known as “pulseless disease,” was first identified in 1908 by the Japanese ophthalmologist Mikito Takayasu and later described by renowned surgeons Kentaro Shimizu and Keiji Sano in 1951.4 However, TA’s significance in terms of large-vessel pathology was not fully comprehended until a case report in 1940s came out, when the associated ophthalmic lesions were discovered to be secondary to panarteritis of the aorta.4

Clinically, TA is thought to occur in two phases with varying predominating symptoms. In the systemic phase, patients present with symptoms of active inflammatory illness, such as fever, fatigue, weight loss, arthritis, and generalized aches and pains. Some patients may demonstrate tenderness associated with the affected arteries. Increased erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) is a common finding during this phase. The second phase is the occlusive
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phase, which presents with symptoms of stenosis in affected arteries. Symptoms may include claudication of the muscle groups receiving blood from the affected vessels, dizziness, headaches, and visual disturbances. As described historically, pulses may not be perceived in stenotic arteries, and bruits may be appreciated. High blood pressure is common; however, blood pressure may be falsely lowered if the stenosis occurs in the more proximal vessels. It is in this occlusive phase that retinal vessel malformations occur, as originally described.

In general, TA typically presents in female patients younger than 40 years and predominantly affects the aorta and its major branches. This pathology presents according to five general patterns, which range from aortitis of the entire aorta to only affecting one or more of the supra-aortic vessels.

The topographical classification of aneurysm formation in Takayasu’s was developed in 1967 and revised in 1994, now including five types of TA. Historically, only the aortic arch and its branches were thought to be involved; however, improved imaging modalities and an increase in published reports of TA revealed that this is the case in only 8.4% of patients (~Fig. 2, type 1 and 1a). Type 2a refers to lesions affecting the descending and abdominal aorta, which corresponds to ~11.2% of cases, while type 2b also includes the thoracic descending aorta (~Fig. 2, type 2). Type 3, by far the most common presentation in TA, and affects both the thoracic and abdominal aorta distal to the arch in 65.4% of patients (~Fig. 2, type 3). Type 4 refers to patients in whom the pulmonary artery is involved and occurs in ~15% of patients (~Fig. 2, type 4). Finally, type 5 includes the combined features of types 4 and 2b (~Fig. 2, type 5). The aortic arch is most likely affected by panarteritis, resulting in stenosis or potential obstruction of the affected vessels. Most commonly, TA lesions involve the common carotid and subclavian arteries. Thus, due to the location of the aortic arch, any surgical repair to this area involves the inherent risk of damage to nearby critical structures.

Clinical Presentation of Giant Cell Arteritis
GCA is a relatively new definition in terms of classification and diagnosis. Due to its comorbidity with polymyalgia rheumatica, GCA was not always seen as a separate disease process from TA. Now, it has been shown that GCA is a separate inflammatory condition and the most common primary vasculitis in adults. GCA has been historically associated with the temporal artery; however, GCA is not limited to the temporal artery and can affect a multitude of vessels, including the aorta.

When compared with TA, GCA generally presents in older patients, yet similarly still affects women more often than men. The most common symptoms of GCA are headache, mandibular claudication, fever, and blurred vision. Sometimes pain also occurs in the shoulders and hips, necessitating the importance of differentiating GCA from polymyalgia rheumatica. Other symptoms may include scalp tenderness, cough, odynophagia, weight loss, depression, or stroke. Similar to the presentation of TA in the occlusive phase, some patients experience extremity claudication.

Because the clinical presentation of GCA is varied, early diagnosis requires a heightened suspicion by clinicians. Not only is the aorta very similarly affected in GCA as it is in TA, but also current timing for surgical intervention has not been identified in a disease-specific way.

