

Case Report

Restoration of highly mutilated endodontically treated multi-rooted teeth using customized interlocking post & core : A case report

Ajay Jain¹, Vinaya Bhat² & Ugrappa Sridevi³

¹Senior Lecturer , Department of prosthodontics, ³Lecturer, Oral Medicine and Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, AIMST Dental Institute, AIMST University, Semeling, Bedong, Malaysia, ²Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, A.B. Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Deralakatte, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

Correspondence

Ajay Jain

Senior Lecturer, Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, AIMST Dental Institute, AIMST University, Semeling, Bedong, Malaysia - 08100

Mobile : +6-01094 54204 E-mail : jainajay9@gmail.com

Abstract

An endodontically treated teeth should have a good prognosis. It can resume full function and serve satisfactorily as an abutment for a fixed dental prosthesis (FDP) or a removable partial dental prosthesis. However, special techniques are needed to restore such a tooth. There are various techniques of post and core advocated in the literature. This case report presents the restoration of highly mutilated endodontically treated multi-rooted teeth using customized interlocking post and core technique.

Keywords: Post and core, multirooted, interlocking, mutilated tooth, endodontic

Introduction

Different techniques of post and core have been described in the literature for the restoration of highly mutilated endodontically treated multirooted teeth^{1, 2}. The main drawback of these techniques is that only one of the pulp canals is engaged that may compromise the retention. The basic objective is to provide adequate retention of the definitive restoration without jeopardizing the remaining tooth structure³. This article presents the restoration of mandibular right molar by using customized interlocking post and core which engages both mesial and distal roots to achieve good retention.

Case Report

A male patient aged 42 reported to the outpatient department, A. B. Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Mangalore with the chief complaint of inability to chew on the right side due to broken tooth in the lower jaw. On examination, the mandibular right first permanent molar was

found to be highly mutilated with only very little amount of tooth structure remaining. Tooth was found to be restored with provisional cement, probably zinc oxide eugenol cement (Fig. 1). Patient gave a history of root canal treatment carried out a few years ago. The efficacy of the apical filling was confirmed by an experienced endodontist.

As the amount of the tooth structure remaining was very minimal, the treatment indicated was obviously a post & core and a crown restoration.

Procedure

The access filling was removed and the length of the proposed primary canal (distal) was established on the radiograph. The gutta percha points were removed using Peeso reamer (Mani, Inc., U.S.). The other smaller canal was also prepared to receive a smaller extension of the post. The canals were frequently debrided using saline and were enlarged to the desired size and diameter^{4,5}. (Fig. 2)

The canals were lubricated with petrolatum jelly. Pattern resin (GC Pattern resin LS, America Inc.) was used with a modified wooden tooth pick to make a direct impression of the distal canal and a core buildup of the entire tooth (Fig.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code



3). We used pattern resin instead of inlay wax because pattern resin is more rigid and also it leaves no traces of carbon on burnout, reducing the chances of incomplete casting. While it was still polymerizing, it was removed and checked for completeness and the path of insertion of the post.

Next, an access was created to the mesial canal through the core from the occlusal surface using 702 carbid bur (SS White, Xemax surgical products, Inc.) (Fig. 4). Canal access was enlarged and a dovetail was prepared in the pattern to

get an interlock. Impression of the mesial canal was obtained with the help of softened inlay wax (Shiva products, India) coated onto the shaped tooth pick (Fig.5). Both, the acrylic and the wax patterns were removed from the tooth separately and were cast in type-IV gold alloy. The trimmed and the polished distal post with the core and the mesial key for the interlock were tried on the tooth for the best fit possible. Since they were satisfactory, they were cemented on to the tooth. (Fig. 6) Later, a metal-ceramic crown was given to restore the tooth to occlusion.



Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 4



Fig. 5



Fig. 6

Discussion

The wide variety of conditions for restoring endodontically treated teeth indicates that no one technique is suitable for all patients⁶ and most of the techniques are self expedient.³ Rosen et al.⁷ described the two or three parts post & core for the teeth with divergent roots that were cemented

independently with semiprecision lock to provide additional strength but this indirect technique is time consuming as both the halves are casted after one another. Michnick and Raskin³ described techniques using multiple cast posts with divergent canals. Stephen et al.⁸ described interlocking post core technique for the restoration of

endodontically treated multirrooted teeth. Rationale for engaging the distal canal in mandibular molar and palatal canal in maxillary molar has been described by Sapone et al.⁹ and remaining canal can be engaged for more retention of the restoration. In this case report, the author has engaged the distal canal for the post & core and mesial canal for interlock. Sook-Hyun Nam et al.¹⁰ compared the fracture resistances and the failure patterns in teeth having different number of coronal walls (zero to four walls) with or without fiber-reinforced composite (FRC) posts and concluded that the fracture resistances decreased significantly in groups with two or fewer walls and FRC post

increased fracture resistances significantly, except for the zero-wall group, and optimized the failure patterns. Yang et al.¹¹ concluded that mechanical interlocking is the main factor in dislocation of post and core crown and the length of post can be increased from 2/3 to 3/4 of the length of tooth root when prepared in clinic. This direct technique of post and core saves both laboratory time and dental materials i.e. elastomeric impression material, die stone etc that are used for making impression and master cast and the same time gives better detail and precise fit of the restoration as pattern is fabricated by dentist itself.

References

1. Steele G.D.: Reinforced composite resin foundation for endodontically treated teeth. *J Prosthet Dent* 1973;30:816-8.
2. Abdullah S.I., Mohammed H., Thayer K.E.: Restoration of endodontically treated teeth. A review. *J Can Dent Assoc* 1974;4:300-5.
3. Michnick B., Raskin R.: A multiple post-core technique. *J Prosthet Dent* 1978;39:622-6.
4. James L.G.: Preparation of endodontically treated teeth to receive a post-core restoration. *J Prosthet Dent* 1977;38:413-9.
5. Peroz I., Blankenstein F., Lange K.P., Naumann M.: Restoring endodontically treated teeth with posts and core-A review. *Quintessence Int* 2005;36:737-46.
6. Patrick E.H., Thomas G.W.: A comparative evaluation of three post and core techniques. *J Prosthet Dent* 1982;47:177-81.
7. Rosen H.: Operative procedures on mutilated endodontically treated teeth. *J Prosthet Dent* 1961;11:973-84.
8. Stephen L.W., William L.P.: Direct fabrication of interlocking endodontic posts. *J Prosthet Dent* 1978;39:115-7.
9. Sapone J., Stanley F.L.: An endodontic-prosthodontic approach to internal tooth reinforcement. *J Prosthet Dent* 1981;45:164-81.
10. Sook-Hyun Nam et al.: Effect of the Number of Residual Walls on Fracture Resistances, Failure Patterns, and Photoelasticity of Simulated Premolars Restored with or without Fiber-reinforced Composite Posts. *J Endod* 2010;36:297-301.
11. Yang Y., Chen XM, Wu XH, Yao W, Niu L: Effects of post length on the dislocation of post and core crown. *Sichuan Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban* 2005;36:706-8.