Clinical and Electrophysiological Differentiation between Periodical and Epileptic Discharges
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Abstract
Clinical differentiation between nonconvulsive status epilepticus (NCSE) and encephalopathy remains challenging. Some patients with encephalopathy exhibit periodic discharges on electroencephalography. In certain cases, however, it is rather difficult to differentiate between epileptic and periodic discharges. The lack of clear differentiation criteria makes it difficult to effectively analyze the studies in this field. In patients with refractory status epilepticus, the wrong diagnosis can lead to insufficient therapy, thereby increasing the frequency of convulsions and the likelihood of a poor outcome. Alternatively, aggressive therapy with antiepileptic drugs and anesthetic agents can aggravate neuronal damage and worsen encephalopathy. In this review, we aimed to determine whether clinical and instrumental methods can be used to differentiate between encephalopathy and epileptic states, to improve the selection of the appropriate treatment strategies. The review considers different approaches to diagnosing encephalopathy and seizures in critically ill patients. In spite of electroencephalography (EEG) still being the main method used to objectively identify brain dysfunction and diagnose epileptic discharges over the past 60 years, improvements in EEG techniques have been accompanied by increase in our understanding of the limitations of electrophysiological methods, leading to the use of additional tools for the differential diagnosis of seizures and encephalopathy. Current studies aim to develop multimodal approaches to the differential diagnosis of encephalopathy and NCSE. Modern reports describe clinical and instrumental approaches for accurately differentiating encephalopathy and epileptic conditions in patients treated in the intensive care unit based on clinical data, EEG, magnetic resonance imaging, positron emission tomography, biochemical analyses, and immune values.
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Introduction
Modern approaches to the management of nonconvulsive status epilepticus (NCSE) are effective for cases in which timely diagnosis is followed by targeted anticonvulsant therapy.1 However, in some cases, differentiation between NCSE and encephalopathy remains challenging, especially when they exhibit parallel courses, as one may be both a cause and a result of the other. The lack of clear differentiation criteria makes it difficult to effectively analyze the studies in this field since the results are somewhat subjective and unreliable. Encephalopathy is characterized by temporary or permanent compromises in brain function.2 Clinical manifestations of encephalopathy include confusion and decreased level of consciousness or coma.3 Patients with encephalopathy can exhibit periodic discharges on electroencephalography (EEG)4 (►Fig. 1). Brain dysfunction can be caused by various underlying factors such as hypoxia5,7 endocrine8,9
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and metabolic disorders, toxicity, infections, autoimmune diseases, and the effects of status epilepticus (SE).

Addressing the causes of encephalopathy is critical to effective treatment. However, there are currently no specific therapies for treating the consequences of these conditions.

Unlike encephalopathy, NCSE can be attenuated using specific antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) (Fig. 2). Clinically, NCSE is also characterized by confusion and a low level of consciousness. In 30% of cases, SE is associated with complications due to conversion into refractory status epilepticus (RSE). RSE is treated using a combination of AEDs and continued infusions of anesthetic agents. The required duration of anesthetic treatment is between 1 and 7 days. Increases in the duration of treatment or number of agents used increases the risk of developing encephalopathy among patients with RSE (Fig. 3).

In certain cases, however, it is rather difficult to differentiate between epileptic and periodic discharges. In patients with RSE, the wrong diagnosis can lead to insufficient therapy, thereby increasing the frequency of convulsions and the likelihood of a poor outcome. Alternatively, aggressive therapy with AEDs and anesthetic agents can aggravate neuronal damage and worsen encephalopathy.

---

**Fig. 1** A 61-year-old woman after CPR with intravenous hypothermia went into coma. Typical GPDs 0.5 Hz (left third, black arrows) were observed. Her condition was considered as state after global anoxia. The patterns disappeared after taking propofol infusion 4 mg/kg/h (middle third). These patterns reverted after withdrawal of propofol infusion (right third, black arrows). Sedation was used for synchronization with mechanical ventilations. CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; GPD, generalized periodic discharge.