Epidemiology of Takayasu’s Arteritis
TA is rare with an estimated incidence of 1 to 2 per million inhabitants in Western countries. The rarity of TA is demonstrated in the lack of consistent epidemiological data available in published literature. European estimates...
have shown incidences of 0.4 to 0.8 per million inhabitants in
the United Kingdom, 0.5 per million in Germany, and 0.8 per
million in Sweden.\textsuperscript{14–16} Estimates made from a Scandinavian
population in Minnesota, United States, reported an inci-
dence of 2.6 per million.\textsuperscript{17} The vast majority of TA cases
originate from Japan, reflecting a reported 100 times higher
incidence in Eastern Asia compared with Europe and the
United States. There is a predominance of women who suffer
TA, Koide et al reported a ratio of 8–9:1 in Japan,\textsuperscript{18} and peak
age of onset is commonly 15 to 30 years.\textsuperscript{19,20}

\textbf{Epidemiology of Giant Cell Arteritis}

The true incidence of GCA is unknown, with incidences ranging
from 17.8 per 100,000 persons older than 50 years\textsuperscript{21} to
incidence reports ranging from 0.49 to 27.3 per 100,000 in
the United States.\textsuperscript{22} The incidence of GCA is positively asso-
ciated with increasing latitude, making GCA more common in
Scandinavian countries.\textsuperscript{23} Moreover, aging is the greatest risk
factor for developing GCA; it rarely occurs before the age of
50 years.\textsuperscript{7} While GCA predominates in the Caucasian popu-
lation, the disease also displays a strong predilection for women,
occurring two times more frequently in females, with a peak
incidence of 60 to 80 years of age.\textsuperscript{10}

Further, there is ample evidence for varying incidences of
GCA among different ethnic groups, with Caucasian popula-
tions from Northern Europe and of Northern European
descent being the most affected.\textsuperscript{24} One retrospective review
conducted in California and spanning 12 years found that,
when controlled for race, Caucasian patients had a signifi-
cantly increased incidence of a GCA-positive biopsy. Based on
biopsy alone, positive samples were demonstrated in 29% of
Caucasian patients, compared with 11% in Asian and 0% in
Hispanic and African American patients.\textsuperscript{25}

GCA predisposes patients to related comorbidities and
mortality. In 1995, Evans et al conducted a population-based
cohort study of 96 residents from Minnesota, a population of
Scandinavian descent, who were diagnosed with GCA.\textsuperscript{26} Of the
participants, 11% developed a thoracic aortic aneurysm which
equated to patients with GCA to be 17.3 more times likely to
develop a thoracic aortic aneurysm. A current retrospective
analysis of GCA shows that development of aneurysmal dis-
ease takes years after diagnosis to be established. Conversely,
aortic dissection is often seen in the earlier stages of the
disease that may not be attributed to aortic size.

Furthermore, untreated GCA can lead to permanent visual
loss, occurring in an estimated 15% to 20% of GCA patients,
and as such is a neuro-ophthalmic emergency.\textsuperscript{27}

\textbf{Pathogenesis of Takayasu’s Arteritis}

To date, the underlying pathogenesis of TA has not been
completely understood; there are several different concepts
proposed to understand the pathogenesis. Although current
concepts suggest that the pathogenesis stems from T lym-
phocytes, more specifically, gammadelta (γδ) lymphocytes,
cytotoxic T lymphocytes, T helper cells, current literature also supports that B lymphocytes and macrophages mediate the process. This is supported by histological evidence of a granulomatous panarteritis with cell wall infiltration of the vessel. A key activator in the inflammatory process seems to be the expression of the 65 kDa heat-shock protein in the aortic tissue; however, it is unclear as to what initiates the heat-shock protein. The heat-shock proteins induce the major histocompatibility class I chain-related A (MICA) on vascular smooth muscle, which is recognized by γδ T cells and NK cells. These cells subsequently release perforin, triggering vascular inflammation. Furthermore, research demonstrates the activation of dendritic cells through major histocompatibility complex alleles with toll-like receptors (TLRs) on T cells. Meanwhile, Th1 lymphocytes drive the formation of giant cells and activate macrophages with release of VEGF and PDGF, resulting in neovascularization and intimal proliferation. The exact role of dendritic cells in TA is highly debated, although one theory suggests that dendritic cells with B lymphocytes trigger an autoimmune reaction, resulting in complement-dependent cytotoxicity against endothelial cells. Further research into the initiation of these pathways may offer a better understanding in how to manage these patients. Ultimately, successful medical management may decrease the need for surgical treatment in the distant future.

