

Original Article

A Survey to Assess the Number, Shape and Attachment of the Freni in the Maxillary and Mandibular Arches in South Indian Population

Sorte Nandita¹, Vinaya Bhat², Chethan Hegde³¹Post Graduate student, ²Professor, ³Professor and HOD, Department of Prosthodontics, A. B. Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, NITTE (Deemed to be University), Mangalore.

Corresponding Author : Vinaya Bhat, Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, A.B. Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, NITTE (Deemed to be University), Mangalore. Mobile : +91 94819 21180 E-mail : drvinayabhat@gmail.com

Received : 30.01.2017

Review Completed : 03.05.2017

Accepted : 14.04.2018

Keywords : labial frenum, buccal frnum, lingual frenum

Abstract

Frenum is one of the most variable anatomical structures present in the oral cavity which can be defined as a "fibrous band of tissue attached to the bone of the mandible and maxillae, and is present superficial to muscle attachments."¹ In the present study, hundred individuals aged 18 to 40 years were selected randomly. The patients were examined (i) To assess the number, shape and attachment of the freni in the maxillary arch and (ii) To assess the number, shape and attachment of the freni in the mandibular arch in south Indian population. It was concluded that single labial frenum was more common in both the arches, buccal frenum in maxilla were multiple. Whereas, in mandible buccal frenum had single frenal attachment. V-shaped frenal attachment was more in the maxillary and mandibular arches exception being the lingual frenum which showed prevalence pertaining to fan shape.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code



Introduction

Frenum is one of the most variable anatomical structures present in the oral cavity which can be defined as a "fibrous band of tissue attached to the bone of the mandible and maxillae, and is presenting superficial to muscle attachments."¹ (Fig. 1)



Fig. 1 : Frenal attachment

Freni are mostly seen in the vestibular mucosa of the mandible and maxilla, usually in the midline or premolar region. The maxillary/mandibular labial frena and the lingual frenum are the most notable frena of the normal

oral cavity.² among all the other frena, maxillary frena are dynamic structures that are subjected to variations during different stages of human growth and development.³

Histologically, it is made up of loose fibrous connective tissue, abundance of elastic fibers along with a few striated muscle fibers that arise from the muscle bundles of the lip on either side of the midline; however, the controversy regarding the histology of frenum still remains.⁴ The primary function is to provide stability to the upper lip, lower lip, and tongue.²

The maxillary labial frenum has been implicated in the development of central incisor diastemas, and the lingual mandibular is deemed responsible for most cases of ankyloglossia. The lingual frenulum, a small fold of mucous membrane that connects the middle of the sublingual face of the tongue to the floor of the mouth, interferes in the tongue movements and its functions.

The frenum also plays a very important role in the fabrication of dentures. It provides retention and stability to the dentures. If the freni notches in complete dentures are not relieved properly, it can cause trauma to the freni and also there will be improper seating of the denture base. High frenum attachment in maxilla or mandible due to stress concentration will lead to fracture of the dentures.⁸

Various types of frenal attachments have been documented in the literature such as mucosal, gingival, papillary and papillary penetrating as proposed by Mirko et al⁹. Later Sewerin⁷ further classified the variations of frenum as normal frenum, normal frenum with a nodule, normal frenum with appendix, normal frenum with nichum, bifid labial frenum, persistent tectolabial frenum, double frenum, and wider frenum.

However, very few studies have been documented that were carried out in children pertaining to shape and attachment.⁶ No studies have been done pertaining to the number of frenal attachment and the shape and attachment in adults of South India. Hence, this study was taken up to find out the prevalence of frenal variations in a diverse ethnic population in South India.

Materials and methods

Sample selection: Hundred individuals aged 18 to 40 years were selected randomly from the Department of Prosthodontics including crown and bridge, A. B. Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Mangalore. Individuals who had undergone surgical correction of the frenum were excluded from this study.

Methodology

The patients were then examined using diagnostic instruments and the findings recorded.