**Fig. 2** A 65-year-old woman after removal of frontal meningioma reported confused consciousness and subtle clinical seizures with periodic patterns of 2.5 Hz (left side, black arrows). Her condition was considered as NCSE. The patterns disappeared after taking bolus valproic acid 15 mg/kg (right side). The patient was conscious after 2 hours. NCSE, nonconvulsive status epilepticus.
The term ictal-interictal continuum (IIC) has been introduced to describe the dynamics of these conditions during continued EEG monitoring. The IIC includes periodic and epileptic discharges, as well as background activity between them. In publications, IIC is often referred to as the pattern of uncertainty, which more accurately reflects its dual structure (Fig. 4).

In this review, we aimed to determine whether clinical and instrumental methods can be used to differentiate between encephalopathy and epileptic states, to improve the selection of the appropriate treatment strategies. The review considers different approaches to diagnosing encephalopathy and seizures in critically ill patients.

**Mechanisms Underlying the Generation of Periodic and Epileptic Discharges**

EEG is the main method used to objectively identify brain dysfunction and diagnose epileptic discharges. For many years, researchers believed that rhythmic discharges were

![Fig. 3](image1) A 9-year-old child after removal of thalamic teratoma reported electrolytes disorders and went into coma. He had rare subtle clinical seizures and LPDs (1 Hz) in the right hemisphere (left side, black arrows). His condition was considered as NCSE. Patterns disappeared after 8 days of aggressive RSE treatment (right side). Patient was administered four AEDs and is in a persistent vegetative status. AED, antiepileptic drug; NCSE, nonconvulsive status epilepticus; LPD, lateralized periodic discharges; RSE, refractory status epilepticus.

![Fig. 4](image2) A 70-year-old man after fossa posterior surgery reported confused consciousness. There are no data about seizures. Periodic patterns of 2.0 Hz (left side, black arrows) were observed. His condition was considered as possible NCSE. He was administered AEDs. Patterns disappeared after taking intravenous bolus levetiracetam 15 mg/kg (right side). The patient has the same status. AED, antiepileptic drug; NCSE, nonconvulsive status epilepticus.
related to a disruption in the connections between the cortex and thalamus.21 Better neuroimaging methods and new mathematical models have refined this concept.22 According to Kalamangalam, rhythmic discharges originate from disruptions in interactions involving the intercolumnar modular cortex.23 Deep depolarization of interneurons after electrical stimulation manifest as slow waves. The similar nature of periodic discharges after SE and those caused by infectious or structural brain lesions may be related to the selective vulnerability of interneurons due to repeated depolarization and the relative preservation of pyramidal cells.24

These data are in contrast to those from studies in which large excitatory pyramidal cells of the hippocampus became selectively vulnerable during hypoxia, although these cells also registered periodic low-frequency oscillations.25

Some studies have reported that periodic discharges result from isolated damage to the subcortical white matter22 or subcortical nuclei,26 and multifocal brain damage.27

These findings highlight that our understanding of the origins of regular high-amplitude, synchronous, low-frequency discharges in the damaged brain is limited, whereas the origins of epileptic discharges have been well described.28-32

On the other hand, registration of similar EEG patterns under different clinical conditions can be attributed to multiple mechanisms. More detailed classifications of periodic discharges may aid in comparing these patterns and their morphological substrates.

### Classification of Periodic Discharges

In 2005, the American Association of Electrophysiologists removed the term “epileptiform” from the nomenclature and ruled out the idea that periodic discharges must be associated with epileptic conditions.33 In 2012, the conciliation commission American Clinical Neurophysiology Society (ACNS) supplemented and amended the definitions and classification of periodic patterns.34 Their work aimed to accurately separate periodic discharges from other EEG features, including epileptic activity.

Some studies have addressed the problem from a different angle. In 2002, Brenner developed a classification system and criteria for isolating epileptic phenomena from the background EEG.35 In 2015, a group of authors led by Trinka achieved separation of epileptic from periodic discharges based on EEG.36 However, the main criterion for verification of epileptic discharges was response to treatment with AEDs, which can lead to misdiagnosis of the discharges as periodic in some patients with RES.

