Response Assessment Following Image-Guided Therapy of Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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Abstract
Image-guided locoregional therapies have an important role in the management of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Recent advances in the ablative as well as endovascular therapies have expanded the role of interventional radiologists in the treatment of HCC. Following image-guided therapy, an accurate response assessment is vital. Knowledge regarding normal postprocedure changes and subtle signs of residual or recurrent disease is important. In this review, we discuss various response evaluation criteria currently employed for HCC. We also discuss the postprocedure imaging features suggestive of residual disease or recurrence and imaging biomarkers for response assessment.
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Introduction
Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most common cancers worldwide and its incidence has been increasing. It is ranked as the sixth most common cancer globally, with the highest rate seen in Eastern Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. It is the fourth most frequent cause of cancer-related death.¹

In adults, HCC comprises almost 90% of the primary liver tumors and usually carries a grave prognosis, if not treated early. It is seen more commonly in men with a male to female ratio of ~2 to 2.5: 1. The majority (~ 90%) of cases of HCCs arise in the setting of cirrhosis and are associated with an identifiable etiology.¹ Approximately one-third of all cirrhotic patients may develop HCC in their lifetime. The frequent underlying causes are chronic viral hepatitis B caused by hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV), chronic alcohol intake, and aflatoxin exposure. There is a decline in the number of HBV-related cases in endemic countries due to universal infant vaccination against HBV. Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, on the other hand, is increasingly being identified as a cause of cirrhosis and HCC.

Diagnosis of HCC is based on a combination of imaging features and histopathology. In the setting of a cirrhotic liver, the diagnosis of HCC is based on the detection of vascular derangement typical of hepatic carcinogenesis observed on the contrast-enhanced imaging techniques. The European Association for the Study of Liver (EASL) and the American Association for the Study of the Liver Disease (AASLD) panels recommend the use of multiphasic contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CECT) and dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for the diagnosis of HCC.² Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) has been recommended by the EASL; however, AASLD does not include CEUS as a first-line test. The major features for the diagnosis of HCC as defined by the liver imaging reporting and data system (LI-RADS) classification system are the presence of arterial phase hyperenhancement (APHE), washout on the portal venous, or delayed phases with an enhancing capsule on the delayed phase.³ In the setting of a noncirrhotic liver, the imaging features of HCC are similar. However, as the specificity is lower, the diagnosis of HCC in this setting is confirmed at histopathology.

Various clinical staging systems have been proposed for prognostication and for guiding treatment in HCCs, most widely used being the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) staging system (►Table 1).² It comprises of prognostic...
variables related to tumor status, liver function (measured by Child-Pugh score), and performance status.\(^4\)

The mainstay of treatment in patients with very early HCC is surgery (hepatic resection or liver transplantation) and ablation.\(^2\) In early HCC not eligible for resection or transplant, ablation is indicated.\(^3\) For the intermediate stage, unresectable HCCs, transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) is the standard of care. Selective internal radiotherapy or transarterial radio-embolization (TARE) is not included in the BCLC algorithm but is recommended for patients with advanced HCC with main PV invasion. The success of various locoregional treatment (LRT) methods is assessed by serial imaging. Various classification systems have been proposed to evaluate patients after systemic or LRT.\(^5\) The size-based criteria include World Health Organization and Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST). The functional (enhancement based) criteria that rely on the changes in the enhancing component of the tumor are more useful. These include modified RECIST (mRECIST), EASL, Response Evaluation Criteria in the Cancer of the Liver, and Choi criteria. Of these, mRECIST is the most widely used. In a study by Forner et al, the response assessment following percutaneous ablation and TACE was assessed using RECIST and EASL criteria. The authors found that by employing RECIST, none of the patients achieved a complete response (CR) and 30.9% of patients had progressive disease (PD). When response was evaluated using EASL guidelines, 54.5% of patients achieved a CR and only 14.5% had PD. With the availability of new functional imaging methods including diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and perfusion imaging, these may allow the response assessment with greater accuracy.

Various imaging findings following LRT have been described in the literature, and this article aims to summarize and highlight the normal imaging features as well as findings suggestive of the residual or recurrent disease. A brief overview of the newer functional imaging biomarkers for assessing treatment response has also been provided.