**Pathogenesis Giant Cell Arteritis**

There are many similarities between the inflammatory pathogenesis of TA and GCA. Furthermore, also like TA, the exact pathogenesis underpinning GCA still remains elusive. As expected of a granulomatous inflammatory process, the cells that make up the inflammatory response include T lymphocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, multinucleated giant cells, and fibroblasts. Similar to TA, both innate and adaptive immune systems ultimately drive local vascular damage, leading to intimal hyperplasia, and eventually luminal stenosis and occlusion. However, there seems to be greater evidence for the involvement of dendritic cells in the process of GCA. Recent research has demonstrated the activation of resident adventitial dendritic cells via TLRs, specifically TLRs 2 and 4. Once activated, dendritic cells activate the differentiation and recruitment of T cells, which produce IFN-γ, a key driver of vascular inflammation in GCA.

**Diagnostic Criteria of Takayasu’s Arteritis**

There are two diagnostic criteria specifically used for TA (Tables 1 and 2). In the American College of Rheumatology classification criteria, the presence of 3 or more criteria has a sensitivity of 90.5% and a specificity of 97.8% for diagnosis of TA. These criteria are not diagnostic but rather a design to distinguish patients with TA from patients with other forms of vasculitis. With the Ishikawa criteria, in addition to the presence of the obligatory criterion, the presence of 2 major plus 4 minor or 1 major plus 2 minor criteria suggests a high probability of Takayasu’s disease with 84% sensitivity.

**Diagnostic Criteria Giant Cell Arteritis**

The diagnosis of GCA is still largely a clinical diagnosis with confirmation by vessel biopsy. As listed in Table 2, the diagnostic criteria issued by the American College of Rheumatology in 1990 are still widely used. The presence of 3 or more criteria yields a diagnostic sensitivity of 93.5% and specificity of 91.2%.

**Prognosis of Takayasu’s Arteritis**

The natural disease progression of TA has come from mainly one longitudinal study conducted in Japan. Ishikawa and Maetani, the researchers of this study, developed a prognostic classification of TA based on a cohort of 120 patients. According to the authors, there are four significant predictors that determine long-term survival in patients with TA. Those factors are major complications of vascular pathology (i.e., Takayasu’s retinopathy, hypertension, aortic regurgitation, and aneurysm), progressive course of symptoms and pathology, age of the patient, and the calendar year of diagnosis. Based on all of these factors, the average survival rate was 82.9% of the cohort after 15 years. Although the authors found that elevated ESR was marginally significant, a high

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obligatory criterion:</th>
<th>Age less than or equal to 40 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major criteria:</td>
<td>Lesion of the left mid subclavian artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lesion of the right mid subclavian artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor criteria:</td>
<td>High ESR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Common carotid artery tenderness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aortic regurgitation or anulooaortic ectasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lesions of the pulmonary artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lesions of the left mid common carotid artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lesions of the distal brachiocephalic trunk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lesions of the thoracic aorta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lesions of the abdominal aorta</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate.
The American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria for GCA:

(i) Age at disease onset > 55 years: development of symptoms or findings beginning at the age > 55 years.
(ii) New headache: new onset of or new type of localized pain in the head.
(iii) Temporal artery abnormality: temporal artery tenderness to palpation or decreased pulsation, unrelated to arteriosclerosis of cervical arteries.
(iv) Elevated ESR: ESR > 50 mm/h by the Westergren method.
(v) Abnormal artery biopsy: biopsy specimen with artery showing vasculitis characterized by a predominance of mononuclear cell infiltration or granulomatous inflammation, usually with multinucleated giant cells.

For purposes of classification, a patient shall be said to have GCA (TA) if at least three of these five criteria are present. The presence of any three or more criteria yields a sensitivity of 93.5% and a specificity of 91.2%.