Thus, electrophysiological differentiation of periodic and epileptic discharges requires an experienced specialist. Nonetheless, one cannot disregard the relativity of the criteria described. Consequently, this assessment leads to two phenomena:

1. The results of the EEG analysis depend on the specialist’s clinical experience.
2. Previously completed studies cannot be evaluated according to the new criteria or compared with new studies.

### Epidemiology of Periodic Discharges

The occurrence rates for periodic discharges vary depending on the criteria chosen by the authors and the characteristics of the patient sample. In retrospective studies, periodic discharges were recorded in 0.2 to 1% of cases.37-39 The low occurrence of periodic discharges in these studies above is likely due to technical difficulties in recording and the short EEG record utilized. In 2012, Foreman observed generalized periodic discharges (GPDs) in 4.5% of 200 critically ill patients.40 In 2013, Gaspard et al observed lateralized periodic discharges (LPDs) and lateralized rhythmic delta activity (LRDA) in 8.6% and 4.7% of patients, respectively. In their 2016 multicenter study, Ruiz et al identified periodic discharges in 2,019 of 4,772 patients (42.3%).41 Among them, LPDs accounted for 32%, bilateral periodic discharges (BiPDs) for 5%, GPDs for 27%, and generalized rhythmic delta activity (GRDA) for 36%. (Here, calculations are performed based on the data provided in the original studies.)

Notably, the last two studies utilized the 2012 Association of American Electrophysiologists guidelines. However, Ruiz et al published data for 493 patients who had both periodic and epileptic discharges.41 Epileptic seizures were identified clinically; however, if clinical seizures are absent, the only way to separate periodic and epileptic discharges is via a visual analysis of the EEG. The authors did not specify the frequency of periodic discharges in patients with different nosology. Nevertheless, a clear predominance of patients with primary brain damage was observed in accordance with several previous studies.21,42-45

Various authors46,47,48 have distinguished the following clinical conditions with periodic discharges:

1. Local ischemic brain damage (stroke): 27 to 45%.
2. CNS infections: 6 to 18%.
3. Intracranial hemorrhage: 2 to 17%.
4. Anoxic brain damage: 2 to 14%.
5. Brain tumors: 7 to 11%.
6. Metabolic and toxic disorders: 9 to 55%.
7. Sepsis: 35%.

All these clinical conditions may cause epileptic seizures.1 At the same time, Snodgrass et al proposed viewing periodic epileptic discharges as part of SE and a form of “fatigued” clonic seizures (or their termination).46 Below, we further consider the relationship between periodic and epileptic discharges within the IIC and NCSE. (We have intentionally used different nomenclature for periodic patterns to emphasize classification used by the original authors.)

### Periodic Discharges as a Predictor of Status Epilepticus

Given some of the shared mechanisms underlying the generation of periodic and epileptic discharges, as well as their clinical similarity and underlying causes, some studies have assessed the likelihood of developing SE during the registration of periodic EEG patterns. While Morales et al reported that periodic discharges have no prognostic value for...
seizures. Foreman et al. reported that GPDs were associated with the development of NCSE, but not with poor outcomes. 

In their sample, most patients had sepsis or metabolic/toxic brain lesions.

Claassen et al. investigated patients treated in the neuromonitoring care unit, observing that electrographic equivalents of seizures frequently occurred in combination with PEDs. Epileptic activity exhibited the strongest association with periodic lateralized epileptiform discharges (PLEDs) and focal stimulus-induced rhythmic, periodic, or ictal discharges (SIRPIDs). Viarasilpa et al. observed similar findings in patients with acute encephalitis. Although periodic discharges were associated with nonconvulsive epileptic seizures due to LPDs, the authors observed no associations with SIRPIDs, GPDs, BiPDS, or any form of RDA. A study performed 10 years earlier revealed a link between electrographic seizures and PEDs in general and with PLEDs, GPEs, and BiPEDs in patients with CNS infections. In a multivariable logistic regression analysis corrected for viral causes and protein levels in the CSF, PEDs were independently associated with status epilepticus. Despite the similar sample sizes and analytical methods in the last two studies, differences in the results may be due to the use of the latest classification of periodic discharges in the more recent study.

