Microscopic and Chemical Assessments of the Filling Ability in Oval-Shaped Root Canals Using Two Different Carrier-Based Filling Techniques
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Abstract

Objectives  The aim of this study was to assess the filling ability in oval-shaped canals using two different carrier-based filling techniques.

Materials and Methods  Twenty-four human mandibular premolars with one oval canal were selected. Canals were shaped using WaveOne Gold Primary and ProGlider. Samples were divided into two groups and filled as follows: Thermafil and GuttaCore. The proportions of gutta-percha-filled areas (GPFAs), sealer-filled areas (SFAs), and void areas (VA), at 2 and 5 mm, were analyzed using optical numeric microscope, scanning electron microscope, and energy-dispersive X-ray.

Statistical Analysis  Data were compared by Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance on ranks, with statistical significance set at α = 0.05.

Results  At 2 and 5 mm distances from the apex, this study discloses no statistically different filling ability for the two techniques. Concerning each sample treated using both filling systems, the presence of tags was visualized. At working length (WL)-5, and WL-2, the maximum tag penetration depth for the GuttaCore group into the dentinal tubules was, respectively, 96 μm and 48 μm, whereas the values in the thermafil group were 109 μm and 55 μm, respectively.

Conclusions  Our results clearly show that Thermafil and GuttaCore can fill oval-shaped canals in appropriate way. Furthermore, we can state that the GuttaCore obturator allows to preserve the same filling ability than Thermafil obturator, in view of the fact that there was no difference, in terms of GPFA, SFA, and VA between the two different carrier-based obturation techniques.

Introduction

A successful root canal treatment depends on appropriate access cavity preparation, suitable shaping, proper cleaning, and tridimensional filling of the root canal system.1–9 Although literature highlights the importance of shaping and cleaning step during the endodontic therapy, there is no evidence other than clinical feeling to support or deny any particular filling technique.10–14 Thus, the role played by the filling step is inevitably underestimated. Often the choice of a filling technique is based on factors such as speed, simplicity, or economics, rather than on the endodontic anatomy or on other quantitative parameters.15 Without the slightest doubt, a tridimensional shaping and cleaning of the root canal system are essential requirements to perform a faultless filling.13,15

The root canal filling should be regarded as the final step of the disinfection. No cleaning technique allows to eradicate 100% of bacteria present in an infected root canal system.16–23
The task of canal filling must allow to entomb the remaining bacteria, to ensure the periapical healing as long as possible. To provide a tridimensional seal, the root canal filling involves the use of gutta-percha in combination with a root canal sealer. The sealer is used to fill the voids and gaps remaining between the gutta-percha material and the root canal walls, like a gasket, especially in oval- or irregular-shaped root canals. On the other hand, the sealer represents the weak link in the chain of root canal filling for three main reasons: first of all, for its setting reaction with subsequent shrinkage, then for its intrinsic porosities and at last for its possible reabsorption over time. These factors are likely the cause of void areas’ (VA) formation that, of course, constitute a relevant problem in terms of sealing. Hence, the sealer thickness should be as smaller as possible. In this respect warm gutta-percha obturation techniques, and in particular Thermafil system (Dentsply Sirona), have been developed to perform tridimensional root canal filling with a smaller sealer thickness. However, the presence of its plastic carrier could be a real problem. First, the clinicians, who lack of the basic principles for use Thermafil obturator, could perform a root canal filling with a naked plastic carrier in the last millimeter of the canal. Second, the preparation of the postspace, if necessary, could be very difficult and could cause a postoperative perforation as result of a lack of attention or experience on the part of the clinician. Third, the treatment management in a tooth filled with a Thermafil obturator could be very difficult for a general practitioner. For these reasons, we decided to test two different techniques of carrier-based obturation: thermafil and GuttaCore (Dentsply Sirona). GuttaCore is a carrier-based obturator and it has emerged as an effective filling system.

Materials and Methods

This study was revised and approved by the Ethics Committee of Medical, Odontology school, and Strasbourg University Hospital (protocol no. 2018–89).