ESR was determined to be an independent predictor in a multivariate analysis, along with major complications of TA and progressive course.35

Ishikawa and Maetani used the independent predictors of survival, high ESR, major complications, and course progression to classify TA into three stages (I, II, and III). Stage I referred to TA without manifestations, and conferred the best prognosis with a low mortality rate. Meanwhile, stage III describes TA with major complications and progressive course and is associated with the worst prognosis with less than half of patients surviving after 15 years.

Therefore, prognosis was determined to be predicted by the presence/absence of major complications, a progressive course, and an elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate. The authors of this study suggested aggressive medical and surgical treatment for patients in stage III; however, only 11.7% of the cohort were treated surgically between 1957 and 1990.35 Currently, the mainstay of treatment of the active, inflammatory phase of TA is glucocorticoid therapy. Surgical intervention is necessary to bypass severe stenosis or occluded vessels.

**Prognosis of Giant Cell Arteritis**

Blindness is one of the most feared outcomes of GCA. Vision loss can be either partial or complete, and usually cannot be reversed. Without corticosteroid treatment, vision loss has been shown to occur between one- to two-thirds of patients. In almost half of patients with GCA, those who present with transient vision loss herald permanent vision loss.36 According to Danesh-Meyer et al, the first 6 days of the disease is when the patient is at the greatest risk of visual deterioration. Even if treatment with high-dose IV methylprednisolone is initiated, vision loss still occurs in ~27% of patients.37

While GCA classically predominates in the temporal and cranial arteries, other large vessel manifestations are documented. Research suggests that patients with GCA were 17.3 times more likely to develop a thoracic aortic aneurysm than the general population.24 Aortic dissection is far more likely in patients with TAA. Consequently, GCA patients who develop structural damage to the aorta and associated vessels require surgical intervention. Apart from necessitating endovascular surgery as a result of aortic injury, patients with high-grade vascular stenosis in other locations may require limb amputation or endovascular surgery to avoid limb ischemia.38

Ultimately, the need for surgical intervention due to the vascular effects of GCA is very similar to the role of surgery in TA. Surgical interventions are discussed at length later in this review.

**Imaging in Vasculitis**

Various imaging techniques including high resolution ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), computed tomography (CT) angiography, and positron-emission tomography (PET) scanning have been evaluated for the improved diagnosis and detection of active inflammation in LVV, as well as disease monitoring and surgical planning. Currently, there is no modality of choice in imaging for LVV, but PET scanning is evolving as a technique that can be used as both a diagnostic and prognostic tool.39 It provides a highly sensitive test, particularly in the early phase, and maintains the ability to localize disease activity with assessment of vascular morphology. CT and MRA are also useful methods in demonstrating stenosis, and providing accurate measurements in aneurysmal disease. Combined PET and CT scanners have eliminated the need for two separate imaging techniques. Furthermore, it allows for more precise anatomical location of disease activity and has been shown to be more sensitive in early diagnosis of LVV. The first trial to specifically evaluate the PET scan in large vessel vasculitis was performed in 1999, and, since then, several other authors have also published on this topic, all of which are retrospective in nature with the largest containing 46 patients.40–42

**Imaging in Takayasu’s Arteritis and Giant Cell Arteritis**

The best imaging in Takayasu’s arteritis is highly debated; a gold standard imaging modality does not yet exist. In 2009, the European League against Rheumatism (EULAR) published recommendations for the management of LVV, suggesting both clinical and imaging assessment of the arterial tree when TA is suspected.43 Previously, the imaging modality of choice, catheter-based angiography fell out of favor due to its invasiveness. Consequently, CT and MRI began to supersede it because of the associated limitations, including arterial puncture, large doses of contrast and substantial radiation, and difficulty to perform in patients with heavy vessel calcifications or long segments of stenosis.44 Furthermore, angiography may produce a false positive in patients with early phase TA as changes in the arterial wall cannot be
assessed with that modality. In addition to the known limitations of angiography, research suggests that angiography may increase the risk of ischemic complications, thought to arise from preexisting thrombus formation and subsequent embolism in TA.\(^{45,46}\) Despite these known complications, the use of angiography in interventional procedures, including endovascular stent deployment and angioplasty in the management of stenotic lesions, remains to be a commonly used modality.

