Orbital Schwannoma: Case Report and Review

Schwannoma de Órbita: Relato de caso e revisão
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Abstract

Orbital schwannomas are rare, presenting a rate of incidence between 1 and 5% of all orbital lesions. Their most common clinical symptoms are promoted by mass effect, such as orbital pain and proptosis. The best complementary exam is the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), which shows low signal in T1, high signal in T2, and heterogeneous contrast enhancement. The treatment of choice is surgical, with adjuvant radiotherapy if complete resection is not possible. We report the case of a 24-year-old male patient with orbital pain and proptosis, without previous history of disease. The MRI showed a superior orbital lesion compatible with schwannoma, which was confirmed by biopsy after complete resection using a fronto-orbital approach.

Introduction

Schwannomas are slow-growth benign tumors, which normally originate from a sensitive nerve sheath. Among the cranial nerves, the vestibule-cochlear is most commonly affected one, with an incidence of 8 to 10% of all intracranial tumors.1–3

Orbital schwannomas are rarely described in the literature, presenting between 1 and 5% of all orbital neoplasms. The orbital nerves more commonly involved are trigeminal branches, like the supratrochlear and supraorbital nerves.1,4

The most important orbital schwannoma clinical symptoms are orbital pain and proptosis, which are promoted by progressive mass effect. For this reason, small tumors are
frequently asymptomatic for a long time until they become large enough for symptoms to appear.\(^5\)

Surgical approaches can vary with tumor location, and they can be transcranial, facial, or endoscopic.

In the present study, we describe the case of a young male with orbital schwannoma and discuss this pathology.

**Case Report**

We report the case of a 24-year-old male patient who presented with a 2-year history of progressive pressure frontal headache and enlarging mass on the right upper eyelid. Two months before hospital admission, he noticed worsening of the vision. There was no report about family cancer or medications in use. Physical examination showed right eye proptosis and lateral inferior dislocation. There were diplopy and right visual field impairment; however, the extrinsic ocular movements and pupillary reactions were preserved.

The orbital MRI evidenced a mass with major dimensions of 3.1 \( \times \) 2.5 \( \times \) 1.5 cm located above the right eye. It had a high intensity signal on T2-weighted images, a low intensity on T1-weighted images, and heterogeneous contrast enhancement. (\( \text{Fig. 1} \))

Due to the large size of the lesions and its localization, the transcranial approach was chosen. The incision was arcuate, starting from the superior rim of the zygomatic arch to the midline of the skull in the frontal region and ending back at the hairline because patient expressed the desire to avoid a scar on his face.

A fronto-orbital craniotomy provided a good superior and lateral orbital exposition. The mass was encapsulated and attached to the supraorbital nerve. In the next step, a delicate dissection from orbital fat, nerves, and muscles was made, allowing the “en bloc” resection.

After a complete tumor resection and rigorous hemostasis, the orbit and bone flap were closed with the help of titanium plates and bone cement.

---

**Fig. 1** A and B – Magnetic resonance imaging: axial T1 gadolinium sequence showing a right orbit lesion, superior to eye with heterogeneous enhancement. C – Coronal T2 sequence showing the relationship between the tumor and the right eye. D – Coronal T1 sequence that evidences the tumor and its disposition, lateral and superior to the optic nerve.
The postoperative period occurred without complications. Fifteen days after the surgery, the patient showed campimetry with progressive improvement of the visual field. Physical examination presented symmetric ocular globes, without diplopia, facial sensibility, palpebral elevation, or extrinsic ocular muscles alterations. An orbital MRI confirmed complete resection of the lesion, and the pathological definitive study revealed a grade I schwannoma with a ki-67 of 2% (Fig. 2).

Discussion

Orbital schwannomas are rare, presenting frequency between 1 and 5% of all orbit neoplasms, with age variation from 20 to 70 years old and without gender prevalence.¹⁻³,⁶ In general, schwannomas originate from sensory nerves. In the case of orbital schwannomas, it is not different, with the supratrochlear and supraorbital nerves being the ones most frequently affected. This explains the delay in affecting the vision and extraocular movements, which occurs late in the clinical presentation. Although less common, nerves like the oculomotor, trochlear, and abducens can be affected and must be suspected if there are eye movement deficits without previous symptoms.⁷

The most common symptoms are caused by orbital structures compression, which include orbital pain and proptosis, as reported by our patient during the clinical examination. Other symptoms, in decreasing order of frequency, are diplopia, visual acuity decrease, facial hypoesthesia, and headache.⁵⁻⁹

It is difficult to identify the origin of the tumor during surgery, because there is a large volume of fat and many nerve fibers crossing the orbit. We believe that the clinical examination is a good way to identify the nerve of origin of the tumor.⁷⁻⁹

The complementary examination involves computer tomography (CT) of the brain, which can show an isodense image in relation to the orbital muscles, orbital bone enlargement and bone deformities. Magnetic resonance imaging is the preferred imaging exam due to its elevated sensibility. The orbital schwannoma has low intensity in T1 and high intensity in T2 sequences and has regular contours. It commonly have a heterogeneous appearance, enhanced by gadolinium which allows your distinction from the homogeneous pattern exhibited by cavernous hemangiomas. Orbital lymphomas may be similar to schwannomas regarding shape and location, but they present intermediate signal in T2-sequence and adapt to the surrounding structures, unlike the schwannoma, which may distort the anatomy of adjacent structures.⁸,¹⁰⁻¹²
Anatomically, the orbit should be imagined as an irregular pyramid with four sides.

Lesions located in the superior half of the orbit can be accessed by orbital roof with a frontotemporal approach. If a lateral to medial vision is needed, the orbitotomy should be added to the approach. In cases of lateral inferior tumors, a lateral orbitotomy called Burke-Kronlein, with or without zygomatic osteotomy, can be used.\(^3\)\(^13\)

To access the medial inferior quadrant of the orbit, one option is endoscopic access, which has the advantage of being less invasive than the transcranial route.\(^3\)\(^13\)\(^15\)

For small lesions located in the anterior half of the orbit, approaches without osteotomies, like eyelid superiorly or subciliary and orbital rim inferiorly can be tried. The disadvantage of anterior approaches is a restricted vision field which promotes difficulties in locating and preserving the anatomical structures.\(^3\)\(^13\)\(^15\)

In our case, we choose a transcranial fronto-orbital approach to get more space to work due to a big size presented by tumor in relation to patient orbit.

When complete resection is not possible, adjuvant radiotherapy can be considered.\(^16\)

**Conclusion**

Orbital schwannoma is a rare tumor, which generates mainly mass effect as clinical presentation. The standard treatment is surgery-based, with complete excision whenever possible. The approach can vary according with the location of the tumor, depending from orbital anatomical side and need to be individualized.
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