A total of 87 mandibular first premolars, freshly extracted for orthodontic reasons, with a total length between 20 and 22 mm, were selected. Informed consent was obtained from all patients, and all the procedures were performed according to the Helsinki Declaration of 1975. After debridement of the root surface, specimens were immersed in a 1% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) solution at 4°C for 24 hours and then stored in saline solution.

Periapical radiographs were taken, placing the premolars according to their buccolingual and mesiodistal diameter to preselect the teeth with a long/short diameter ratio ≥1.5 at 5 mm from the apex. Preselected teeth were then scanned with cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) to select the teeth with the following morphological parameters:

- Presence of one canal
- A real oval canal at 5 mm from the apex with a long/short diameter ratio ≥1.5 at 5 mm from the apex
- Canal with a total length of 10 ± 2 mm from canal orifice to apical foramen
- A Thermafil obturator (Dentsply Sirona) was heated in a ThermaPrep Plus oven until the first “beep” signal, indicating
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• 10° to 30° primary root curvature in buccolingual and mesiodistal view according to the Schneider method
• Main curvature radius ≥ 4 mm
• Therefore, only 28 mandibular first premolars ensured inclusion criteria, 4 were discarded because of severe calcification of the root canal, leaving a total of 24 teeth
• The tooth length was standardized to 18 mm by removing the coronal portion of the teeth
• Teeth having canals with apical diameters larger than size 20 were discarded.

Shaping Procedure

Specimens were mounted on Protrain (Simit Dental srl, Mantova, Italia) to perform endodontic therapy simulating clinical situation.

After access cavity preparation, all root canals were shaped, keeping the pulp chamber constantly flooded with 6% sodium hypochlorite. An experienced endodontist shaped each premolar. Canal scoutings were performed with a #10 K file at a working length (WL) +0.5 mm. Length determination was obtained using an electronic apex locator (Root ZX; J Morita Co, Kyoto, Japan). Then, the apex was dried and sealed with a cyanoacrylate glue to simulate a closed system.

Mechanical glide path was performed using ProGlider (Dentsply Sirona) and an endodontic engine (X-Smart-IQ motor, Dentsply Sirona) at 300 rpm and 4 Ncm at the full WL. Each tooth was shaped at the WL, using WaveOne Gold Primary (Dentsply Sirona) (0.25 tip, 0.07 taper) and an X-Smart-IQ motor (300 rpm, 4 Ncm).

New instruments were used to shape each premolar, and instruments were removed from the canal and cleaned after every two pecking motions until the WL was reached.

Recapitulation was performed between each instrument, taking a #10 K file to WL +0.5 mm.

After the shaping procedure, to assure a tridimensional cleaning of the root canal system, an aqueous 17% solution of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid was flooded into the pulp chamber and was then activated using a dynamic activation by EndoActivator (Dentsply Sirona) for 120 seconds in each canal. After rinsing with physiological saline, a 6% solution of NaOCl flooded into the pulp chamber was activated using EndoActivator for 120 seconds in each canal. Again, each canal was rinsed using physiological saline and then dried using sterile paper points.

The prepared teeth were randomly divided in two equal groups (n = 12 per group): thermafil group (TG) and GuttaCore group (GG).

Thermafil System Group

After application of a standardized drop (0.230 ± 0.005 g) of AH Plus sealer (Dentsply Sirona) with a coated sterile paper point at the entrance of each canal, a second sterile paper point, taken to WL, was used to remove any excess of sealer and smoothly distribute the sealer in the whole canal system. We placed the silicone stopper at the established WL on the primary WaveOne Gold Thermafil.

A Thermafil obturator (Dentsply Sirona) was heated in a ThermaPrep Plus oven until the first “beep” signal, indicating
it is ready for use. The obturator was then directly inserted into the canal orifice with gentle apical pressure, without twisting or forcing, to the WL.