Both polymyalgia rheumatica and GCA are clinical diagnoses with laboratory tests and temporal artery biopsies functioning as supporting but not definitive data. GCA traditionally required a temporal artery biopsy as a cornerstone of diagnosis. This practice is extremely limiting; in that it is time-consuming and is accompanied by a high false-negative rate.

The Royal College of Physicians recommend that in the presence of a negative temporal artery biopsy treatment for GCA should still be commenced with clinical suspicion and a laboratory picture of GCA.\(^{47}\) Thus, similar to TA, GCA still suffers from the absence of a gold standard diagnostic test.

LVV occurs in 25% of GCA patients.\(^{48}\) Thus, investigation of the large vessels is necessary in patients with biopsy-confirmed GCA. MRA and CT angiography (CTA) help determine the extent of arterial involvement and disease progression. MRA and CTA have also been used to find large vessel involvement in patients without biopsy confirmation but with a high clinical suspicion of GCA and peripheral claudication. While not highly specific for inflammation, CTA and MRA do demonstrate delayed enhancement of the arterial wall due to intramural leaky microvessels. Thus, traditional angiography is less frequently used and is reserved for revascularization procedures rather than diagnosis.\(^{49}\)

While the diagnostic imaging for GCA is yet to be determined, the importance of initial vessel evaluation, whether by CTA or MRA, cannot be underestimated. The 2010 AHA Aortic guidelines suggest that the initial evaluation of patients with confirmed GCA should undergo imaging of the thoracic aorta and its branches through either CTA or MRI.\(^{50}\) However, a recent systematic review by Mackie et al warn that the true relative risk and the time course of the risk of developing thoracic aortic aneurysm in GCA is still unclear and before imaging clinicians should consider whether, and how, detecting aortic pathology would improve patient management.\(^{51}\) On the other hand, there is good evidence to support excellent outcomes in specialist aortic centers performing thoracic aortic surgical repair in octogenarians.\(^{52}\)

MRI/MRA scanning is a useful imaging modality for TA in that it does not utilize ionizing radiation and can be used safely for serial imaging in the follow up and assessment of treatment in patients. In a study of 16 TA patients performed by Tso et al, serial scans detected 12 new anatomic abnormalities in half of the participants (4 occlusions, 7 stenoses, and 1 aneurysm).\(^{53}\) With regards to diagnostic accuracy, the sensitivity and specificity of MRA are both 100% in TA.\(^{54}\) MRI can also be used to follow disease activity, showing vessel wall edema in 56% of patients thought to have been in clinical remission. However, this finding on imaging did not correlate to any changes in clinical presentation as 6 of 16 patients had no disease progression despite persistent vessel wall edema on MRI.\(^{39}\) Interestingly, the presence of vessel wall edema, thought to show active inflammation, was not necessary for the development of new lesions. MRI with high-field strength is also being investigated as a sensitive method to detect temporal artery inflammation. However, it is still far from replacing the gold standard of biopsy, which is highly sensitive to even minor inflammatory changes.\(^{49}\)

MRI scanning offers similar findings as with CT scanning, as well as the possibility of detecting cardiovascular functional and hemodynamic changes. Further, MRI scanning is poor at visualizing small branch vessels and vascular calcification. Other limitations include its expense, time demand, and operator dependency.\(^{53}\)

**Use of Combined PET-CT in LVV**

In comparison to MRI, the combined modality of 18F-FDG-PET-CT has been shown to have high sensitivity and specificity in the early diagnosis of TA. In addition to its use in early-stage TA, 18F-FDG-PET-CT is better equipped to assess the degree and site of inflammation.\(^{42}\) In practice, however, diagnosis of early TA is very uncommon, presumably due to its benign clinical presentation with no defining features and rarity of incidence.\(^{55}\) Thus, in clinical practice, 18 F-FDG-PET-CT in comparison to MRI show no significant difference in its sensitivity and specificity to diagnose TA.\(^{36}\) However, 18 F-FDG-PET-CT has been proven to identify more affected anatomic regions than those found in MRI.