Ruiz et al. observed that LPDs, LRDA, GPDs, and BiPIDs were associated with seizures in patients treated in the intensive care unit, without correction for frequency or the addition of any of the “plus” modifiers. After correcting for frequency patterns and “plus” modifiers, SE was associated only with LPDs and LRDA plus. A univariate analysis by Koren et al. revealed that nonconvulsive epileptic activity or IIC during the first 30 minutes is a strong predictor of NCSE development. In the multiregression analysis, the registration of individual epileptiform discharges or IIC predicted a high risk of developing NCSE. The authors did not state whether certain types of periodic discharges were associated with the risk of NCSE development; nevertheless, among all other patterns, LPDs were registered within the IIC in 65% of cases.

Thus, most authors regard the registration of periodic discharges on EEG as a predictor of NCSE development. LPDs are more often associated with subsequent seizure development. However, using AEDs is still an open question.

**Association of Periodic and Epileptic Discharges with Structural and Functional Changes**

In 2009, Goyal et al identified peri-ictal changes in the MR signal in a significant proportion of patients with SE. These changes were most apparent when magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed immediately after the cessation of SE. Peri-ictal changes in the MR signal may be associated with nonconvulsive epileptic discharges and LPDs. Peri-ictal DWI restriction was detected in all five patients with epileptic activity. The limited sample size did not allow the authors to determine statistical significance.

Due to the complexity of comparing EEG and neuroimaging data in critically ill patients, these studies can be used to establish trends, but not to confirm the epileptic nature of periodic discharges. However, in all studies mentioned above, LPDs were regarded as potentially epileptic.

**Relationship of Periodic and Epileptic Discharges with Metabolic Changes in the Living Brain**

Studies of local metabolic changes in the living brain have yielded the most indicative model regarding the similarity of the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying periodic and epileptic discharges. Using local measurement techniques, Vespa et al. reported that CBF, glucose/oxygen consumption, lactate levels, local brain temperature, and intracranial pressure (ICP) increase during the registration of periodic patterns on EEG. Similar changes are observed during epileptic activity in patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI).Katyal et al. also...
Multimodal Approaches to the Differentiation of Periodic and Epileptic Patterns

Periodic and epileptic patterns involve a continuum of electrographic characteristics (e.g., duration, frequency, and prevalence) and are influenced by numerous physiological factors such as cerebral perfusion and metabolism. Acting together, pathophysiologic features associated with periodic discharges can cause secondary neuronal damage, leading to reversible or irreversible neurological disorders. A multimodal approach may allow for the identification of physiological abnormalities that go beyond what can be obtained via EEG alone. Two studies published in 2018 incorporated data obtained over three decades of investigating periodic patterns and their relationships with epileptic activity. These reports describe clinical and instrumental approaches for accurately differentiating encephalopathy and epileptic conditions in patients treated in the intensive care unit based on clinical data, EEG, MRI, PET, biochemical analyses, and immune values. These studies further highlight the role of multimodal approaches in the stratification of individual diagnostic phenotypes.

Conclusion

The clinical signs of encephalopathy and NCSE are nonspecific, and these two states cannot be differentiated without the use of additional instrumental methods. EEG has been used to differentiate between encephalopathy and NCSE over the past 60 years. Improvements in EEG techniques have been accompanied by increase in our understanding of the limitations of electrophysiologic methods, leading to the use of additional tools for the differential diagnosis of seizures and encephalopathy. Such tools include neuroimaging methods, such as MRI and PET, which can be used to assess local metabolism in the living brain, and biochemical markers. Current studies aim to develop multimodal approaches to the differential diagnosis of encephalopathy and NCSE, although further research is required to determine the clinical efficiency of these approaches. To date, it remains impossible to determine whether periodic and epileptic discharges represent a manifestation of one process or are parallel processes of different nature that occur due to a lack of energy in brain cells.
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