**GuttaCore Pink Obturator Group**

After application of a standardized drop (0.230 ± 0.005 g) of AH Plus sealer (Dentsply Sirona) with a coated sterile paper point at the entrance of each canal, a second sterile paper point, taken to WL, was used to remove any excess of sealer and smoothly distribute the sealer in the whole canal system. With the help of the calibration rings on the WaveOne obturator, we placed the silicone stopper at the established WL.

A WaveOne Gold obturator (Dentsply Sirona) was heated in a GuttaCore Oven (Dentsply Sirona) until the first “beep” signal, indicating it is ready for use. The obturator was then directly inserted into the canal orifice with gentle apical pressure, without twisting or forcing, to the WL.

**Sectioning and Surface Measurements**

After embedding in Epon 812 resin (Euromedex; Souffelweyersheim, France), two sections were made perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the root tooth at 2 and 5 mm from the apex with a wire saw (Walter EBNER; Le Locle, Switzerland). Thereafter, 1200, 2400, and 4000 P-grade (number of abrasive grains per cm\(^2\)) abrasive papers were used successively to polish the surface. All samples were etched with a 20% (w/w) citric acid solution for 2 minutes (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany), thoroughly rinsed with distilled water, and finally dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol solutions.

**Optical Numeric Microscope Analysis**

Samples were first observed using an optical numeric microscope (KEYENCE; Osaka, Japan) and then analyzed using the VHX-5000 Communication software (KEYENCE; Osaka, Japan) to measure the quantity of voids and sealer filled areas.

**Scanning Electron Microscope Analysis**

Specimens were prepared for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and sputter-coated with a gold-palladium alloy (20/80 weight%) by using a HUMMER JR sputtering device (Technics; California, United States). The coated samples were observed with a Quanta 250 FEG SEM (FEI Company, Eindhoven, the Netherlands) operating with an accelerating voltage of the electrons of 10 kV.

**Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis**

The specimens also underwent chemical analysis to analyze the GuttaCore material and the related tags. Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) microanalysis was performed with an EDAX Octane Plus spectrometer (AMETEK Materials Analysis Division, 91 McKee Dr, Mahwah, New Jersey, United States).

**Statistical Analysis**

Collected data were analyzed with SigmaPlot release 11.2 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, California, United States). The proportions of voids and sealer areas corresponding to one of two treatments GuttaCore (GC) or Thermafill (TH) were compared by Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance on ranks, with statistical significance set at \( \alpha = 0.05 \).

**Results**

**Optical Microscope Observations**

For both groups, the superficies of gutta-percha-filled areas (GPFAs), sealer-filled areas (SFAs), and voids, that is, unfilled areas (VA) in the canal at WL-5 and WL-2 were observed and measured. All measurements are expressed in mean ± standard error on the mean (\( n = 12 \)).

At WL-5, concerning TG group, the VA was 0.22 ± 0.001%, the SAF was 0.74 ± 0.003%, and the GPFA was 99.04 ± 0.02%. Concerning the GG, the VA was 0.20 ± 0.001%, the SAF was 0.61 ± 0.003%, and the GPFA was 99.19 ± 0.003%.

At WL-2, concerning TG group, the VA was 0.13 ± 0.004%, the SAF was 1.54 ± 0.01%, and the GPFA was 98.33 ± 0.04%. Concerning the GG, the VA was 0.15 ± 0.001%, the SAF was 1.09 ± 0.004%, and the GPFA was 98.76 ± 0.004%.

**Scanning Electron Microscope Results**

SEM analyses (►Figs. 1 and 2) confirmed the results obtained by optical microscopy. Furthermore, concerning each sample treated using both filling systems, the presence of tags was visualized (►Figs. 3 and 4). At WL-5 and WL-2, the maximum tag penetration depth for the GuttaCore into the...
dentinal tubules was, respectively, 96 and 48 μm, whereas for the Thermafil the maximum tag penetration depth was, respectively, 109 μm and 55 μm. Furthermore, the shape of the tags for GG and TG was different; rectangular for GC and circular for TG.