Unlike in GCA, 18F-FDG-PET-CT has been shown in TA to be more useful in the follow-up of patients.\(^{57}\) Tezuka et al studied 39 Japanese TA patients who underwent serial 18F-FDG-PET-CT imaging. The study demonstrated that the conventional method of ESR and CRP screening underestimates TA activity, whereas 18F-FDG-PET-CT showed a stronger correlation with clinical symptoms, including relapses during treatment. 18F-FDG-PET-CT also has the ability to detect hypermetabolic cells and signal inflammatory changes that may not yet be clinically active, with high sensitivity, thereby allowing it to predict outcomes well, making 18F-FDG-PET-CT a possible option for patient follow-up.\(^{42}\) On the other hand, the sensitivity and specificity of 18F-FDG-PET-CT is still contested as it is yet to be established in the literature. Thus, its routine use is still not recommended.\(^{49}\)

Other shortcomings of 18F-FDG-PET-CT are that the patient is exposed to high levels of radiation, 15 to 20 mSv.
for each scan, from both the CT and the radioisotope. In comparison, a chest X-ray equates to ~0.02 mSv and a CT thorax 6.6 mSv. Furthermore, there are no standardized measurements for quantification of FDG uptake, which makes clinical comparisons difficult to assess. Clinically inactive disease with normal acute phase reactants may still show FDG uptake, and clinically active disease may suppress FDG uptake.

As mentioned above, the role of 18F-FDG PET in GCA scanning is still debated. The lack of a defined protocol, questionable accuracy, and its exact clinical role in diagnosis management and follow-up all remain unanswered questions. As with TA, one main limitation is that reporting of a scan relies on semiquantitative analysis of uptake. Furthermore, this can vary depending on when the scan is taken after administration of 18F-FDG, and whether the patient fasted before the scan. Increasingly, combined 18 F-FDG-PET-CT scanners are being used, with the coregistered images allowing more precise anatomic location of metabolic activity, thereby enhancing the test’s sensitivity, particularly in the event of moderate FDG accumulation.

The current literature demonstrates that 18F-FDG PET scanning is not consistent in its ability to detect GCA. Sensitivity and specificity range from 56% to 100% and 72% to 100%, respectively, have been reported. Some of this variability is because patients already established on corticosteroid treatment do not display good uptake of 18F-FDG. A study of 78 patients in 2011 showed that 18F-FDG PET scanning was unreliable in confirming the diagnosis of vasculitis in patients already sustained on steroid therapy. In GCA, corticosteroids are initiated very early on to ensure eyesight is preserved. Coupled with no defined use as a diagnostic tool in GCA, PET scanning is rarely used before initiation of corticosteroids. This has been reinforced by numerous case reports describing the use of 18F-FDG PET scanning to diagnose GCA.

PET scanning, in comparison to MRI, has shown comparable sensitivities in diagnosing. However, PET scanning allows simultaneous identification of more affected vessels than MRI.

18F-FDG PET scanning may warrant use as a surrogate marker of disease activity during follow-up. It monitors disease activity noninvasively and allows for assessment of immunosuppressive therapy. Finally, when used in this early stage, 18F-FDG PET scanning is an excellent tool for measuring the extent of active inflammation in large vessel vasculitis. This is of particular interest when considering aortic surgery.

Surgical Management of Takayasu’s Arteritis and Giant Cell Arteritis

The management of these, often complex, patients with vasculitis is with an experienced multidisciplinary team (MDT), including surgeons, radiologists, and physicians. Typically, the long-term complications of LVV such as aneurysm formation, vessel stenosis, or valvular pathology are managed regardless of their etiology. Treatment is often based on size criteria and the patient’s symptoms with the aim being for prognostic or symptomatic benefit.

It was only until the invention of cardiopulmonary bypass that repair of the thoracic aorta could be considered in the majority of patients. Thoracic aortic surgery is, therefore, a relatively new specialty. These are covered extensively in the AHA guidelines on thoracic aortic disease.