The following aspects were examined in each subject in the maxilla and mandible in each


Subject:

1. The type of the frenum attachment as given in the classification by Mirko⁹


2. The number of each freni.
3. The shape of each freni

Results


1. Type of mucosal frenal attachment in maxillary arch (labial) were seen to be 70/100 and 30/100 were gingival (fig. 2)




2. Type of mucosal frenal attachment in maxillary arch (right buccal) were seen to be 63/100 and 37/100 were gingival (fig. 3)




3. Type of mucosal frenal attachment in maxillary arch (left buccal) were seen to be 61/100 and 39/100 were gingival (fig. 4)



4. Type of mucosal frenal attachment in mandibular arch (labial) were seen to be 76/100 and 24/100 were gingival (fig. 5)



5. Type of mucosal frenal attachment in mandibular arch (right buccal) were seen to be 79/100 and 21/100 were gingival (fig. 6)



6. Type of mucosal frenal attachment in mandibular arch (left buccal) were seen to be 73/100 and 27/100 were gingival (fig. 6)




Fig. 2 : Labial frenum



Fig. 3 : Maxillary right buccal frenum



Fig. 4 : Maxillary left buccal frenum Fig. 5 : Mandibular labial frenum



Fig. 6 : Mandibular right and left buccal frenum









Fig. 7 : Single maxillary labial frenum

Fig. 8 : More than one maxillary right buccal frenum






Fig. 9 : More than one maxillary left buccal frenum




Fig. 10 : More than one left and right mandibular buccal freni


Fig. 11 : Single mandibular labial frenum




16.Type of V-shaped frenal attachment in the mandibular arch (labial) were 72/100 and 28/100 were fan shaped (fig. 15)



17.Type of V-shaped frenal attachment in the mandibular arch (right buccal) were 86/100 and 14/100 were fan shaped (fig. 16)



18.Type of V-shaped frenal attachment in the mandibular arch (left buccal) were 91/100 and 9/100 were fan shaped (fig. 16)



19.Type of V-shaped frenal attachment in the mandibular arch (lingual) were 43/100 and 57/100 were fan shaped (fig. 17)




Fig. 14 V-shape maxillary left buccal frenum



Fig. 15 V- shape mandibular labial frenum



Fig. 16 V-shape mandibular right and left freni



Fig. 12 V-shape maxillary labial frenum



Fig. 13 V-shape maxillary right buccal frenum



Fig. 17 Fan shape lingual frenum

Discussion

The maxillary labial frenum has been the cause for development of central incisor diastemas, and the lingual frenum is responsible for most cases of ankyloglossia. The frenum also plays a very important role in the fabrication of

dentures in providing retention and stability to the dentures. If the freni notches in complete dentures are not relieved properly, it can cause trauma to the freni and also there will be improper seating of the denture base. If a large

frenal notch is made this can lead to areas of stress concentration leading to fracture of denture.⁸

Various classifications have been proposed regarding the variations in frenum, but the most widely accepted classifications were given by Mirko *et al.*,⁹ and Sewerin.⁷

Depending upon the extension of attachment of fibers, frena have been classified as:⁹

1. Mucosal – when the frenal fibers are attached up to muco-gingival junction
2. Gingival – when fibers are inserted within attached gingival
3. Papillary – When fibers are extending into inter dental papilla
4. Mucosal – when the frenal fibers are attached up to muco-gingival junction
5. Gingival – when fibers are inserted within attached gingival
6. Papillary – When fibers are extending into inter dental papilla
7. Papilla penetrating – When the frenal fibers cross the alveolar process and extend up to palatine papilla.

Sewerin⁷ has also classified the variations of frenum as:

- Normal frenum
- Normal frenum with a nodule
- Normal frenum with appendix
- Normal frenum with nichum
- Bifid labial frenum
- Persistent tectolabial frenum
- Double frenum
- Wider frenum.

In a study conducted by Priyanka M, Sruthi Ret al., stated that frenal attachments are thin folds of mucous membrane with enclosed muscle fibers that attach the lips to the alveolar mucosa and underlying periosteum. Most often, during the oral examination of the patient the dentist gives very little importance to the frenum, for assessing its morphology and attachment. However, it has been seen that an abnormal frenum can be an indicator of a syndrome. This paper highlighted the different frenal attachments seen in association with various syndromic as well as non-syndromic conditions.²