**Chemical Analysis Findings**

A spectrum taken from a tag at WL-5 and WL-2 for the two groups was likened at a spectrum of Thermafil (►Fig. 5) and GuttaCore gutta-percha (►Fig. 6) and at a spectrum of Ah plus sealer showing their chemical analysis. This allowed us to affirm that the TG and GG tags consist of gutta-percha.

**Discussion**

To perform tridimensional filling, the essential requirements are a previous tridimensional shaping and tridimensional cleaning. Obviously, this task is much more difficult in the case of oval-shaped root canals. To fill suitably an oval-shaped root canal, the void and the sealer areas should be as small as possible, and this is possible at the condition that gutta-percha is thermoplastic.

The present study was designed to quantify the GPFA, SFA, and VA on the teeth filled using two different techniques of carrier-based obturation, Thermafil and GuttaCore, with the goal to provide a measure of quality. The Thermafil obturator consists of two parts: the plastic carrier, derivative of polysulfone; and the gutta-percha, whereas GuttaCore consists of a carrier manufactured from a cross-linked, thermoset elastomer of gutta-percha coated in gutta-percha. The presence of the plastic carrier in a Thermafil obturator could make a retreatment and/or the postspace preparation a difficult task. What’s more, the clinicians who lack the basic principles for use Thermafil obturator could perform a root canal filling with a naked plastic carrier in the last millimeter of the canal.

Indeed, the GuttaCore carrier was designed to facilitate removal during retreatment and/or postspace preparation by fast, simply, and safe trephining through the core.

Moreover, from a clinical point of view, our internal data (preclinical hands-on on different filling techniques, Strasbourg University) showed that the learning curve to master the GuttaCore filling is faster than the learning curve to master the Thermafil technique, with less possibility of
extrusion. In other words, the GuttaCore technique is less technique sensitive and less difficult to master compared with the Thermafil technique.

To reduce the possible errors, we decided to analyze each specimen using different instruments. At first, we choose the premolars by using radiographic and CBCT control images to demonstrate the good sampling homogeneity. Afterward, specimens were observed using an optical numeric microscope at a magnification of ×500. They were also observed by using SEM at magnifications up to ×30,000, using direct observations and not replicates. Finally, we used a last control by using EDX analyses to know exactly the composition of the different filled areas, including tags.

At 5 and 2 mm distance from the apex, each specimen of both groups showed plasticized gutta-percha. No statistical difference was found for the GPFA, VA, and SAF values corresponding to the two tested thermo-plasticizing techniques. Consequently, the null hypothesis, that there are no differences, at 5 and 2 mm from the apex, in the percentages of GPFA, VA, and SAF in oval-shaped root canals, filled by the Thermafil obturator and GuttaCore obturator using AH plus sealer was accepted. SEM micrographs, at 2 and 5 mm distances from the apex, disclosed the presence of tags for the GG, filling dentinal tubules. Moreover, SEM observations revealed the presence of tags for both groups. Gutta-percha tags (► Fig. 7) could be able to block physically potentially remaining bacteria, so entombing them.

Chemical microanalyses allowed us to conclude that the tags of both groups were composed of gutta-percha material. Of course, the present findings depend on the employed gutta-percha product qualities. Thermafil and GuttaCore gutta-percha is breakable at the solid state, but it changes on gluey, swollen proprieties when heated. The flowability of GC gutta-percha is higher than that of the standard gutta-percha of gutta-percha cones.30

At 2 and 5 mm distances from the apex, the present results reveal no statistically different filling ability for the two tested carrier-based techniques. Moreover, at 2 and 5 mm distances from the apex, for each specimens treated using the two different filling techniques, the presence of gutta-percha tags was visualized. Our results clearly show that the GuttaCore obturation can fill oval-shaped canals in an excellent way. Hence, we can state that GuttaCore system would be a filling technique less sensitive and less difficult to master compared with the Thermafil technique, preserving the same filling ability, in view of the fact that there was no difference, in terms of GPFA, SFA, and VA between the two different carrier-based obturation techniques.
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