Acute presentations of the vasculitides, such as aortic dissection or rupture, are managed with previously well-defined interventions and require either surgical or endovascular intervention.

Preoperatively, close interrogation of the CT-PET should take place. It is not unreasonable to identify the extent of the inflammatory process with the aim of avoiding anastomotic strictures at active sites. In addition, within the limits of safety, resection should be as extensive as possible. Other techniques include the use of Teflon strips or patches to reinforce anastomotic sites, and postoperative corticosteroids to suppress further inflammation.

Follow-up is mandatory with input again from the MDT. Surveillance for ongoing or recurrent disease and its complications should involve biomarkers, imaging, and clinical suspicion. These patients may require lifelong treatment and intervention necessitating referral to specialist centers, particularly when complex scenarios, such as acute, active vasculitis or graft infection, are encountered.

The dilemmas regarding the surgical management of vasculitis focus on subacute processes when there is active disease with surgically relevant consequences. Timing of surgery in this setting is key to a successful outcome.
57% in patients with active disease on steroids compared with 100% in patients with quiescent disease not requiring steroids.\textsuperscript{70}

Medical Management
Despite medical treatment, TA is well known to be a detrimental disease process with substantial morbidity. The burden of TA was demonstrated in a retrospective study from the Cleveland clinic that studied 75 patients treated for TA between 1992 to 2004.\textsuperscript{71} In their cohort of patients, 28 patients achieved remission during the study period, and of these, 27 had a disease relapse. Eighteen of 30 patients had vascular claudication that impaired the performance of routine daily activities and 23 patients were unable to work. Five of 30 patients (17%) experienced cerebral ischemic disease (2 had transient ischemic attacks and 3 had strokes). During this study two patients died from disease-related deaths.

Thoracic Aortic Management of Giant Cell Arteritis

Surgical Management
In addition to affecting the temporal and cranial arteries, GCA can impact other large vessels including the thoracic aorta, although it is rare.

Lie et al, in an assessment of 72 patients with aortic or extra-cranial GCA, reported that the ascending and arch of the aorta were the most commonly involved, with 39% of the patients being affected.\textsuperscript{72} 12 patients suffered fatalities directly attributed to aortic aneurysm or dissection. Furthermore, Evans et al described 18 patients out of a 41-patient cohort with GCA and a known TAA that required over 20 surgical procedures.\textsuperscript{24} In the same study, 16 patients developed dissection, half of which died as a result. Aortic insufficiency was detected in 19 patients as a consequence of aortic root dilation.

Medical Management
As mentioned above, aortic dissection can occur even without the presence of a previously documented aneurysm. This suggests that aortic inflammation, regardless of its presence in the course of the disease, may be a component of GCA that predisposes patients toward dissection. Should this be the pathogenesis of GCA dissection, persistent aortitis could be identified and treated with steroid therapy before dissection. This holds true in the literature in that dissection in GCA tends to occur during the early onset of the disease, which is attributed to inadequate disease suppression. We must try to identify those patients at risk of aortitis and therefore dissection. 18 F-FDG-PET-CT scanning could be the ideal modality to assess the aorta; however, the risk of frequent high-dose radiation still outweighs the minimal benefits of the information obtained. Many centers still advocate the use of 2-view chest radiograph despite the low sensitivity of chest radiography. A recent systematic review described that on average 5 to 10 patients with GCA would need aortic cross sectional imaging to detect one previously unknown thoracic aortic aneurysms or dissection.\textsuperscript{44}

No guidelines exist as to whether these patients should be prescribed steroids pre- or postoperatively; however, experiences by the authors suggest this produces better outcomes. Fields et al report that surgical intervention in 42 patients with active disease increased the rate of revision or development of progressive symptomatic disease at another site.\textsuperscript{55}