### Imaging after LRT

Imaging plays a vital role in the follow-up of patients with HCCs after LRT and helps in the assessment of treatment response and early detection of residual or recurrent tumors. Imaging also helps assess early detection of complications. Multiphasic CECT or MRI is widely recommended for response assessment after LRT.

As already discussed, the size of the lesion may not decrease or may in fact increase. Thus functional (enhancement based) criteria depicting the changes in the enhancing component of the tumor are more reliable. The different types of responses according to the mRECIST criteria have been summarized in Table 2. A new LI-RADS treatment response algorithm has also been proposed recently and is aimed at providing standardization in reporting.\(^6\) Table 2 highlights the various response evaluation criteria in HCC. The limitation of the enhancement-based criteria is the lack of validation for assessing HCC after TACE and ablative therapies.\(^7\) The evaluation of infiltrative lesions is difficult.

The imaging features following various LRT are broadly similar, with the treated area appearing hypoattenuating or hypoechoic on follow-up CT or with no postcontrast enhancement, suggestive of necrosis (Fig. 1). The central coagulative necrosis may appear hypodense on noncontrast CT and hyperintense on T1-weighted images (T1WIs).\(^7\) T2 hyperintensity is usually attributable to hemorrhage, liquefactive necrosis, or inflammation. Other ancillary imaging features have also been described and are specific to the treatment modality being used, and hence will be described under the respective subheadings. For most forms of the locoregional therapies, first follow-up imaging is performed after 4 weeks. Subsequently, imaging is recommended every 3 months for 2 years.\(^8\) In

### Table 1 Various staging systems in hepatocellular carcinoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathological</th>
<th>Clinical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan staging system</td>
<td>Okuda staging system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese Integrated Staging score</td>
<td>Cancer of the Liver Italian Program score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese University Prognostic Index</td>
<td>Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer staging system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Joint Committee on Cancer/International Union Against Cancer staging system</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Assessment of target lesion response according to modified Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complete response</th>
<th>Disappearance of any intratumoral arterial enhancement in all target lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partial response</td>
<td>At least a 30% decrease in the sum of diameters of viable (enhancement in the arterial phase) target lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable disease</td>
<td>Any cases that do not qualify for either partial response or progressive disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive disease</td>
<td>An increase of at least 20% in the sum of the diameters of viable (enhancing) target lesions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 LI-RADS response criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response category</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LR-TR nonviable</td>
<td>a. No lesional enhancement or b. Treatment-specific expected enhancement pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR-TR equivocal</td>
<td>Enhancement pattern atypical for treatment-specific expected enhancement pattern and not meeting criteria for definitely or probably viable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR-TR viable</td>
<td>Nodular, mass-like or thick irregular soft tissue showing any of the following: a. Arterial phase hyperenhancement b. Washout appearance c. Enhancement similar to pretreatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: LI-RADS, liver imaging reporting and data system; LR-TR, locoregional-treatment response.
patients treated with TARE, the first follow-up scan is usually deferred till 3 months following the therapy because of the delayed effects of TARE on tumor necrosis and shrinkage.9

**Transarterial Chemoembolization**

Following conventional TACE (cTACE), the high-density iodized oil usually stains the treated area for months, appearing hyperattenuating on follow-up CTS, whereas the contrast used in drug-eluting bead (DEB)-TACE or bland embolization typically washes off after a few hours.10 In cTACE, the patients are usually imaged with a noncontrast CT within 24 to 48 hours following the procedure to evaluate the distribution of the iodized oil. The accumulation pattern of the iodized oil observed on CT has been classified