S Linda Christabel et al., conducted a cross-sectional study to examine the prevalence of the various types of maxillary frenal attachments and its morphology in children. Total

number of children who were examined was 931. They found that the most prevalent type of frenal attachment among all is gingival type followed by mucosal type of attachment. 49.5% were gingival type, 38.8% were mucosal type, 9.8% were papillary type and 1.9% were papillary penetrating type. The prevalence type had no gender difference but the age had significant association. The occurrence of papillary penetrating type decreases with age. The most prevalent morphological type is simple frenum 97% followed by simple frenum with nodule which is 2.6% and bifid labial frenum which is 0.4%. The conclusion drawn was the prevalent type among Chennai children is gingival type. The papillary penetrating type of frenal attachment decreases with age.⁶

According to the classification by Mirko *et al.*, in the maxillary arch 70% of the patients presented with mucosal type of labial frenal attachment while in 30% of the patients, gingival type was seen. The right buccal frenal attachment showed 63% as mucosal and the remaining 37% as gingival whereas, in the left buccal it was 61% mucosal and 39% gingival. In the mandibular arch the labial frenal attachment was 76% mucosal and 24% gingival. The right buccal showed 79% mucosal and 21% gingival; left showed it was seen to be 73% buccal and 27% gingival.

The numbers of patients having one labial frenum in the maxillary arch were 92% and the remaining 8% had more than one frenum. One right buccal frenum was seen in 36% of the population and the rest which were 64% had more than one. The left buccal frenum showed 32% of the population having one frenum and 68% having more than one. Whereas, in the mandibular arch the statistics showed 73% having one labial frenum and 27% having more than one. 87% showed one right buccal frenum showed one compared to 13% showing more than one. In the left buccal frenum 82% showed one frenum and 18% showed more than one.

Shape of the maxillary labial frenum was found to be V-shaped in 87% of the population and fan shaped in 13% of the population. The left and right buccal showed 89% of V-shaped and 11% of fan shaped. In the mandibular arch 72%

of labial frenum showed V-shaped and the rest 28% were fan shaped. The right buccal had a value of 86% for V-shaped and 14% as fan shaped. The left buccal were 91% V-shaped and 9% fan shaped. The lingual frenal attachment showed 43% V-shaped and 57% fan shaped.

Conclusion

The study was done in patients of age group 18-40 years as a whole. The numbers of people having a single labial

frenum in the maxillary and mandibular arches were more than those having multiple. Whereas, the buccal freni in the maxilla showed more than one attachment. The mandibular arch had more of single frenal attachment buccally on both sides. V-shaped frenal attachment was more prevalent in the maxillary and mandibular arches exception being the lingual frenum which had more statistical data pertaining to fan shaped.

References

1. Zarb GA, Bryant SR. Preprosthetic surgery: Improving the patients denture-bearing areas and ridge relations. In: Zarb GA, Bolender CL, editors. *Prosthodontic Treatment for Edentulous Patients, Complete Dentures and Implant-Supported Protheses*. 12th ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2004. p. 103.
2. Priyanka M, Sruthi R, Ramakrishnan T, Emmadi P, Ambalavanan N. An overview of frenal attachments. *J Indian Soc Periodontol* 2013;17:12-5.
3. Delli K, Livas C, Sculean A, Katsaros C, Bornstein MM. Facts and myths regarding the maxillary midline frenum and its treatment: A systematic review of the literature. *Quintessence Int* 2013;44:177-87.
4. Henry SW, Levin MP, Tsaknis PJ. Histologic features of the superior labial frenum. *J Periodontol* 1976;47:25-8.
5. Irene Queiroz Marchesan; Roberta Lopes de Castro Martinelli, Reinaldo Jordão Gusmão. Lingual frenulum: changes after frenectomy. *J. Soc. Bras. Fonoaudiol.* vol.24 no.4 São Paulo 2012
6. S Linda Christabel, Deepa Gurunathan. Prevalence of Type of Frenal Attachment and Morphology of Frenum in Children, Chennai, Tamil Nadu. *World Journal of Dentistry*, October-December 2015;6(4):203-207
7. Sewerin I. Prevalence of variations and anomalies of the upper labial frenum. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1971;29:487-96.
8. El-Sheikh, Al-Zahrani. Causes of denture fracture: A survey. *Saudi Dental Journal*, Volume 18, No. 3, September - December 2006:18(3):149-154
9. Mirko P, Miroslav S, Lubor M. Significance of the labial frenum attachment in periodontal disease in man. Part I. Classification and epidemiology of the labial frenum attachment. *J Periodontol* 1974;45:891-4.