A Brief Word on Immunoglobulin G4-Related Disease

Immunoglobulin G4-related disease (IgG4-RD), is a rare systemic fibroinflammatory disorder that can affect any organ. While type 1 autoimmune pancreatitis is the most frequent manifestation of IgG4-RD, IgG4-RD can affect any organ or organ system, such as salivary glands, orbits, retroperitoneum, and many others. Of particular interest, IgG4-RD is recognized as another cause of noninfectious aortitis in less than 5% of cases, apart from TA or GCA. Uchida et al described that the prevalence of this disease in Japan in 2009 was \textasciitilde{}8,000 patients (60 per million inhabitants) with a slight male predominance.\textsuperscript{73} A Japanese report from a cohort of 125 patients show that 4% of patients with TAA could be attributed to IgG4-RD by histology.\textsuperscript{74} A German study of 376 patients who underwent resection of the thoracic aorta found evidence of inflammatory aortic aneurysms in 4% of patients.\textsuperscript{75} In these patients, there were highly overlapping histological features with IgG4-RD in the resected aorta, despite many patients lacking other systemic features associated with IgG4-RD and normal serum IgG4 levels. Whether this represents part of the spectrum of IgG4-RD or a separate pathological entity warrants further research.

Currently, treatment for this condition is completed with medical therapy, namely glucocorticoid therapy, although rituximab may hold potential future advances because of its ability to reduce circulating IgG4 levels.\textsuperscript{76} It is hard to draw conclusions about surgical management at this stage, because it is both rare and underdiagnosed.

Thus, physicians should be aware that IgG4-related disease accounts for a possible cause of aortitis and should still remain part of the differential diagnosis.

Unanswered Questions

The true prevalence of TA in many countries is still unknown, and the pathogenesis of thoracic aortic lesions in GCA patients is still undefined. As a consequence of this, there does not exist any guidelines for aortic monitoring. We must continue to seek the value of PET scanning and its use in the timing and planning of aortic intervention. Evaluating and monitoring patients for aortic dissection also remains to be an important unanswered question. Furthermore, when surgical intervention is undertaken for TAA, should noninfective aortitis be considered and reevaluated via biopsy? If many studies of GCA and TA report the discovery of LVV at the time of diagnosis of TAA, then the diagnosis of LVV should be considered in patients with newly diagnosed TAA. A retrospective study in 2000 evaluated aortic surgical specimens gathered over a 20-year period from
patients with TAA. Rojo-Leyva et al reported 12% of 383 patients with TAA demonstrated aortitis not attributable to previous surgery or atheroma, with the majority not displaying systemic symptoms of illness before the time of surgery. Furthermore, during a mean follow-up period of 41.2 months, new aneurysms were identified among 6 of 25 patients who were not treated with glucocorticoids. None were identified who were treated with glucocorticoids. Another question that still remains is how to best medically manage patients who undergo surgery on the thoracic aorta. In these cases, it is unclear whether the risks of immunosuppression outweigh the risk of restenosis.

Even if immunosuppression is used, it is still unclear whether active inflammation of the aorta actually increases the likelihood of postoperative complications.

**Summary**

While knowledge of large vessel vasculitis has existed for over a century, there have been few advances in diagnosis and surgical treatment of this condition apart from the development of aortic surgery. The rarity and heterogeneity of the disease have significantly contributed to the quality of published evidence, and thus, difficulty in determining the best clinical management.

Current research focuses on the increased need for disease awareness and early diagnosis, diagnostic imaging modalities, clinical follow-up of these patients, timing of surgical intervention, and medical treatment. Exciting evidence has been directed toward positron emitting scans as a method for assessing the extent and activity of these two diseases. Furthermore, this technique has been used in the prediction of outcomes preceding aneurysm repair and may have a role in planning of surgical repair. This hold clinical relevance in that patients undergoing aeurysm repair with LVV are subject to a high reoperation rate, notoriously from anastomotic aneurysm formation, compared with those with aneurysms not attributable to large vessel vasculitis. There has been little research to prevent this reoperation medically or surgically. Surgical intervention for LVV and the aorta is not a subject that has been thoroughly reviewed; current practice relies on a few published retrospective studies and case series, a majority originating from Japan. Answers could feasibly be obtained with multicenter retrospective analyses or cohorts, because prospective trials remain to be expensive, particularly considering the disease’s rarity.
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