---

**Table 4** Findings on imaging following locoregional treatment of HCC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locoregional treatment</th>
<th>Normal finding</th>
<th>Findings suggestive of recurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ablation</td>
<td>Smooth thin (&lt;5 mm) peripheral arterial rim enhancement</td>
<td>Thick or irregular rim enhancement in arterial phase with washout in venous or delayed phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Air within the lesion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shunting around the lesion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TACE</td>
<td>Peritumoral hypervascularity on arterial phase</td>
<td>Filling defect in lipiodol uptake on NCCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Areas of T2W hyperintensity within the treated lesion</td>
<td>Areas of arterial enhancement with washout in venous phase in the treated lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARE</td>
<td>Heterogeneous enhancement in perivascular distribution in treated part</td>
<td>Areas of arterial enhancement with washout in venous phase in the treated lesion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; NCCT, noncontrast computed tomography; TACE, transarterial chemoembolization; TARE, transarterial radio-embolization.
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into four types (►Figs. 3 and ►Figs. 4.). Type 1 represents the homogenous accumulation of the iodized oil, with type 1A showing accumulation even around the tumor and type 1B is confined to the tumor area. In type II, there are partial defects in iodized oil accumulation within the tumor area. Faint accumulation of iodized oil is seen in type III and slight or no accumulation is noted in type IV. The distribution of iodized oil has a correlation with treatment response. Complete retention of iodized oil correlates with complete necrosis. Lack of uptake in the tumor may also be due to an aberrant arterial supply.

CECT is done at 4 weeks and subsequently as per protocol described above. The treated necrosed area appears hypointense on T2WI with no postcontrast enhancement. A thin rim of enhancement has been described around the treated lesion, which is likely due to reactive hyperemia in the early days and later due to inflammation. This peripheral rim of enhancement is usually thin (< 5 mm in width), smooth, and shows persistent enhancement in the portal and delayed venous phases with no washout in these phases. It should not be confused with residual tumor, which generally appears as thick, nodular areas commonly at the edge of the treated area showing APHE with washout in the portal and/or delayed venous phases (►Fig. 5.).

On MRI, the necrotic tissue appears hypointense on T2WI. The residual disease appears moderately hypointense on T2 and shows postcontrast enhancement. For lesions showing predominantly coagulative necrosis or hemorrhage following TACE, the T1-weighted hyperintensity may lead to a difficulty in assessing postcontrast enhancement. In this context, subtraction images are beneficial. However, there should be no misregistration for the subtraction images. The hyperdensity of the iodized oil makes the evaluation of...
contrast enhancement challenging on CT. Iodized oil does not adversely affect the signal intensity on MRI. Thus, enhancement characteristics are better assessed on MRI, especially when subtraction images are assessed. However, both CT and MRI are equally accurate in assessment following DEB-TACE.

In a study by Kloeckner et al, in the lipiodol TACE, CT underestimated the residual tumor. MRI in these cases was completely free of artifacts. Intratumoral gas containing areas have been reported after TACE. In a study by Bisseret et al comprising 286 procedures, intratumoral gas was demonstrated after 26 (9.1%) TACE procedures. It was secondary to liver abscesses in only three patients. The factors that were significantly associated with the presence of intratumoral gas following TACE were larger mean baseline tumor diameter, DEB-TACE, and super-selective embolization. The presence of intratumor gas containing areas on follow-up CT scan was significantly associated with an objective treatment response. Imaging is a part of the “Assessment for Repeat Transarterial chemoembolization” (ART) criteria that guides the decision for repeat TACE. Radiological tumor response for ART is assessed according to the EASL criteria. The absence of tumor response is given a score of 1. Other parameters included in ART are increase in the AST level by 25%, and increase of Child-Pugh score of 1. Patients with total score ≥2.5 are not likely to benefit from repeat TACE.

**Transarterial Radioembolization**

Imaging findings of patients with HCC on follow-up after TARE are like those following TACE. Diffuse increase in blood flow to the treated area has been described immediately following radioembolization that is observed as patchy or linear postcontrast enhancement within the lesion as well as an increased peritumoral enhancement on follow-up imaging shortly after the procedure that may persist up to 1 month and sometimes even later. This is because of the $\beta$ radiation emitted by the radioactive therapeutic agent termed as radiation effect. This enhancement diminishes gradually and disappears by ~90 days after treatment. Hence, the initial follow-up imaging after TARE is usually performed 6 to 12 weeks after therapy. As following other LRTs, presence of rim enhancement may be a normal finding after TARE. Lack of contrast enhancement on follow-up imaging can be considered a marker for successful treatment. Due to relatively nontargeted nature of the treatment, the adjacent liver parenchyma surrounding the tumor may also be affected and may undergo fibrotic changes, observed on follow-up CT as an enhancement in the portal or delayed venous phases. TARE induces a delayed tumor necrosis and tumor shrinkage with a median time for these events reported to be 30 and 120 days, respectively.

**Ablative Therapies**

In general, the necrotic cavity following ablative procedure should exceed the original tumor margins by ~ 5 to 10 mm to include the potential microinvasion of the tumor. Also, the ablation zone may initially be larger due to edema, hemorrhage, and inflammatory changes following the procedure and these changes gradually subside over time. The necrotic cavity or the ablation zone is hypoattenuating on CT and does not show enhancement on postcontrast imaging. On MRI, it shows variable signal changes but lacks postcontrast enhancement. Another common finding on follow-up imaging after ablative therapies is a uniform thin peripheral rim enhancement that envelopes the entire ablation zone. This is considered a physiological response to thermal injury and has been reported in 79% of the cases. It has been reported to disappear by 1 month. The peripheral rim should be hyperintense on T2-weighted images and should not be considered as signs of infection in the absence of clinical symptoms.

**Radio Frequency Ablation (RFA), Microwave Ablation (MWA), and Cryoablation**

In addition to the common imaging findings described above, following thermal ablative techniques like RFA and MWA, a peripheral wedge-shaped arterial phase enhancement has been described adjacent to the ablation zone. This is due to the arteriopulmonary shunts created by needle puncture or thermal injury. This enhancement usually persists for about a month and vanishes gradually. It is also not uncommon to observe gas bubbles in the ablation zone and small fluid collections in the adjacent regions on imaging in immediate postablation period and should not be considered as signs of infection in the absence of clinical symptoms.

![Fig. 6 Imaging following radiofrequency ablation. Computed tomography image shows small focus of air within the ablated lesion (arrow). There is no enhancement within the lesion or periphery in the arterial phase.](image-url)
The probe track is seen as a linear hypoattenuating structure on both T1WIs and T2WIs that are extended from the site of ablation up to the liver capsule. Other ancillary features that have been described include segmental intrahepatic biliary radicle dilatation and ipsilateral pleural effusion. The residual disease should be suspected in cases with an irregular thickening or new nodule usually observed along the periphery of the ablated area showing the APHE and washout in the venous or delayed phase (►Fig. 7). The ablation zone gradually decreases in size over time and may disappear entirely or may be associated with focal hepatic atrophy or distortion of parenchymal architecture. Chiang et al studied the effect of MWA of HCC on hepatic arterial and venous vasculature. They reported occlusion of the portal vein, hepatic vein, and hepatic artery in the ablation zone in 39.7, 15, and 14.2% cases, respectively. There was a significant correlation between tumor progression and patent hepatic arteries within the ablation zone. However, the patency of portal vein and hepatic vein branches within the ablation zone did not predict local tumor progression. Shyn et al reported MRI findings predictive of tumor recurrence at 24 hours following cryoablation of liver tumor. They found that an ablative margin of less than 3 mm and a blood vessel bridging the tumor margin were present significantly more common in recurrent tumors.

**Irreversible Electroporation**

Irreversible electroporation is a nonthermal ablation technique that is utilized for lesions located adjacent to vital structures. The peripheral rim of enhancement seen on imaging in the immediate postprocedural period likely represents the area of reversible electroporation and gradually reverts to normal over a period of time (►Fig. 8). As compared with thermal ablative techniques, blood vessels and biliary ductules are less vulnerable to damage during IRE, and it is not uncommon to observe these structures traversing through the ablated zone during follow-up scans. No studies have yet reported the response evaluation parameters unique to IRE.

**Advanced Imaging Biomarkers for Assessing Response after LRT**

**Dual-Energy CT**

Dual-energy CT (DECT) plays a role in the evaluation of response following LRTs in several ways. By generating virtual noncontrast (VNC) images, noncontrast CT acquisition may be avoided, thus reducing the radiation dose in patients undergoing serial follow-up imaging studies. DECT allows the identification of subtle hypervascularity and thus increased detection of hypervascular lesions. DECT has also been shown to improve the characterization of indeterminate sub-centimeter lesions by using iodine maps. Lee et al evaluated the role of DECT with VNC and iodine maps to evaluate therapeutic response to RFA in hepatic tumors. Seventy-five patients were assessed. The VNC images were rated as good as noncontrast computed tomography images in 90% of the patients and the iodine maps improved the conspicuity of the ablation margin due to higher contrast to noise ratio. In another recent study evaluating the role of DECT, Zhang et al evaluated the changes in volumetric iodine concentration (VIC) to predict response to MWA in rabbit intrahepatic VX2 tumor model. Among the various therapeutic response criteria, VIC on DECT was better at predicting response to MWA.

**Diffusion-Weighted Imaging**

Studies have demonstrated an increase in absolute apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values in responding lesions following TACE. Absolute ADC values were also shown to be useful in differentiating viable areas from necrotic areas following treatment. Besides, absolute ADC values also correlate well with the degree of necrosis. Recent research has shown DWI to be a potential imaging biomarker in early response assessment in patients of HCC after therapy. Kamel et al showed that there is a significant increase in ADC value 1 to 2 weeks after the first session of TACE. Favorable results regarding the use of DWI for prediction of tumor response have also been reported after TARE. In a study by Kamel et al, 19 HCCs in 13 patients were treated with yttrium-90-labeled microspheres. Following treatment, response evaluation was done using enhancement and ADC. There was a mean decrease in arterial enhancement of 22%, a mean decrease in venous enhancement of 25%, and a mean increase in ADC of 18%. In another study by Deng et al, six patients treated with 90Y underwent evaluation with DWI. Tumor ADC increased significantly. ADC increased in all the lesions by a mean of 0.88 x 10^-3 mm²/s, 42 ± 16 days after treatment (p = 0.004). However, in the study by Goshima et al, DWI was not found to be a reliable method for the detection of local tumor recurrence compared
The role of pretreatment ADC in predicting response to TACE is not clear. A few studies have also reported the role of DWI in tumor response assessment following RFA. Bonekamp et al reported the use of a semiautomated software for the evaluation of early changes in post-treatment ADC and enhancement features following TACE. In patients with contraindications to contrast injection or with equivocal postcontrast enhancement patterns, DWI is an effective alternative. However, susceptibility to artifacts and lack of reproducibility limit its widespread use.

**Perfusion Imaging**

The fundamental principle of perfusion imaging is the temporal changes in the tissue enhancement following the administration of an intravenous contrast material. Various parameters have been described to measure these changes, and the commonly used parameters include blood flow (BF), blood volume (BV), time to peak (TTP), and mean transit time (MTT). Studies have shown a significant reduction in hepatic arterial perfusion (AP) and total hepatic BV predictive of favorable treatment response. In the study by Chen et al, AP, hepatic arterial fraction, and BV reduced significantly on perfusion CT following TACE when compared with the pre-TACE values in patients with partial response (PR). On the other hand, these values showed a significant increase in the patients with PD. In another study by Zhu et al, the antiangiogenic activity of bevacizumab was evaluated using perfusion CT. Compared with baseline, there was a significant decrease in the BF, BV, and permeability surface area product and an increase in MTT were seen on days 10 to 12 following bevacizumab administration alone. Patients with PD had lower baseline MTT and a higher percent increase than those with stable disease or PR.

In a study by Ippolito et al, 14 patients treated with RFA were evaluated with perfusion CT. The AP and hepatic perfusion index (HPI) were significantly higher in the residual lesion compared with the ablated lesion. In another study, Marquez et al evaluated 20 patients with liver lesions (10 metastases and 10 HCC) treated with RFA using perfusion CT immediately after RFA. The AP and HPI values were increased in incomplete responders.

Although the initial results are promising, the lack of technical standardization, the requirement of additional software and the extra radiation, cost, and time make perfusion imaging more of a research tool in the current setting.

**Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound**

After treatment, lack of APHE on CEUS was shown to correlate with complete necrosis on CT, whereas persistent APHE correlated with viable tumor. The recent European Federation of Societies for Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology guidelines recognize the importance of CEUS in early evaluation.
following ablation and as a guiding tool for immediate retreatment of residual disease. CEUS has been used for response evaluation following TACE. The advantage of CEUS is that it can be used immediately following the treatment. Additionally, the iodized oil does not hinder the interpretation of contrast enhancement. Liu et al reported that CEUS is more sensitive than multiphase CT for the detection of small residual tumors following TACE. Similar results were published in a recent meta-analysis. However, in a study comparing the accuracy of CEUS versus CECT in response assessment following RFA, CEUS was found to have a significantly lower sensitivity compared with CECT in detecting new lesions and local tumor progression. However, in another study, CEUS was found to yield results similar to CT and MRI in response assessment following thermal (RFA/MWA) ablation. Catalano et al in their large retrospective study demonstrated that the incorporation of CEUS in the imaging of HCC after ablation is feasible and allows lesser CT and MRI examinations to be performed. In the study by Kaufmann et al CEUS was shown to perform equally to volume perfusion CT in early response assessment to TACE in HCC. Zhan et al assessed the role of quantitative CEUS in response evaluation following microwave ablation of HCC and found that the largest tumor diameter and TTP were associated with overall survival in both univariate and multivariate analysis.

**Positron Emission Tomography (PET)-CT**

Recent studies have established the role of PET-CT in early prognostication and diagnosis of recurrent or residual disease in HCC. A PET-based response assessment criteria known as Positron Emission Tomography Response Criteria in Solid Tumors (PERCIST) has also been proposed. However, 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (18F-FDG) is not specific for HCC and there is lack of data on the standardized uptake value (SUV) in predicting survival. Other tracers with higher sensitivity for detecting HCC like 18 fluorocholine are also being investigated. In a retrospective study comprising patients who underwent LRT for HCC (TACE, RFA, percutaneous ethanol ablation), 18F-FDG PET was performed 1 month following the treatment to assess the response. The authors concluded that visual assessment of the PET-CT may be more useful than the quantitative assessment. The role of 18F-FDG PET-CT in response evaluation following 90Y-TARE has been investigated. Patients were divided into PET positive and PET negative based on the FDG uptake on the baseline scan. PET positive cases were classified 4 weeks following the treatment into metabolic responders and nonresponders. The authors concluded that PET-negative patients had longer overall survival. In the PET-positive group, metabolic responders have a better overall survival. PET-CT has a role in the evaluation of extrahepatic metastases in HCC. In a study by Lee et al, 138 patients with HCC underwent both PET-CT and conventional imaging modalities. The diagnostic performance of each imaging modality for extrahepatic metastases was evaluated. PET-CT was found useful for detection of lung metastases > 1 cm and bone metastases. The primary tumor characteristics that were associated with extrahepatic disease were a tumor size more than 5 cm and SUV uptake more than 3.4.

**Radiomics**

Additionally, radiomics is increasingly being studied to assess the response to treatment in HCC. Kloth et al compared the performance of CT texture analysis (CTTA) with perfusion CT for predicting response in patients undergoing DEB-TACE. They found a significant correlation between CTTA and perfusion CT parameters. CTTA parameters were found to predict mid-term response following TACE. Kim et al evaluated the use of radiomic features for predicting the survival of patients undergoing TACE. In this study comprising 88 patients, 116 radiomic features were studied. The authors compared three models (radiomic based, clinical and combined) and found that the combined model was a better predictor of survival. In another study, Shan et al evaluated the peritumoral radiomics model to predict recurrence following resection or ablative therapies. A total of 156 patients were studied and the authors concluded that peritumoral radiomics models performed better than the tumoral radiomics model for predicting the recurrence.

Researchers are investigating various other novel functional imaging biomarkers in the early assessment of patients after LRT for HCC, including MR spectroscopy, MR elastography, growth kinetics, and integrated PET-MR. Though initial trials show promising results, research in this direction is still in its infancy, and it will take many years before these techniques are validated.

**Conclusion**

The imaging of patients with HCC following locoregional therapies is vital for the assessment of treatment success and early detection of complications and/or residual or recurrent disease requiring re-treatment. It is generally accomplished using CECT or MRI, although other novel imaging biomarkers are also currently being investigated. For radiologists, familiarity with the post-treatment imaging characteristics and their correct interpretation is crucial for assessing treatment response and for guiding future therapies.
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